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#### Abstract

Starting from the classic definitions of resolvent set and spectrum of a linear bounded operator on a Banach space, we introduce the local resolvent set and local spectrum, the local spectral space and the single-valued extension property of a family of linear bounded operators on a Banach space. Keeping the analogy with the classic case, we extend some of the known results from the case of a linear bounded operator to the case of a family of linear bounded operators on a Banach space.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space and $B(X)$ the Banach algebra of linear bounded operators on $X$. Let $T$ be a linear bounded operator on $X$. The norm of $T$ is

$$
\|T\|=\sup \{\|T x\| \mid x \in X,\|x\| \leq 1\}
$$

The spectrum of an operator $T \in B(X)$ is defined as the set

$$
\operatorname{sp}(T)=\mathbb{C} \backslash r(T),
$$

where $r(T)$ is the resolvent set of $T$ and consists in all complex numbers $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the operator $\lambda I-T$ is bijective on $X$.
An operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to have the single-valued extension property if for any analytic function $f: D_{f} \rightarrow X$, where $D_{f} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, with $(\lambda I-T) f(\lambda) \equiv 0$, it results $f(\lambda) \equiv 0$.
For an operator $T \in B(X)$ having the single-valued extension property and for $x \in X$ we can consider the set $r_{T}(x)$ of elements $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there is an analytic function $\lambda \mapsto x(\lambda)$ defined in a neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$ with values in $X$, which verifies $(\lambda I-T) x(\lambda) \equiv x$. The set $r_{T}(x)$ is said the local resolvent set of $T$ at $x$, and the set $\mathrm{sp}_{T}(x)=\mathbb{C} \backslash r_{T}(x)$ is called the local spectrum of $T$ at $x$.

[^0]An analytic function $f_{x}: D_{x} \rightarrow X$, where $D_{x} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, is said the analytic extension of function $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, T) x$ if $r(T) \subset D_{x}$ and $(\lambda I-T) f_{x}(\lambda) \equiv x$.
If $T$ has the single-valued extension property, then, for any $x \in X$ there is a unique maximal analytic extension of function $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, T) x: r_{T}(x) \rightarrow X$, referred from now as $x(\lambda)$. Moreover, $r_{T}(x)$ is an open set of $C$ and $r(T) \subset r_{T}(x)$.
Let

$$
X_{T}(a)=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{sp}_{T}(x) \subset a\right\}
$$

be the local spectral space of $T$ for all sets $a \subset \mathbb{C}$. The space $X_{T}(a)$ is a linear subspace (not necessary closed) of $X$.
Two operators $T, S \in B(X)$ are quasinilpotent equivalent if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(T-S)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|(S-T)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0
$$

where $(T-S)^{[n]}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} C_{k}^{n} T^{k} S^{n-k}$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
The quasinilpotent equivalence relation is an equivalence relation (i.e. is reflexive, symmetric and transitive) on $B(X)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $T, S \in B(X)$ be two quasinilpotent equivalent operators. Then (i) $\operatorname{sp}(T)=\operatorname{sp}(S)$;
(ii)T has the single-valued extension property if an only if $S$ has the single-valued extension property. Moreover, $\mathrm{sp}_{T}(x)=\mathrm{sp}_{S}(x)$.

For an easier understanding of the results from this paper, we recall some definitions and results introduced in [4]; see also [1, 2, 3].
We say that two families of operators $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$, with $h \in(0,1]$, are asymptotically equivalent if

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}-T_{h}\right\|=0
$$

Two families of operators $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$, with $h \in(0,1]$, are asymptotically quasinilpotent (spectral) equivalent if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(T_{h}-S_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0
$$

The asymptotic (quasinilpotent) equivalence between two families of operators $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$, $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ is an equivalence relation (i.e. reflexive, symmetric and transitive) on $L(X)$. Moreover, if $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are two bounded asymptotically equivalent families, then are asymptotically quasinilpotent equivalent.
Let be the sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{b}((0,1], B(X))= \\
=\left\{\varphi:(0,1] \rightarrow B(X) \mid \varphi(h)=T_{h} \text { such that } \varphi \text { is countinous and bounded }\right\}= \\
=\left\{\left\{T_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \subset B(X) \mid\left\{T_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \text { is a bounded family, i.e. } \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\|<\infty\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{0}((0,1], B(X))=\left\{\varphi \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X)) \mid \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\|\varphi(h)\|=0\right\}= \\
=\left\{\left\{T_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \subset B(X) \mid \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h}\right\|=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$ is a Banach algebra non-commutative with norm

$$
\left\|\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\|
$$

and $C_{0}((0,1], B(X))$ is a closed bilateral ideal of $C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$. Therefore the quotient algebra $C_{b}((0,1], B(X)) / C_{0}((0,1], B(X))$, which will be called from now $B_{\infty}$, is also a Banach algebra with quotient norm

$$
\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{U_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in C_{0}((0,1], B(X))}\left\|\left\{T_{h}\right\}+\left\{U_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}\left\|\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right\|
$$

Then

$$
\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}\left\|\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|S_{h}\right\|,
$$

for any $\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\}}\left\|\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|S_{h}\right\|
$$

If two bounded families $\left\{T_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]},\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \subset B(X)$ are asymptotically equivalent, then $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}-T_{h}\right\|=0$, i.e. $\left\{T_{h}-S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in C_{0}((0,1], B(X))$.
Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]},\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$ be asymptotically equivalent. Then

$$
\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}\right\|=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h}\right\|
$$

Since

$$
\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}\right\| \leq \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|S_{h}\right\|
$$

results that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\}} \limsup _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}\right\| \leq \\
\leq \inf _{\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|S_{h}\right\|=\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$.
In particular

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \lim _{h}\left\|T_{h}\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\|
$$

Definition 1.2. We say $\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\} \in B_{\infty}$ are spectral equivalent if

$$
\lim _{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|\left(\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right)^{[\mathbf{n}]}\right\|\right)^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}}}=\lim _{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}-\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}\right)^{[\mathbf{n}]}\right\|\right)^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}}}=0
$$

where $\left(\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right)^{[n]}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} C_{n}^{k}\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}^{k}\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}^{n-k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right)^{[n]} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} C_{n}^{k}\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}^{k}\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}^{n-k} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-k} C_{n}^{k} S_{h}{ }^{k} T_{h}{ }^{n-k}\right\}=\left\{\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\} \in B_{\infty}$ are spectral equivalent if

$$
\lim _{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\{\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\}\right\|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}}}=\lim _{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\{\left(T_{h}-S_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\}\right\|^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}}}=0
$$

Proposition 1.3. If $\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\} \in B_{\infty}$ are spectral equivalent, then any $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically spectral equivalent.

Proof. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ be arbitrary. Thus

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\left\{\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}
$$

Since $\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\} \in B_{\infty}$ are spectral equivalent, by Definition 1.2 and above relation, it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0
$$

Analogously we can prove that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(T_{h}-S_{h}\right)^{[n]}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}=0$.
Proposition 1.4. Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\},\left\{S_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be two continuous bounded families. Then $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h} S_{h}-S_{h} T_{h}\right\|=0$ if and only if $\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}=\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}$.

Proof. $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h} S_{h}-S_{h} T_{h}\right\|=0 \Leftrightarrow\left\{T_{h} \dot{S}_{h}\right\}=\left\{S_{h} \dot{T}_{h}\right\} \Leftrightarrow\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}=\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}$.
Definition 1.5. We call the resolvent set of a family of operators $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$ the set

$$
\begin{gathered}
r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists\left\{\mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X)), \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)-I\right\|=\right. \\
\left.=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right)-I\right\|=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

We call the spectrum of a family of operators $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$ the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right) . \\
& \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)=\mathbb{C} \backslash r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$ is an open set of $C$. If $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ is a bounded family, then $\operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$ is a compact set of $C$.

Remark 1.6. (i) If $\lambda \in r\left(S_{h}\right)$ for any $h \in(0,1]$, then $\lambda \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$. So $\bigcap_{h \in(0,1]} r\left(S_{h}\right) \subseteq$ $r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$;
(ii) If $\lambda \in \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$, then $|\lambda| \leq \lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}{ }^{n}\right\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$;
(iii) If $\left\|S_{h}\right\|<|\lambda|$ for any $h \in(0,1]$, then $\lambda \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$;
(iv) If $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ is bounded, then $\left\{\mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\right\}$ is also bounded, for every $\lambda \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$;
(v) If $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ is bounded, then $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\right\| \neq 0$, for every $\lambda \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$.

Proposition 1.7. (resolvent equation - asymptotic) Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be a bounded family and $\lambda, \mu \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$. Then

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)-\mathcal{R}\left(\mu, S_{h}\right)-(\mu-\lambda) \mathcal{R}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right) \mathcal{R}\left(\mu, S_{h}\right)\right\|=0
$$

Proposition 1.8. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be a bounded family. If $\lambda \in r\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)$ and

$$
\left.\left\{\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X)), i=\overline{1,2}\right\}
$$

such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right) \mathcal{R}_{i}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)-I\right\|=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{i}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right)-I\right\|=0
$$

for $i=\overline{1,2}$, then

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)-\mathcal{R}_{2}\left(\lambda, S_{h}\right)\right\|=0
$$

Theorem 1.9. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$. Then

$$
\operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)
$$

Theorem 1.10. If two bounded families $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ are asymptotically equivalent, then

$$
\operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{S_{h}\right\}\right)=\operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)
$$

## 2. Local Spectrum of a Family of Operators

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the set of analytic functions families $\left\{f_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]}$ defined on an open complex set with values in a Banach space $X$, having property

$$
\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\|<\infty
$$

for any $\lambda$ from definition set.
Definition 2.1. A bounded continue family of operators $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ we said to have single-valued extension property, if for any family of analytic functions $\left\{f_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in \mathcal{O}$, $f_{h}: D \rightarrow X$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, with property

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0
$$

it results $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$.
Remark 2.2. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be two bounded continue families of operators asymptotically equivalent . If $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ has single-valued extension property, then $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has also single-valued extension property.

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in \mathcal{O}$ be a family of functions, $f_{h}: D \rightarrow X$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, with $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\|=\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}-T_{h}+T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \leq \\
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\|+\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \leq \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right)\right\| \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\|,
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $\lambda \in D$.
Raking into account $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically equivalent, it follows

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0
$$

Since $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has single-valued extension property, we obtain $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$, thus $\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ has single-valued extension property.

Definition 2.3. Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be a family with single-valued extension property and $x \in X$. From now we consider $r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$ being the set of elements $\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that there are the analytic functions from $\mathcal{O} \lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)$ defined on an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0} D \subset r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$ with values in $X$ for any $h \in(0,1]$, having property

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0
$$

$r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$ is called the local resolvent set of $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ at $x$.
The local spectrum of $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ at $x$ is defined as the set

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=\mathbb{C} \backslash r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)
$$

We also define the local spectral space of $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ as

$$
X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a\right\}
$$

for all sets $a \subset \mathbb{C}$.
Let be the set
$X_{b}((0,1], X)=\left\{\varphi:(0,1] \rightarrow X \mid \varphi(h)=x_{h}\right.$ such that $\varphi$ is continue and bounded $\}=$ $=\left\{\left\{x_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \subset X \mid\left\{x_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]}\right.$ a bounded sequence, i.e. $\left.\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|x_{h}\right\|<\infty\right\}$.
and

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{0}((0,1], X)=\left\{\varphi \in X_{b}((0,1], X) \mid \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\|\varphi(h)\|=0\right\}= \\
=\left\{\left\{x_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \subset X \mid \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}\right\|=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$X_{b}((0,1], X)$ is a Banach space in rapport with norm

$$
\|\varphi\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\|\varphi(h)\| \Leftrightarrow\left\|\left\{x_{h}\right\}\right\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|x_{h}\right\|
$$

and $X_{0}((0,1], X)$ is a closed subspace of $X_{b}((0,1], X)$. Therefore, the quotient space $X_{b}((0,1], X) / X_{0}((0,1], X)$, which will be called from now $X_{\infty}$, is a Banach space in rapport with quotient norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{u_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in X_{0}((0,1], X)}\left\|\left\{x_{h}\right\}+\left\{u_{h}\right\}\right\|= \\
& =\inf _{\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\{\dot{x}\}}\left\|\left\{y_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|y_{h}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{\left.x_{h}\right\}}\right.}\left\|\left\{y_{h}\right\}\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{y_{h}\right\}\right\|=\sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|y_{h}\right\|
$$

for all $\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}$.
Let $B_{\infty}=C_{b}((0,1], B(X)) / C_{0}((0,1], B(X))$ and we consider the application $\Psi$ defines by

$$
\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right) \longmapsto\left\{T_{h} \dot{x_{h}}\right\}: B_{\infty} \times X_{\infty} \rightarrow X_{\infty}
$$

Remark 2.4. $X_{\infty}$ is a $B_{\infty}-$ Banach module in rapport with the above application.

Proof. Is the application well defined (i.e. not depending by selection of representatives)?
Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h} y_{h}-T_{h} x_{h}\right\|=\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h} y_{h}-T_{h} y_{h}+T_{h} y_{h}-T_{h} x_{h}\right\| \leq \\
\leq \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h} y_{h}-T_{h} y_{h}\right\|+\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h} y_{h}-T_{h} x_{h}\right\| \leq \\
\leq \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}-T_{h}\right\| \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|y_{h}\right\|+\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h}\right\| \lim \left\|y_{h}-x_{h}\right\|=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $\left\{S_{h} y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T_{h}} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}$, for any $\left\{S_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}$. Is $\Psi$ a bilinear application?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi\left(\alpha\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}+\beta\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)=\Psi\left(\left\{\alpha T_{h} \dot{+} \beta S_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)= \\
=\left\{\left(\alpha T_{h}+\beta S_{h}\right) x_{h}\right\}=\left\{\alpha T_{h} x_{h} \dot{+} \beta S_{h} x_{h}\right\}= \\
=\alpha\left\{T_{h} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}+\beta\left\{S_{h} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}=\alpha \Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)+\beta \Psi\left(\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
Analogously we can prove that

$$
\Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}, \alpha\left\{\dot{y_{h}}\right\}+\beta\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)=\alpha \Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{y_{h}}\right\}\right)+\beta \Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)
$$

Is $\Psi$ a continue application?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left\{T_{h} \dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right\|= \\
=\inf _{\left\{\vec{T}_{h} x_{h}\right\}}\left\|\left\{T_{h} x_{h}\right\}\right\|=\inf _{\left\{\vec{T}_{h} x_{h}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h} x_{h}\right\| \leq \\
\leq \inf _{\left\{T_{h} x_{h}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\|\left\|x_{h}\right\| \leq \inf _{\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\},\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\|\left\|x_{h}\right\| \leq \\
\leq \inf _{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|T_{h}\right\| \inf _{\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}} \sup _{h \in(0,1]}\left\|x_{h}\right\|=\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|\left\|\left\{\dot{x}_{h}\right\}\right\|
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $\left\|\Psi\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\},\left\{\dot{x}_{h}\right\}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|\left\|\left\{\dot{x}_{h}\right\}\right\|$.
Let $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\} \in B_{\infty}$ be fixed. The application $\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\} \longmapsto\left\{\vec{T}_{h} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}$ is a linear bounded operator on $X_{\infty}$ ?

$$
\left\{T_{h}\left(\alpha x_{h}+\beta y_{h}\right)\right\}=\left\{\alpha T_{h} x_{h}+\dot{\beta} T_{h} y_{h}\right\}=\alpha\left\{\dot{T_{h}} x_{h}\right\}+\beta\left\{T_{h} y_{h}\right\}
$$

In addition, since

$$
\left\|\left\{T_{h} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}\right\| \leq\left\|\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right\|\left\|\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right\|
$$

it follows the application $\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\} \longmapsto\left\{T_{h} \dot{x}_{h}\right\}$ is a bounded operator.
Therefore, $B_{\infty} \subseteq B\left(X_{\infty}\right)$, where $B\left(X_{\infty}\right)$ is the algebra of linear bounded operators on $X_{\infty}$.

Definition 2.5. We say that $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ has single-valued extension property if for any analytic function $f: D_{0} \rightarrow X_{\infty}$, where $D_{0}$ is an open complex set with $\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) f(\lambda) \equiv 0$, we have $f(\lambda) \equiv 0$, where $0=\{\dot{0}\}=X_{0}((0,1], X)$.

Since $f(\lambda) \in X_{\infty}$, it follows there is $\left.\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\} \in X_{\infty}$ such that $f(\lambda)=\left\{x_{h} \dot{(\lambda)}\right\}$. Then

$$
0 \equiv\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) f(\lambda)=\left\{\lambda I-T_{h}\right\}\left\{x_{h}(\lambda)\right\}=\left\{\left(\lambda I-\dot{T}_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\}
$$

i.e. $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\|=0$.

Definition 2.6. We say $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ has the single-valued extension property if for any analytic function $f: D_{0} \rightarrow X_{\infty}$, where $D_{0}$ is an open complex set with $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$ we have $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$.
The resolvent set of an element $\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\} \in X_{\infty}$ in rapport with $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ is $r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}\left(\left\{\dot{x_{h}}\right\}\right)=\left\{\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists\right.$ an analytic function $\left.\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right)\left\{x_{h}(\lambda)\right\} \equiv\left\{\dot{x}_{h}\right\}\right\}=$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\left\{\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists \text { an analytic function } \lambda \mapsto\left\{x_{h} \dot{( }\right)\right\}: V_{\lambda_{0}} \rightarrow X_{\infty} \\
\left.\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x_{h}\right\| \equiv 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

when $V_{\lambda_{0}}$ is an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$.
Let $\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty}$, where $\{\dot{x}\}=\left\{\left\{x_{h}\right\} \in X_{b}((0,1], X) \mid \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}-x\right\|=0\right\}$.
We will call from now

$$
X_{\infty}^{0}=\left\{\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid x \in X\right\} \subset X_{\infty}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=\left\{\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists \text { an analytic function } \lambda \mapsto\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}: V_{\lambda_{0}} \rightarrow X_{\infty} \\
\left.\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2.7. $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ has the single-valued extension property if and only if there is $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ with single-valued extension property.

Proof. Let $\left\{f_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in \mathcal{O}, f_{h}: D \rightarrow X$, be a family of analytic functions, when $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, with $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$.
Since $\left\{f_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in \mathcal{O}$, it follows that $\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\|<\infty$, for all $\lambda \in D$. Thus $\left\{f_{h}(\lambda)\right\} \in X_{b}((0,1], X)$.
Let $f: D \rightarrow X_{\infty}$ be an application defined by $\left.f(\lambda)=\left\{f_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}$. We prove that $f$ is an analytic function.
Having in view $\left\{f_{h}\right\}$ are analytic functions on $D$, for any $\lambda_{0} \in D$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{f(\lambda)-f\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{\left\{f_{h} \dot{(\lambda)\}-\left\{f_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right\}}\right.}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}= \\
= & \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}\left\{\frac{f_{h}(\lambda)-f_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}\right\}=\left\{\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{f_{h}(\lambda)-f_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\lambda \in D$. Therefore, $f$ is analytic function on $D$.
By relation $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$, i.e. $\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) f(\lambda) \equiv\{\dot{0}\}$, since $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property, it follows that $f() \equiv\{\dot{0}\}$, i.e.

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|f_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0
$$

Hence $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property.

Reciprocal: Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property. We prove $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ has also the single-valued extension property.
Let $f: D \rightarrow X_{\infty}$ be an analytic application defined by $\left.f(\lambda)=\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) f(\lambda) \equiv\{\dot{0}\}
$$

Then $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$.
We prove that the applications $\lambda \longmapsto x_{h}(\lambda): D \rightarrow X$ are analytical for all $h \in(0,1]$. Since $f$ is analytical function, it follows that
$f^{\prime}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{f(\lambda)-f\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{\left.\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}-\left\{x_{h} \dot{\left.\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right\}}\right.}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}}\left\{\frac{x_{h}(\lambda)-x_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}\right\}$.
Therefore, there is $\left\{\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{x_{h}(\lambda)-x_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}}\right\} \in X_{\infty}$ and thus there is $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}} \frac{x_{h}(\lambda)-x_{h}\left(\lambda_{0}\right)}{\lambda-\lambda_{0}} \in$ $X$ for all $h \in(0,1]$.
Since $\left(\lambda\{\dot{I}\}-\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) f(\lambda) \equiv\{\dot{0}\}$, i.e. $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$, taking into account $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property, we have $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \equiv 0$, i.e. $\left.\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}=\{\dot{0}\}$. Therefore, $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property.

Proposition 2.8. Let $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ with the single-valued extension property. Then

$$
r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=r_{\left\{\dot{T_{h}}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\}),
$$

for all $x \in X$.
Proof. If $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ has the single-valued extension property, then $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \in\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property (Theorem 2.7).
Let $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$. Hence there are the analytic functions from $\mathcal{O} \lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)$ defined on an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0} D \subset r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$ with values in $X$ for all $h \in(0,1]$, having property

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0
$$

Similar to proof of Theorem 2.7, we prove that the application $f: D \rightarrow X_{\infty}$ defined by $\left.f(\lambda)=\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}$ is analytical. Thus $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})$.

## Reciprocal: Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=\{ & \left.\lambda_{0} \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists \text { an analytic function } \lambda \mapsto\left\{x_{h} \dot{( } \lambda\right)\right\}: V_{\lambda_{0}} \rightarrow X_{\infty} \\
& \left.\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Analog proof of Theorem 2.7, we prove that the applications $\lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda): V_{\lambda_{0}} \rightarrow X$ are analytical for all $h \in(0,1]$. Thus $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$.

Remark 2.9. Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be a continuous bounded family of operators having the single-valued extension property and $x \in X$. Then
(i) $r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right) \subset r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$.
(ii) $X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)=X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}\left(\operatorname{sp}\left\{T_{h}\right\} \bigcap a\right)$, for each $a \subset \mathbb{C}$.
(iii) Let $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$ and the families of holomorphic function from $\mathcal{O} \lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \mapsto y_{h}(\lambda)$ defined on $D$, an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$, with values in $X$ for all $h \in(0,1]$, having properties

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) y_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=0
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$. Then

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}(\lambda)-y_{h}(\lambda)\right\|=0
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$.
(iv) If $\left\{T_{h}\right\},\left\{S_{h}\right\} \in C_{b}((0,1], B(X))$ are asymptotically equivalent, then

$$
r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=r_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(x) \quad(x \in X)
$$

Proof. (i) By Proposition 2.8 we have

$$
r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \quad(x \in X) .
$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.9, we know that

$$
r\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right)=r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)
$$

Combing the above relations, we obtain

$$
r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)=r\left(\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}\right) \subset r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \quad(x \in X)
$$

(ii) By i) it results

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)
$$

Therefore $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a \bigcap \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right),
$$

i.e. $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}\left(a \bigcap \operatorname{sp}\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)\right)$.
(iii) By Definition 2.3., it results that the analytic functions $\lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)$ are defined on an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0} D_{1} \subset r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)$ with values in $X$ and the analytic functions $\lambda \mapsto y_{h}(\lambda)$ are defined on an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0} D_{2} \subset r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)$ on $X$.
Let $D \subset D_{1} \bigcap D_{2} \subset r\left(\left\{T_{h}\right\}\right)$ be an open neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$.
Since

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) y_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=0,
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$, thus

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) y_{h}(\lambda)\right\|=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right)\left(x_{h}(\lambda)-y_{h}(\lambda)\right)\right\|=0,
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$.
Having in view that the families of functions $\mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \mapsto y_{h}(\lambda)$ are analytical on D , hence the functions $\lambda \mapsto x_{h}(\lambda)-y_{h}(\lambda)$ are analytical. Since $\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ has the single-valued extension property, it follows that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}(\lambda)-y_{h}(\lambda)\right\|=0
$$

for all $\lambda \in D$.
(iv) Let $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)$. Then there is a family of functions $\left\{x_{h}\right\}$ from $\mathcal{O}$, with the property

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}-T_{h}+T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \leq \\
\leq \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|+\varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(S_{h}-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| \leq \\
\leq \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|S_{h}-T_{h}\right\| \varlimsup_{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|x_{h}(\lambda)\right\| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left\{T_{h}\right\},\left\{S_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically equivalent, by above relation it follows that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-S_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\| \equiv 0
$$

Therefore $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(x)$.
Proposition 2.10. Let $\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be a continuous bounded family of operators having the single-valued extension property. Then
(i) For any $a \subset b$ we have $X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a) \subset X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(b)$;
(ii) $X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$ is a linear sub-space of $X$ for all $a \subset \mathbb{C}$;
(iii) $\left\{\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)\right\}=X_{\infty}^{0} \cap X_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}($ a) for all $a \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. (i) Let $a, b \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $a \subset b$ and $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$. Then $\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a$, and thus $\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset b$. Therefore $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(b)$.
(ii) Let $x, y \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In addition, for any $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \bigcap r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(y)$ there are the analytic functions families $\left\{x_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{h}\right\}$ defined on an open neighborhood $D$ of $\lambda_{0}$ such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|=0
$$

and

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) y_{h}(\lambda)-y\right\|=0
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$.
Let $z_{h}(\lambda)=\alpha x_{h}(\lambda)+\beta y_{h}(\lambda)$, for any $\lambda \in D$ and $h \in(0,1]$. Since $\left\{x_{h}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{h}\right\}$ are analytic functions families on $D$, it follows that $\left\{z_{h}\right\}$ is also an analytic functions family on $D$ and more

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) z_{h}(\lambda)-(\alpha x+\beta y)\right\| \leq \\
\leq|\alpha| \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) x_{h}(\lambda)-x\right\|+|\beta| \lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|\left(\lambda I-T_{h}\right) y_{h}(\lambda)-y\right\|=0
\end{gathered}
$$

for each $\lambda \in D$.
Therefor $\lambda_{0} \in r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(\alpha x+\beta y)$ and

$$
r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \bigcap r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(y) \subset r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(\alpha x+\beta y)
$$

Moreover

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(\alpha x+\beta y) \subset \operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \bigcup \mathrm{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(y) .
$$

Since $x, y \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$, i.e. $\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a$ and $\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(y) \subset a$, by above relation, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(\alpha x+\beta y) \subset a,
$$

hence $\alpha x+\beta y \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$.
(iii) By Proposition 2.8 we have $\left(r_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=r_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})\right)$, it follows that $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$ if and only if $\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(a)$. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)\right\}=\left\{\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid \operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a\right\}= \\
=\left\{\{\dot{x}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid \operatorname{sp}_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\}) \subset a\right\}=X_{\infty}^{0} \bigcap X_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(a)
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 2.11. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be two continuous bounded families of operators having the single-valued extension property, such that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h} S_{h}-S_{h} T_{h}\right\|=0$. If $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically spectral equivalent, then

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(x) \quad(x \in X)
$$

Proof. Since $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ have the single-valued extension property, by Theorem 2.7 it results that $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]},\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ have the single-valued extension property. If $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically spectral equivalent, by Proposition 1.3 have that $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]},\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]}$ are spectral equivalent. Moreover, we obtain that for any $\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]},\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}_{h \in(0,1]} \in B_{\infty}$ have the single-valued extension property and being spectral equivalent, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})
$$

for any $x \in X$.
Therefore, applying Proposition 2.8, we have

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{\dot{T}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{\dot{S}_{h}\right\}}(\{\dot{x}\})=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(x) \quad(x \in X)
$$

Remark 2.12. Let $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\} \subset B(X)$ be two continuous bounded families of operators having the single-valued extension property, such that $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}\left\|T_{h} S_{h}-S_{h} T_{h}\right\|=0$. If $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically spectral equivalent, then

$$
X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)=X_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(a),
$$

for any $a \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since $\left\{S_{h}\right\},\left\{T_{h}\right\}$ are asymptotically spectral equivalent, by Theorem 2.11, it follows that $\mathrm{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x)=\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Then, for any $x \in X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$, i.e. $\operatorname{sp}_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(x) \subset a$, it results that $x \in X_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(a)$, thus

$$
X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a) \subseteq X_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(a)
$$

Analog, we can show that $X_{\left\{S_{h}\right\}}(a) \subseteq X_{\left\{T_{h}\right\}}(a)$.
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