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Abstract. A nonlocal reaction-diffusion equation and a system of equations from population dynamics are considered on the whole axis. Existence of solutions in the form of stationary pulses is proved by a perturbation method. It is based on spectral properties of the linearized operators and on the implicit function theorem.
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1 Introduction

Nonlocal reaction-diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + au^2(1 - J(u)) - \sigma u,$$

where

$$J(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x-y)u(y,t)dy$$

describes various biological phenomena such as emergence and evolution of biological species and the process of speciation in a more general context [17], [18]. In population dynamics, \(u(x,t)\) is the density of a population, the diffusion term describes its migration, the second term in the right-hand side is the reproduction rate and the last term is the mortality rate. In the case of sexual reproduction, the reproduction rate is proportional to the second power of the population density and to the available resources \((K - J(u))\). Here \(K = 1\) is
the rate of production of resources and $J(u)$ is the rate of their consumption. The integral $J(u)$ describes nonlocal consumption of resources which shows that an individual located at the space point $y$ consumes resources at the space point $x$ with the rate $\phi(x-y)$. Nonlocal consumption of resources corresponds to the intraspecific competition and allows the description of the emergence and evolution of biological species [7]. If we replace the integral $J(u)$ by the function $u$, then we obtain the usual logistic term and the local consumption of resources.

An important property of nonlocal reaction-diffusion equations is that they have solutions in the form of periodic travelling waves [3], [7], [8], [10]. Such solutions do not exist for the usual (scalar) reaction-diffusion equations. In this work we will prove the existence of a new type of solutions of this equation in the form of stationary pulses, stationary solutions of this equation decaying at infinity. We will consider equation (1.1) in the stationary case,

$$w'' + aw^2(1 - J(w)) - \sigma w = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $a$ and $\sigma$ are positive constants,

$$J(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x-y)w(y)dy, \quad \phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \leq N \\ 0, & |x| > N \end{cases},$$

$N$ is a positive number. We will prove that for $N$ sufficiently large equation (1.2) has a positive solution $w(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the limits

$$w(\pm \infty) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Instead of a step-wise constant function $\phi(x)$ we can consider any other bounded even non-negative integrable function such that it depends on a parameter and locally converges to 1 as the parameter tends to some given value. Nonlocal Fisher-KPP equation, which is similar to equation (1.1) with non-linearity $u(1 - J(u))$, also has solutions in the form of simple and periodic travelling waves [1], [2], [4], [6] - [10], [12], [14], [19]. However solutions in the form of standing pulses, stationary solutions decaying at infinity, are unlikely to exist for this equation. Spike solutions are studied for some reaction-diffusion systems [11], [13], [15], [20], [21].

The main result of this work is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $a > 1/h_0$, where $h_0$ is defined below (Theorem 2.1). Then equation (1.2) has an even positive solution decaying at $\pm \infty$ for all $N$ sufficiently large.

This theorem will be proved in Section 2. The method of proof is based on the perturbation technique. If we formally replace the integral $J(w)$ in (1.2) by the integral $I(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y)dy$, then the existence of solutions for this limiting equation can be easily proved. Hence we can expect that there exists a solution for sufficiently large values of $N$. We will use the implicit function theorem which implies invertibility of the linearized operator. We will prove it.
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using the Fredholm property, index and solvability conditions of the operators under consideration [16], [17]. These properties of the operators will be also used in the last section to study existence of stationary pulses of a system of two equations arising in population dynamics.

2 Existence of pulses for the scalar equation with nonlocal consumption

2.1 Existence in the case of the global consumption

In order to prove the existence of solutions of problem (1.2), (1.3) we will consider the equation

$$w'' + aw^2(1 - I(w)) - \sigma w = 0,$$

where

$$I(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y)dy.$$  

By the change of variables $w \to w/a$, $h = 1/a$ we can reduce it to the equation

$$w'' + w^2(1 - hI(w)) - \sigma w = 0.$$  

We will analyze the existence of classical solutions $w(x)$ which satisfy the following properties:

$$w(x) > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w(x) \to 0, \quad x \to \pm \infty, \quad w(x) = w(-x).$$  

Set

$$c = 1 - h \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y)dy$$  

and consider the equation

$$w'' + cw^2 - \sigma w = 0.$$  

For each fixed positive $c$, there exists a unique solution of this equation satisfying (2.3). Its existence can be easily proved by the analysis of the phase plane of the system of two first-order equations,

$$w' = p, \quad p' = -cw^2 + \sigma w$$  

or by the explicit integration of the equation

$$\frac{dp}{dw} = \frac{1}{p} (-cw^2 + \sigma w)$$
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(see below). Let us note that since $\sigma > 0$, then this solution exponentially decays at infinity. Denote this solution by $w_c(x)$. Substituting it into (2.4), we obtain the equation

$$c = 1 - h \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_c(y) dy. \quad (2.6)$$

Denote by $w_1$ the solution of (2.5) with $c = 1$. Then $w_c = w_1/c$ and we can write (2.6) as

$$c^2 - c + h \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_1(y) dy = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

This equation has two solutions if

$$h \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_1(y) dy < \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2.8)$$

We note that for every $\sigma$ fixed, solution $w_1(x)$ of (2.5) with $c = 1$ exists and it is independent of $h$. Let us take a positive value of $h$ which satisfies condition (2.8). Then equation (2.7) has two solutions, $c_1$ and $c_2$, such that $0 < c_1 < 1/2 < c_2 < 1$. If $h \to 0$, then $c_1 \to 0$, $c_2 \to 1$. Therefore,

$$w_{c_1}(x) \to \infty, \quad w_{c_2}(x) \to w_1(x), \quad h \to 0.$$

The first convergence occurs uniformly on every bounded interval, the second uniformly in $\mathbb{R}$.

Denote $h_0 = 1/(4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_1(y) dy)$. Then condition (2.8) is satisfied for $h < h_0$, and there are two solutions of equation (2.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** For any value of $h$ such that $0 < h < h_0$, there are two positive solutions of equation (2.2) exponentially decaying at infinity.

In the case of $a > 24 \sqrt{\sigma}$ we have the two pulse solutions of equation (2.1) given by the formula

$$w_{1,2}(x) = \frac{3\sigma}{2ac_{1,2} \cosh^2 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{2} x \right)}, \quad c_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} - \frac{6}{a} \sqrt{\sigma}}.$$

If $a = 24 \sqrt{\sigma}$, then the two solutions coincide. Finally, for $0 < a < 24 \sqrt{\sigma}$, there are no real valued pulse solutions.

### 2.2 Operators and spaces

Consider Hölder spaces $E = C^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$ and $F = C^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and weighted spaces $E_{\mu}$ and $F_{\mu}$ defined as follows:

$$E_{\mu} = \{ u : u_{\mu} \in E, \| u_{\mu} \|_{E_{\mu}} = \| u \|_{E} \}, \quad F_{\mu} = \{ u : u_{\mu} \in F, \| u_{\mu} \|_{F_{\mu}} = \| u \|_{F} \}.$$
As a weight function we take \( \mu(x) = 1 + x^2 \). Set

\[
A_{\epsilon}(w) = w'' + aw^2(1 - J_{\epsilon}(w)) - \sigma w,
\]

\[
A_0(w) = w'' + aw^2(1 - J_0(w)) - \sigma w,
\]

where

\[
J_{\epsilon}(w) = \int_{x-1/\epsilon}^{x+1/\epsilon} w(y)dy, \quad J_0(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(y)dy.
\]

We will consider the operator \( A_\epsilon \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( \epsilon = 0 \) as defined above. We can extend it for negative \( \epsilon \) by symmetry, \( A_\epsilon = A_{-\epsilon}, \epsilon < 0 \). It is a bounded and continuous operator acting from \( E_\mu \) into \( F_\mu \). We will show that it is continuous with respect to \( \epsilon \).

**Lemma 2.2.** For any \( \delta > 0 \) there exists \( \epsilon_0 \) such that

\[
\|A_\epsilon(w) - A_0(w)\|_{F_\mu} < \delta, \quad \forall \epsilon, w, \quad 0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \|w\|_{E_\mu} \leq M,
\]

(2.9)

where \( \epsilon_0 \) can depend on \( M \).

**Proof.** We have

\[
A_0(w) - A_\epsilon(w) = aw^2(J_\epsilon(w) - J_0(w)).
\]

Set

\[
g(x) = \mu(x)w^2(x)(J_\epsilon(w) - J_0(w)).
\]

We should estimate the Hölder norm of the function \( g \). Let us begin with the uniform norm. Since

\[
|\mu(x)w(x)| \leq M, \quad |w(x)| \leq \frac{M}{\mu(x)}
\]

then we have the estimate

\[
|J_\epsilon(w)|, |J_0(w)| \leq M_1
\]

with some positive constant \( M_1 \). Hence for any \( \delta > 0 \) we can choose \( x_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
|g(x)| \leq \delta \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \geq x_0.
\]

We will now obtain a similar estimate for \( |x| < x_0 \). We have

\[
g(x) = -\mu(x)w^2(x)\left( \int_{-\infty}^{x-1/\epsilon} w(y)dy + \int_{x+1/\epsilon}^{\infty} w(y)dy \right).
\]

(2.10)
We can choose $\epsilon_0$ such that for any $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ the estimates
\[
\left| \mu(x)w^2(x) \int_{x+1/\epsilon}^{\infty} w(y)dy \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \left| \mu(x)w^2(x) \int_{-\infty}^{x-1/\epsilon} w(y)dy \right| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad \forall |x| \leq x_0
\]
hold. Hence we have the estimate
\[
\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g(x)| \leq \delta. \quad (2.11)
\]
Next, we should estimate the expression
\[
H = \sup_{x_1, x_2} \frac{|g(x_1) - g(x_2)|}{|x_1 - x_2|^{\alpha}}.
\]
Obviously, it is sufficient to consider the case where $|x_1 - x_2| < 1$. We can proceed as before and consider either $|x| > x^*$ for some $x^*$ sufficiently large or $|x| \leq x^*$. In the first case, $H$ is small since $\mu(x)w(x)$ and the integrals in (2.10) are bounded in the Hölder norm while $w(x) \to 0$ in the Hölder norm as $|x| \to \infty$. In the second case, we use the fact that the integrals converge to 0 in the Hölder norm as $\epsilon \to 0$. The lemma is proved.

In what follows we will also consider the subspaces of the spaces $E_\mu$ and $F_\mu$ which consist of even functions:
\[
E_0^\mu = \{ u \in E_\mu, u(x) = u(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \}, \quad F_0^\mu = \{ u \in F_\mu, u(x) = u(-x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \}.
\]
If $w \in E_0^\mu$, then $J_\epsilon(w)$ is also an even function and $A_\epsilon(w) \in F_0^\mu$. Therefore we can consider this operator acting from $E_0^\mu$ into $F_0^\mu$.

2.3 Linearized operator

Consider the linearized operator to the operator $A_0(w)$,
\[
Lu = u'' + 2auw_0(1 - I(w_0)) - aw_0^2I(u) - \sigma u
\]
for some $w_0 \in E_\mu$, and the formally adjoint operator
\[
L^*v = v'' + 2avw_0(1 - I(w_0)) - aI^*(v) - \sigma v,
\]
where
\[
I^*(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w_0^2(x)v(x)dx.
\]
We will consider the operator $L$ acting from $E_\mu$ into $F_\mu$ and the operator $L^*$ from $H_\mu^2(\mathbb{R})$ into $L_\mu^2(\mathbb{R})$ [16].
Let us recall the definition of the function spaces. Denote by $D$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions with bounded supports and by $D'$ its dual. Let $E \subset D'$ be a Banach space. Then $E_{\text{loc}}$ is defined as ensemble of all $u \in D'$ such that $fu \in E$ for all $f \in D$. Let, further, $\phi_i \in D$ be a partition of unity. Then the space $E_{\infty}$ is defined as ensemble of all $u \in E_{\text{loc}}$ such that $\sup_i \|u\phi_i\|_E < \infty$. The norm in this space is given by the equality

$$\|u\|_{\infty} = \sup_i \|u\phi_i\|_E.$$ 

The definition of the space $E_{\infty}$ is applicable for any Banach space $E$. If $E = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the corresponding space is denoted by $L^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. For $E = H^2(\mathbb{R})$, it becomes $H^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. The norms in these spaces are given by the equalities:

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} = \sup_i \|\phi_i u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|u\|_{H^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} = \sup_i \|\phi_i u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$ 

The operator $L$ and $L^*$ are linear bounded operators in the corresponding spaces. They satisfy the relation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x)(Lu)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x)(L^*v)dx.$$ 

Let $w_0(x)$ be an even positive solution of equation (2.1). Set $u_0 = -w_0'$. Differentiating this equation, we obtain

$$L_0 u_0 = u_0'' + 2au_0w_0(1 - I(w_0)) - \sigma u_0 = 0.$$ 

Since $I(u_0) = I^*(u_0) = 0$, then $Lu_0 = L^*u_0 = 0$. Hence $u_0$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of both operators.

The eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ of the operators $L_0 : E \rightarrow F$ is simple. Indeed, if there are two linearly independent bounded eigenfunctions, then all solutions of the equation $L_0 u = 0$ are bounded as their linear combination. However it has exponentially growing solutions.

We can now summarize the spectral properties of the operator $L_0$. Its essential spectrum lies in the left-half plane. Its principal eigenvalue is simple and positive, and the corresponding eigenfunction is positive, according to the standard Sturm-Liouville theory. It has a simple zero eigenvalue with the eigenfunction $u_0(x) = -w_0'(x)$ which is positive for positive $x$ and negative for negative $x$. It can be verified that it does not have other positive eigenvalues except for the principal eigenvalue since $u_0(x)$ has a unique zero at the origin. These properties remain true for more general nonlinearities.

**Lemma 2.3.** If $I(u_0) \neq 1/2$ ($a > 24\sqrt{\sigma}$), then the equation $L^*v = 0$ has a unique, up to a constant factor, solution $u_0$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $v_0$ is an eigenfunction corresponding to the zero eigenvalue of the operator $L^*$. Then
\[ v_0'' + 2av_0w_0(1 - I(w_0)) - aI^*(v_0) - \sigma v_0 = 0. \]

Multiplying this equality by \( w_0 \) and integrating, we obtain

\[ -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0'w_0'dx + 2aI^*(v_0)(1 - I(w_0)) - aI(w_0)I^*(v_0) - \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0w_0dx = 0. \] (2.12)

Since \( w_0 \) is a solution of equation (2.1), we multiply the equation

\[ v_0'' + aw_0^2(1 - I(w_0)) - \sigma w_0 = 0 \] (2.13)

by \( v_0 \) and integrate:

\[ -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0'w_0'dx + aI^*(v_0)(1 - I(w_0)) - \sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0w_0dx = 0. \] (2.14)

Subtracting this equation from equation (2.12), we get

\[ I^*(v_0)(1 - I(w_0)) - I(w_0)I^*(v_0) = 0. \]

If \( I(w_0) \neq 1/2 \), then \( I^*(v_0) = 0 \). Hence \( v_0 \) is an eigenfunction of the operator \( L_0 \) corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Since this eigenfunction is unique up to a constant factor, we get \( v_0 = u_0 \).

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.4.** We proved in Section 2.1 that \( I(w_0) = 1/2 \) corresponds to the bifurcation point where solutions of equation (2.1) appear due to a subcritical bifurcation. For these values of parameters, the zero eigenvalue of the operator \( L^* \) is double, because of the bifurcation and of the invariance with respect to translation. The previous lemma affirms that outside of the bifurcation point this eigenvalue is simple.

**Lemma 2.5.** If \( I(w_0) \neq 1/2 \) (\( a > 24\sqrt{\sigma} \)), then equation \( Lu = 0 \) has a unique solution \( u = u_0 \) in \( E_{\mu} \).

**Proof.** The operators \( L : E_{\mu} \to F_{\mu} \) and \( L^* : H^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfy the Fredholm property and have the zero index. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that the equation \( L^*v = 0 \) has a unique solution. Therefore, since the index equals zero, the nonhomogeneous equation \( L^*v = f \) has a unique solvability condition. Suppose that equation \( Lu = 0 \) has two linearly independent solutions \( u_0, u_1 \in E_{\mu} \). Then equation \( L^*v = f \) has at least two solvability conditions. Indeed, we can choose a function \( f \in L^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)u_0(x)dx = 0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)u_1(x)dx \neq 0. \]

If equation \( L^*v = f \) has a solution, then we multiply this equation by \( u_1 \) and integrate over \( \mathbb{R} \). We get
\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (L^* v) u_1 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v (Lu_1) dx = 0, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (L^* v) u_1 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f u_1 dx \neq 0. \]

This contradiction proves the lemma. □

It follows from the lemma that a real eigenvalue of the linearized operator cannot cross the origin and change stability of the solution.

2.4 Existence in the case of nonlocal consumption

We will prove existence of solutions of equation (1.2) by the implicit function theorem. We consider the operator \( A_\epsilon (w) : E^\mu_0 \to F^\mu_0 \). It is bounded and continuous. We suppose that the equation \( A_0 (w) = 0 \) has a solution \( w_0 \). Conditions of the existence of solutions are given in Section 2.1.

Let us consider the Fréchet derivative of the operator \( A_\epsilon (w) \):

\[ A'_\epsilon (w) u = u'' + 2aw(x)(1 - J_\epsilon (w))u - \sigma u - aw^2(x)J_\epsilon (u). \]

**Lemma 2.6.** The operator \( A'_\epsilon (w) \) is continuous with respect to \( w \) and \( \epsilon \) in the operator norm.

The proof of the lemma is standard and we omit it.

**Lemma 2.7.** If \( I(w_0) \neq 1/2 \ (a > 24\sqrt{\sigma}) \), then the operator \( A'_0 (w_0) : E^\mu_0 \to F^\mu_0 \) is invertible.

**Proof.** Consider the equation

\[ A'_0 (w_0) u = f \quad (2.15) \]

for an arbitrary \( f \in F^\mu_0 \). Since \( f \) is an even function and \( w_0 \) is odd, \( u_0 (x) = -u'_0 (x) \), then equality

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) u_0 (x) dx = 0 \]

holds. It is the unique solvability condition for equation (2.15). Indeed, since it is a Fredholm operator with the zero index and its kernel has dimension 1 (Lemma 2.5), then the codimension of its image is also one-dimensional. Therefore equation (2.15) has a solution \( u_1 \in E^\mu_0 \).

Since \( A'_0 (w_0) u_0 = 0 \), then any function \( v_k (x) = u_1 (x) + ku_0 (x) \) is a solution of this equation for any real \( k \). Let us verify that only one of them belongs to \( E^\mu_0 \).

Since \( f(x) \) and \( w_0 (x) \) are even functions, then along with solution \( u_1 (x) \), the function \( u_1 (-x) \) is also a solution of this equation. Set \( z(x) = u_1 (x) - u_1 (-x) \). Since \( z(x) \) is a solution of the homogeneous equation, then

\[ u_1 (x) - u_1 (-x) = k_1 u_0 (x), \quad (2.16) \]
where $k_1$ is a constant. Then it is possible to choose a number $k_2$ such that
the function $v_{k_2}(x) = u_1(x) + k_2u_0(x)$ is even. Indeed, from the equality
$v_{k_2}(x) = v_{k_2}(-x)$ we get
\[ u_1(x) + k_2u_0(x) = u_1(-x) + k_2u_0(-x). \]
Since $u_0(x)$ is an odd function, from the last equality and (2.16) we obtain
$\kappa_2 = -k_1/2$. Hence we proved that there exists an even solution of equation
(2.15). Let us verify that it is unique. If there are two such solutions $z_1(x)$ and
$z_2(x)$, then their difference satisfies the homogeneous equation. Hence
$z_1(x) - z_2(x) = \kappa_3u_0(x)$. Since the difference of two even function is an even
function, and $u_0(x)$ is an odd function, then this equality can hold only for
$\kappa_3 = 0$. Hence the two even solutions coincide.
Thus equation (2.15) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{E}_0^\mu$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_0^\mu$. By the
Banach theorem, the operator $A'_0(w_0)$ has a bounded inverse.

We can now proof Theorem 1.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Consider the operator $A_\epsilon(w) : \mathcal{E}_0^\mu \to \mathcal{F}_0^\mu$. It is
bounded, continuous and equation $A_0(w) = 0$ has a solution $w_0$. The Fréchet
derivative $A'_\epsilon(w)$ is a bounded linear operator, continuous with respect to $w$
and $\epsilon$ in the operator norm. Finally, the operator $A'_0(w_0)$ is invertible. By the
implicit function theorem equation $A_\epsilon(w) = 0$ has a unique solution from $\mathcal{E}_0^\mu$
in the vicinity of the function $w_0$ for all $\epsilon$ sufficiently small.

Let us note that under the conditions of the theorem, equation (2.1) has two
pulse solutions. Theorem 2.8 affirms the existence of pulse solutions of equation
(1.2) in the vicinity of these solutions.

### 3 System of nonlocal equations

Existence of solutions of equation (1.2) is proved in Theorem 1.1 by the per-
turbation technique. We use the existence of solutions for equation (2.1) and
spectral properties of the linearized operator $L$ studied in Section 2.3. A sim-
ilar method can be used in other applications. In this section we consider the
system of equations
\[
\begin{align*}
d_1 u'' + auv(1 - I(u) - I(v)) - \sigma u &= 0, \\
d_2 v'' + auv(1 - I(u) - I(v)) - \sigma v &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
which describes the distribution of a population in the space of phenotypes.
Here $u$ is the density of males, $v$ is the density of females. The second term
in the left-hand sides of these equations is the reproduction rate which is pro-
portional to the product $uv$ and to available resources $(1 - I(u) - I(v))$. The
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last terms are their mortality. It is assumed that both parents have the same phenotype. We will look for a positive solution of this system with the limits at infinities

\[ u(\pm \infty) = v(\pm \infty) = 0. \]  

\[ (3.3) \]

Diffusion terms in these equations correspond to genetic variability which shows how the phenotypes of offsprings differ from the phenotype of parents. If \( d_1 = d_2 \), then taking the difference of two equations, we get \( u = v \). In this case we can reduce the system of equations to the scalar equation (2.1). However these two coefficients can differ from each other since genetic variability of males is usually greater than that of females. We will prove here the existence of solutions of problem (3.1)-(3.3) in the case where the difference between diffusion coefficients is sufficiently small. As before we will use the existence of solutions for equation (2.1) and spectral properties of the linearized operator.

We write system (3.1), (3.2) in the form

\[ u'' + a_0 uv(1 - I(u) - I(v)) - \sigma_0 u = 0, \]  

\[ v'' + a_0 uv(1 - I(u) - I(v)) - \sigma_0 v = 0, \]

\[ (3.4) \]

\[ (3.5) \]

where \( a_0 = a/d_1, \sigma_0 = \sigma/d_1, a_\varepsilon = a_0 + \varepsilon, \sigma_\varepsilon = \sigma_0 + \varepsilon \). If \( \varepsilon = 0 \), then \( u = v = w/2 \), where \( w \) is a solution of the equation

\[ w'' + \frac{a_0}{2} w^2(1 - I(w)) - \sigma_0 w = 0, \quad w(\pm \infty) = 0. \]  

\[ (3.6) \]

Consider next the system linearized about \( w \) for \( \varepsilon = 0 \):

\[ \tilde{u}'' + \frac{a_0}{2} \tilde{u}w(1 - I(w)) + \frac{a_0}{2} \tilde{v}w(1 - I(w)) - \frac{a_0}{4} w^2(I(\tilde{u}) + I(\tilde{v})) - \sigma_0 \tilde{u} = 0, \]  

\[ \tilde{v}'' + \frac{a_0}{2} \tilde{u}w(1 - I(w)) + \frac{a_0}{2} \tilde{v}w(1 - I(w)) - \frac{a_0}{4} w^2(I(\tilde{u}) + I(\tilde{v})) - \sigma_0 \tilde{v} = 0. \]  

\[ (3.7) \]

\[ (3.8) \]

Set \( z = \tilde{u} - \tilde{v} \). Taking the difference of these two equations, we get the equation for \( z \):

\[ z'' - \sigma_0 z = 0, \quad z(\pm \infty) = 0. \]

Therefore \( z \equiv 0 \) and \( \tilde{u} \equiv \tilde{v} \). Hence system (3.7), (3.8) can be reduced to the single equation:

\[ \tilde{u}'' + a_0 \tilde{u}w(1 - I(w)) - \frac{a_0}{2} w^2(I(\tilde{u})) - \sigma_0 \tilde{u} = 0. \]

\[ (3.9) \]

It coincides with the equation obtained as the linearization of equation (3.6). Due to Lemma 2.5 it has a unique solution \( \tilde{u}_0 \in E_{\mu} \) if \( a_0 > 2/h_0 \). Hence system
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(3.7), (3.8) also has a unique even solution \( \tilde{u} = \tilde{v} = \tilde{u}_0 \). Similar to Lemma 2.7 we can now conclude that the corresponding operator is invertible on the subspace of even functions. We can now formulate the existence theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( a_0 > 2/h_0 \), where \( h_0 \) is defined in Theorem 2.1. Then system (3.4), (3.5) has an even positive solution decaying at infinities for all \( \varepsilon \) sufficiently small.

The proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.8.
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