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We develop a generalized monotone method using coupled lower and upper solutions for Caputo fractional differential equations with periodic boundary conditions of order $q$, where $0 < q < 1$. We develop results which provide natural monotone sequences or intertwined monotone sequences which converge uniformly and monotonically to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions. However, these monotone iterates are solutions of linear initial value problems which are easier to compute.

1. Introduction

The study of fractional differential equations has acquired popularity in the last few decades due to its multiple applications, see [1–5] for more information. However, it was not until recently that a study on the existence of solutions by using upper and lower solutions, which is well established for ordinary differential equations in [6], has been done for fractional differential equations. See [3, 7–16] for recent work.

In this paper we recall a comparison theorem from [3] for a Caputo fractional differential equation of order $q$, $0 < q < 1$, with initial condition. We will use coupled lower and upper solutions combined with a generalized monotone method of initial value problems to prove the existence of coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions. The results developed provide natural sequences and intertwined sequences which converge uniformly and monotonically to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions. Instead of the usual approach as in [6, 17] where the iterates are solutions of linear periodic boundary
value problems we have used a generalized monotone method of initial value problems. This idea was presented in [18] for integrodifferential equations. The advantage of this method, compared to what was developed in [3, 15], is that it avoids computing the solution of the linear periodic boundary value problem using the Mittag-Leffler function at every step of the iterates. We also modify the comparison theorem which does not require the Hölder continuity condition as in [3].

2. Preliminary Definitions and Comparison Results

In this section we state some definitions and recall some results for a Caputo initial value problem which we need in our main results. Consider the initial value problem of the form:

\[
^cD^q u(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad u(0) = u_0. \tag{2.1}
\]

Here, \(^cD^q u(t)\) is the Caputo derivative of order \(n - 1 < q \leq n\) for \(t \in [a, b]\), which is defined in [1–3, 5] as

\[
^cD^q_a u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - q)} \int_a^t (t - s)^{n-q-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds,
\]

\[
^cD^q_b u(t) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - q)} \int_t^b (s-t)^{n-q-1} u^{(n)}(s) ds.
\]

In this paper we will denote \(^cD^q u(t) = ^cD^n u(t)\).

The relation between the Caputo fractional derivative and the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, \(D^q\), is given by

\[
^cD^q u(t) = D^q \left[ u(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{u^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (s-a)^k \right](t). \tag{2.3}
\]

Throughout this paper we consider the Caputo derivative of order \(q\), for \(0 < q < 1\) and \(t \in [0, 1]\). We start by showing some comparison results relative to initial value problems with the Caputo fractional derivative of order \(q\).

Lemma 2.1. Let \(m(t) \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})\). If there exists \(t_1 \in [0, T]\) such that \(m(t_1) = 0\) and \(m(t) \leq 0\) on \([0, T]\), then it follows that

\[
^cD^q m(t_1) \geq 0. \tag{2.4}
\]

Proof. Let \(t_1 \in [0, T]\), the using the relation (2.3) we have that

\[
^cD^q m(t_1) = D^q m(t_1) - \frac{m(0)}{\Gamma(1-q)} t^{-q} \geq D^q m(t_1). \tag{2.5}
\]
International Journal of Differential Equations

Since this lemma was proven in [8] for the Riemann Liouville derivative, we have that $D^q m(t_1) \geq 0$ implies $cD^q (m(t_1)) \geq 0$, and the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.2. In [3] they proved the above result by assuming that $m(t)$ is Hölder continuous of order $\lambda > q$. Although the proof is correct, it is not useful in the monotone method or any iterative method because we will not be able to prove that each of those iterates are Hölder continuous of order $\lambda > q$.

Now we are ready to establish the following comparison theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $J = [0,T]$, $f \in C[J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$, $v, w \in C^1[J, \mathbb{R}]$, and for $t \in J$ the following inequalities hold true,

\[
\begin{align*}
&cD^q v(t) \leq f(t, v(t)), && v(0) \leq u_0, \\
&cD^q w(t) \geq f(t, w(t)), && w(0) \geq u_0.
\end{align*}
\] (2.6)

Suppose further that $f(t, u)$ satisfies the following Lipschitz condition,

\[f(t,x) - f(t,y) \leq L(x - y), \quad \text{for } x \geq y \text{ and } L > 0,\] (2.7)

then $v(0) \leq w(0)$ implies that

\[v(t) \leq w(t), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T.\] (2.8)

Proof. Assume first without loss of generality that one of the inequalities in (2.6) is strict, say $cD^q v(t) < f(t, v(t))$, and $v_0 < w_0$, where $v(0) = v_0$ and $w(0) = w_0$. We will show that $v(t) < w(t)$ for $t \in J$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists $t_1$ such that $0 < t_1 \leq T$ for which

\[v(t_1) = w(t_1), \quad v(t) \leq w(t), \quad \text{for } t < t_1.\] (2.9)

Setting $m(t) = v(t) - w(t)$ it follows that $m(t_1) = 0$ and $m(t) \leq 0$ for $t < t_1$. Then by hypothesis and Lemma 2.1 we have that $cD^q m(t_1) \geq 0$. Thus

\[f(t_1, v(t_1)) - f(t_1, w(t_1)) = cD^q (v(t_1)) \geq cD^q (w(t_1)) \geq f(t_1, w(t_1)),\] (2.10)

which is a contradiction to the assumption $v(t_1) = w(t_1)$. Therefore $v(t) < w(t)$.

Now assume that the inequalities (2.6) are nonstrict. We will show that $v(t) \leq w(t)$.

Set $w_{\epsilon}(t) = w(t) + \epsilon \lambda(t)$, where $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda(t) = E_{\beta}(2Lt^q)$, where $E_{\beta}$ is the one parameter Mittag-Leffler function. This implies that $w_{\epsilon}(0) = w_0 + \epsilon > w_0$ and $w_{\epsilon}(t) > w(t)$ for $t \in (0,T)$.
Using (2.6) and the Lipschitz condition (2.7), we find that

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(t) &= \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(t) + \varepsilon\mathcal{D}^\alpha \lambda(t) \\
&\geq f(t, \psi(t)) + 2\varepsilon L \lambda(t) \\
&\geq f(t, \psi(t)) - \varepsilon L \lambda(t) + 2\varepsilon L \lambda(t) \\
&= f(t, \psi(t)) + \varepsilon L \lambda(t) \\
&> f(t, \psi(t)), \quad 0 < t \leq T.
\end{align*}
\]

Here we have utilized the fact that \(\lambda(t)\) is the solution of the initial value problem

\[
\mathcal{D}^\alpha \lambda(t) = 2L \lambda(t), \quad \lambda(0) = 1 > 0.
\]

Clearly there is no assumption on the growth of \(L > 0\). Applying now the result for strict inequalities to \(\psi(t), \psi(t)\), we get that \(\psi(t) < \psi(t)\) for \(t \in J\), for every \(\varepsilon > 0\) and consequently making \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we get that \(\psi(t) = \psi(t)\) for \(t \in J\). \(\square\)

The following corollary will be useful in our main results.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \(m \in C^1(J, \mathbb{R})\) be such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^\alpha m(t) &\leq L m(t), \\
m(0) &= m_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have from the previous theorem the estimate

\[
m(t) \leq m_0 E_q(L t^{\alpha}), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \text{ and } L > 0.
\]

The result of the above corollary is still true even if \(L = 0\), which we state separately and prove it.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let \(\mathcal{D}^\alpha m(t) \leq 0\) on \([0, T]\). Then \(m(t) \leq 0\), if \(m(0) \leq 0\).

**Proof.** By definition of \(\mathcal{D}^\alpha m(t)\) and by hypothesis,

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^\alpha m(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-q} m'(s) ds \\
&\leq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which implies that \(m'(t) \leq 0\) on \([0, T]\). Therefore, \(m(t) \leq m(0) \leq 0\) on \([0, T]\). \(\square\)

Note that the above result may not be true for the Riemann Liouville derivative.

We recall a comparison result from [3] for periodic boundary conditions. As in Theorem 2.3, the proof does not require Hölder continuity.
Theorem 2.6. Let \( J = [0, T] \), \( f \in C[J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}] \), \( v, w \in C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \), and for \( 0 < t \leq T \),

\[
\begin{align*}
{^C}D^q v(t) &\leq f(t, v(t)), \quad v(0) \leq v(T), \\
{^C}D^q w(t) &\geq f(t, w(t)), \quad w(0) \geq w(T).
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.16)

Suppose further that \( f(t, u) \) is strictly decreasing in \( u \) for each \( t \), then

\[ v(t) \leq w(t), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T. \]  

(2.17)

Proof. If the conclusion is not true; that is, if there exists \( t_0 \in [0, T] \) such that \( v(t_0) > w(t_0) \), then there exists an \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that

\[ v(t_0) = w(t_0) + \varepsilon, \quad v(t) \leq w(t) + \varepsilon, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_0 \leq T. \]  

(2.18)

Setting \( m(t) = v(t) - w(t) - \varepsilon \) we find that if \( t_0 \in (0, T) \), then

\[ m(t_0) = 0, \quad m(t) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } 0 < t \leq t_0 \leq T. \]  

(2.19)

By Lemma 2.1 we have that \( {^C}D^q m(t_0) \geq 0 \). Thus, \( {^C}D^q v(t_0) \geq {^C}D^q w(t_0) \). We now obtain by hypothesis and by the strictly decreasing nature of \( f(t, u) \) in \( u \),

\[ f(t_0, v(t_0)) \geq {^C}D^q v(t_0) \geq f(t_0, w(t_0)) > f(t_0, v(t_0)), \]  

(2.20)

which is a contradiction.

If \( t_0 = 0 \), then

\[ v(0) \geq v(0) = w(0) + \varepsilon \geq w(T) + \varepsilon, \]  

(2.21)

so \( v(T) > w(T) \), and by the above argument we also get a contradiction.

Hence \( v(t) \leq w(t) \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \) and the proof is complete.

Two important cases of this theorem are the following which are useful to prove the uniqueness of the solution of a Caputo fractional differential equation with periodic boundary conditions.

Corollary 2.7. Let \( m \in C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \) be such that

\[ {^C}D^q m(t) \leq -Mm(t), \]  

\[ m(0) \leq m(T), \]  

(2.22)

for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \) and \( M > 0 \). Then \( m(t) \leq 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).
Similarly, if

\[ cD^q m(t) \geq -Mm(t), \]
\[ m(0) \geq m(T), \]  \quad (2.23)

for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \) and \( M > 0 \). Then \( m(t) \geq 0 \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq T \).

**Remark 2.8.** It is to be noted that in the proof of these equivalent results from [3] we use Lemma 2.1 which does not require the Hölder continuity assumption.

A generalized monotone method for periodic boundary value problems was recently developed in [3, 15]. However it uses the approach established in [6] for ordinary differential equations where the iterates are solutions of the linear periodic boundary value problem,

\[ cD^q u(t) = -Mu(t) + h(t), \]
\[ u(0) = u(T), \]  \quad (2.24)

where \( M > 0 \) and \( h \in C[J, \mathbb{R}] \).

The explicit solution of this equation is given by

\[
    u(t) = \frac{E_q(Mt^q)}{1 - E_q(MT^q)} \int_0^T (T - s)^{q-1} E_{q,q} [M(T - s)^q] h(s) ds \\
    + \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} E_{q,q} [M(t - s)^q] h(s) ds,
\]

where \( E_q \) and \( E_{q,q} \) are Mittag-Leffler functions with one and two parameters, respectively.

This poses a problem to compute the linear iterates since it involves Mittag-Leffler functions. The advantage of our method is that it does not require the Mittag-Leffler function in our computations.

Pandit et al. used the initial value problem to obtain a generalized monotone method in [18] for nonlinear integrodifferential equations with periodic boundary conditions. In the next section we develop a monotone method using this idea.

### 3. Generalized Monotone Method for the Nonlinear Periodic Boundary Value Problem via Initial Value Problem

In this section, we will develop a generalized monotone method for the nonlinear periodic boundary value problem (3.2), given below, by using coupled upper and lower solutions and the corresponding initial value problem (2.1), where \( f \) does not depend on \( u \),

\[
    u(t) = u_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t - s)^{q-1} f(s) ds. \]  \quad (3.1)
For that purpose consider the nonlinear periodic boundary value problem of the form:

\[ ^cD^ju(t) = f(t, u(t)) + g(t, u(t)), \quad u(0) = u(T), \]

(3.2)

where \( f, g \in C[J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}] \) and \( u \in C^1[J \times \mathbb{R}] \).

If \( u \in C^1[0, T] \) satisfies the fractional differential equation

\[ ^cD^ju(t) = f(t, u(t)) + g(t, u(t)), \]

(3.3)

and \( u \) is such that \( u(0) = u(T) \) for \( t \in J \), then \( u \) is a periodic solution of (3.2).

Furthermore, throughout this paper, we will assume that \( f \) is increasing in \( u \) and \( g \) is decreasing in \( u \) for \( t \in J \).

Here below we provide the definition of coupled lower and upper solutions of (3.2).

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( v_0, w_0 \in C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \). Then \( v_0 \) and \( w_0 \) are said to be,

(i) natural lower and upper solutions of (3.2) if

\[ ^cD^jv_0(t) \leq f(t, v_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad v_0(0) \leq v_0(T), \]
\[ ^cD^jw_0(t) \geq f(t, w_0(t)) + g(t, w_0(t)), \quad w_0(0) \geq w_0(T); \]

(3.4)

(ii) coupled lower and upper solutions of Type I of (3.2) if

\[ ^cD^jv_0(t) \leq f(t, v_0(t)) + g(t, w_0(t)), \quad v_0(0) \leq v_0(T) \]
\[ ^cD^jw_0(t) \geq f(t, w_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad w_0(0) \geq w_0(T); \]

(3.5)

(iii) coupled lower and upper solutions of Type II of (3.2) if

\[ ^cD^jv_0(t) \leq f(t, w_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad v_0(0) \leq v_0(T), \]
\[ ^cD^jw_0(t) \geq f(t, v_0(t)) + g(t, w_0(t)), \quad w_0(0) \geq w_0(T); \]

(3.6)

(iv) coupled lower and upper solutions of Type III of (3.2) if,

\[ ^cD^jv_0(t) \leq f(t, w_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad v_0(0) \leq v_0(T), \]
\[ ^cD^jw_0(t) \geq f(t, v_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad w_0(0) \geq w_0(T). \]

(3.7)

We will state the following four theorems related to coupled lower and upper solutions of Type I and Type II, respectively. We develop the generalized monotone method for the periodic boundary value problem via the initial value problem approach. We obtain
natural sequences and intertwined sequences which converge uniformly and monotonically to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2).

In the next theorem, we use coupled lower and upper solutions of Type I and obtain natural sequences which converge uniformly and monotonically to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2).

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that

(A1) $v_0, w_0$ are coupled lower and upper solutions of Type I for (3.2) with $v_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq w_0(t)$ on $J$;

(A2) $f, g \in C[J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$, $f(t, u(t))$ is increasing in $u$ and $g(t, u(t))$ is decreasing in $u$.

Then the sequences defined by

\[
^cD^\alpha v_{n+1} = f(t, v_n) + g(t, w_n),
\]

\[
v_{n+1}(0) = v_n(T),
\]

\[
^cD^\alpha w_{n+1} = f(t, w_n) + g(t, v_n),
\]

\[
w_{n+1}(0) = w_n(T),
\]

are such that $v_n(t) \to \rho(t)$ and $w_n(t) \to r(t)$ in $C^1[J, \mathbb{R}]$ uniformly and monotonically, such that $\rho$ and $r$ are coupled minimal and maximal solutions of (3.2), respectively, provided that $v_0 \leq u \leq w_0$, where $u$ is any periodic solution of (3.2). That is, $\rho$ and $r$ satisfy the coupled system

\[
^cD^\alpha \rho = f(t, \rho) + g(t, r) \text{ on } J,
\]

\[
\rho(0) = \rho(T),
\]

\[
^cD^\alpha r = f(t, r) + g(t, \rho) \text{ on } J,
\]

\[
r(0) = r(T),
\]

such that $\rho \leq u \leq r$.

**Proof.** By hypothesis, $v_0 \leq u \leq w_0$. We will show that $v_0 \leq v_1 \leq u \leq w_1 \leq w_0$.

It follows from (3.5) that

\[
^cD^\alpha v_0(t) \leq f(t, v_0(t)) + g(t, w_0(t)), \quad v_0(0) \leq v_0(T),
\]

\[
^cD^\alpha w_0(t) \geq f(t, w_0(t)) + g(t, v_0(t)), \quad w_0(0) \geq w_0(T),
\]

and by (3.8), we get that

\[
^cD^\alpha v_1 = f(t, v_0) + g(t, w_0),
\]

\[
v_1(0) = v_0(T).
\]
Therefore, $v_0(0) \leq v_0(T) = v_1(0)$. If we let $p = v_0 - v_1$, then $p(0) \leq 0$ and,
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p & = \Delta v_0 - \Delta v_1 \\
& \leq f(t, v_0) + g(t, w_0) - f(t, v_0) - g(t, w_0) \\
& = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Since $\Delta p \leq 0$ and $p(0) \leq 0$, by Corollary 2.5 we have that $p(t) \leq 0$ and, consequently, $v_0(t) \leq v_1(t)$ on $J$. By a similar argument we can show that $v_1(t) \leq u, u \leq w_1(t)$, and $w_1(t) \leq w_0(t)$. Thus, $v_0 \leq v_1 \leq u \leq w_1 \leq w_0$.

Now we will show that $v_k \leq v_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Assume that
\[
v_{k-1} \leq v_k \leq u \leq w_k \leq w_{k-1},
\]
for $k > 1$.

Let $p = v_k - v_{k+1}$. Then
\[
v_k(0) = v_{k-1}(T) \leq v_k(T) = v_{k+1}(0),
\]
so $p(0) \leq 0$. By the increasing nature of $f$ and the decreasing nature of $g$ it follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta p & = \Delta v_k - \Delta v_{k+1} \\
& = f(t, v_{k-1}) + g(t, w_{k-1}) - f(t, v_k) - g(t, w_k) \\
& \leq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, by Corollary 2.5 we have that $p(t) \leq 0$ and consequently $v_k(t) \leq v_{k+1}(t)$.

By a similar argument we can show that $w_{k+1} \leq w_k$. Using the hypothesis that $v_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq w_0(t)$ on $J$, the above argument and induction we can show that $v_{k+1} \leq u \leq w_{k+1}$.

Therefore for $n > 1$,
\[
v_0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2 \leq \cdots \leq v_n \leq u \leq w_n \leq \cdots \leq w_2 \leq \cdots \leq w_1 \leq w_0.
\]

Now we have to show that the sequences converge uniformly. We will use Arzela-Ascoli theorem by showing that the sequences are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

First we show uniform boundedness. By hypothesis both $v_0(t)$ and $w_0(t)$ are bounded on $[0, T]$, then there exists $M > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$, $|v_0(t)| \leq M$, and $|w_0(t)| \leq M$. Since $v_0(t) \leq v_n(t) \leq w_0(t)$ for each $n > 0$, it follows that
\[
0 \leq v_n(t) - v_0(t) \leq w_0(t) - v_0(t),
\]
and consequently $\{v_n(t)\}$ is uniformly bounded. By a similar argument $\{w_n(t)\}$ is also uniformly bounded.
To prove that \( \{v_n(t)\} \) is equicontinuous, let 0 \( \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T \). Then for \( n > 0 \),

\[
|v_n(t_1) - v_n(t_2)| = \left| v_n(T) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{q-1} \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(s, w_n(s)) \right] ds \right. \\
- \left. v_n(T) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(s, w_n(s)) \right] ds \right|
\]

\[
= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \left[(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \right] \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(s, w_n(s)) \right] ds \right|
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(t, w_n(t)) \right] ds \right|
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \left|(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \right| \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(s, w_n(s)) \right] ds \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \left| f(s, v_n(s)) + g(t, w_n(t)) \right| ds.
\]

(3.19)

Since \( \{v_n(t)\} \) and \( \{w_n(t)\} \) are uniformly bounded and \( f(t, u(t)) \) and \( g(t, u(t)) \) are continuous on \([0, T]\), there exists \( \overline{M} \) independent of \( n \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \left|(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \right| \left[ f(s, v_n(s)) + g(s, w_n(s)) \right] ds \\
+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \left| f(s, v_n(s)) + g(t, w_n(t)) \right| ds \leq \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^{t_1} \left|(t_1 - s)^{q-1} - (t_2 - s)^{q-1} \right| ds \\
+ \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{q-1} ds.
\]

(3.20)

\[
= - \frac{\overline{M}}{q\Gamma(q)} (t_1 - s)^q \bigg|_0^{t_1} + \frac{\overline{M}}{q\Gamma(q)} (t_2 - s)^q \bigg|_0^{t_1} - \frac{\overline{M}}{q\Gamma(q)} (t_2 - s)^q \bigg|_{t_1}^{t_2} \\
= \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q+1)} t_1^q + \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q+1)} (t_2 - t_1)^q - \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q+1)} t_2^q + \frac{\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q+1)} (t_2 - t_1)^q \leq \frac{2\overline{M}}{\Gamma(q+1)} |t_1 - t_2|^q.
\]

Thus, for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) independent of \( n \) such that for each \( n \),

\[
|v_n(t_1) - v_n(t_2)| < \varepsilon,
\]

(3.21)

provided that \( |t_1 - t_2| < \delta \).
Similarly we can prove that \( \{w_n(t)\} \) is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded.

This proves that \( \{v_n(t)\} \) and \( \{w_n(t)\} \) are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on \([0,T]\). Hence by Arzela-Ascoli’s theorem there exist subsequences \( \{v_{n_k}(t)\} \) and \( \{w_{n_k}(t)\} \) which converge uniformly to \( \rho(t) \) and \( r(t) \), respectively. Since the sequences are monotone, the entire sequences converge uniformly.

We have shown that the sequences converge in \( C[0,T] \). In order to show that they converge in \( C^1[0,T] \), observe that since each \( v_n \) is constructed as follows

\[
^{c}D^q v_n = f(t,v_{n-1}) + g(t,w_{n-1}),
\]

\[
v_n(0) = v_{n-1}(T),
\]

we get that

\[
v_n(t) = v_{n-1}(T) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[ f(s,v_{n-1}(s)) + g(s,w_{n-1}(s)) \right] ds.
\]

Taking limits when \( n \to \infty \), we obtain by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem that

\[
\rho(t) = \rho(T) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{q-1} \left[ f(s,\rho(s)) + g(s,r(s)) \right] ds.
\]

Hence \( v_n(t) \to \rho(t) \) in \( C^1[0,T] \). Furthermore, the above expression is equivalent to

\[
^{c}D^q \rho = f(t,\rho) + g(t,r) \quad \text{on } J,
\]

\[
\rho(0) = \rho(T).
\]

By a similar argument \( w_n(t) \to r(t) \) in \( C^1[0,T] \) and it can be shown that

\[
^{c}D^q r = f(t,r) + g(t,\rho) \quad \text{on } J,
\]

\[
r(0) = r(T).
\]

Since \( v_n \leq u \leq w_n \) on \([0,T]\) for all \( n \), we get that \( \rho \leq u \leq r \) on \([0,T]\) which shows that \( \rho \) and \( r \) are minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2), respectively. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.3. In [3] the uniqueness is shown by making additional assumptions to Theorem 3.2 and using Corollary 2.7. However the iterates are solutions of the form (2.25). In our result, we have proved the existence of coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2), in particular if \( g \equiv 0 \) we get minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2).

The next result also uses coupled upper and lower solutions of Type I. However, we obtain intertwined sequences which converge to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2). The proof is similar to Theorem 3.2, hence we do not provide the proof.
Theorem 3.4. Assume that conditions (A1) and (A2) of Theorem 3.2 are true. Then the iterative scheme given by

\[ cD^q v_{n+1} = f(t, w_n) + g(t, v_n), \]
\[ v_{n+1}(0) = w_n(T), \]
\[ cD^q w_{n+1} = f(t, v_n) + g(t, w_n), \]
\[ w_{n+1}(0) = v_n(T), \]

(3.27)

give alternating monotone sequences \( \{v_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{v_{2n+1}, w_{2n}\} \) satisfying

\[ v_0(t) \leq w_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq v_{2n}(t) \leq w_{2n+1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_{2n+1}(t) \leq w_{2n}(t) \cdots v_1(t) \leq w_0(t), \]

(3.28)

for each \( n \geq 1 \) on \( J \), provided that \( v_0 \leq u \leq w_0 \).

Furthermore \( \{v_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\} \to \rho \) and \( \{v_{2n+1}, w_{2n}\} \to r \) in \( C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \), where \( \rho \) and \( r \) are coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of (3.2), respectively; that is, if \( v_0 \leq u \leq w_0 \) then \( \rho \leq u \leq r \), and \( \rho \) and \( r \) satisfy the coupled system

\[ cD^q \rho = f(t, \rho) + g(t, r) \text{ on } J, \]
\[ \rho(0) = \rho(T), \]
\[ cD^q r = f(t, r) + g(t, \rho) \text{ on } J, \]
\[ r(0) = r(T). \]

(3.29)

In the previous two theorems we assumed the existence of coupled lower and upper solutions of type I. We can state two more results involving coupled lower and upper solutions of Type II, however they require an additional assumption in order to obtain natural or intertwined sequences converging to coupled minimal and maximal periodic solutions of problem (3.2).

Theorem 3.5. Assume that

(A1) \( v_0 \) and \( w_0 \) are coupled lower and upper solutions of Type II for (3.2) with \( v_0(t) \leq w_0(t) \) on \( J \),

(B2) \( f, g \in C[J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}], f(t, u(t)) \) is increasing in \( u \), and \( g(t, u(t)) \) is decreasing in \( u \).

If \( u(t) \) is a solution of (3.2) such that \( v_0(t) \leq u(t) \leq w_0(t) \). Then the sequences defined by (3.27) give alternating monotone sequences \( \{v_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\} \) and \( \{v_{2n+1}, w_{2n}\} \) satisfying

\[ v_0(t) \leq w_1(t) \leq \cdots \leq v_{2n}(t) \leq w_{2n+1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq v_{2n+1}(t) \leq w_{2n}(t) \cdots v_1(t) \leq w_0(t), \]

(3.30)

for each \( n \geq 1 \) on \( J \), provided that \( v_0 \leq w_1 \leq u \leq v_1 \leq w_0 \).
Furthermore \( \{v_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow \rho \) and \( \{v_{2n+1}, w_{2n}\} \rightarrow r \) in \( C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \), where \( \rho \) and \( r \) are coupled minimal and maximal solutions of (3.2), respectively; that is, if \( u_0 \leq u \leq w_0 \) then \( \rho \leq u \leq r \), and \( \rho \) and \( r \) satisfy the coupled system

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^q \rho &= f(t, u) + g(t, r) \quad \text{on } J, \\
\rho(0) &= \rho(T), \\
\mathcal{D}^q r &= f(t, u) + g(t, \rho) \quad \text{on } J, \\
r(0) &= r(T).
\end{align*}
\] (3.31)

**Theorem 3.6.** Assume that conditions (B1) and (B2) of Theorem 3.5 are true. Then the sequences defined by (3.8) and (3.9) are such that \( v_n(t) \rightarrow \rho(t) \) and \( w_n(t) \rightarrow r(t) \) in \( C^1[J, \mathbb{R}] \) uniformly and monotonically, provided that \( u_0 \leq v_1 \leq u \leq w_1 \leq w_0 \), where \( \rho \) and \( r \) are coupled minimal and maximal solutions of (3.2), respectively; that is, \( \rho \leq u \leq r \) and \( \rho \) and \( r \) satisfy the coupled system

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^q \rho &= f(t, u) + g(t, r) \quad \text{on } J, \\
\rho(0) &= \rho(T), \\
\mathcal{D}^q r &= f(t, u) + g(t, \rho) \quad \text{on } J, \\
r(0) &= r(T).
\end{align*}
\] (3.32)

**Remark 3.7.** The proof of Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 follow on the same lines as Theorem 3.2. However, it is easy to compute coupled lower and upper solutions of Type II.

### 4. Numerical Examples

In this section we present some numerical examples which are application of Theorem 3.5.

**Example 4.1.** Consider the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}^{1/2} u(t) &= \frac{u}{3} - \frac{u^2}{3}, \\
u(0) &= u(1).
\end{align*}
\] (4.1)

Clearly \( u = 0 \) and \( u = 1 \) are solutions of the equation.

Since \( H(u) = u - u^2 \) is increasing in \( u \) for \( u \leq 0.5 \) and decreasing for \( u \geq 0.5 \), we let

\[
g(t, u) = \frac{u}{3} - \frac{u^2}{3},
\] (4.2)
and \( v_0 = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( w_0 = \frac{3}{2} \) be lower and upper solutions of type II, respectively, because

\[
0 = \,^{\frac{c}{2}}D^{1/2}v_0 \leq g(t, v_0) = \frac{v_0}{3} - \frac{v_0^2}{3} = \frac{1}{12},
\]

\[
0 = \,^{\frac{c}{2}}D^{1/2}w_0 \geq g(t, w_0) = \frac{w_0}{3} - \frac{w_0^2}{3} = -\frac{1}{4}.
\]

We construct our sequences according to Theorem 3.5 and show graphically in Figure 1 that \( \{w_{2n}, v_{2n+1}\} \to 1 \).

Example 4.2. Consider the problem

\[
\,^{\frac{c}{2}}D^{1/2}u(t) = \frac{u}{8} - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{t}{2},
\]

\[
u(0) = u(0.5).
\]

Since \( H(t, u) = (u/8) - (u^2/2) + (t/2) \) is increasing in \( u \) for \( u \leq 0.125 \) and decreasing for \( u \geq 0.125 \), we let

\[
g(t, u) = \frac{u}{8} - \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{t}{2},
\]

and \( v_0 = \frac{1}{8} \) and \( w_0 = 1 \) be lower and upper solutions of type II, respectively, because

\[
0 = \,^{\frac{c}{2}}D^{1/2}v_0 \leq g(t, v_0) = \frac{v_0}{8} - \frac{v_0^2}{2} + \frac{t}{2} \leq \frac{1}{128} + \frac{t}{2},
\]

\[
0 = \,^{\frac{c}{2}}D^{1/2}w_0 \geq g(t, w_0) = \frac{w_0}{8} - \frac{w_0^2}{2} + \frac{t}{2} = -\frac{3}{8} + \frac{t}{2},
\]

where \( 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \).
Using Theorem 3.5 we show in Figure 2 four steps of \{v_2n, w_{2n+1}\} and four steps of \{w_{2n}, v_{2n+1}\}. Observe that \{w_{2n}, v_{2n+1}\} converges more quickly to the periodic solution.

**Example 4.3.** Consider

\[ cD^{1/2}u(t) = -\frac{u^2}{2} + t(1 - t), \]
\[ u(0) = u(1). \]  

(4.7)

Since \( f(t, u) = -(u^2/2) + t(1 - t) \) is increasing in \( u \) for \( u \leq 0 \) and decreasing for \( u \geq 0 \),

\[ g(t, u) = -\frac{u^2}{2} + t(1 - t), \]  

(4.8)

and \( v_0 = 0 \) and \( w_0 = 1 \) are lower and upper solutions of type II, respectively, because

\[ 0 = cD^{1/2}v_0 \leq g(t, v_0) = -\frac{v_0^2}{2} + t(1 - t) = t(1 - t), \]
\[ 0 = cD^{1/2}w_0 \geq g(t, w_0) = -\frac{w_0^2}{2} + t(1 - t) = -\frac{1}{2} + t(1 - t), \]  

(4.9)

where \( 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \), which implies that \( 0 \leq t(1 - t) \leq 0.25 \).

Once again using Theorem 3.5 we computed in Figure 3 four steps of \{v_{2n}, w_{2n+1}\} and 4 steps of \{w_{2n}, v_{2n+1}\}. As in the previous examples \{w_{2n}, v_{2n+1}\} converges more quickly to the periodic solution.

**5. Concluding Remarks**

In our main results as well as in our numerical results we have not used the assumption needed to obtain unique solution of (3.2). If we make appropriate uniqueness assumption on
the nonlinear terms $f(t,u)$ and $g(t,u)$ then our linear iterates will require the computation of the Mittag-Leffler function. We plan to take up this work in the near future.
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