follows that \((x,1)\) is not locally connected \((at x)\).
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NONCOMMUTATIVE ANTICOMMUTATIVE RINGS

Stephen Buckley and Desmond MacHale

An associative ring \(R\) is said to be anticommutative if
\(xy + yx = 0\) for all \(x, y \in R\). If \(R\) has characteristic 2, then
the concepts of commutativity and anticommutativity coincide,
but \(\mathbb{Z}_2\), with the usual addition and trivial multiplication,
shows that an anticommutative ring need not have characteristic 2.

If a ring \(R\) satisfies \(x^2 = 0\) for all \(x \in R\) then clearly
\(R\) is anticommutative, but not conversely. However, if \(R\) is
anticommutative it is easy to verify that \(R\) satisfies each of
the following identities.

\[(i)\] \[2x^2 = 0 \quad (ii)\] \[(xy - yx)^2 = 0 \quad (iii)\] \[x^3y - yx^3 = 0.\]

Frequently, when looking at commutativity theorems for
rings, one requires counterexamples to show that certain con-
ditions are not sufficient for commutativity. For example,
if \((xy)^2 = x^2y^2\) for all \(x, y \in R\) and either of the following
conditions holds then \(R\) is commutative:

\[(a)\] \(R\) has unity; \quad \[(b)\] \(R\) has no non-zero
nilpotent elements.

To show that some such additional condition is necessary,
it is enough to produce a non-commutative ring in which \(x^2 = 0\)
for all \(x \in R\). In this note, for finite rings, we pose the
question, "what is the order of a smallest noncommutative anti-
commutative ring?" and show that the answer is 27. Since
this number is odd, we see that it is also the answer to the
question, "what is the order of a smallest noncommutative ring
satisfying the identity \(x^2 = 0\)?".
First of all we produce a ring of order 27 with the desired properties. Let \( A = (a_{ij}) \) be the ring of those \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices with entries in the field \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), such that \( a_{ij} = 0 \) if \( j \neq i \), \( a_{11} = 0 \), \( a_{24} = a_{13} \) and \( a_{43} = -a_{12} \). Then it is easily checked that \( R \) is a noncommutative anticommutative ring of order 27.

In more abstract terms, \( R \) can be expressed as follows: if \( C_n \) is the cyclic group of order \( n \) and \( \oplus \) denotes the direct sum of groups, then \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}_3 = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \oplus \langle c \rangle \), where \( a^2 = b^2 = c^4 = ac = bc = ca = cb = 0 \), \( ab = -ba = c \) determines the multiplicative operation in \( R \).

We proceed to show that no ring of order less than 27 can be both noncommutative and anticommutative, so let \( R \) be a ring with these properties. Since every finite ring is the direct sum of rings of prime-power order and since a direct sum of rings is anticommutative if and only if each of its direct summands is anticommutative, we may confine our attention to rings of prime-power order. If \( (R,+) \) is cyclic, then \( R \) is commutative - this eliminates rings of prime order and if \( |R| = p^2 \) for some prime \( p \), we may assume \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_p \).

Clearly, we may also eliminate rings of characteristic 2.

Thus we need only consider the following values of \( |R| \) with corresponding structures for \( (R,+) \):

1. \( |R| = 8 \), \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_4 \);
2. \( |R| = 9 \), \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}_3 \);
3. \( |R| = 16 \), \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_2 \);
4. \( |R| = 25 \), \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_5 \oplus \mathbb{C}_5 \).

We can eliminate 9 and 25 using the following result.

**Lemma.** If \( p \) is an odd prime, then \( \mathbb{C}_p \oplus \mathbb{C}_p \) cannot be the additive group of a noncommutative anticommutative ring.

**Proof.** Let \( R \) be a counterexample and let \( (R,+) = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \). Since \( R \) is anticommutative, \( x.x + x.x = 0 \) for all \( x \in R \), so \( x^2 = 0 \), since \( |R| \) is odd. If \( ab = 0 \) then \( ab + ba = 0 \).

If \( ab = ra + sb \) where \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then \( a^2b = ra^2 + s \), so \( sab = 0 \) and so \( s = 0 \). Finally \( ab = ra \), so \( ab^2 = rab = 0 \), which gives \( ab = 0 \), a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_4 \) or \( \mathbb{C}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_6 \) and \( R = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \), where \( b \) has order 4 or 8. In either case, \( 2ab = (2ab)b = 0 \), so \( 2ab = ab + ba \), and \( R \) is commutative. The case \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}_2 \) is dismissed in a similar manner.

We are left with the possibility that \( (R,+) = \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_4 \). Suppose that \( (R,+) = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \) where \( 4a = 4b = 0 \). Consider first the case where \( a^2 = b^2 = 0 \). Then we get a contradiction, as in the proof of the lemma. Thus we may assume that one generator (a say) satisfies \( a^2 \neq 0 \). Since \( 2a^2 = 0 \), \( a^2 \in \{2a, 4a, 2a+2b\} \), the set of elements of order 2 in \( R \). Suppose first that \( a^2 = 2a \) and let \( ab = ra + sb \), where \( r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then \( 2ab = a^2b = a(ab) = ra^2 + sab = 2ra + sab \). This gives \( (sr)a + s(s-2)b = 0 \). Hence \( s \) is even and if \( s \neq 0 \), \( r \) is even. This implies that \( ab \) has order 2 and so \( R \) is commutative, a contradiction. Thus \( s = 0 \) and \( ab = ra \), \( r = 1 \). Then \( ab^2 = (ab)b = rab = r^2a = a \), so \( 2ab^2 = 2a(b^2) = 0 \), a contradiction.

Finally, we may suppose that \( a^2 = 2b \), since if \( a^2 = 2a+2b \) we may replace \( b \) in the basis by \( a+b \). If \( ab = ra + sb \), we get \( (rs)a + (2r+s^2)b = 0 \). Thus \( s \) is even and if \( s \neq 0 \), \( r \) is even also, so \( ab \) has order 2, a contradiction. Hence \( s = 0 \), so \( 2r + s^2 = 0 \), \( r \) is even, \( 2ab = 0 \) and we are finished.

Let \( S \) be the ring of order 32 where \( (S,+) = \langle a \rangle \oplus \langle b \rangle \) \( \mathbb{C}_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}_8 \), with \( a^2 = 4a, b^2 = 2b \), \( ab = -ba = 2a \). Then \( S \) is a noncommutative anticommutative ring of order a power of 2.

By our previous analysis, \( S \) is a smallest such 2-ring and in addition, \( S \) is a smallest such ring of even order. Finally, we observe that \( S \) is a smallest ring of the desired type such that \( (S,+) \) is a 2-generator group.
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