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ABSTRACT

The aim of the paper is to give two theorems about existence and uniqueness of continuous solutions for hyperbolic nonlinear differential problems with nonlocal conditions in bounded and unbounded domains. The results obtained in this paper can be applied in the theory of elasticity with better effect than analogous known results with classical initial conditions.
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1. INTRODUCTION.

In this paper, we prove two theorems on existence and uniqueness of solutions of differential problems with nonlinear hyperbolic equations and with nonlocal conditions. The differential problems considered here are of the following forms:

(1) \[ u_{xt}(x, t) = F(x, t, u(x, t), u_x(x, t)), (x, t) \in D, \]

(2) \[ u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)u(x, T_i) = \phi(x), x \in [0, a], \]

(3) \[ u(0, t) = \psi(t), t \in [0, T], \]

(4) \[ \psi(0) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(0, T_i)\psi(T_i) = \phi(0) \]

and

(1') \[ u_{xt}(x, t) = F(x, t, u(x, t), u_x(x, t)), (x, t) \in \Omega, \]

(2') \[ u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)u(x, T_i) = \phi(x), x \in [0, a], \]

(3') \[ u(0, t) = \psi(t), t \in [0, \infty), \]
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where $D := [0, a] \times [0, T]$, $\Omega := [0, a] \times [0, \infty)$, $T_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, p$) are finite numbers such that $0 < T_1 < T_2 < \ldots < T_p \leq T$ and $F, \phi, \psi, h_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, p$) are given functions satisfying some assumptions.

For arbitrary domain $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote by $C^{1,2}[\Delta, \mathbb{R}]$ the set of all continuous functions $w: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the derivatives $w_t, w_x$ and $w_{xx}$ are continuous in $\Delta$.

We seek unique solutions of problems (1) - (4) and (1') - (4') in the class $C^{1,2}[D, \mathbb{R}]$ and $C^{1,2}[\Omega, \mathbb{R}]$, respectively.

The results obtained in this paper are direct generalizations of Theorems 1 and 3 given by Chi, Poorkarimi, Wiener and Shah in [3] and include the results of those theorems. If the functions $h_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, p$) from condition (2) considered in the paper are identically equal zero then problems (1)-(4) and (1')-(4') from this paper are reduced to problems (1), (2) and (1), (7), respectively, from [3].

Theorems 1 and 2 from the paper can be applied in the theory of elasticity with better effect than analogous known theorems with classical initial conditions. Namely, the following sum:

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i) u(x, T_i)$$

for $x \in [0, a]$ is more precise to measurement of a state of a vibrating system than the only one measurement $u(x, 0)$ for $x \in [0, a]$ of the state of the vibrating system.

The results of this paper are also some generalizations of those given by Ladde, Lakshmikantham, and Vatsala [5] (see [5], Sections 5.3 and 5.4), and by Krzyzanski [4] (see [4], Section 77.2).

Nonlinear differential problems of parabolic type with nonlocal inequalities of type (2) and a physical interpretation of these inequalities were considered by the author in [1]. Condition (2) was introduced the first time for linear parabolic problems by Chabrowski in [2].

### 2. THEOREMS.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that

(i) $\phi \in C^1([0, a], \mathbb{R})$, $\psi \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})$, $h_i \in C^1(D, \mathbb{R})$ ($i = 1, \ldots, p$).

(ii) There is a constant $0 < K < \frac{1}{3p}$ such that

$$| h_i(x, t) | \leq K$$

and

$$| h_i(x, t) | \leq K$$

for $(x, t) \in D$ ($i = 1, \ldots, p$).

(iii) $F \in C(D \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and there is a positive constant $L$ such that $F$ satisfies the following Lipschitz condition
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\[ |F(x, t, z, q) - F(x, t, z', q')| \leq L(|z - z'| + |q - q'|) \]

for \( z, z', q, q' \in \mathbb{R} \) uniformly with respect to \((x, t) \in D\).

(iv) \( C \) is a positive constant such that \( C > \frac{L(a+1)}{1-3Kp} \).

Then problem (1)-(4) has a unique solution belonging to \( C^{1,2}[D, \mathbb{R}] \).

**Proof.** It is evident that if the function \( u \in C^{1,2}[D, \mathbb{R}] \) satisfies problem (1) - (4), then it also satisfies the integral differential equation

\[
(7) \quad u(x, t) = \phi(x) - \phi(0) + \psi(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)u(x, T_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(0, T_i)u(0, T_i) \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} F(\xi, \eta, u(\xi, \eta), u(\xi, \eta)) \, d\eta \, d\xi.
\]

Conversely, if the function \( u \in C^{1}(D, \mathbb{R}) \) and satisfies equation (7), then it has continuous derivative \( u_{xx} = u_{tt} \) in \( D \), satisfies equation (1) and, moreover, conditions (2) - (4). Therefore, we will seek the solution of equation (7). For this purpose we introduce the operator

\[
(8) \quad (Aw)(x, t) = \phi(x) - \phi(0) + \psi(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)w(x, T_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(0, T_i)w(0, T_i) \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} F(\xi, \eta, w(\xi, \eta), w(\xi, \eta)) \, d\eta \, d\xi
\]

on the space \( C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \).

We use a weighted norm in \( C^{1}(D, \mathbb{R}) \) (compare [3]) given by the formula

\[
\|w\| = \max_{(x, t) \in D} e^{-Ct}(|w(x, t)| + |w_x(x, t)|).
\]

Since \( \phi \in C^1([0, a], \mathbb{R}), \psi \in C^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}), h_i \in C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \) \((i=1, \ldots, p)\) and \( F \in C(D \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \), operator (8) maps \( C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \) into \( C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \).

Now, we will show that \( A \) is a contraction on \( C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \). To this end consider the difference

\[
(9) \quad (Aw)(x, t) - (Aw)(\tilde{x}, t) \\
= \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} [F(\xi, \eta, w(\xi, \eta), \tilde{w}(\xi, \eta), \tilde{w}_x(\xi, \eta)) - F(\xi, \eta, w(\xi, \eta), \tilde{w}(\xi, \eta), \tilde{w}_x(\xi, \eta))] \, d\eta \, d\xi \\
- \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)[w(x, T_i) - \tilde{w}(x, T_i)] + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(0, T_i)[w(0, T_i) - \tilde{w}(0, T_i)]
\]

for \( w, \tilde{w} \in C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \) and apply the Lipschitz condition (6). Then

\[
(10) \quad |(Aw)(x, t) - (Aw)(\tilde{x}, t)| \leq L \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} |w(\xi, \eta) - \tilde{w}(\xi, \eta)| + |w_x(\xi, \eta) - \tilde{w}_x(\xi, \eta)| \, d\eta \, d\xi \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} |h_i(x, T_i)||w(x, T_i) - \tilde{w}(x, T_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^{p} |h_i(0, T_i)||w(0, T_i) - \tilde{w}(0, T_i)|
\]

for \( w, \tilde{w} \in C^1(D, \mathbb{R}) \).

Next, consider the following difference
\[(Aw)(z, t) - (A\bar{w})(z, t)\]
\[= \int_0^t \left[ F(x, \eta, w(x, \eta), w_\alpha(x, \eta)) - F(x, \eta, \bar{w}(x, \eta), \bar{w}_\alpha(x, \eta)) \right] d\eta \]
\[-\sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x, T_i) \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} - \sum_{i=1}^P h_i(x, T_i) \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} \]

for \( w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}) \) and apply the Lipschitz condition (6). Then

\[(11) \quad \left| (Aw)(x, t) - (A\bar{w})(x, t) \right| \leq L \int_0^t \left( | w(x, \eta) - \bar{w}(x, \eta) | + | w_\alpha(x, \eta) - \bar{w}_\alpha(x, \eta) | \right) d\eta \]
\[+ \sum_{i=1}^P | h_i(x, T_i) | \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} + \sum_{i=1}^P | h_i(x, T_i) | \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} \]

for \( w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}) \).

Finally, by (5) and (9), we have

\[(12) \quad e^{-Ct} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^P | h_i(x, T_i) | \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} + | h_i(0, T_i) | \{ w(0, T_i) - \bar{w}(0, T_i) \} \right] \]
\[+ \left[ | h_i(x, T_i) | \{ w(x, T_i) - \bar{w}(x, T_i) \} + | h_i(x, T_i) | \{ w_\alpha(x, T_i) - \bar{w}_\alpha(x, T_i) \} \right] \]
\[\leq 3Kp \| w - \bar{w} \| \text{ for } w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}). \]

Simultaneously, from [3],

\[(13) \quad Le^{-Ct} \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \left( | w(x, \eta) - \bar{w}(x, \eta) | + | w_\alpha(x, \eta) - \bar{w}_\alpha(x, \eta) | \right) d\eta d\xi \]
\[+ Le^{-Ct} \int_0^t \int_0^\xi \left( | w(x, \eta) - \bar{w}(x, \eta) | + | w_\alpha(x, \eta) - \bar{w}_\alpha(x, \eta) | \right) d\eta \]
\[\leq \frac{L(a+1)}{C} \| w - \bar{w} \| \text{ for } w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}). \]

Therefore, by (10) - (13) and (9),

\[ \| Aw - A\bar{w} \| \leq \left( \frac{L(a+1)}{C} + 3Kp \right) \| w - \bar{w} \| \text{ for } w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}). \]

If we define \( q = \frac{L(a+1)}{C} + 3Kp \) then, by assumption (iv),

\[ \| Aw - A\bar{w} \| \leq q \| w - \bar{w} \| \text{ for } w, \bar{w} \in C^4(D, \mathbb{R}) \]

with \( 0 < q < 1 \). This shows that operator \( A \) is a contraction. Consequently, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that

\[ \phi \in C^4([0, a], \mathbb{R}), \psi \in C^4((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), h_i \in C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \ (i=1, \ldots, p). \]

\[ \text{(ii) There is a constant } 0 < K < \frac{1}{3p} \text{ such that} \]
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(14) \[ |h_i(x, t)| \leq K \text{ and } \|h_i(x, t)\| \leq K \text{ for } (x, t) \in \Omega \quad (i=1, \ldots, p). \]

(iii) \( F \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \) and there is a positive constant \( L \) such that \( F \) satisfies the following Lipschitz condition

(15) \[ |F(x, t, z, q) - F(x, t, \tilde{z}, \tilde{q})| \leq L(|z - \tilde{z}| + |q - \tilde{q}|) \]

for \( z, \tilde{z}, q, \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R} \) uniformly with respect to \( (x, t) \in \Omega \).

(iv) \( C \) is a positive constant such that \( C > \frac{L(a+1)}{1-3Kp} \).

(v) There are positive constants \( K_i \ (i=1, 2, 3) \) such that

\[ |\phi(x)| \leq K_1 e^{Ct}, \quad |\phi'(x)| \leq K_1 e^{Ct} \quad \text{for } x \in [0, a], \]

\[ |\psi(t)| \leq K_2 e^{Ct} \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \infty) \quad \text{and} \]

\[ |F(x, t, 0, 0)| \leq K_3 e^{Ct} \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega. \]

Then problem \((1^1) - (4^1)\) has a unique solution \( u \in C^{1,2}([\Omega, \mathbb{R}] \) satisfying the following condition

\[ \sup_{(x, t) \in \Omega} e^{-Ct} (|u(x, t)| + |u_x(x, t)|) < \infty. \]

\[ \text{Proof.} \quad \text{Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 1, we reduce problem } (1^1) - (4^1) \text{ to the integral differential equation } (7) \text{ and introduce operator } (8) \text{ on the space } C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \text{ with the norm} \]

(16) \[ \|w\| = \sup_{(x, t) \in \Omega} e^{-Ct} (|w(x, t)| + |w_x(x, t)|). \]

First, we will show that operator \((8)\) maps \( C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) into \( C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \). Here observe that

(17) \[ (Aw)(x, t) = \phi - \phi(0) + \psi(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i) w(x, T_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(0, T_i) w(0, T_i) \]

\[ + \int_0^t \int_0^t [F(\xi, \eta, w(\xi, \eta), w_x(\xi, \eta)) - F(\xi, \eta, 0, 0)] \, d\eta \, d\xi \]

\[ + \int_0^t \int_0^t F(\xi, \eta, 0, 0) \, d\eta \, d\xi \quad \text{for } w \in C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \]

and

(18) \[ [(Aw)(x, t)]_x = \phi'(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} [h_i(x, T_i)]_x w(x, T_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} h_i(x, T_i)[w(x, T_i)]_x \]

\[ + \int_0^t [F(x, \eta, w(x, \eta), w_x(x, \eta)) - F(x, \eta, 0, 0)] \, d\eta \]

\[ + \int_0^t F(x, \eta, 0, 0) \, d\eta \quad \text{for } w \in C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}). \]

Hence, from (17), (18) and (15),

(19) \[ |(Aw)(x, t)| + |[(Aw)(x, t)]_x| \leq H_1(x, t) + H_2(x, t) \quad \text{for } w \in C^4(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), \]

where
\[ H_1(x, t) = |\phi(x)| + |\phi(0)| + |\phi'(x)| + |\psi(t)| + L_0^t \int_0^t \left( |w(\xi, \eta)| + |w_\xi(\xi, \eta)| \right) d\eta d\xi + \int_0^t \int F(\xi, \eta, 0, 0) d\eta d\xi \\
+ L_0^t \left( |w(x, \eta)| + |w_x(x, \eta)| \right) d\eta + \int F(x, \eta, 0, 0) d\eta \]

\[ H_2(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^p |h_i(x, T_i)| \left| w(x, T_i) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^p |h_i(0, T_i)| \left| w(0, T_i) \right| \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^p \left| h_i(x, T_i) \right| \left| w(x, T_i) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^p \left| h_i(0, T_i) \left| w(x, T_i) \right| \right| . \]

By (21), (14) and (16),

\[ e^{-Ct} H_2(x, t) \leq 3Kp \| w \| \text{ for } w \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}). \]

Simultaneously, from [3],

\[ e^{-Ct} H_1(x, t) \leq K_1 + K_2 + \frac{K_3(a+1)}{C} + \frac{L(a+1)}{C} \| w \| \text{ for } w \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}). \]

Consequently, by (19) - (21), (23) and (16),

\[ \| Aw \| \leq K_1 + K_2 + \frac{K_3(a+1)}{C} + \left( \frac{L(a+1)}{C} + 3Kp \right) \| w \| \text{ for } w \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}). \]

This proves that operator (8) maps \( C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \) into \( C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) \). For the proof of contraction, we repeat the corresponding computations from Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 is therefore complete.
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