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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to obtain coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, convex linear combinations and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$. Furthermore partial sums $f_n(z)$ of functions $f(z)$ in the class $TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$ are considered and sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of $f(z)$ to $f_n(z)$ and $f'(z)$ to $f'_n(z)$ are determined.

1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Let $g \in A$ be given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k,$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f \ast g$ of $f$ and $g$ is defined (as usual) by

$$(f \ast g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k z^k = (g \ast f)(z).$$

Following Goodman ([6] and [7]), Ronning ([11 and [12]) introduced and studied the following subclasses:

(i) A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class $S_p(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\beta$-uniformly starlike functions if it satisfies the condition:

$$\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \quad (z \in U),$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\beta \geq 0$.
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(ii) A function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.1) is said to be in the class \( UCV(\alpha, \beta) \) of \( \beta \)-uniformly convex functions if it satisfies the condition:
\[
\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),
\]
where \(-1 \leq \alpha < 1 \) and \( \beta \geq 0 \).

It follows from (1.4) and (1.5) that
\[
f(z) \in UCV(\alpha, \beta) \iff zf'(z) \in S_p(\alpha, \beta).
\]

For \(-1 \leq \alpha < 1\), \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \) and \( \beta \geq 0 \), we let \( S(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) be the subclass of \( A \) consisting of functions \( f(z) \) of the form (1.1) and functions \( g(z) \) of the form (1.2) and satisfying the analytic criterion:
\[
\Re \left\{ \frac{z(f^2)'(z)}{(1 - \lambda)|f(z)|^2 + \lambda^2 |g(z)|^2} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z(f^2)'(z)}{(1 - \lambda)|f(z)|^2 + \lambda^2 |g(z)|^2} - 1 \right|.
\]

**Remark 1.** (i) Putting \( g(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z^2} \) in the class \( S(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \), we obtain the class \( S_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) defined by Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [10].

(ii) Putting \( g(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z^2} \) in the class \( UCV(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \), we obtain the class \( UCV(\alpha, \beta) \) defined by Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [10].

Let \( T \) denote the subclass of \( A \) consisting of functions of the form:
\[
f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0).
\]

Further, we define the class \( TS(\alpha, \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) by
\[
TS(\alpha, \lambda, \alpha, \beta) = S(\alpha, \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \cap T
\]

We note that:

(i) \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; 0, 1) = TS_p(\alpha) \) and \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; 0, 1) = UCT(\alpha) \) (see Bharati et al. [2]);

(ii) \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; \alpha, \beta) = TS_p(\alpha, \beta) \) and \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; \alpha, \beta) = UCT(\alpha, \beta) \) (see Bharati et al. [2]);

(iii) \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; 0, 0) = T^*(\alpha) \) and \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; \alpha, 0) = C(\alpha) \) (see Silverman [15]);

(iv) \( TS(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\frac{\alpha}{k-1} + z^k, 0; \alpha, \beta) = TS(\alpha, \beta) \) (c \( \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \) (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [8, 9]);

(v) \( TS(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^\alpha z^k, 0; \alpha, \beta) = TS(n, \alpha, \beta) \) (\( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), where \( \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots \} \) (see Rosy and Murugusundaramoorthy [13]);

(vi) \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, 0; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) = TS_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) and \( TS(\frac{z}{1 - z^2}, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) = UCT(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) (see Murugusundaramoorthy and Magesh [10]);

(vii) \( TS(\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k + \delta - 1) z^k, 0; \alpha, \beta) = D(\beta, \alpha, \delta) \) (\( \delta > -1 \)) (see Shams et al. [14]);
(viii) $TS(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 1 \cdot e^{-(k-1)}^n) z^k, 0; \alpha, \beta = TS_{b}(n, \alpha, \beta) \; (\delta \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see Aouf and Mostafa [1]).

Also we note that:

(i) $TS(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(\alpha) z^k, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{q,s}(\alpha_1; \lambda, \alpha, \beta)$

$$= \{ f \in T : \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{(H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}}{(1-\lambda)H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) + \lambda z (H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left\{ \frac{z^{(H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'}}{(1-\lambda)H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) + \lambda z (H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z))'} - 1 \right\},$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 0, \lambda' \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, z \in U$ and $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \cdots (\beta_s)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}} \quad (1.10)$$

$(\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, q; \beta_j > 0, j = 1, \ldots, s; q \leq s + 1, q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, where the operator $H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)$ was introduced and studied by Dziok and Srivastava (see [4] and [5]), which is a generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier;

(ii) $TS(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1 + \mu (k-1)}{k+1} \right] z^k, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{m,s}(\mu, \ell; \alpha, \beta)$

$$= \{ f \in T : \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{(I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z))'}}{(1-\lambda)I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z) + \lambda z (I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z))'} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left\{ \frac{z^{(I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z))'}}{(1-\lambda)I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z) + \lambda z (I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)f(z))'} - 1 \right\},$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mu, \ell \geq 0, z \in U$ and the operator $I^m_{m}(\mu, \ell)$ was defined by Catas et al. (see [3]), which is a generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier;

(iii) $TS(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C_k(b, \mu) z^k, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) = TS_{b}(\mu, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$

$$= \{ f \in T : \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z^{(J^b_{b}(f(z))')}}{(1-\lambda)J^b_{b}(f(z))' + \lambda z (J^b_{b}(f(z))')} - \alpha \right\} > \beta \left\{ \frac{z^{(J^b_{b}(f(z))')}}{(1-\lambda)J^b_{b}(f(z))' + \lambda z (J^b_{b}(f(z))')} - 1 \right\},$$

where $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \beta \geq 0, z \in U$ and $C_k(b, \mu)$ is defined by

$$C_k(b, \mu) = \left( \frac{\mu}{k} \right) \geq \left( \frac{b}{k + b} \right) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^{-}), \mathbb{Z}_0^{-} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.11)$$

where the operator $J^b_{b}$ was introduced by Srivastava and Attiya (see [18]), which is a generalization of many other linear operators considered earlier.

2. Coefficient estimates

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that, $-1 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, \beta \geq 0$ and $z \in U$.

**Theorem 1.** A function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) is in the class $S(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \right\} b_k |a_k| \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

where $b_{k+1} \geq b_k > 0 \; (k \geq 2)$.
Proof. Assume that the inequality (2.1) holds true. Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta \left| \frac{z (f * g)'(z)}{(1 - \lambda)(f * g)(z) + \lambda z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| & - \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{z (f * g)'(z)}{(1 - \lambda)(f * g)(z) + \lambda z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right\} \\
& \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z (f * g)'(z)}{(1 - \lambda)(f * g)(z) + \lambda z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right| \\
& \leq (1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda) (k - 1) b_k |a_k| z^{k-1} - \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\infty}{k=2} \left[ 1 + \lambda (k - 1) \right] b_k |a_k| z^{k-1}} \leq 1 - \alpha.
\end{align*}
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

**Theorem 2.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) to be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) is that

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \right] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]

(2.2)

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, we need only to prove the necessity. If \( f(z) \in TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) and \( z \) is real, then

\[
\frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \geq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda) (k - 1) a_k b_k z^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] a_k b_k z^{k-1}}.
\]

Letting \( z \to 1^- \) along the real axis, we obtain

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \right] a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

**Corollary 1.** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then

\[
a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{\left[ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \right] b_k}, \quad (k \geq 2).
\]

(2.3)

The result is sharp for the function

\[
f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{\left[ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \right] b_k} z^k, \quad (k \geq 2).
\]

(2.4)

By taking \( b_k = \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \), where \( \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) \) is defined by (1.10), in Theorem 2, we have:
Corollary 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) to be in the class \( T S_{g,\ell}(\alpha_1; \lambda, \alpha, \beta) \) is that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]

By taking \( b_k = \left( \frac{\ell + 1 + \mu(k-1)}{\ell + 1} \right)^m \) (\( m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \mu, \ell \geq 0 \)), in Theorem 2, we have:

Corollary 3. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) to be in the class \( T S(m, \mu, \ell, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) is that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} \left( \frac{\ell + 1 + \mu(k-1)}{\ell + 1} \right)^m a_k \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]

By taking \( b_k = C_k(b, \mu) \), where \( C_k(b, \mu) \) defined by (1.11), in Theorem 2, we have:

Corollary 4. A necessary and sufficient condition for the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) to be in the class \( T S(b, \mu, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) is that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} |C_k(b, \mu)| |a_k| \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]

3. Distortion theorem

Theorem 3. Let the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) be in the class \( T S(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then for \(|z| = r < 1\), we have
\[
|f(z)| \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2} r^2
\]
and
\[
|f(z)| \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2} r^2,
\]
provided that \( b_{k+1} \geq b_k > 0 \) (\( k \geq 2 \)). The equalities in (3.1) and (3.2) are attained for the function \( f(z) \) given by
\[
f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2} z^2,
\]
at \( z = r \) and \( z = re^{i(2k+1)\pi} \) (\( k \in \mathbb{Z} \)).

Proof. Since for \( k \geq 2 \),
\[
[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2 \leq \{ k (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} b_k,
\]
using Theorem 2, we have
\[
[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} \, a_k b_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad (3.4)
\]
that is, that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2}.
\]

(3.5)

From (1.8) and (3.5), we have
\[
|f(z)| \geq r - r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \geq r - \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2} r^2
\]
and
\[
|f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq r + \frac{1 - \alpha}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2} r^2.
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem 3. ■

**Theorem 4.** Let the function \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then for \( |z| = r < 1 \), we have
\[
|f'(z)| \geq r - 2 (1 - \alpha) \left[ \frac{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2}{r} \right]
\]
and
\[
|f'(z)| \leq r + 2 (1 - \alpha) \left[ \frac{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2}{r} \right],
\]
provided that \( b_{k+1} \geq b_k > 0 \) \((k \geq 2)\). The result is sharp for the function \( f(z) \) given by (3.3).

**Proof.** From Theorem 2 and (3.5), we have
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{2 (1 - \alpha)}{[2 + \beta - \alpha - \lambda (\alpha + \beta)] b_2},
\]
and the remaining part of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3. ■

**4. Convex linear combinations**

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \mu_v \geq 0 \) for \( v = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \) and \( \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \mu_v \leq 1 \). If the functions \( F_v(z) \) defined by
\[
F_v(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,v} z^k \quad (a_{k,v} \geq 0; \ v = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell),
\]
are in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) for every \( v = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \), then the function \( f(z) \) defined by
\[
f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \mu_v a_{k,v} \right) z^k
\]
is in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \).
Proof. Since \( F_\nu(z) \in TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \), it follows from Theorem 2 that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^\infty \{ k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} a_{k,\nu} b_k \leq 1 - \alpha, \tag{4.2}
\]
for every \( \nu = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell \). Hence
\[
\sum_{k=2}^\infty \{ k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^\ell \mu_\nu a_{k,\nu} \right) b_k \\
= \sum_{\nu=1}^\ell \mu_\nu \left( \sum_{k=2}^\infty \{ k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} a_{k,\nu} b_k \right) \\
\leq (1 - \alpha) \sum_{\nu=1}^\ell \mu_\nu \leq 1 - \alpha.
\]
By Theorem 2, it follows that \( f(z) \in TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). \( \blacksquare \)

Corollary 5. The class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) is closed under convex linear combinations.

Theorem 6. Let \( f_1(z) = z \) and
\[
f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]} b_k z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{4.3}
\]
Then \( f(z) \) is in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) if and only if it can be expressed in the form:
\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu_k f_k(z), \tag{4.4}
\]
where \( \mu_k \geq 0 \) and \( \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu_k = 1 \).

Proof. Assume that
\[
f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \mu_k f_k(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{1 - \alpha}{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]} b_k \mu_k z^k. \tag{4.5}
\]
Then it follows that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^\infty \frac{\{ k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)] \} b_k}{1 - \alpha} \mu_k \\
= \sum_{k=2}^\infty \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1. \tag{4.6}
\]
So, by Theorem 2, \( f(z) \in TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \).

Conversely, assume that the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) belongs to the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then
\[
a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]} b_k \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{4.7}
\]
Setting
\[ \mu_k = \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{1 - \alpha} a_k b_k \quad (k \geq 2), \]  
and
\[ \mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k, \]  
we can see that \( f(z) \) can be expressed in the form (4.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 6. \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.** The extreme points of the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) are the functions \( f_1(z) = z \) and
\[ f_k(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)]} b_k z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \]  

5. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

**Theorem 7.** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then \( f(z) \) is close-to-convex of order \( \rho \) \( (0 \leq \rho < 1) \) in \( |z| < r_1 \), where
\[ r_1 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] b_k}{k(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - 1}}. \]  
The result is sharp, with the extremal function \( f(z) \) given by (2.4).

**Proof.** We must show that
\[ |f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < r_1, \]
where \( r_1 \) is given by (5.1). Indeed we find from (1.8) that
\[ |f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}. \]

Thus \( |f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho \), if
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{k}{1 - \rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1. \]  

But, by Theorem 2, (5.2) will be true if
\[ \left( \frac{k}{1 - \rho} \right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] b_k}{k(1 - \alpha)}, \]
that is, if
\[ |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] b_k}{k(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - 1}} \quad (k \geq 2). \]  

Theorem 7 follows easily from (5.3). \( \square \)
Theorem 8. Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then \( f(z) \) is starlike of order \( \rho \) \((0 \leq \rho < 1)\) in \( |z| < r_2 \), where

\[
r_2 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) \{ k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \} b_k}{(k - \rho)(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - 1}}. \tag{5.4}
\]

The result is sharp, with the extremal function \( f(z) \) given by (2.4).

Proof. We must show that

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for} \quad |z| < r_2,
\]

where \( r_2 \) is given by (5.4). Indeed we find from (1.8) that

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k - 1) a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |z|^{k-1}}.
\]

Thus \( \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \), if

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(k - \rho) a_k |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \rho} \leq 1. \tag{5.5}
\]

But, by Theorem 2, (5.5) will be true if

\[
\frac{(k - \rho) |z|^{k-1}}{1 - \rho} \leq \left\{ \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)]}{1 - \alpha} \right\} b_k,
\]

that is, if

\[
|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) \{ k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \} b_k}{(k - \rho)(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - 1}} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{5.6}
\]

Theorem 8 follows easily from (5.6). \( \blacksquare \)

Corollary 7. Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \). Then \( f(z) \) is convex of order \( \rho \) \((0 \leq \rho < 1)\) in \( |z| < r_3 \), where

\[
r_3 = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \rho) \{ k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)[1 + \lambda(k - 1)] \} b_k}{k(k - \rho)(1 - \alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k - 1}}. \tag{5.7}
\]

The result is sharp, with the extremal function \( f(z) \) given by (2.4).
6. A family of integral operators

In view of Theorem 2, we see that \( z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k \) is in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) as long as \( 0 \leq d_k \leq a_k \) for all \( k \). In particular, we have:

**Theorem 9.** Let the function \( f(z) \) defined by (1.8) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \) and \( c \) be a real number such that \( c > -1 \). Then the function \( F(z) \) defined by

\[
F(z) = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) a_k \leq a_k \quad (k \geq 2),
\]

also belongs to the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \).

**Proof.** From the representation (6.1) of \( F(z) \), it follows that

\[
F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} d_k z^k,
\]

where \( d_k = \left(\frac{c+1}{c+k}\right) a_k \leq a_k \quad (k \geq 2) \).

On the other hand, the converse is not true. This leads to a radius of univalence result.

**Theorem 10.** Let the function \( F(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \) \( (a_k \geq 0) \) be in the class \( TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta) \), and let \( c \) be a real number such that \( c > -1 \). Then the function \( f(z) \) given by (6.1) is univalent in \( |z| < R^* \), where

\[
R^* = \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{(c+1)}{k} \left[ k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) \right] - \frac{c+k}{k(1-\alpha)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k-1}}.
\]

The result is sharp.

**Proof.** From (6.1), we have

\[
f(z) = z^{1-c} |z^c F(z)|^{1-1} = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+k}{c+1}\right) a_k z^k.
\]

In order to obtain the required result, it suffices to show that

\[
|f'(z) - 1| < 1 \quad \text{whenever} \quad |z| < R^*,
\]

where \( R^* \) is given by (6.2). Now

\[
|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1}.
\]

Thus \( |f'(z) - 1| < 1 \) if

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(c+k)}{(c+1)} a_k |z|^{k-1} < 1.
\]
But Theorem 2 confirms that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]}{1 - \alpha} a_k b_k \leq 1. \tag{6.4}
\]
Hence (6.3) will be satisfied if
\[
\frac{k(c+k)}{(k+1)} |z|^{k-1} < \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]}{k(c+k) (1 - \alpha)} b_k,
\]
that is, if
\[
|z| < \left( \frac{(c+1) \{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]\} b_k}{k(c+k) (1 - \alpha)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{6.5}
\]
Therefore, the function \(f(z)\) given by (6.1) is univalent in \(|z| < R^*\). Sharpness of the result follows if we take
\[
f(z) = z - \frac{(c+k)(1-\alpha)}{(c+1)\{k(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]\} b_k} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{6.6}
\]

7. Partial sums

Following the earlier works by Silverman [16] and Siliva [17] on partial sums of analytic functions, we consider in this section partial sums of functions in the class \(TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)\) and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of \(f(z)\) to \(f_n(z)\) and \(f'(z)\) to \(f'_n(z)\).

**Theorem 11.** Define the partial sums \(f_1(z)\) and \(f_n(z)\) by
\[
f_1(z) = z \quad \text{and} \quad f_n(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z^k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).
\]

Let \(f(z) \in TS(g, \lambda; \alpha, \beta)\) be given by (1.8) and satisfy condition (2.2) and
\[
c_k \geq \begin{cases} 1, & k = 2, 3, \ldots, n, \\ c_{n+1}, & k = n+1, n+2, \ldots, \end{cases} \tag{7.1}
\]
where, for convenience,
\[
c_k = \frac{k(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) [1 + \lambda (k - 1)]}{1 - \alpha} b_k. \tag{7.2}
\]

Then
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} \right\} > 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \quad (z \in U; n \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{7.3}
\]
and
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}}. \tag{7.4}
\]
Proof. For the coefficients $c_k$ given by (7.2) it is not difficult to verify that
\[ c_{k+1} > c_k > 1. \] (7.5)

Therefore we have
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k a_k \leq 1. \] (7.6)

By setting
\[ g_1(z) = c_{n+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right\} = 1 + \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k z^{k-1}}, \] (7.7)

and applying (7.6), we find that
\[ \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k - c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k}. \] (7.8)

Now \[ \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \]
if
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq 1. \]

From condition (2.2), it is sufficient to show that
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k + c_{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k a_k \]

which is equivalent to
\[ \sum_{k=2}^{n} (c_k - 1) a_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (c_k - c_{n+1}) a_k \geq 0 \] (7.9)

which readily yields the assertion (7.3) of Theorem 11. In order to see that
\[ f(z) = z + \frac{z^{n+1}}{c_{n+1}} \] (7.10)
gives sharp result, we observe that for $z = r e^{i\pi}$ that \[ \frac{f(z)}{f_n(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^n}{c_{n+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{c_{n+1}} \]
as $z \rightarrow 1^-$. Similarly, if we take
\[ g_2(z) = (1 + c_{n+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_n(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{(1 + c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}, \] (7.11)
and making use of (7.6), we can deduce that
\[
\left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} a_k - (1 - c_{n+1}) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k}
\]
(7.12)
which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.4) of Theorem 11.

The bound in (7.4) is sharp for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) with the extremal function \( f(z) \) given by (7.10). The proof of Theorem 11 is thus completed.

**Theorem 12.** If \( f(z) \) of the form (1.8) satisfies condition (2.2), then
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f_n'(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{n + 1}{c_{n+1}},
\]
(7.13)
and
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{f_n'(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{c_{n+1}}{n + 1 + c_{n+1}}
\]
(7.14)
where \( c_k \) is defined by (7.2) and satisfies the condition
\[
c_k \geq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  k, & k = 2, 3, \ldots, n, \\
  \frac{k}{n+1}, & k = n + 1, n + 2, \ldots
\end{array} \right.
\]
(7.15)
The results are sharp with the function \( f(z) \) given by (7.10).

**Proof.** By setting
\[
g(z) = \frac{c_{n+1}}{n + 1} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{f_n'(z)} - \left( 1 - \frac{n + 1}{c_{n+1}} \right) \right)
\]
\[
= 1 + \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k z^{k-1}}
\]
\[
= 1 + \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k z^{k-1}},
\]
(7.16)
we obtain
\[
\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n + 1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k}.
\]
(7.17)
Now \( \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \) if
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n + 1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq 1,
\]
(7.18)
since the left-hand side of (7.18) is bounded above by \( \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k a_k \) if

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{n} (c_k - k) a_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left( c_k - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} k \right) a_k \geq 0
\]  

(7.19)

and the proof of (7.13) is completed.

To prove result (7.14), define the function \( g(z) \) by

\[
g(z) = \left( \frac{n+1 + c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \left\{ \frac{f'_n(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1 + c_{n+1}} \right\} = 1 - \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}},
\]

and making use of (7.19), we deduce that

\[
\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left( 1 + \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^{n} k a_k - \left( 1 - \frac{c_{n+1}}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k a_k} \leq 1,
\]

which leads us immediately to the assertion (7.14) of Theorem 12.
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