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Mixed Convection Flow over an Unsteady Stretching Surface in a Porous Medium with Heat Source
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This paper deals with the analysis of an unsteady mixed convection flow of a fluid saturated porous medium adjacent to heated/cooled semi-infinite stretching vertical sheet in the presence of heat source. The unsteadiness in the flow is caused by continuous stretching of the sheet and continuous increase in the surface temperature. We present the analytical and numerical solutions of the problem. The effects of emerging parameters on field quantities are examined and discussed.

1. Introduction

The study of flow and heat transfer over a continuous stretching sheet with a given temperature distribution has received much attention due to its applications in different fields of engineering and industry. The stretching and heating/cooling of the plate have a definite impact on the quality of the finished product. The modeling of the real processes is thus undertaken with the help of different stretching velocities and temperature distributions. Examples of such processes are the extrusion of polymers, aerodynamic extrusion of plastic sheets, and the condensation process of a metallic plate (cf. Altan et al. [1]; Fisher [2]). A few more examples of importance are heat-treated materials traveling between a feed roll and a wind-up roll or materials manufactured by extrusion, wire drawing, spinning of filaments, glass-fiber and paper production, cooling of metallic sheets or electronic chips, crystal growing, food processing, and so forth. A great deal of research in fluid mechanics is rightfully produced to model these problems and to provide analytical and numerical
results for a better understanding of the fluid behavior and an adequate explanation of the experiments.

Sakiadis [3] was first to present the boundary layer flow on a continuous moving surface in a viscous medium. Crane [4] was first to obtain an analytical solution for the steady stretching of the surface for viscous fluid. The heat transfer analysis for a stretching surface was studied by Erickson et al. [5], while heat and mass transfer for stretching surfaces was addressed by P. S. Gupta and A. S. Gupta [6]. Some of the research pertaining to the steady stretching is given in numerous references [7–16]. In these discussions, steady state stretching and heat transfer analyses have been undertaken.

In some cases the flow and heat transfer can be unsteady due to a sudden or oscillating stretching of the plates or by time-varying temperature distributions. Physically, it concerns the rate of cooling in the steady fabrication processes and the transient crossover to the steady state. These observations are generally investigated in the momentum and thermal boundary layer by assuming a steady part of the stretching velocity proportional to the distance from the edge and an unsteady part to the inverse of time (highlighting the cooling process). A similarity solution of the unsteady Navier-Stokes equations, of a thin liquid film on a stretching sheet, was considered by Wang [17]. Andersson et al. [18] extended this problem to heat transfer analysis for a power law fluid. Unsteady flow past a wall which starts to move impulsively has been presented by Pop and Na [19]. The heat transfer characteristics of the flow problem of Wang [17] were considered by Andersson et al. [18]. The effect of the unsteadiness parameter on heat transfer and flow field over a stretching surface with and without heat generation was considered by [21, 22], respectively. The numerical solutions of the boundary layer flow and heat transfer over an unsteady stretching vertical surface were presented by Ishak et al. [23, 24]. Some more works regarding unsteady stretching are reported and available [25–27].

It is sometimes physically interesting to examine the flow, thermal flow, and thermal characteristics of viscous fluids over a stretching sheet in a porous medium. For example, in the physical process of drawing a sheet from a slit of a container, it is tacitly assumed that only the fluid adhered to the sheet is moving but the porous matrix remains fixed to follow the usual assumption of fluid flow in a porous medium. Different models of the porous medium have been formulated, namely, the Darcy, Brinkman, Darcy Brinkman, and Forchheimer models. However, the Darcy Brinkman model is widely accepted as the most appropriate. Comprehensive reviews of the convection through a porous media have been addressed in the studies [28–35].

We all know that mixed convection is induced by the motion of a solid material (forced convection) and thermal buoyancy (natural convection). The buoyancy forces stemming from the heating or cooling of the continuous stretching sheets alter the flow and thermal fields and thereby the heat transfer characteristics of the manufacturing process. The combined forced and free convection in a boundary layer over continuous moving surfaces through an otherwise quiescent fluid have been investigated by many authors [36–43].

The introduction of a heat source/sink in the fluid is sometimes important because of sharp temperature distributions between solid boundaries and the ambient temperature that may influence the heat transfer analysis as reported by Vajravelu and Hadjinicolaou [44]. These sources can be generally space and temperature dependent.

 Keeping in view the importance of all that has been previously stated and the progress still needed in these areas, we address the problem of an unsteady mixed convection flow in a fluid saturated porous medium adjacent to a heated/cooled semi-infinite stretching vertical sheet with a heat source. We present an analytical and numerical solution to attain an
appropriate degree of confidence in both solutions. This paper has thus multiple objectives to meet. The presentation of a satisfactory analytical solution for unsteady stretching which can be used in future studies for unsteady problems, the introduction of a source/sink, and the consideration of a porous medium.

In mathematical terms, the governing coupled nonlinear differential equations are transformed into a nondimensional self-similar ordinary differential equation using the appropriate similarity variables. The transformed equations are then solved analytically and numerically using the perturbation method (with Padé approximation) and shooting method, respectively. Very good agreement has been seen. The effects of the emerging parameters are investigated on the field quantities with the help of graphs and the physical reasoning. A comparison is made with the existing literature to support the validity of our results.

2. Development of the Flow Problem

Consider an unsteady laminar mixed convection flow along a vertical stretched heated/cooled semi-infinite flat sheet. The sheet is assumed impermeable and immersed in a saturated porous medium satisfying the Darcy Brinkman model. At time $t = 0$, the sheet is stretched with the velocity $u_w(x,t)$ and raised to temperature $T_w(x,t)$. The geometry of the problem is shown in Figure 1.

Under these assumptions, using the boundary layer and Boussinesq approximations, the unsteady two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations and energy equation in the presence of heat source can be written as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \quad \text{(2.1)}$$
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \nu \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\nu}{K} u + g \beta (T - T_\infty),
\]
(2.2)

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha_m \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \frac{q''}{\rho c_p}.
\]
(2.3)

The appropriate boundary conditions of the problem are

\[
u = u_w(x, t), \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w(x, t), \quad \text{at } y = 0,
\]

\[u \to 0, \quad T \to T_\infty \quad \text{as } y \to \infty. \]
(2.4)

In the above equations \(u\) and \(v\) are the velocity components in the \(x\) and \(y\) directions, respectively, \(T\) is the fluid temperature inside the boundary layer, \(K\) is the permeability of the porous medium, \(t\) is time, \(\alpha_m\) and \(\nu\) are the thermal diffusivity and the kinematic viscosity, respectively. Where \(q''\) is the internal heat generation/absorption per unit volume. The value of \(q''\) is chosen as

\[
q'' = \frac{k_m u_w(x, t)}{xy} \left[ A^* (T_w - T_\infty) + B^* (T - T_\infty) \right],
\]
(2.5)

where \(A^*\) and \(B^*\) are space-dependent and temperature-dependent heat generation/absorption parameters and are positive for an internal heat source and negative for an internal heat sink. We assume that the stretching velocity \(u_w(x, t)\) and the surface temperature \(T_w(x, t)\) are

\[
u_w(x, t) = \frac{ax}{1 - ct},
\]

\[T_w(x, t) = T_\infty + \frac{bx}{(1 - ct)^2},
\]
(2.6)

where \(a > 0\) and \(c > 0\) are the constants having dimension time\(^{-1}\) such that \(ct < 1\). The constant \(b\) has a dimension temperature/length, with \(b > 0\) and \(b < 0\) corresponding to the assisting and opposing flows, respectively, and \(b = 0\) is for a forced convection limit (absence of buoyancy force).

Let us introduce stream function \(\psi\), similarity variable \(\eta\) and nondimensional temperature \(\theta\) as

\[
\psi = \left( \frac{\nu a}{1 - ct} \right)^{1/2} x f(\eta),
\]

\[
\theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty},
\]

\[
\eta = \left( \frac{a}{\nu (1 - ct)} \right)^{1/2} y.
\]
(2.7)
Figure 2: Variation of (a) skin friction coefficient (b) local Nusselt number with $\lambda$ for various values of unsteadiness parameter $\alpha$ when $Pr = 0.72, A^* = B^* = d = 0.1$.

Figure 3: Effect of unsteadiness parameter $\alpha$ for the case of $Pr = 0.72, \lambda = d = A^* = B^* = 0.1$ on (a) velocity distributions $f'(\eta)$ (b) temperature distributions $\theta(\eta)$. 
Figure 4: Effect of Prandtl number $\text{Pr}$ for the case of $\alpha = \lambda = d = A^* = B^* = 0.1$ on (a) velocity distributions $f' (\eta)$ (b) temperature distributions $\theta (\eta)$.

Figure 5: Effect of permeability parameter $d$ for the case of $\text{Pr} = 0.72, \alpha = \lambda = A^* = B^* = 0.1$ on (a) velocity distributions $f' (\eta)$, (b) temperature distributions $\theta (\eta)$.
Table 1: Comparison between analytical and numerical results for $f(\eta)$ and $\theta(\eta)$ when $Pr = 0.72, \ d = A^{*} = B^{*} = 0.1$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$f(\eta)$ $\alpha = 0$</th>
<th>$f(\eta)$ $\alpha = 0.1$</th>
<th>$\theta(\eta)$ $\alpha = 0$</th>
<th>$\theta(\eta)$ $\alpha = 0.1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.58647</td>
<td>0.58583</td>
<td>0.59846</td>
<td>0.59832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.34721</td>
<td>0.34589</td>
<td>0.36603</td>
<td>0.36717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.20676</td>
<td>0.20533</td>
<td>0.22722</td>
<td>0.23073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.12208</td>
<td>0.12092</td>
<td>0.14055</td>
<td>0.14680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.07283</td>
<td>0.07209</td>
<td>0.08792</td>
<td>0.09617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.04310</td>
<td>0.04278</td>
<td>0.05481</td>
<td>0.06386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.02497</td>
<td>0.02504</td>
<td>0.03385</td>
<td>0.04259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.01434</td>
<td>0.01473</td>
<td>0.02116</td>
<td>0.02883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.00827</td>
<td>0.00889</td>
<td>0.01368</td>
<td>0.01999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.00425</td>
<td>0.00507</td>
<td>0.00855</td>
<td>0.01326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.00208</td>
<td>0.00305</td>
<td>0.00570</td>
<td>0.00904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.00065</td>
<td>0.00175</td>
<td>0.00374</td>
<td>0.00575</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Values of $-\theta'(0)$ when $\alpha = \lambda = d = A^{*} = B^{*} = 0$ and comparison with previous work. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>Analytical</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.8086</td>
<td>0.8058</td>
<td>0.8161</td>
<td>0.8086</td>
<td>0.8086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.9237</td>
<td>1.9144</td>
<td>1.9237</td>
<td>1.9237</td>
<td>1.9234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>3.7207</td>
<td>3.7206</td>
<td>3.7202</td>
<td>3.7207</td>
<td>3.7207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The velocity components are defined by

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.2) and (2.3) we obtain

$$f'''' + f f'' - f'^2 - \alpha \left( f' + \frac{1}{2} \eta f'' \right) + \lambda \theta - df' = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{pr} (\theta'' + A^{*} f' + B^{*} \theta) + f \theta' - f' \theta - \alpha \left( 2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \eta \theta' \right) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

together with the boundary conditions

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad f'(\infty) = 0, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$
in which primes denote the differentiation with respect to \( \eta \), \( d = 1/D \), \( \alpha = c/a \) is the unsteadiness parameter and \( \Pr = \nu/\alpha_{m} \) is the Prandtl number. Further, \( \lambda \) is the buoyancy or mixed convection parameter defined as \( \lambda = Gr_{x}/Re_{x}^{2} \) where \( Gr_{x} = g\beta(T_{w} - T_{\infty})x^{3}/\nu^{2} \) and \( Re_{x} = u_{w}x/\nu \) are the local Grashof and Reynold numbers, \( D = Da_{x}Re_{x} \) where \( Da_{x} = K/x^{2} = K_{1}(1 - ct)/x^{2} \) is the local Darcy number and \( K_{1} \) is the initial permeability.

The physical quantities skin friction coefficient \( C_{f} \) and the local Nusselt number \( Nu_{x} \) are defined as

\[
C_{f} = \frac{2\tau_{w}}{\rho u_{w}}, \\
Nu_{x} = \frac{xq_{w}}{k(T_{w} - T_{\infty})},
\]

where the skin friction \( \tau_{w} \) and the heat transfer from the sheet \( q_{w} \) are given by

\[
\tau_{w} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \\
q_{w} = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0},
\]

with \( \mu \) and \( k \) being dynamic viscosity and thermal conductivity, respectively.

Using transformation on (2.7), we get

\[
\frac{1}{2} C_{f} Re_{x}^{1/2} = f''(0), \\
Nu Re_{x}^{1/2} = -\theta'(0).
\]
3. Solution of the Problem

3.1. Numerical Solution

Equations (2.9) and (2.10) can be expressed as

\[
f''' = -\left( f'f'' - f'^2 - a\left( f' + \frac{1}{2} \eta f''\right) + \lambda \theta - df'\right),
\]
\[
\theta'' = -\frac{1}{Pr} \left( A^* f' + B^* \theta\right) + Pr \left( f' \theta - f \theta'\right) + a\left( 2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \eta \theta'\right),
\]

and the corresponding boundary conditions are

\[
f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad f''(0) = \alpha_1, \quad \theta(0) = 1, \quad \theta'(0) = \alpha_2,
\]

where \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_2 \) are the missing initial conditions. These are determined by the shooting method in conjunction with implicit sixth order Runge-Kutta integration. The results obtained are discussed in Section 4.
3.2. Perturbation Solution for Small Parameter $\alpha$

We assume that both the mixed convection parameter $\lambda$ and the unsteadiness parameter $\alpha$ are small, and take $\lambda = m\varepsilon$ where $m = O(1)$ and $\alpha = \varepsilon$. Equations (2.9) and (2.10) yield

$$f''' + f'' - f'^2 - \varepsilon \left( f' + \frac{1}{2} \eta f'' \right) + m \varepsilon \theta - df' = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{Pr} (\theta'' + A^* f' + B^* \theta) + f \theta' - f' \theta - \varepsilon \left( 2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \eta \theta' \right) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Now expanding $f$ and $\theta$ in powers of $\varepsilon$

$$f(\eta) = \sum \varepsilon^n f_n(\eta),$$

$$\theta(\eta) = \sum \varepsilon^n \theta_n(\eta). \quad (3.4)$$
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the zeroth order system is given by

\[
f_0''' + f_0 f_0'' - f_0'^2 - d f_0' = 0 \tag{3.5}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \left( \theta_0'' + A^* f_0' + B^* \theta_0 \right) + f_0 \theta_0' - f_0' \theta_0 = 0, \tag{3.6}
\]

with

\[
f_0(0) = 0, \quad f_0'(0) = 1, \quad \theta_0(0) = 1, \tag{3.7}
\]

\[
f_0' (\infty) = 0, \quad \theta_0 (\infty) = 0.
\]

The exact solution of (3.5) is

\[
f_0 (\eta) = \frac{1}{c} \left( 1 - e^{-c\eta} \right), \quad \tag{3.8}
\]

where \( c = \sqrt{1 + d} \).

Substituting (3.8) in (3.6) and using Padé approximation, the temperature \( \theta_0 \) is

\[
\theta_0 (\eta) = \frac{1.0 + 0.60\eta + 0.21\eta^2}{1.0 + 1.23\eta + 0.71\eta^2 + 0.22\eta^3 + 0.04\eta^4 + 0.008\eta^5 + 0.001\eta^6}. \quad \tag{3.9}
\]

The first order system can be expressed as

\[
f_1''' + \frac{1}{c} \left( 1 - e^{-c\eta} \right) f_1'' - \left( d + 2e^{-c\eta} \right) f_1' - ce^{-c\eta} f_1 + m \theta_0 - e^{-c\eta} + \frac{1}{2} c \eta e^{-c\eta} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Pr} \theta_1'' + \frac{1}{c} \left( 1 - e^{-c\eta} \right) \theta_1' + \left( \frac{B^*}{Pr} - e^{-c\eta} \right) \theta_1 + \left( \frac{A^*}{Pr} - \theta_0 \right) f_1' + \left( f_1 - \frac{1}{2} \eta \right) \theta_0' - 2 \theta_0 = 0. \tag{3.10}
\]

The resulting expressions of \( f_1 \) and \( \theta_1 \) are

\[
f_1 (\eta) = 0.13\eta^2 - 0.27\eta^4 + 0.009\eta^5 - 0.001\eta^6 + 0.0002\eta^7,
\]

\[
\theta_1 (\eta) = \frac{-1.35\eta + 0.30\eta^2}{1.0 + 0.31\eta + 0.07\eta^2 + 0.03\eta^3}, \quad \tag{3.11}
\]

and finally the two term perturbation solutions of (3.3) are
for the steady state fluid flow, we take results is presented showing a very good agreement. To compare our results with the earlier local Nusselt number are discussed. In Table 1, comparison between analytical and numerical

\[ f(\eta) = f_0(\eta) + \epsilon f_1(\eta), \]

\[ \theta(\eta) = \theta_0(\eta) + \epsilon \theta_1(\eta), \] (3.12)

or

\[ f(\eta) = \frac{1}{c} (1 - e^{-c\eta}) + \epsilon \left( 0.13\eta^2 - 0.27\eta^4 + 0.009\eta^6 - 0.001\eta^8 + 0.0002\eta^7 \right), \]

\[ \theta(\eta) = \frac{1.0 + 0.60\eta + 0.21\eta^2}{1.0 + 1.23\eta + 0.71\eta^2 + 0.22\eta^3 + 0.04\eta^4 + 0.008\eta^5 + 0.001\eta^6} \]

\[ + \epsilon \left( \frac{-1.35\eta + 0.30\eta^2}{1.0 + 0.31\eta + 0.07\eta^2 + 0.03\eta^3} \right). \] (3.13)

4. Discussion

The effects of various physical parameters on the velocity, temperature, local skin friction, and local Nusselt number are discussed. In Table 1, comparison between analytical and numerical results is presented showing a very good agreement. To compare our results with the earlier work for the steady state fluid flow, we take \( \alpha = \lambda = \delta = A^* = B^* = 0 \) in (2.9). These results are compared with those given in [7, 9, 11, 24] in Table 2. In Tables 3 and 4, the skin friction coefficient and the Nusselt number, for various values of Pr and \( \delta \), are presented and compared with [23]. The comparisons made in Tables 2–4 make a perfect match. Henceforth, the results discussed in the following paragraph are due to the shooting method (Figures 2–7).

The variation of the skin friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number are shown in Figures 2(a) and 2(b). It is observed that there is an increase in the skin friction coefficient for an assisting buoyant flow (\( \lambda > 0 \)) and it is opposite for an opposing flow (\( \lambda < 0 \)). This is reasonable because one would expect the velocity to increase as the buoyancy force increases and the corresponding wall shears stress to increase as well. This in turn increases the skin friction coefficient and the heat transfer rate at the surface. The unsteady effects are shown by the variation of \( \alpha \) for fixed values of \( \lambda = 0.1, \) \( Pr = 0.72, \) \( \delta = 0.1, \) and \( A^* = B^* = 0.1 \) (see Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). It is seen that the horizontal velocity and the boundary layer decreases with the increase of \( \alpha \) which must be the case for decreasing wall velocity. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) represent the graph of velocity and temperature profiles for different increasing values of Prandtl number Pr. It is clearly seen that the effect of the Prandtl number Pr is to decrease the temperature throughout the boundary layer resulting in the decrease of the thermal boundary layer thickness. The effects of porous medium on flow velocity and temperature are realized through the permeability parameter \( (d = 1/D) \) as shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). It is obvious that an increase in porosity causes greater obstruction to the fluid flow, thus reducing the velocity and decreasing the temperature. It is well known that \( \lambda = 0 \) corresponds to pure forced convection and with an increase of \( \lambda \) the buoyancy force becomes stronger and the velocity profile of the fluid increases in the region near the surface of the sheet, which is evident from Figures 6(a) and 6(b). These figures also show that the fluid velocity increases while the temperature decreases with an increase of the mixed convection parameter \( \lambda \). Figures 7(a) and 7(b) describe the effects of heat source on temperature profile. It is revealed that there is an increase of temperature and the thermal boundary layer with the increase of the parameters \( A^* \) and \( B^* \). The sink naturally has the opposite effect.
5. Conclusions

The unsteady Darcy Brinkman mixed convection flow in a fluid saturated porous medium adjacent to a heated or cooled semi-infinite stretching surface in the presence of a heat source is investigated. Perturbation method with Padé approximation is used for the analytical solution and the shooting method for numerical solution reaching a good agreement between the two. A comparison is made with the earlier work to show the accuracy and reliability of our results. The effects of different parameters on the fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics are presented.

From these investigations the following conclusions are drawn.

(i) The Prandtl number $Pr$, permeability parameter $d$, and heat source/sink parameters $A^*$ and $B^*$ have significant effects whereas the unsteadiness parameter $\alpha$ and mixed convection parameter $\lambda$ have a little effect on the flow and temperature fields.

(ii) The skin friction coefficient increases for an assisting buoyant flow ($\lambda > 0$) and decreases for an opposing buoyant flow ($\lambda < 0$).

(iii) The horizontal velocity and the boundary layer thickness decrease as the unsteadiness parameter $\alpha$ increases.

(iv) The velocity and temperature decrease throughout the boundary layer with the increase of Prandtl number $Pr$.

(v) The velocity and temperature both decrease with an increase of the porosity of the medium.

(vi) The fluid velocity increases while the temperature decreases with the increase of the mixed convection parameter $\lambda$.

(vii) Temperature increases substantially with the increase of a heat source and decreases substantially for a heat sink.

All these observations are well supported by the physics and the boundary value problem at hand.
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