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#### Abstract

We offer a global bound for an abstract Jensen's functional. Particularly, the results from Simić [Rocky Mount. J. Math., 41 (2011), no. 6, 2021-2031] are reobtained. Applications to integral inequalities and the theory of means are pointed out.


## 1. Introduction

Let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, and $x_{i} \in[a, b]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Let $\underline{p}=\left\{p_{i}\right\}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}=1, p_{i}>0(i=\overline{1, n})$ be a sequence of positive weights. Put $\underline{x}=\left\{x_{i}\right\}$. Then the Jensen functional $J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x})$ is defined by

$$
J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) .
$$

In a recent paper [7] the following global bounds have been proved:
Theorem 1.1. Let $f, \underline{p}, \underline{x}$ be defined as above, and let $p, q \geq 0, p+q=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x}) \leq \max _{p}[p f(a)+q f(b)-f(p a+q b)] . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of (1.1) is the classical Jensen inequality. Both bounds of $J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x})$ in (1.1) are global, as they depend only on $f$ and the interval $[a, b]$.

As it is shown in [7], the upper bound in relation (1.1) refines many earlier results, and in fact it is the best possible bound. In what follows, we will show

[^0]that, this result has been discovered essentially by the present author in 1991 [4], and in fact this is true in a general framework for positive linear functionals defined on the space of all continuous functions defined on $[a, b]$.

In paper [4], as a particular case of a more general result, the following is proved:

Theorem 1.2. Let $f, \underline{p}, \underline{x}$ as above. Then one has the double inequality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}\right)\left[\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right]+\frac{b f(a)-a f(b)}{b-a} \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The right side of (1.2) follows from the fact that the graph of $f$ is below the graph of line passing through the points $(a, f(a)),(b, f(b))$ :

$$
f(x) \leq(x-a) \frac{f(b)}{b-a}+(b-x) \frac{f(a)}{b-a}
$$

By letting $x=x_{i}$, and multiplying both sides with $p_{i}$, after summation we get the right side of (1.2) (the left side is Jensen's inequality).

Now, remark that the right side of (1.2) can be written also as

$$
f(a)\left[\frac{b-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}}{b-a}\right]+f(b)\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}-a}{b-a}\right] .
$$

Therefore, by denoting

$$
\frac{b-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}}{b-a}=p \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}-a}{b-a}=q
$$

we get $p \geq 0, p+q=1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}=p a+q b$. Thus, from (1.2) we get

$$
0 \leq J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x}) \leq p f(a)+q f(b)-f(p a+q b)
$$

and this immediately gives Theorem 1.1.

## 2. An extension

Let $C[a, b]$ denote the space of all continuous functions defined on $[a, b]$, and let $L: C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear and positive functional defined on $C[a, b]$ i.e. satisfying $L\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)=L\left(f_{1}\right)+L\left(f_{2}\right), L(\lambda f)=\lambda L(f)(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ and $L(f) \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$. Define $e_{k}(x)=x^{k}$ for $x \in[a, b]$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$.

The following result has been discovered independently by Lupaş [2] and Sándor [4]:

Theorem 2.1. Let $f$ be convex and $L$, $e_{k}$ as above and suppose that $L\left(e_{0}\right)=1$. Then we have the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(L\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \leq L(f) \leq L\left(e_{1}\right)\left[\frac{f(b)-f(a)}{b-a}\right]+\frac{b f(a)-a f(b)}{b-a} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the proof of (2.1) is based on basic properties of convex functions (e.g. $f \in C[a, b]$ ). Particularly, the right side follows on similar lines as shown for the right side of (1.2).

Define now the generalized Jensen functional as follows:

$$
J_{f}(L)=L(f)-f\left(L\left(e_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Then the following extension of Theorem 1.1 holds true:
Theorem 2.2. Let $f, L, p, q$ be as above. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq J_{f}(L) \leq \max _{p}[p f(a)+q f(b)-f(p a+q b)]=T_{f}(a, b) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is similar to the method shown in the case of Theorem 1.2. Remark that the right side of (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$
f(a) p+f(b) q
$$

where

$$
p=\frac{b-L\left(e_{1}\right)}{b-a} \quad \text { and } \quad q=\frac{L\left(e_{1}\right)-a}{b-a} .
$$

As $e_{1}(x)=x$ and $a \leq x \leq b$, we get $a \leq L\left(e_{1}\right) \leq b$, the functional $L$ being a positive one. Thus $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$ and $p+q=1$. Moreover, $L\left(e_{1}\right)=p a+q b$; so relation (2.2) is an immediate consequence of (2.1).

By letting $L(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)$, which is a linear and positive functional, we get $J_{f}(L)=J_{f}(\underline{p}, \underline{x})$, so Theorem 1.1 is reobtained.

Let now $k:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly positive, integrable function, and $g:[a, b] \rightarrow$ $[a, b]$ such that $f[g(x)]$ is integrable on $[a, b]$. Define

$$
L_{g}(f)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f[g(x)] d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}
$$

It is immediate that $L_{g}$ is a positive linear functional, with $L_{g}\left(e_{0}\right)=1$.
Since

$$
L\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}
$$

by denoting

$$
J_{f}(k, g)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f[g(x)] d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}-f\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}\right)
$$

we can deduce from Theorem 2.2 a corollary. Moreover, as in the discrete case, the obtained bound is best possible:

Theorem 2.3. Let $f, k, g$ as above, and let $p, q \geq 0, p+q=1$. Then

$$
0 \leq J_{f}(k, g) \leq T_{f}(a, b)
$$

The upper bound in (2.3) is best possible.
Proof. Relation (2.3) is a particular case of (2.2) applied to $L_{g}$ and $J_{f}(k, g)$ above.
In order to prove that the upper bound in (2.3) is best possible, let $p_{0} \in[0,1]$ be the point at which the maximum $T_{f}(a, b)$ is attained (see [7]). Let $c \in[a, b]$ be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{c} k(x) d x=p_{0} \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p_{0}=0$ then put $c=a$; while for $p_{0}=1$, put $c=b$. When $p_{0} \in(0,1)$ remark that the application

$$
h(t)=\int_{a}^{t} k(x) d x-p_{0} \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x
$$

has the property $h(a)<0$ and $h(b)>0$; so there exist $t_{0}=c \in(a, b)$ such that $h(c)=0$, i.e. (2.3) is proved.

Now, select $g(x)$ as follows:

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a, & \text { if } & a \leq x \leq c \\
b, & \text { if } & c \leq x \leq b
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x=a \int_{a}^{c} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x \\
+b \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x=a p_{0}+b q_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $q_{0}=1-p_{0}$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f[g(x)] d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x=f(a) \int_{a}^{c} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x \\
+f(b) \int_{c}^{b} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x=p_{0} f(a)+q_{0} f(b) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that

$$
J_{f}(k, g)=p_{0} f(a)+q_{0} f(b)-f\left(a p_{0}+b q_{0}\right)=T_{f}(a, b)
$$

Therefore, the equality is attained at the right side of (2.3), which means that this bound is best possible.

## 3. Applications

a) The left side of (2.3) is the generalized form of the famous Jensen integral inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}\right) \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f[g(x)] d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with many application in various fields of Mathematics.
For $f(x)=-\ln x$, this has a more familiar form.
Now, the right side of (2.1) applied to $L=L_{g}$ gives the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f[g(x)] d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x} \leq \frac{b-u}{b-a} f(a)+\frac{u-a}{b-a} f(b) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
u=L\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}
$$

Inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) offer an extension of the famous Hadamard inequalities (or Jensen-Hadamard, or Hermite-Hadamard inequalities) (see e.g. [1, 3, 4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (3.1) and (3.2) for $g(x)=x$, we get from (3.1) and (3.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(v) \leq \frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) f(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x} \leq \frac{(b-v) f(a)+(v-a) f(b)}{b-a} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v=\frac{\int_{a}^{b} x k(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}
$$

When $k(x) \equiv 1$, inequality (3.4) reduces to (3.3).
b) Let $a, b>0$ and $G=G(a, b)=\sqrt{a b} ; L=L(a, b)=\frac{b-a}{\ln b-\ln a}(a \neq b)$, $L(a, a)=a, I=I(a, b)=\frac{1}{e}\left(b^{b} / a^{a}\right)^{1 /(b-a)}(a \neq b), I(a, a)=a$ be the well-known geometric, logarithmic and identric means.

In our paper [5] the following generalized means have been introduced (assume $a \neq b)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln I_{k}(a, b) & =\int_{a}^{b} k(x) \ln x d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x \\
A_{k}(a, b) & =\int_{a}^{b} x k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x \\
L_{k}(a, b) & =\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) / x d x \\
G_{k}^{2}(a, b) & =\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} k(x) / x^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $I_{1} \equiv I, A_{1} \equiv A, L_{1} \equiv L, G_{1} \equiv G$.
Applying inequality (2.3) for $f(x)=-\ln x$, and using the fact that in this case $T_{f}(a, b)=\ln \frac{L \cdot I}{G^{2}}($ see $[7])$, we get the inequalities

$$
0 \leq \ln \left(\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x}\right)-\frac{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) \ln g(x) d x}{\int_{a}^{b} k(x) d x} \leq \ln \frac{L \cdot I}{G^{2}}
$$

For $g(x)=x$, with the above notations, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \frac{A_{k}}{I_{k}} \leq \frac{L \cdot I}{G^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the right side of inequality (3.4) for the same function

$$
f(x)=-\ln x
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{k}}{L} \leq 1+\ln \left(\frac{I \cdot I_{k}}{G^{2}}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the remark that

$$
\ln (e \cdot I)=\frac{b \ln b-a \ln a}{b-a} \quad \text { and } \quad \ln G^{2}-\ln (e \cdot I)=\frac{b \ln a-a \ln b}{b-a} .
$$

Note that the more complicated inequality (3.6) is a slightly stronger than the right side of (3.5), as by the classical inequality $\ln x \leq x-1(x>0)$ one has

$$
\ln \left(\frac{I \cdot I_{k}}{G^{2}}\right)+1 \leq \frac{I \cdot I_{k}}{G^{2}}
$$

so

$$
\frac{A_{k}}{L} \leq 1+\ln \left(\frac{I \cdot I_{k}}{G^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{I \cdot I_{k}}{G^{2}}
$$

These inequalities seem to be new even in the case $k(x) \equiv 1$. For $k(x)=e^{x}$ one obtains the exponential mean $A_{e^{x}}=E$, where

$$
E(a, b)=\frac{b e^{b}-a e^{a}-1}{b-a}
$$

The mean $I_{e^{x}}$ has been called as the "identric exponential mean" in [6], where other inequalities for these means have been obtained.
c) Applying inequality (2.3) for $g(x)=\ln x, f(x)=e^{x}$, we get

$$
0 \leq A_{k}-I_{k} \leq \frac{e^{b}-e^{a}}{b-a} \ln \left(\frac{e^{b}-e^{a}}{b-a}\right)+\frac{b e^{a}-a e^{b}}{b-a}-\frac{e^{b}-e^{a}}{b-a}
$$

where the right hand side is $T_{f}(a, b)$ for $f(x)=e^{x}$. This may be rewritten also as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq A_{k}(a, b)-I_{k}(a, b) \leq 2[A(x, y)-L(x, y)]-L(x, y) \ln \frac{I(x, y)}{L(x, y)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{a}=x, e^{b}=y$.
As in [5] it is proved that $\ln \frac{I}{L} \geq \frac{L-G}{L}$, the right side of (3.7) implies

$$
0 \leq A_{k}(a, b)-I_{k}(a, b) \leq 2 A(x, y)+G(x, y)-3 L(x, y)
$$

d) Finally, applying (3.4) for $f(x)=x \ln x$ and $k(x)$ replaced with $k(x) / x$, we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln L_{k} \leq \ln I_{k} \leq 1+\ln I-\frac{G^{2}}{L \cdot L_{k}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the identity $\frac{b \ln b-a \ln a}{b-a}=\ln I+1$ has been used. We note that for $k(x) \equiv 1$, inequality (3.8) offers a new proof of the classical relations

$$
G \leq L \leq I
$$
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