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Abstract

We introduce a homology surgery problem in dimension 3 which has the property that the vanishing of its algebraic obstruction leads to a canonical class of algebraically-split links in 3-manifolds with fundamental group \( \pi_1 \). Using this class of links, we define a theory of finite type invariants of 3-manifolds in such a way that invariants of degree 0 are precisely those of conventional algebraic topology and surgery theory. When finite type invariants are reformulated in terms of clovers, we deduce upper bounds for the number of invariants in terms of \( \{ \text{decorated trivalent graphs} \} \). We also consider an associated notion of surgery equivalence of algebraically split links and prove a classification theorem using a generalization of Milnor’s \( \{ \text{invariants} \} \) to this class of links.
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1 Introduction

In this paper we take a new approach to the role of finite-type invariants in 3-manifold topology. Our approach is to subdivide the collection of 3-manifold invariants into three increasingly delicate classes.

1. The invariants of classical algebraic topology, which we take to mean invariants of homology type in the strongest sense.

2. Surgery-theoretic invariants.

3. Invariants which we consider to be of finite-type.

In this spirit we start with a base manifold $N$ and then consider all manifolds which are homology equivalent to it, over $\text{Hom}_1(N)$. This puts us in a (homology) surgery-theoretic framework with a resulting Witt-type invariant. The vanishing of this invariant then restricts us to a class of manifolds which can be constructed from $N$ by surgery on framed links in $N$ which are algebraically split in a suitable sense. We can, within this class, define a notion of finite-type invariant analogous to earlier notions which were considered, most effectively, for the class of homology spheres (corresponding to $N = S^3$).

A natural question to ask is whether this class of manifolds, and the notion of finite-type, depends only on the homology equivalence class of $N$. We make some progress toward an affirmative answer.

We then give a reformulation of this finite-type theory in terms of what has been recently called Y-graphs (see [6]) or claspers (see [7]) or clovers (see [3]). This will enable us to deduce upper bounds for the number of invariants of a given degree from the number of decorated trivalent graphs of that degree.

Finally we consider a notion of surgery equivalence for algebraically split links in a general 3-manifold, which is closely related to our finite-type theory, generalizing the relation between classical surgery equivalence in $S^3$ and finite-type theory in homology spheres, as explained in [4]. We then define $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}]$-valued triple Milnor invariants and show that they classify surgery equivalence, generalizing [9]. We also give a direct proof that concordant links are surgery equivalent.

2 A surgery problem

Throughout this paper, all manifolds will be smooth and oriented and all maps will be orientation preserving. Let $N$ be a closed 3-manifold. Consider degree
1 maps \( f : M \to N \), where \( M \) is also a closed oriented 3-manifold. Then the induced \( f : \pi_1(M) \to \pi_1(N) \) is onto and we can consider the induced homomorphism \( f : H_1(M) \to H_1(N) \), where \( \pi(M) \) indicates the coverings. We will say that \( f \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalence if \( f \) (on \( H_1(M) \)) is an isomorphism and \( f \) is degree 1. Since \( H_1(M) = 0 \) and \( H_1(N) = \ker f \) (on \( H_1(M) \)), it follows by Poincare duality (see [15, Lemma 2.2]) that this is equivalent to the condition that \( \ker f \) is a perfect subgroup. Given another \( \mathbb{Z} \)-homology equivalence \( f^0 : M^0 \to N \), we say they are di homeomorphically equivalent if there exists a di homeomorphism \( g : M \to M^0 \) such that \( f \) is homotopic to \( f^0 \).

Our goal in this section is to define a (homology) surgery obstruction map and its relatives \( s_0 \) and \( s \) which fit in the following commutative diagram:

**Theorem 1**

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_0(N) & \longrightarrow & H(N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{W}_s(\ ) & \longrightarrow & B( )
\end{array}
\]

**2.1 Algebraic preliminaries**

In this section we define the semigroups of equivalence classes of matrices \( \mathcal{W}(\ ) ; \mathcal{W}_s(\ ) \) and \( B( ) \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \) that appear in Theorem 1. These are mild variations of Witt-type constructions, motivated entirely by the geometric results of Section 2.2.

The group-ring \( \mathbb{Z} \) has an involution defined by \( ng = ng^{-1} \) for \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( g \in \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( A \) be a Hermitian matrix over \( \mathbb{Z} \), i.e., one that satisfies \( A^t = A \), where \( ^t \) denotes the transpose. Two Hermitian matrices \( A ; B \) are congruent if there exists a non-singular matrix \( P \) such that \( B = PAP^t \). We say that a Hermitian matrix \( A \) is almost even if for every \( g \) with \( g^2 = 1 \) but \( g \neq 1 \), the coefficient of \( g \) in any diagonal entry of \( A \) is even. Note that if \( A \) is nonsingular and almost even, then \( A^{-1} \). Also, any matrix congruent to \( A \) is almost even. Given two Hermitian matrices \( A ; B \), we will say that they are stably congruent.
if there exist unidiagonal matrices $S_i$ such that the block sums $A \cdot S_1$ and $B \cdot S_2$ are congruent. A unidiagonal matrix is a diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal entries are 1. Let $\hat{W}(\ )$ denote the set of stable congruence classes of non-singular almost even matrices.

**Proposition 2.1** $\hat{W}(\ )$ is an abelian group under block sum.

**Proof** We need to show that $A \sim -A$ is stably congruent to a unidiagonal matrix. In fact $A \sim -A$ is congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ I & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is congruent to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ D & A^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where $D$ is a diagonal matrix all of whose entries are 0 or 1. But this is congruent to some unidiagonal matrix.

**Remark 2.2** The proof shows that any metabolic non-singular almost even matrix is trivial in $\hat{W}(\ )$. A metabolic matrix is one which is congruent to a matrix of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & X \end{pmatrix}$, for some $X$.

**Remark 2.3** As a variation on this we can define $A;B$ to be simple stably congruent if $A S_1 = P (B S_2) P^t$, for some non-singular elementary matrix $P$. An elementary matrix is a product of matrices, each of which differs from the identity matrix in one of the two following ways: (i) there is a single non-zero off-diagonal entry, or (ii) one of the diagonal entries is replaced by $g$, for some $g \neq 1$. If we then define $\hat{W}_s(\ )$ to be the set of simple stable congruence classes of elementary non-singular almost even matrices, the same proof shows that $\hat{W}_s(\ )$ is a group. There is an obvious homomorphism $\hat{W}_s(\ ) \to \hat{W}(\ )$.

Let $B(\ )$ denote the set of simple stable congruence classes of almost even non-singular Hermitian matrices. This is a semigroup under block sum but is not a group since the proof of Proposition 2.1, showing $-A$ is an inverse for $A$ under stable congruence, only works if $A$ is elementary|see Remark 2.3. There is an obvious inclusion $\hat{W}_s(\ ) \to B(\ )$ and epimorphism $B(\ ) \to \hat{W}(\ )$ whose composition $\hat{W}_s(\ ) \to B(\ ) \to \hat{W}(\ )$ agrees with the map of Remark 2.3.

### 2.2 Surgery and a link description of $H(N)$

It is well-known that the set of closed 3-manifolds can be identified with the set of framed links in $S^3$ modulo an explicit (Kirby) equivalence relation discussed below. The first goal of this section is to give a similar link description of the set $H(N)$. The surgery obstruction maps $\delta_0$ and $\delta_1$ will then be obtained by considering linking matrices of appropriate classes of links.
Lemma 2.4 If \( f: M \to N \) is a degree 1 map, then one can adjoin handles of index 2 to \( N \) to obtain a compact 4-manifold \( V \) with \( @V - N = M \), and extend \( f \) to a map \( F: V \to N \) so that \( F|_N = \text{identity} \).

Proof \( f \) represents an element in \( \Omega_3(N) = H_3(N) \) and so its bordism class is determined by the degree of \( f \). It follows that \( f \) is bordant to the identity map of \( N \). This gives us the manifold \( V \) and map \( F \) without the desired handlebody structure. We must eliminate the handles of index not equal to 2.

First of all we can eliminate handles of index 0 and 4 in the usual way. Now a handle of index 1 represents a boundary connect sum with \( S^1 \times D^3 \). We can replace this with \( D^2 \times S^2 \), thereby changing \( V \). The only problem is how to replace the map \( F \) on this altered piece. Since \( F \) is a retract of \( N \), \( F|_{S^1 \times D^3} \) represents an element of \( F_1(N) \). By sliding one foot of this 1-handle around a representative of this element in \( V \) we can arrange that \( F|_{S^1 \times D^3} \) is null-homotopic. Thus, after replacing this 1-handle with a 2-handle, we can also replace \( F \). To get rid of the 3-handles we regard them as 1-handles on \( M \) and apply the same argument since \( F|_1(M) \) is onto.

Consider a manifold \( V \) as in Lemma 2.4 and a choice of 2-handles. These are attached along a framed link \( L \subset N \). Since \( F|_N = \text{id} \), the components of \( L \) are null-homotopic. Conversely, given a framed null-homotopic link \( L \) in \( N \) we can construct \( V \) and then extend the identity map of \( N \) over \( V \) to obtain a degree 1 map \( f: N \to N \), where \( N_L \) denotes the result of surgery on \( L \). The only indeterminacy in this construction is the choice of the extension \( F \). There is no indeterminacy if we assume that \( N \) is prime, i.e. \( 2(N) = 0 \).

In case \( N \) is not prime we proceed as follows. Consider the set \( \text{Deg}(N) \) of all diffeomorphism classes of degree 1 maps \( f: M \to N \). We introduce an equivalence relation \( \sim \) in \( \text{Deg}(N) \) generated by the following modification of a map \( f: M \to N \). Let \( K \subset M \) be a framed knot representing an element in \( \text{Ker} f : 1(M) \to 1(N) = 0 \) and let \( (S^2; \; ) \) be any map. The framing of \( K \) identifies a neighborhood \( U \) of \( K \) with \( S^1 \times D^2 \) and we can assume that \( f(U) = x_0 \). Now define \( f: M \to N \) by \( f|_N - U = f|N - U \) and \( f|U \) is given by the composition

\[
S^1 \times D^2 \xrightarrow{p_2} D^2 \xrightarrow{!} S^2 \xrightarrow{!} N
\]

where \( p_2 \) is projection on the second factor and \( ! \) is the identification map \( (D^2; S^1) ! (S^2, ) \).
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The above discussion gives a well-defined onto map \( L(N) ! \mathcal{G}eg(N) \) of the set \( L(N) \) of framed, nullhomotopic links\(^1\) in \( N \) to the set \( \mathcal{G}eg(N) \) of equivalence classes of \( \text{Deg}(N) \). This map is not one-to-one, since surgery on nonisotopic links may correspond to the same element of \( \mathcal{G}eg(N) \). Recall that two framed links \( L; L_0 \in N \) are Kirby equivalent (which we denote by \( L \simeq L_0 \)) if \( N_L \) is diffeomorphic to \( N_{L_0} \). Fenn-Rourke [1, Theorem 8] showed that Kirby equivalence is generated by three moves (and their inverses) on framed links:

(i) Adding a trivial knot with a \( 1 \) framing in a ball disjoint from the rest of the link.

(ii) Replacing a component with a connected sum of that component with a push-out of another component, suitably framed.

(iii) Adding a knot with arbitrary framing together with a meridian of it with \( 0 \) framing.

In a less advertised part of their paper, Fenn-Rourke considered the equivalence relation \( \circ \) on framed links generated by moves (i) and (ii) alone, see [1, Theorem 6], which is related to surgery on maps rather than surgery on spaces. It is easy to see that \( \circ \) preserves the class of nullhomotopic links in \( N \) and respects the map \( L(N) ! \mathcal{G}eg(N) \). A converse is given by consequence of a not-so-well known theorem of Fenn-Rourke [1].

**Proposition 2.5** The map \( L(N) \equiv \mathcal{G}eg(N) \) is one-to-one and onto.

**Proof** This follows from [1, Theorem 6]. The isomorphism \( i \) in diagram (\( \text{Diag. } 16 \)) of [1] is determined, since the maps \( 1(M) ! 1(W(L_i)) \) are isomorphisms. The commutativity of (\( \text{Diag. } 16 \)) corresponds to the diffeomorphism equivalence of the pairs \( (N; f) \).

Given a framed link \( L \in \text{Fr} L(N) \), we can define a linking matrix. For each component \( L_i \) of \( L \) choose a lift \( \tilde{E}_i \) in \( \tilde{N} \), the universal cover of \( N \). Then we have linking numbers \( \text{lk}(g \tilde{E}_i; h \tilde{E}_j) \) for any \( g, h 

\[ (\text{Diag. } 16) \]

\( i \) Not to be confused with the notion of nullhomotopic links in the sense of Milnor.
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Proposition 2.6  The linking matrix $A$ is almost even. If $A$ is any almost even Hermitian matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$ then there is a framed link in $N$ with null-homotopic components whose linking matrix is $A$.

Proof  Let $S$ be a surface in $\mathbb{R}^4$ bounded by $E_i$. If $g$ is a 2-generator with $g^2 = 1$ but $g \not= 1$, then the coefficient of $g$ in $(L_i; L_i)$ is the intersection number $S gE_i$. Consider the intersection $X = S \setminus gS$. This is a collection of loops and arcs in $S$. $(L_i; L_i)$ can be computed by counting up (with sign) the number of points of $X \setminus gS$. Now $X$ is invariant under the action of $g$ and any arc of $X$ with exactly one point on $gS$ is sent by $g$ to another such arc in $X$. Since the action of $g$ is free this means there are an even number of such arcs.

To prove the realizability start out with any null-homotopic link in $N$. Then the desired matrix $A$ can be obtained from the linking matrix of this link by a sequence of two operations.

1. For any $g$ and $i,j$ add $g$ to $a_{ij}$ and $g^{-1}$ to $a_{ji}$.
2. For any $i$ add 1 to $a_{ii}$.

These operations can be realized by changing the link as follows.

1. Replace $L_i$ by a connected sum of $L_i$ with a small loop linking $L_j$. The arc used to take the connected sum is determined by $g$.
2. Change the framing of $L_i$ by introducing a single twist.

Remark 2.7  Another interpretation of the linking matrix $A$ is as a representative of the intersection pairing on $\text{Ker} F : H_2(\mathbb{R}) \to H_2(\mathbb{R})g$. Note that $\text{Ker} F = H_2(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ which is a free $\mathbb{Z}$ module with basis determined by the 2-handles. $A$ is non-singular if and only if $f$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalence and, in addition, elementary if $f$ is a simple $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalence, see Wall [15]. If we now narrow the definition of the relation on $H(N)$ by restricting our modifications to knots $K$ satisfying $(K;K) = 1$, in order to stay within the class of $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalences, then Proposition 2.5 implies

Proposition 2.8  There is a one-to-one correspondence

$$L^{ns}(N) = \{ o \} ! \mathcal{H}(N)$$

between the set of equivalence classes of $H(N)$ and the set of equivalence classes of $L^{ns}(N)$ of framed null-homotopic links with a nonsingular linking matrix.
Thus, we obtain a well-defined map \( H(N) \rightarrow B(\ ) \) (which is onto by Proposition 2.6) given by a composition
\[
H(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(N) = L^{\text{ns}}(N) = (\ ) \rightarrow B(\ )
\]
which assigns to an element \( f : M ! N \) of \( H(N) \) represented by surgery on a framed nullhomotopic link, the linking matrix of that link.

**Proposition 2.9** If \( f : M ! N \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \) {homology equivalence then the stable congruence class of \( A \) depends only on the \( \mathbb{Z} \) {homology bordism class of \( f \).

**Proof** Suppose that \( f^0 : M^0 ! N \) is bordant to the identity on \( N \) by \( F : V^0 \rightarrow N \), where \( V^0 \) consists of 2{handles adjoined to \( N \). Let \( A^0 \) be an associated matrix. Suppose \( f^0 \) is bordant to \( f \) by a \( \mathbb{Z} \) {homology bordism \( G : W \rightarrow N \). By pasting \( V; V^0 ; W \) together we create a bordism \( \hat{G} : X \rightarrow N \) from the identity map on \( N \) to itself. The intersection pairing on \( \text{Ker} \hat{G} : H_2(X) \rightarrow H_2(N) \) is represented by \( A - A^0 \). Now suppose \( \hat{G} \) is bordant, rel boundary, to the projection \( N \rightarrow N \). Then the standard argument shows that the intersection pairing on \( \text{Ker} \hat{G} \) is metabolic. Thus by Remark 2.2 the proposition is proved.

The obstruction to this bordism is an element of the bordism group \( \Omega_4(N) = H_4(N) \Omega_4 \). Now \( H_4(N) = 0 \) and \( \Omega_4 \) is generated by \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \) and so this bordism will exist after we connect sum, say, \( V \) with a number of copies of \( \mathbb{C}P^2 \). But this can be achieved by adding to the framed link defining the handlebody decomposition of \( V \) a number of trivial components with \( 1 \) {framing. The effect of this is to block sum \( A \) with a unidiagonal matrix.

Thus, we have a well-defined map \( \delta_0 : H_0(N) ! \hat{W}(\ ) \) which is onto by Proposition 2.6. We could also construct an analogous map \( \delta_s : H_s(N) ! \hat{W}_s(\ ) \). The commutativity of the diagram of Theorem 1 is obvious.

**Example 2.10** Consider \( N = S^2 \cup S^1 ; = \mathbb{Z} \). For example if \( M \) is obtained by \( 0 \) surgery on a knot \( K \) in a homology \( 3 \) {sphere, then there is an obvious degree 1 map \( f : M ! N \), which is a \( \mathbb{Z} \) {homology equivalence if and only if \( K \) has Alexander polynomial 1.

Now suppose \( f ; g : M ! N \) are \( \mathbb{Z} \) {homology equivalences. If \( f = g : H_1(M) ! H_1(N) \) then it follows from the Hopf classification theorem that \( f \) \text{point} \( g \) \text{point} (homotopic). Thus \( f \) \# \text{h for some} \ h : S^3 ! S^2 \cap S^1 \). It follows, using the geometric definition of the Hopf invariant, that \( f \) \# g. If \( f \) \# g, then \( f = g^0 \), where \( g^0 = r g \) and \( r \) is the self-diffeomorphism of \( S^2 \cap S^1 \) obtained by reflecting both factors.
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This discussion shows that, for any $M$ which is $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalent to $N = S^2 \times S^1$, there is either one or two equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Z}$-homology equivalences $M \leftrightarrow N$ depending on whether or not there is an orientation-preserving self-diffeomorphism of $M$ which induces $-1$ on $H_1(M)$.

### 2.3 Comparison to surgery theory

We explain here why $c$ can be thought of, in a rough sense, as encapsulating the surgery-theoretic invariants of $H(N)$. This is not meant to be a mathematically precise statement but more of a philosophical statement.

The surgery exact sequence of Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall extends to lower dimensions in the topological category according to Freedman-Quinn [2]. If $N$ is a closed oriented 3-manifold and $\pi_1(N)$ is good in the sense of Freedman-Quinn (which, admittedly, may be rare) then we have an exact sequence:

$$[N : G=\text{Top}]! L^h_0(\ ) \rightarrow H^0_{\text{top}}(N) ! [N : G=\text{Top}]! L^h_3(\ )$$

where $H^0_{\text{top}}(N)$ is the topological version of $H_0(N)$ and $L^h_1(\ )$ are the Wall surgery obstruction groups [15]. It is known that $[N : G=\text{Top}]$ can be identified with the set of topological bordism classes of degree 1 maps $M \rightarrow N$ where all maps are equipped with a morphism of the tangent bundles. We have isomorphisms $[N : G=\text{Top}] = H^2(N;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $[N : G=\text{Top}] = H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}/2) \times H^3(N)$, where the $H^3(N) = \mathbb{Z}$ summand is mapped isomorphically to $L^h_0(1)$ $L^h_3(\ )$. See the survey article of Kirby-Taylor [8]. Thus we get an exact sequence

$$H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}/2) ! L^h_0(\ ) \leftarrow H^0_{\text{top}}(N) ! H^2(N;\mathbb{Z}/2) ! L^h_3(\ ) \quad (1)$$

Similarly we have an exact sequence

$$H^1(N;\mathbb{Z}/2) ! L^s_0(\ ) \leftarrow H^0_{\text{st}}(N) ! H^2(N;\mathbb{Z}/2) ! L^s_3(\ ) \quad (2)$$

for the analogous classification of simple homology equivalence.

There are obvious maps $L^h_0(\ ) \to \tilde{W}(\ )$ $L^s_0(\ ) \to \tilde{W}_s(\ )$. From the definition of stable congruence these maps induce maps $L^h_0(\ ) = L^s_0(1) ! \tilde{W}(\ )$ and $L^h_3(\ ) = L^s_3(1) ! \tilde{W}_s(\ )$. According to [14, Prop. 8.2] this is an isomorphism modulo 8-torsion. It is not generally an isomorphism (see [14, Theorem 10.4] for an example with $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$).

If $\pi_1$ were good, and we were able to ignore the difference between smooth and topological equivalence, we could think of the maps $h_0$ and $s_0$ as approximations to left inverses of the maps $h$ and $s$ from the sequences (1) and (2).
Thus we can roughly think of $\text{Ker} \ N$ as those manifolds which are undetected by surgery theory. In our theory of finite-type invariants, the invariants of degree 0 will be those which can be recovered from surgery theory, in this sense, and conventional algebraic topology. Those of positive degree can detect differences invisible to surgery theory and conventional algebraic topology.

### 3 Finite type invariants

#### 3.1 $K(N)$ and finite type invariants

In this section we study the kernel $K(N)$ of the map $\beta$, which leads rather naturally to a distinguished class of links in $N$ and to a notion of finite type invariants.

Suppose $f : M \to N$ represents an element of $K(N)$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, there is a framed link $L \subset N$ with null-homotopic components and linking matrix simply stably congruent to a unidiagonal matrix, such that if $V$ is obtained from $N$ by adding handles along $L$, then $M = @V - N$ and the identity map of $N$ extends to a map $F : V \to N$ so that $F \circ M = f$. Since the moves that define simple stable congruence can be realized by either handle slides, choosing a different lift of $L$ or adding a trivial framed component to $L$ we can, in fact, assume that the linking matrix of $L$ is unidiagonal. We will call a (framed) link in $N$ whose components are null-homotopic and linking matrix is unidiagonal algebraically split (AS in short). Conversely, given a AS link $L \subset N$ we can construct $V$ and then extend the identity map of $N$ over $V$ to obtain an element of $K(N)$. Let $\mathcal{K}(N)$ denote the set $K(N) = (\cdot)$ for the equivalence relation of Section 2.2 and let $L^{\text{AS}}(N)$ denote the set of framed AS links in $N$. It follows from Proposition 2.5 that $\mathcal{K}(N)$ is in one-one correspondence with the set $L^{\text{AS}}(N) = (\cdot)$. Note that a handle-slide will usually not preserve the property of being AS, so our moves will be sequences of Kirby moves.

We now imitate the usual approach that defines a notion of finite type invariants on a set of objects equipped with a move. Let $F(N)$ denote the free abelian group on the set $\mathcal{K}(N)$. For a AS link $L \subset N$ we denote $[N;L] = L_0 (-1)^{l_N} L_0 \circ 2 F(N)$, where $N_L$ denotes the result of surgery of $N$ along $L$ and $l_L$ denotes the number of components of $L$. Note that the accompanying map $f^0 : N_L \to N$ is uniquely determined by $L^0$, modulo , so we will suppress $f^0$ from the notation. There is a decreasing filtration...
\[ F(N) = F_0(N) \rightarrow F_1(N) \rightarrow F_2(N) : \cdots \text{ on } F(N), \]
where \( F_n(N) \) denote the subgroup of \( F(N) \) generated by all \([N;L]\) with \(|L| < n\). We call a function \( \mathcal{R}(N) \) with values in an abelian group \( A \) a finite type invariant of type \( n \) \( (F_{n+1}(N)) = 0 \).

For a decreasing filtration \( F \) such as \( F(N) \) or \( F^Y(N) \) below we let \( G \) denote the graded quotients defined by \( G_n = F_n/F_{n+1} \).

### 3.2 Functoriality

Since surgery theory is functorial, we might expect this to also be true of its deformation given by finite type invariants.

Suppose \( f : N \to N' \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \) homology equivalence between two closed oriented 3-manifolds, where \( f_1 \) \( H(N) \). Consider the induced function \( f : H(N) \to H(N') \), where \( f(g) = f \circ g \), and the further induced function \( f : \mathcal{R}(N) \to \mathcal{R}(N') \). We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Suppose \( K;L \) are disjoint links in \( N \) such that each component of \( K \) is null-homotopic in \( N \). Then \( K \) is isotopic in \( N \) to a link \( K' \) such that each component of \( K' \) is null-homotopic in \( N - L \).

1. If the components of \( L \) are also null-homotopic in \( N \), then the linking elements \( (K_i'^0;L_j) = 0 \), for every pair of components of \( K'^0;L \).
2. If \( K \) is algebraically split in \( N \), then we can choose \( K'^0 \) so that it is algebraically split in \( N - L \).

**Proof** Since each component \( K_i \) of \( K \) is null-homotopic in \( N \), it is homotopic in \( N - L \) to a product of meridians of \( L \). Thus we can connect sum several meridians of \( L \) to \( K_i \) to get a new knot \( K_i'^0 \) which is null-homotopic in \( N - L \) and is clearly isotopic to \( K_i \) in the complement of the other components of \( K \).

To see that \( (K_i'^0;L_j) = 0 \), when \( L_j \) is null-homotopic, we only need note that any lift \( \mathcal{R}_0 \) to the universal cover \( \mathcal{R} \) of \( N \) is null-homotopic in \( \mathcal{R} - \mathcal{E}_j \), for any lift of \( L_j \).

If \( K \) is algebraically split then the linking elements \( (K_i'^0;K_j'^0) \in \mathbb{Z} \), where \( \gamma_1(N - L) \), differ from the entries of a unidiagonal matrix by members of the two-sided ideal \( I \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \) generated by elements of the form \( h - 1 \), where \( h \circ g = \ker f \gamma_1(N - L) \! : \gamma_1(N)g \). Figure 1 shows how to modify \( K'^0 \) to change \( (K_i'^0;K_j'^0) \) by an element:
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(1) $g_1(h-1)g_2$ if $i \not\in j$,
(2) $g_1(h-1)g_2 + g_2^{-1}(h^{-1} - 1)g_1^{-1}$ if $i = j$
for $g_1, g_2 \in G$, without changing any other linking element $(K_r^0, K_s^0)$ except when $r = j; s = i$.

Figure 1: Modification of a link

The dotted curves connecting $K_r^0, K_s^0$ to the basepoint are those used to specify the lifts; these are needed to define the linking elements. Note that we can represent $h$ by the boundary of a disk in $N$ which is disjoint from $K^0$ and the arcs used in the modification, since $h$ is a product of meridians of $L$. Thus the modified $K^0$ is isotopic to $K$ in $N$.

Since elements of the form (1) generate $I$, we only need show, by Proposition 2.6, that self-conjugate almost even elements of $I$ are linear combinations of elements of the form (2), which we will call norm-like, to conclude that $K^0$ can be chosen to be algebraically split.

Choose a subset $S \subseteq_1 (N)$ so that, for every $g \in \pi_1(N)$, exactly one of $g; g^{-1}$ belongs to $S$. For each $g \in S$ choose $g$ so that $g! g; g$ choose $g = 1$. Now suppose $g$ is a self-conjugate even element of $I$. We can write $g$ uniquely in the form

$$X = \sum_{g^2 \equiv 1} (g + g^{-1}) + \sum_{g^2 \equiv 1} g g$$

where $g \in I(G)$, the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}G$. Clearly the terms of the first summation in equation (3) are norm-like, so we consider each term $g g$ of the
second summation. Let us write \( g = \sum_i g_i \) and so
\[ g^i g = \sum_i (g_i g) \]
where \( g_i \) are distinct elements of \( G \), \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \sum_i g_i = 0 \). Since \( g \) is self-conjugate we have \( g^i g = g^{-1}g \) and so, for each \( i \) there is some \( j \) so that \( i g g = j g^{-1}g \). If \( i \neq j \), then replace \( i g g \) in equation (4) by \( e g^{-1}g \). If \( i = j \) then \( g g \) is of order 2 and so \( i \) is even. In this case rewrite \( i g g \) in equation (4), as 2 \( i g g \). Now equation (4) will look like
\[ g^i g = \sum_i (g_i e g + e g^{-1}g^{-1}g) \]
where still \( \sum_i g_i = 0 \). If we now subtract 0 = \( \sum_i (i + g^{-1}g) \) from equation (5) we get
\[ g^i g = \sum_i ((i - 1)g_i e g + g^{-1}(g^{-1} - 1)) \]
which is a sum of norm-like terms.

**Proposition 3.2** We have:
\[ (f g) = (f) + f(g) \]

**Proof** Suppose \( L \) an algebraically split link determining \( N^0 = N_L \), \( f \) in \( H(N) \) and \( K \) \( N^0 \) determines \( M = N_K \), \( g \) in \( H(N) \). We can apply Lemma 3.1 to \( K \) and the meridians \( L^0 \) of \( L \) in \( N^0 \) to allow us to assume that the components of \( K \) are null-homotopic in \( N^0 - L^0 = N - L \). Now \( K \) \( L \) is algebraically split in \( N \) and determines \( M \), \( f \), \( g \) in \( H(N) \). Since, by Lemma 3.1, \( (K_i; L_j) = 0 \), the linking matrix of \( K \) \( L \), which represents \( f \), \( g \) is the block sum of the linking matrix of \( L \), which represents \( f \) and the image under \( f : \) of the linking matrix of \( K \), which represents \( g \).

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that \( f(R(N)) = R(N) \).

**Proposition 3.3** \( f(F_n(N)) = F_n(N) \), for any \( n \), and the induced maps \( f : G(N) \) are epimorphisms.

**Proof** Suppose that \( L \) an algebraically split link which determines \( N^0 = N_L \), \( f \). Now let \( K \) \( N^0 = N_L \) be any algebraically split link, \( X \). We can assume that \( K \) is disjoint from the meridians of \( L \) and so lies in \( N^0 - L^0 = N - L \). In fact, by Lemma 3.1 applied to \( K \) and the meridians of \( L \), we can assume that the...
components of \( K \) are null-homotopic in \( N - L \) and that \( K[L] \) is algebraically split in \( N \). It is clear that \( K[L] \) defines the element \( f(M; g) \in K(N) \).

Suppose that \( K \) has \( n \) components and so \( [N^0; K] \sim F_n(N^0) \). Then we have

\[
f([N^0; K]) = [N_L; K] = \prod_{L^0} (-1)^{L^0} [N; K[L^0]]
\]

Thus we see that \( f([N^0; K]) \sim F_n(N) \), which shows that \( f(F_n(N^0)) \sim F_n(N) \), and that \( f([N^0; K]) \mod F_{n+1}(N) \), which shows that \( f : n : G_i(N^0) \sim !G_i(N^0) \) is onto.

We also have natural filtration-preserving maps \( F(N) \to F(N \# N^0) \), for any \( N; N^0 \), defined by

\[
f : M \to N \sim f \# id : M \# N^0 \to N \# N^0.
\]

In particular \( F(N) \) is an \( F(S^3) \) module via a map \( F(S^3) \to F(N) \), for any \( N \).

### 4 The \( F(N) \) and \( F^Y(N) \) Iterations

In this section we reformulate our theory of finite type invariants in terms of clovers which in particular allows us to deduce upper bounds for the number of invariants in terms of \{decorated trivalent graphs. Recall the notion of a \( Y \{graph in \ N \) from \{6, 3\}, the terminology of which we follow here. A \( Y \{link is a disjoint union of \( Y \{graphs, and a clover is a mild generalization of a \( Y \{link. Given a clover \( G \) in \( N \), let \( N_G \) denote the result of surgery on \( G \). Throughout this paper, by a \( Y \{link or clover in a manifold \( M \) we will mean one with nullhomotopic leaves. This condition, dictated by our surgery problem of Section 2, matches perfectly the generalization of the results of \{3\} from the case of \( N = S^3 \) to the case of arbitrary \( N \).

Recall that surgery on a \( Y \{graph is equivalent to surgery on a six component framed link which consists of the three edges and the three leaves of the \( Y \{graph. Since surgery on a \( Y \{graph \( G \) (or more generally, a clover) is an example of surgery on a nullhomotopic link with non-singular linking matrix, it follows that \( N_G \sim \mathbb{R}(N) \). An alternative geometric proof of this may be obtained from the fact that \( G \) lifts to \( \mathbb{R} \) copies of \( Y \{graphs \( \mathcal{G} \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) and that the covering \( \mathcal{G} \) can be identified with \( (\mathbb{R})_G \) since surgery on \( Y \{links in a 3 \{manifold does not change its homology, it follows that \( (\mathbb{R})_G \sim \mathbb{R} \) (homology cobordant to \( \mathbb{R} \). Since the linking matrix of a \( Y \{graph is a metabolic matrix, it follows by Remark 2.2 that \( N_G \sim \mathbb{R}(N) \).

\( \)
Let $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^Y(N)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{R}(N)$ that consists of all maps $N_G$ for clovers $G$ in $N$, and let $\mathcal{F}^Y(N)$ denote the free abelian group on $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^Y(N)$. We define a decreasing filtration $\mathcal{F}^Y(N) = \mathcal{F}^Y_0(N) \supseteq \mathcal{F}^Y_1(N) \supseteq \mathcal{F}^Y_2(N) \supseteq \cdots$ on the abelian group $\mathcal{F}^Y(N)$ where $\mathcal{F}^Y_n(N)$ denotes the span of $[M;G]$ for clovers $G$ in $M$ of degree (i.e., number of trivalent vertices) at least $n$ with nullhomotopic leaves.

We will show later that $\mathcal{R}(N) = \hat{\mathcal{R}}^Y(N)$ and that for all integers $n$, we have $\mathcal{F}^Y_{2n}(N) = \mathcal{F}^Y_{3n}(N)$ after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$.

### 4.1 The $A$-groups and the graded quotients $\mathcal{G}^Y(N)$

The discussion of [3, Section 4.3] implies that $\mathcal{G}^Y_n(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ is generated by $[N;G]$ for clovers of degree $n$ without leaves, i.e., embedded trivalent graphs of degree $n$. Unlike the case of $N = S^3$, however, $[N;G]$ depends on the embedding. Consider two embedded trivalent graphs $G;G_0$ in $N$ such that $G \searrow e = G_0 \searrow e_0$ for edges $e,e_0$ of $G;G_0$ which are homotopic rel. boundary. Then, the Sliding Lemma (in the form of [3, Corollary 4.2]) implies that $[N;G] = [N;G_0]$. The following lemma describes the induced equivalence relation on embedded trivalent graphs.

**Lemma 4.1** For an abstract (not necessarily connected) graph $G$ and path connected space $X$ we have a 1-1 onto map

$$\mathcal{G}(X) = \text{Out}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{X})$$

where $\text{Out}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{X}) = \text{Out}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{X})$, the Cartesian product over the connected components of $G$, and

$$\text{Out}(G_1;G_2) = \text{Hom}(G_1;G_2) = \text{inner automorphisms of } G_2$$

**Proof** Pick a maximal forest $T$ for $G$, i.e., a maximal tree for each connected component of $G$. If $f \in [G;X]$, then we can assume that $f(T) = x_0$, a base point of $N$, i.e., that it factors through a map $G=\Gamma ! X$. This map is determined by the induced one on the level of $G_1$. A different choice of a maximal forest or a different choice of a base point of $N$ results in maps on $G_1$ that differ in each connected component of $G$ by independent inner automorphisms of $G_1(X)$. 

Let $A^Y(G;G_0)$ denote the abelian group generated by pairs $(G;G_0)$ for abstract (not necessarily connected) vertex-oriented trivalent graphs $G$ together with an $2\text{Out}(G;G_0)$, modulo the AS and IHX relations. We call $A^Y(G;G_0)$ a decoration of $G$. For each pair $(G;G_0)$, pick an arbitrary embedding of $G$ in $N$. 
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so that the induced map on the fundamental group coincides with $^{0}$. Equip the embedding with an arbitrary framing, thus resulting in a clover in $N$. [3, Lemma 4.4, Corollary 4.5 and Theorem 4.11] shows that this define a map $\Psi_{n}: A^{Q}(\ ) \to G_{n}^{0}(N)$. The above discussion implies that:

**Theorem 2** For every $n$, the map $\Psi_{n}: A^{Q}(\ ) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1-2] \to G_{n}^{0}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1-2]$ is onto and functorial with respect to $\mathbb{Z}$ (homology equivalences).

**Remark 4.2** Over $\mathbb{Q}$, and for $1(N) = 1$, it is known that the map $\Psi$ is an isomorphism, due to the existence of sufficiently many invariants first constructed by Le-Murakami-Ohtsuki [10].

We now give an alternative description (closely related to \{AS links, see Section 5\} of the notion of \{decoration of a graph\}. This description generalizes to a decoration of the edges by elements of an arbitrary ring with involution, see Definition 4.4 below.

Consider pairs $(G; \ )$ of abstract, vertex-oriented, edge-oriented trivalent graphs $G$, together with a map $: \text{Edge}(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ that colors each oriented edge of $G$ by an element of $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $A(\ )$ denote the abelian group generated by pairs $(G; \ )$ modulo the relations shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: The AS, IHX, R_1; R_2 and R_3 relations. Here $g = g^{-1}$ is the involution of $\mathbb{Z}$, $r; s; r_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g 2$.](image)

**Lemma 4.3** There is an isomorphism $A(\ ) \cong A_{n}^{Q}(\ )$.

**Proof** It suffices to consider a vertex-oriented, edge-oriented connected graph $G$. To a map $\$, we will associate a map $^{0}$ and vice versa.

Given a map $: \text{Edge}(G) \to \$, (which in view of relation $R_{2}$ we may assume that it is a decoration of the edges of $G$ by elements of $\$) we define a map
Homology surgery and invariants of 3-manifolds

0: \( \varphi_0 : \pi_1(G_0) \rightarrow \) as follows. For a closed path of oriented edges \( e = (e_1; \ldots ; e_n) \), we set \( \varphi_0(e) = (e_1; \ldots ; e_n) \). It is easy to see that this defines a group homomorphism \( \varphi_0 : \pi_1(G_0) \rightarrow \), compatible with the relations \( R_1 \) and \( R_3 \). Conversely, given a map \( \varphi_0 \), choose a maximal tree \( T \) and define \( (\text{Edge}(T)) = f_1g \). Since \( \pi_1(G_0) \) can be identified with the free group on \( \text{Edge}(G \setminus T) \), \( \varphi_0 \) will then determine on these edges.

The following concept of the \( A \{ \) groups, motivated by Theorem 2, has several applications which will be presented in a later publication.

Definition 4.4 Given a ring \( R \) with involution and a subgroup \( U \) of its group of units, we define \( A(R; U) \) to be the graded abelian group generated by trivalent graphs (with a vertex and an edge orientation) whose edges are decorated by elements of \( R \), modulo the relations of Figure 2, with \( r; s; r_1 \ \in R \) and \( g \in U \).

4.2 The equivalence of the \( F(N) \) and \( F^Y(N) \) filtrations

In [3, Sections 5.2–5.6] it was shown that finite type invariants of integral homology 3-spheres based on surgery on AS links coincide with those based on surgery on clovers. In this section we will extend this to 3-manifolds, by using the same idea as in [3, Sections 5.2–5.6], together with Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6.

Recall that the proofs of [3, Sections 5.2–5.6] consist of three types of arguments:

First, untying AS-links by clovers and vice versa.

Second, counting arguments.

Third, an application of the topological calculus of clovers to the study of \( G_0(Y)(N) \).

The second type of arguments works without change when we replace \( S^3 \) by \( N \). So does the third type of argument, since, restricted to clovers with nullhomotopic leaves, it uses the moves (i) and (ii) of Kirby equivalence and the move (iii) for nullhomotopic knots, which is a consequence of (i) and (ii) as shown in [1, Theorem 2]. The first type of argument requires some additional work, which consists of Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6.

Theorem 3 For all integers \( n \) we have that \( F_{3n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2] = F_{2n}^Y(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \).

Together with Theorem 2, it implies that:
Theorem 4 For all integers \( n \) there is an onto map
\[
A^0_{2n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2] \to G_{2n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2]
\]
functorial with respect to \( \mathbb{Z} \) \{homology equivalences.

4.3 Undoing clovers by AS{links and vice versa

Let \( G \) be a \{link in \( N \). Using the terminology of [3, Section 5.3], we say that
a link \( O \) in \( N \) \( \backslash \) \( G \) laces \( G \), if \( O \) is trivial, unimodular and each of the (pairwise
disjoint) discs bounding its components intersects \( G \) in at most two points,
which belong to the leaves of \( G \). \( G \) is trivial, if it consists of \( n \) \{graphs,
standardly embedded in \( n \) disjoint balls which lie in an embedded ball in \( N \).

Lemma 4.5 [3, Lemma 5.3] Let \( T \) be a trivial \{component \( n \) \{link in \( N \). For any \( n \) \{component \( Y \) \{link \( G \) in \( N \), there exists a unimodular link \( O \) in \( N \)
which laces \( T \), such that \( [N;G] = [N,O;T] \). Under surgery on \( T^0 \) \( T \), \( O \) gets
transformed to a \{AS link in \( N \).

A \{link \( G \) in \( M \) \( \backslash \) \( L \) laces a link \( L \) if \( L \) has nullhomotopic leaves
and every leaf \( I \) of \( G \) either bounds a disk which intersects \( L \) geometrically once,
or the equivariant linking number of \( I \) and every component of \( L \) vanishes. We
call \( (G;L) \) a lacing pair. A special case of a lacing pair \( (G;L) \) for a trivial
\{link \( G \) was called a Borromean surgery in [11] and a \{move in [12].

Proposition 4.6 Let \( O \) be a trivial unimodular \{component link in \( N \). For any \( n \) \{component \{AS\{link \( L \) in \( N \), there exists a lacing pair \( (G;O) \) such
that \( O \) is trivial unimodular, under surgery on \( G \) \{link \( O \) gets transformed into
\( N;L \) and under surgery on \( O^0 \) \( O \), \( G \) gets transformed to a \{link in \( N \)
with nullhomotopic leaves.

Proof Choose a base point \( x_0 \) of \( N \) and a basing \( \gamma \) of \( L \), ie, a choice of disjoint
paths \( f \gamma g \) in \( N \) \( \backslash \) \( L \) from \( x_0 \) to points \( x_j \in L \), one for each component of \( L \).
Choose a framing of \( L \) and a lift \( \tilde{x}_0 \) of \( x_0 \). Then, there is a unique lift
\( \tilde{\gamma} \) in \( \tilde{N} \) of \( \gamma \) that contains \( \tilde{x}_0 \) and a well-defined linking matrix \( A \) of \( L \).

Choose a regular homotopy \( L^t \) from \( L^0 = L \) to \( L^1 = O \), which we can assume
is stationary on every \( x_j \). We may assume that \( L^t \) is a link except for \( \infty \) many times \( f_t g \) where \( L^t \) is an immersion with a single transverse double
point. The linking matrix \( A_{-} \) and \( A \) of \( L^t_- \) and \( L^t_+ \) are related as
follows: if the double point \( p \) involves the components \( L_i \) and \( L_j \) of \( L^t \), with
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i, j, construct a loop \( g_p \) by starting at \( x_0 \), going along \( \gamma_i \) to \( L_i \), then over to \( L_j \) and back to \( x_0 \) via \( \gamma_j \). See the figure below.

\[ A = A_+ + p(g_pE_p + g_p^{-1}E_p') \]

where \( p \) is the local orientation sign of \( p \) and \( E_p \) is the matrix with all zeros except in the \((i;j)\) place where it equals 1. Thus, if \( A_L \) is the linking matrix of \( L \) and \( A_O \) is the linking matrix of an unlink with the same framing and number of components as \( L \), we have

\[ A - A_O = \sum_p p(g_pE_p + g_p^{-1}E_p') \]

where the sum is over all double points of the homotopy. Since \( L \) is an AS link, it follows that for every pair of components \( L_i \) and \( L_j \) of \( L \) there is a pairing of the double points of \( L_i \) and \( L_j \) into classes \((p^+; p^-)\) such that \( g_p^+ = g_p^- \) and \( c^+ = -c^- \). In other words, one can undo \( L \) by a sequence of double crossing changes shown below in Figure 3, where the loop \( g' \) is nullhomotopic. These double crossing changes can be achieved by surgery on \( Y \) graphs whose leaves are nullhomotopic, see [11, 12] and also Lemma 4.7 below. So far, each of the \( Y \) graphs have two leaves that bound a disk that intersects \( L \) at most once and a nullhomotopic leaf. Observe that every nullhomotopic leaf in \( N \) bounds a disk with clasp intersections as shown below.

Figure 3: A double crossing change
Using repeatedly Move \( Y_4 \) of [3, Theorem 3.1] (the so-called, move of Cutting a Leaf), as follows

we may assume that every leaf of each \( Y \) {graph bounds a disk that either intersects \( L \) geometrically once, or none. In all cases, the \( Y \) {link \( G \) that consists of all these \( Y \) {graphs is lacing the unlink \( O \). It is easy to verify that the rest of the statements of the proposition.

The following lemma shows how to slide a band of an embedded surface through any collection of bands (or leaves of \( Y \) {graphs) by \( Y \) {surgery.

**Lemma 4.7** The following framed links are Kirby equivalent:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\includegraphics[width=2cm]{figure1} & \sim \kappa & \includegraphics[width=2cm]{figure2}
\end{array}
\]

In particular, a double crossing move can be obtained by surgery on a \( Y \) {graph.

**Proof**

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\includegraphics[width=2cm]{figure3} & \sim \kappa & \includegraphics[width=2cm]{figure4}
\end{array}
\]

**Proposition 4.8** \( \mathcal{K}(N) = \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(N) \).

**Proof** Since \( \tilde{\mathcal{K}}(N) = \mathcal{K}(N) \), we need only show the opposite inclusion. Proposition 4.6 implies that for every {AS link \( L \) in \( N \), there exists a trivial \( Y \) {link \( T \) that ties a trivial unimodular link \( O \) such that \( (N;L) = (N_T;O) \). Let \( G \) denote the image of \( T \) under surgery on \( O \). \( G \) is a \( Y \) {link (with nullhomotopic leaves) and \( N_L = N_{T;O} = N_G \). The result follows.
5 Surgery equivalence

In this section we discuss the notion of surgery equivalence of \( \text{AS} \) links, motivated both by surgery theory and by the theory of finite type invariants.

Suppose that \( L \) is an unframed \( \text{AS} \) link in \( N \). Now let \( L \), with some unit framing, be expanded to a \( \text{AS} \) link \( L \text{[L}_{\text{triv}} \text{N} \) for some trivial, unit-framed link \( L_{\text{triv}} \). Let \( L^0 \) denote the image of \( L \) under the obvious isomorphism \( N = N_{L_{\text{triv}}} \). Surgery equivalence is the relation on the set of unframed \( \text{AS} \) links in \( N \) generated by the move that replaces \( L \) by \( L^0 \) for some link \( L_{\text{triv}} \) as above.

It was shown in [9] that, when \( N = S^3 \), surgery equivalence classes of unframed \( \text{AS} \) links are determined by the Milnor triple invariants. We will generalize the construction of these invariants in an equivariant manner to define surgery equivalence invariants of \( \text{AS} \) links \( L \) in \( N \). Choose a lift \( E \) of \( L \) in the cover \( N \). The components \( E_i \) of \( E \) bound oriented surfaces \( V_i \) in \( M \) and, since \( L \) is algebraically split, we can assume that the interior of \( V_i \) does not intersect \( p^{-1}(L) \), where \( p : N \to N \) is the projection. For any \( i,j,k \) and \( q,h \in \mathbb{Z} \) we define \( g;h_{ijk}(L) \) to be the triple intersection number of \( V_i;gV_j;hV_k \), when these are three different surfaces. This is independent of the choice of liftings \( fE_i,g \) produces the following change in \( g;h_{ijk}(L) \): for any \( g_1;\cdots;g_2 \) we have

\[
\text{new } f g;h_{ijk}(L)g = \text{old } f g^{-1}q_{g};q^{-1}h_{g}(L)g
\]

Note that for the special case when \( g = h \), there is no indeterminacy in \( g;h_{ii}(L) \).

Also note the following:

1. \( g;h_{ij}(L) = -g;h_{ji}(L) \).
2. \( g;h_{ij}(L); (i \neq j) \) is defined only if \( g \neq h \).
3. \( g;h_{ii}(L) \) is defined only if \( 1;g,h \) are distinct.

Now set

\[
i_{ijk}(L) = \sum_{g,h} g;h_{ijk}(L)(g,h) 2 \mathbb{Z}
\]

This is a finite sum. In cases (2) and (3), the relations in (1) impose conditions on \( i_{ij}(L) \). In case (2) it is skew-symmetric in the two factors, but for case (3) the constraint is more complicated.
Example 5.1 If \( t_i = Z \), then \( \varphi_{ij}(L) \) is determined by the \( f_{ij}^{st}(L) \) as \( s > t > 0 \). As an example of a knot \( K \) with \( s = t = 1 \), choose a Borromean link \( L_1; L_2; L_3 \) in a ball in \( N \) and let \( K \) be the connected sum \( L_1 \# L_2 \# L_3 \), where the tube connecting \( L_1 \) to \( L_2 \) winds \( t \) times around the generator of \( \varphi \) and the tube connecting \( L_2 \) to \( L_3 \) winds \( s - t \) times around. Note that \( s_i^{ij} = 0 \) unless \( s = s_0 \) and \( t = t_0 \). See the figure below for \( s = t = 1 \).

We will show that, just as in the case of unframed links in \( S^3 \), the surgery equivalence class is determined by the \( g_{ijk} \). First we need the following

Lemma 5.2 If two links \( L \) and \( L^0 \) differ as in the first two frames of this picture

or the first two frames of this picture

where \( \alpha, \beta \) are nullhomotopic paths, then they are surgery equivalent.

Proof This follows by elementary properties of Kirby’s calculus applied to the unit-framed knots \( C \) shown in the pictures above.

Theorem 5 Suppose \( L \) and \( L^0 \) are unframed \( \{AS \} \) links in \( N \). Then \( L \) is surgery equivalent to \( L^0 \) if and only if \( g_{ijk}(L) = g_{ijk}(L^0) \) for all \( i; j; k; g; h \) for which they are defined.
Proof First of all we prove the invariance of the $g; h_{ij}^k(L)$ under surgery equivalence. If $L_{triv}$ is chosen so that $L \sim L_{triv}$ (AS), then we can, by tubing, arrange that the surfaces $F_v$ used to define $g; h_{ij}^k(L)$ are disjoint from the lifts of $L_{triv}$ and so pass unchanged into the covering of the surgered link. In particular the intersections which define $g; h_{ij}^k(L)$ are unchanged.

Now suppose that $L$ and $L^0$ are two (AS) links such that $g; h_{ij}^k(L) = g; h_{ij}^k(L^0)$. By Proposition 4.6 we know that $L$ can be transformed into $L^0$ by surgery on a set of $Y$ links whose leaves are meridians of $L$. Since surgery on such a $Y$ link is the same as a sequence of disjoint moves in the terminology of [12] see Figure 4 it is easy to see the effect of such a surgery on the $g; h_{ij}^k$. Suppose a lift of the $Y$ link $G$ into $\mathcal{N}$ has its meridians on three components $E_i; gE_j; hE_k$, where $i \neq j \neq k$. If any two of these components are the same then there is no change in any of the $g; h_{ij}^k$. If the three components are distinct then $g; h_{ij}^k$ is changed by 1 and every other $g; h_{ij}^k$, where $i^0 \neq j^0 \neq k^0$, is unchanged. Thus our assumption about $L; L^0$ says that the transformation from $L$ to $L^0$ is accomplished by a sequence of surgeries of two types:

(i) surgery on pairs of $Y$ links $fG_i; G^0_j$, where $G_i$ and $G^0_j$ can be lifted to $Y$ links in $\mathcal{N}$ with oppositely oriented trivalent vertices and which have leaves on the same three distinct components, and

(ii) surgeries on individual $Y$ links $G_j$ with at least two leaves on the same component.

In case (ii) it is easy to see that surgery on $G_j$ does not change the surgery equivalence class since we can undo the Borromean part of the $Y$ link by crossing changes, using the second part of Lemma 5.2, on the two rings attached to the same component of $L$.

Thus it remains to show that the effect of surgery on a pair of $Y$ links $G; G^0$ with leaves on the same three distinct components of $\mathcal{N}$ does not change the surgery equivalence class of $L$. First of all we can consider the case where $G$ is
an inverse of $G^0$ in the sense of [3, Theorem 3.2]. In this case a surgery on $G$ and $G^0$ does not change $L$ at all. For any other $G$ we can assume that there is a homotopy in $N$ from $G$ to an inverse of $G^0$ which is stationary on the leaves of $G$. Such a homotopy is a sequence of isotopies in $N - L$ together with (i) crossings of an edge of $G$ and a component of $L$ and (ii) crossings of an edge of $G$ with a leaf of $G$. It suffices to show that these two types of crossings do not change the surgery equivalence class of the $G$ surgery on $L$.

For (i) the effect of this crossing on surgery of $L$ is pictured in Figure 5.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{A link component crossing an edge of a Y graph}
\end{figure}

The surgery equivalence is given by Lemma 5.2 and the double crossing change in Figure 6.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{fig6.png}
\caption{A double crossing change which implements the crossing of Figure 5}
\end{figure}

For (ii) we invoke the following:

**Lemma 5.3** Suppose $G$ is the union of two $Y$ {links $G_1$; $G_2$ in the complement of a link $L$, whose leaves are meridians of $L$, and $G^0$ is obtained from $G$ by a single crossing change of a leaf of $G_1$ with a leaf of $G_2$. Then the link produced by surgery on $L$ using $G$ is surgery equivalent to the link produced by surgery on $G^0$.}
Homology surgery and invariants of 3-manifolds

**Proof** By [3, Theorem 2.3] and $Y_4$ moves of [3], surgery on $G^0$ is the same as surgery on $G$ together with surgery on a clover of degree 2 with the shape of \( \uparrow \downarrow \). Thus we need to see that surgery on such clovers does not change the surgery equivalence class of $L$. The effect of surgery on such a clover is shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7: The effect of surgery on a clover of degree 2](image)

A double crossing change which will undo this surgery is illustrated in Figure 8.

![Figure 8: The doublecrossing change inside the box will undo the surgery.](image)

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

We also prove that:

**Theorem 6** Concordant links are surgery equivalent.

**Proof** Concordance, just as in the classical case, is generated by the following ribbon move $L \to L^0$. Given a $(A, S)$ link $L$ in $N$, consider also a finite number of disks $D_i$ in $N$, disjoint from each other and $L$. For each $D_i$ choose a band $B_i$ connecting $D_i$ to a component, which we denote $L_i$, of $L$. The band...
cannot intersect \( L \) or any \( D_j \) except at its ends. Then \( L^0 \) is defined to be the band-sum of \( L \) with \( D_j \).

Now choose some place where a band \( B_i \) penetrates a disk \( D_j \). Choose a path \( \gamma \) from \( L_j \) to nearby the penetration so that the closed path consisting of \( \gamma \) followed by the path from \( D_j \) along \( B_j \) and back along \( L_j \) to the starting point of \( \gamma \) is null-homotopic. Now thicken \( \gamma \) to a band (or finger) and apply Lemma 5.2 as follows:

![Diagram showing before and after the penetration removal](image)

This removes the penetration. Eventually we can remove all the penetrations and the resulting link will be isotopic to \( L \).

\( \Box \)

### 5.1 An alternative study of \( G(N) \).

In this section we mention, in brief, an alternative study of \( G^Y(N) \) using our results on surgery equivalence and the group \( A(\ ) \) from Section 4.1. For \( N = S^3 \) this coincides with the approach to finite type invariants introduced by Ohtsuki [13], and studied in [5].

Recall the map \( L^{as}(N) \). If \( L \) and \( L^0 \) are surgery equivalent \( n \) component \{AS links in \( N \), then \( [N; L] = [N; L^0] \mod F_{n+1}(N) \). Thus \( G(N) \) is a quotient of the free abelian group on the set of surgery equivalence classes of \{AS links. For each generator \((G; \ ) \) of \( A(\ ) \) we can define a \{AS link as follows. First construct a link in a 3\{ball \( B \) associated to \( G \), using the construction of Ohtsuki [13], by banding together copies of the Borromean rings, one Borromean rings for each vertex and one band for each edge. Now for each edge \( e \) of \( G \) pull the band corresponding to \( e \) around a loop representing \( (e) \), using the orientation of \( e \) to direct it. See Figure 9 below. The surgery equivalence class of this link
Figure 9: An edge and vertex oriented diagram and the associated AS link

$L(G)$ is well-defined since we only have to worry about band-crossings which are covered by Lemma 5.2. Note that if $G$ has degree $2n$, then $L(G)$ has $3n$ components. Using a local 3-band relation of [13, Lemma 4.1] for an arbitrary manifold $N$ and the above discussion, we obtain an onto map from the abelian group generated by pairs $(G;\ )$ of degree$(G) = 2n$ to $G_{3n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2]$. The work of [5], formulated for arbitrary manifolds rather than $S^3$, implies that the AS and IHX relations of Figure 2 are satisfied, thus obtaining an onto map $A_{2n}(\ ) \rightarrow G_{3n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2]$ for every integer $n$. It is an easy exercise in Kirby calculus to show that the above maps fit in a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{2n}(\ ) & \longrightarrow & G_{3n}(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_{2n}^0(\ ) & \longrightarrow & G_{2n}^0(N) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1=2]
\end{array}
\]
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