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#### Abstract

We study an Ishikawa type algorithm for two multi-valued quasinonexpansive maps on a special class of nonlinear spaces namely hyperbolic metric spaces; in particular, strong and $\triangle$-convergence theorems for the proposed algorithms are established in a uniformly convex hyperbolic space which improve and extend the corresponding known results in uniformly convex Banach spaces. Our new results are also valid in geodesic spaces.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

A nonempty subset $D$ of a metric space $X$ is called proximinal if for each $x \in X$, there exists an element $y \in D$ such that $d(x, y)=d(x, D)$, where $d(x, D)=\inf \{d(x, z): z \in D\}$. Let $C B(D), K(D)$ and $P(D)$ denote the family of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets; nonempty, compact subsets and nonempty, proximinal and bounded subsets of $D$, respectively. Hausdorff metric on $C B(D)$ is defined by:

$$
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \varepsilon A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \varepsilon B} d(y, A)\right\}
$$

for all $A, B \in C B(D)$.
Let $T: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ be a multi-valued map. An element $p \in D$ is a fixed point of $T$ if $p \in T p$. The set of all fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$.We say that $T$ is:
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(i) nonexpansive if $H(T x, T y) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$
(ii) quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $H(T x, T p) \leq d(x, p)$ for all $x \in D$ and all $p \in F(T)$
(iii) Lipschitzian if there exists a constant $L>0$ such that $H(T x, T y) \leq L$ $d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in D$
(iv) Lipschitzian quasi-nonexpansive if both (ii) and (iii) hold.

If $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then the class of multi-valued quasi-nonexpansive maps properly contains the class of multi-valued nonexpansive maps.

In 1968, Markin [15] established convergence results for multi-valued nonexpansive maps in a Hilbert space. Later, some classical fixed point theorems for single-valued maps were extended to multi-valued maps; for example, Banach Contraction Principle was extended for multi-valued contractive maps in complete metric spaces by Nadler [16]. Shimizu and Takahashi [20] established existence of fixed points of multi-valued nonexpansive maps in certain convex metric spaces. The study of multi-valued maps is a rapidly growing area of research (see, for instance [1, 18, 19, 22]).

The algorithms with error term for single-valued maps in Banach spaces have been studied by many authors, see, e.g., $[8,21]$ and references therein.

Recently, Cholamjiak and Suntai [4] proposed and analyzed algorithms with bounded error term for multi-valued maps in Banach spaces as follows:

Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ where $D$ is a convex subset of a Banach space. Then for $x_{1} \in D$, generate $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\alpha_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) u_{n}, n \geq 1  \tag{1.1}\\
& x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} z_{n}+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) v_{n}, \quad n \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}^{\prime} \in T_{1} x_{n}, z_{n} \in T_{2} y_{n}, 0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}, \beta_{n}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences in $D$.

Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be two multi-valued maps from $D$ into $P(D)$ and $P_{T_{i}} x=\left\{y \in T_{i} x\right.$ : $\left.d(x, y)=d\left(x, T_{i} x\right)\right\}, i=1,2$. Then for $x_{1} \in D$, generate $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=\alpha_{n}^{\prime} z_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) u_{n}, n \geq 1 \\
& x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} z_{n}+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) v_{n}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}^{\prime} \in P_{T_{1}} x_{n}$ and $z_{n} \in P_{T_{2}} y_{2}, 0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}, \beta_{n}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences in $D$.

Inspired and motivated by the work of Cholamjiak and Suntai [4], we translate algorithms (1.1-1.2) in the general setup of $W$-hyperbolic spaces and approximate a common fixed point of two multi-valued quasi-nonexpansive maps.

Kohlenbach [11] introduced a general setup known as $W$-hyperbolic spaces which contains as a special case Banach spaces as well as $C A T(0)$ spaces.

A $W$-hyperbolic space $(X, d, W)$ is a metric space $(X, d)$ together with a map $W: X^{2} \times[0,1] \rightarrow X$ satisfying
(i) $d(u, W(x, y, \alpha)) \leq(1-\alpha) d(u, x)+\alpha d(u, y)$
(ii) $d(W(x, y, \alpha), W(x, y, \beta))=|\alpha-\beta| d(x, y)$
(iii) $W(x, y, \alpha)=W(y, x, 1-\alpha)$
(iv) $d(W(x, z, \alpha), W(y, w, \alpha)) \leq(1-\alpha) d(x, y)+\alpha d(z, w)$
for all $x, y, z, w \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$. The triplet $(X, d, W)$ satisfying only (i) is the convex metric space due to Takahashi [23]. A subset $K$ of a $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ is convex if $W(x, y, \alpha) \in K$ for all $x, y \in K$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$.
The class of $W$-hyperbolic spaces contains normed spaces and their convex subsets as subclasses and $C A T(0)$ spaces form a very special subclass of the class of $W$-hyperbolic spaces with unique geodesic paths.

A $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ is uniformly convex [20] if for all $u, x, y \in X, r>0$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$, there exists a $\delta \in(0,1]$ such that $d\left(W\left(x, y, \frac{1}{2}\right), u\right) \leq(1-\delta) r$, whenever $d(x, u) \leq r, d(y, u) \leq r$ and $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon r$.

A map $\eta:(0, \infty) \times(0,2] \rightarrow(0,1]$ which provides such a $\delta=\eta(r, \varepsilon)$ for given $r>0$ and $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$, is called a modulus of uniform convexity of $X$. We call $\eta$ monotone if it decreases with $r$ (for a fixed $\varepsilon$ ).

It has been shown in [13] that $C A T(0)$ spaces are uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic spaces with modulus of uniform convexity $\eta(r, \varepsilon)=\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{8}$. Thus, uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic spaces are a natural generalization of both uniformly convex Banach spaces and $C A T(0)$ spaces. For details about $C A T(0)$ spaces, see [2] and [9].

Now we transform (1.1) and (1.2) in a $W$-hyperbolic space.
Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two quasi-nonexpansive multi-valued maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ where $D$ is a convex subset of a hyperbolic space. Then for $x_{1} \in D$, generate $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right), n \geq 1  \tag{1.3}\\
& x_{n+1}=W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right), n \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}^{\prime} \in T_{1} x_{n}, z_{n} \in T_{2} y_{n}, 0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}, \beta_{n}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1,\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded in $D$.

Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued maps from $D$ into $P(D)$ and $P_{T_{i}} x=\{y \in$ $\left.T_{i} x: d(x, y)=d\left(x, T_{i} x\right)\right\}, i=1,2$. Then for $x_{1} \in D$, generate $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}=W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right), n \geq 1 \\
& x_{n+1}=W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right), n \geq 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}^{\prime} \in P_{T_{1}} x_{n}$ and $z_{n} \in P_{T_{2}} y_{2}, 0 \leq \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}, \beta_{n}^{\prime}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime} \leq 1,\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded in $D$.

It is worth mentioning that the algorithms (1.3-1.4) coincide with the algorithms (1.1-1.2) when $W(x, y, \alpha)=\alpha x+(1-\alpha) y$ and $X$ is a Banach space. Moreover, they provide algorithms in a $C A T(0)$ space if $W(x, y, \alpha)=\alpha x \oplus(1-\alpha) y$.

Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a bounded sequence in a metric space $X$. For $x \in X$, define a continuous functional

$$
r\left(x,\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x, x_{n}\right)
$$

Then
(i) $r_{K}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\inf \left\{r\left(x,\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right): x \in K\right\}$ is called the asymptotic radius of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with respect to $K \subset X$,
(ii) for any $y \in K$, the set $A_{K}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\left\{x \in K: r\left(x,\left\{x_{n}\right\} \leq r\left(y,\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)\right\}\right.$ is called the asymptotic center of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with respect to $K \subset X$.

If the asymptotic radius and the asymptotic center is taken with respect to $X$, then these are simply denoted by $r\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ and $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$, respectively. In general, $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ may be empty or may contain infinitely many points. Through asymptotic center technique of Edelstein [5] in Banach fixed point theory, one can conclude that bounded sequences in general $W$-hyperbolic and normed spaces do not have unique asymptotic center with respect to closed convex subsets. However, it is remarkable that a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space with monotone modulus of uniform convexity enjoys this property [13].

In 2008, Kirk and Panyanak [10] proposed a new type of convergence in geodesic spaces, namely $\triangle$-convergence, which was originally introduced by Lim [14]. They showed that $\triangle$-convergence coincides with weak convergence in Banach spaces satisfying the Opial condition and both concepts share many common properties. For a general iteration scheme in $C A T(0)$ spaces, we refer the reader to [6].

A sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is said to $\triangle$-converge to $x \in X$ if $x$ is the unique asymptotic center for every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. In this case, we write $x$ as $\triangle$-limit of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, i.e., $\triangle-\lim _{n} x_{n}=x$.

For two multi-valued maps $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, we set $F=F\left(T_{1}\right) \cap F\left(T_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 1.1. [3]If $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ are sequences of non-negative real numbers satisfying $a_{n+1} \leq a_{n}+b_{n}, n \geq 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.

Lemma 1.2. [7]Let $(X, d, W)$ be a uniformly convex hyperbolic space with monotone modulus of uniform convexity $\eta$. Let $x \in X$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence in $[b, c]$ for some $b, c \in(0,1)$. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are sequences in $X$ with

$$
\limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \leq r, \limsup _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, x\right) \leq r, \lim _{n \longrightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(x_{n}, y_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right), x\right)=r
$$

for some $r \geq 0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0$.

Lemma 1.3. [7]Let $K$ be a nonempty, closed convex subset of a uniformly convex hyperbolic space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ a bounded sequence in $K$ such that $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=$ $\{y\}$. If $\left\{y_{m}\right\}$ is another sequence in $K$ such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} r\left(y_{m},\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\rho$, then $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} y_{m}=y$.

## 2. Main Results

The following lemma collects some inequalities which are needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a $W$-hyperbolic space $X$. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued quasi-nonexpansive maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ such that $T_{1} p=\{p\}=T_{2} p$ for all $p \in F \neq \emptyset$. Then for the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.3) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, p \in F$, we have
(i) $d\left(y_{n}, p\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h$ for some $h>0$
(ii) $d\left(x_{n+1}, p\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left\{\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\right\} h$ for some $h>0$
(iii) $d\left(W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), p\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}}{1-k}\right) d\left(y_{n}, v_{n}\right)$
(iv) $d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}}{1-k}\right) d\left(y_{n}, v_{n}\right)+d\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)$
(v) $d\left(W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), p\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-k}\right) d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right)$
(vi) $d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-k}\right) d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right)$.

Proof. (i) Set $\max \left\{\sup _{n \in N} d\left(u_{n}, p\right), \sup _{n \in N} d\left(v_{n}, p\right)\right\}<h$ for some $h>0$ because $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences.

We observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(y_{n}, p\right) & =d\left(W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right), p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, p\right)+\beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(u_{n}, p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, T_{1} p\right)+\beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} H\left(T_{1} x_{n}, T_{1} p\right)+\beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h \\
& =\left(\alpha_{n}^{\prime}+\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Utilizing (i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n+1}, p\right) & =d\left(W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right), p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(z_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(z_{n}, p\right)+\beta_{n} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) d\left(v_{n}, p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} H\left(T_{2} y_{n}, T_{2} p\right)+\beta_{n} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) h \\
& \leq\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right) d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) h \\
& \leq\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)\left\{d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) h\right\}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) h \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left\{\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\right\} h .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(W \left(y_{n}, v_{n},\right.\right. & \left.\left.\frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), p\right) \leq \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right) d\left(v_{n}, p\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\left\{d\left(v_{n}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, p\right)\right\} \\
& \leq d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right) d\left(v_{n}, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $0<l \leq \alpha_{n} \leq k<1$, therefore we have

$$
d\left(W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), p\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}}{1-k}\right) d\left(v_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

(iv) From

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(y_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) & =d\left(y_{n}, W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(y_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) d\left(y_{n}, v_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(z_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) & =d\left(z_{n}, W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(y_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)+d\left(x_{n+1}, z_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) d\left(y_{n}, v_{n}\right) \\
& +\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) d\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the terms in the above inequality and using $0<l \leq \alpha_{n} \leq k<1$, we get

$$
d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}}{1-k}\right) d\left(y_{n}, v_{n}\right)+d\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right)\right)
$$

(v) Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), p\right) \leq & \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right) d\left(u_{n}, p\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\left\{d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, p\right)\right\} \\
& +\frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}} d\left(x_{n}, p\right) \\
\leq & d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-k}\right) d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1$, therefore we have

$$
d\left(W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), p\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-k}\right) d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right) .
$$

(vi) From

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}\right) & =d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right), x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha_{n}^{\prime} d\left(x_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging the terms in the above inequality and using $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1$, we get $d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)+\left(\frac{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-k}\right) d\left(x_{n}, u_{n}\right)$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued Lipschitzian quasi-nonexpansive maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ such that $T_{1} p=\{p\}=T_{2} p$ for all $p \in F \neq \emptyset$. Then for the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by (1.3) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq$ $k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{1} x_{n}\right)=0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{2} x_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, therefore Lemma 2.1 (ii) and Lemma 1.1 give that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)$ exists. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)=c \geq 0$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 (i) that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, p\right) \leq c$. As $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ are bounded sequences, so $\max \left\{\sup _{n \in N} d\left(v_{n}, y_{n}\right), \sup _{n \in N} d\left(u_{n}, x_{n}\right)\right\}<\infty$. Also observe that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right), p\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n+1}, p\right)=c
$$

Moreover, the inequality $d\left(z_{n}, p\right) \leq H\left(T_{2} y_{n}, T_{2} p\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}, p\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 (iii) imply that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(z_{n}, p\right) \leq c$ and $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), p\right) \leq$ $c$, respectively. By Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), z_{n}\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limsup on both sides in Lemma 2.1 (iv) and using (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n+1}, p\right) & =d\left(W\left(z_{n}, W\left(y_{n}, v_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}}{1-\alpha_{n}}\right), \alpha_{n}\right), p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(z_{n}, p\right)+\beta_{n} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) d\left(v_{n}, p\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{n} d\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right) d\left(y_{n}, p\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right) h
\end{aligned}
$$

implies that $c \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)$. This, in conjunction with $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, p\right) \leq c$, implies that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\right), p\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{n}, p\right)=c
$$

Also, the inequality $d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, p\right) \leq H\left(T_{1} x_{n}, T_{1} p\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, p\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 (v) imply that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, p\right) \leq c$ and $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right), p\right) \leq c$, respectively. Again by Lemma 1.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, W\left(x_{n}, u_{n}, \frac{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}{1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limsup on both sides in Lemma 2.1 (vi) and using (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $z_{n}^{\prime} \in T_{1} x_{n}$, so $d\left(x_{n}, T_{1} x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$ which implies, on letting $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{1} x_{n}\right)=0
$$

As $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ are bounded, so is $\left\{d\left(u_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Let $K=\sup _{n \in N} d\left(u_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.

Then it follows from an inequality in the proof of Lemma 2.1 (vi) and (2.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right) & \leq \beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) d\left(u_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq \beta_{n}^{\prime} d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) K \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}^{\prime}\right)+d\left(z_{n}^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.2), (2.6) and the fact that $z_{n} \in T_{2} y_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n}, T_{2} x_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+d\left(z_{n}, T_{2} x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+H\left(T_{2} y_{n}, T_{2} x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)+d\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+L d\left(y_{n}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{1} x_{n}\right)=0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{2} x_{n}\right)$.
Our next result deals with $\triangle$-convergence of the algorithm (1.3).
Theorem 2.3. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ with monotone modulus of uniform convexity $\eta$ and let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued Lipschitzian quasi-nonexpansive maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ with $T_{1} p=\{p\}=T_{2} p$ for all $p \in F \neq \emptyset$. Then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.3) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty, \triangle-$ converges to a point in $F$.

Proof. As $\left\{d\left(x_{n}, p\right)\right\}$ converges, therefore $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Hence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has a unique asymptotic centre, that is, $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\{x\}$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be any subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $A\left(\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)=\{u\}$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u_{n}, T_{1} u_{n}\right)=0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u_{n}, T_{2} u_{n}\right)$. Denote $w_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)=\cup A\left(\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)$, where union is taken over all subsequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Let $u \in w_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Now we show that $u \in T_{1} u$. For this, we consider a sequence $z_{n_{k}} \in T_{1} u$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(z_{n_{k}}, u_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(z_{n_{k}}, T_{1} u_{n}\right)+d\left(T_{1} u_{n}, u_{n}\right) \\
& \leq H\left(T_{1} u, T_{1} u_{n}\right)+d\left(T_{1} u_{n}, u_{n}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(u, u_{n}\right)+d\left(T_{1} u_{n}, u_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
r\left(z_{n_{k}},\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(z_{n_{k}}, u_{n}\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u, u_{n}\right)=r\left(u,\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)
$$

This implies that $\left|r\left(z_{n_{k}},\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)-r\left(u,\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Lemma 1.3 that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} z_{n_{k}}=u$. Since $T_{1} u$ is closed, therefore $u \in T_{1} u$. That is, $u \in F\left(T_{1}\right)$. Similarly, we can show that $u \in F\left(T_{2}\right)$. Hence $u \in F$. Next, we show that every subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ has the the same center. That is, $w_{w}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is singleton. We have already assumed that $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\{x\}$ and $A\left(\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)=\{u\}$.

As $u \in F$, so $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, u\right)$ exists by applying Lemma 1.1 to (ii) in Lemma 2.1. Suppose $x \neq u$. Then by the uniqueness of asymptotic centre, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u_{n}, u\right) & <\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u_{n}, x\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, x\right) \\
& <\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, u\right) \\
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(u_{n}, u\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

a contradiction. This proves that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \triangle-$ converges to a point in $F$.
Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.3 extends Theorem 4.6 in [12] to the case of two multivalued quasi-nonexpansive maps in a uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space. Moreover, the algorithm (1.3) is independent of compactness of the domain of maps.

Recall that a multi-valued map $T: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ is hemi-compact if any bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $D$ satisfying $d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, has a convergent subsequence.

A multi-valued map $T: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ is said to satisfy condition $(I)$ if there is a nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0, f(t)>0$ for $t \in(0, \infty)$ such that $d(x, T x) \geq f(d(x, F))$ for all $x \in D$.
Two multi-valued maps $T_{1}, T_{2}: D \rightarrow C B(D)$ are said to satisfy condition(II) if there is a nondecreasing function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $f(0)=0, f(r)>0$ for $r \in(0, \infty)$
such that either $d\left(x, T_{1} x\right) \geq f(d(x, F))$ or $d\left(x, T_{2} x\right) \geq f(d(x, F))$ holds for all $x \in D$.

The following result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for strong convergence of the algorithm (1.3) in a complete $W$-hyperbolic space.

Theorem 2.5. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ and let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be two multi-valued Lipschitzian quasi-nonexpansive maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ with $F \neq \emptyset$. Then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.3) with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<$ $\infty$, converges strongly to a point in $F$ if and only if $\lim _{\inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.

Proof. If $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges to $p \in F$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, p\right)=0$. Since $0 \leq d\left(x_{n}, F\right) \leq$ $d\left(x_{n}, p\right)$, we have $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.
Conversely, suppose $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$. Since $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)$ exists through Lemma 2.1 (ii), therefore $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$. Next, we show that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=$ 0 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_{n}<\infty$ where $h_{n}=\left\{\left(\alpha_{n}+\beta_{n}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)+\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)\right\} h$ for some $h>0$ as in Lemma 2.1 (ii), therefore there exists $n_{0} \geq 1$ such that for all $n \geq n_{0}$, we have that $d\left(x_{n}, F\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ and $\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{\infty} h_{j}<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. In particular, $d\left(x_{n_{0}}, F\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. That is, $\inf \left\{d\left(x_{n_{0}}, p\right): p \in F\right\}<\frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. There must exist $p^{*} \in F$ such that $d\left(x_{n_{0}}, p^{*}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$.

Note that, for any $n>m \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(x_{n+m}, x_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n+m}, p^{*}\right)+d\left(x_{n}, p^{*}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n+m-1}, p^{*}\right)+h_{n+m-1}+d\left(x_{n-1}, p^{*}\right)+h_{n-1} \\
& \leq 2 d\left(x_{n_{0}}, p^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n+m-1} h_{j}+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n-1} h_{j} \\
& \leq 2\left(d\left(x_{n_{0}}, p^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{n+m-1} h_{j}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(d\left(x_{n_{0}}, p^{*}\right)+\sum_{j=n_{0}}^{\infty} h_{j}\right) \\
& \leq 2\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $X$ and so $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=q$ (say). We claim that $q \in F$. Indeed, let $\varepsilon>0$, then there exists an integer $n_{1} \geq 1$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, q\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for all $n \geq n_{1}$. Also $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$ implies that there exists an integer $n_{2} \geq 1$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, F\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ for all $n \geq n_{2}$. Choose $n_{3}=\max \left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$. Hence there exists $q_{0} \in F$ such that $d\left(x_{n_{3}}, q_{0}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(T_{1} q, q\right) & \leq d\left(T_{1} q, q_{0}\right)+d\left(q, q_{0}\right) \leq 2 d\left(q, q_{0}\right) \leq 2\left(d\left(x_{n_{3}}, q\right)+d\left(x_{n_{3}}, q_{0}\right)\right) \\
& <2\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right)=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have $d\left(T_{1} q, q\right)=0$. Similarly, we can show that $d\left(T_{2} q, q\right)=0$. Hence $q \in F$.

As an application of Theorem 2.5, the following strong convergence result can be easily proved by using Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.6. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be two multi-valued Lipschitzian quasi-nonexpansive maps from $D$ into $C B(D)$ with $F \neq \emptyset$ and either of the two maps is hemi-compact or satisfies Condition (II). Then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.3) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, strongly converges to a point in $F$.

Remark 2.7. (i) The algorithm (1.3) generalizes algorithm (2.1) of [4] and extends algorithm (1.2) of [17] for multi-valued maps in $W$-hyperbolic spaces (ii) Theorem 2.5 extends ([1], Theorem 4) to the case of two multi-valued quasinonexpansive maps for the algorithm (1.3) which is different from the algorithm defined by Abbas et al .[1] (iii) Theorem 2.5 generalizes ([4], Theorem 2.5) from Banach spaces to $W$-hyperbolic spaces (iv) Our results also hold in $C A T(0)$ spaces and generalizes the corresponding results in $[12,18]$.

We can also obtain approximation results for the algorithm (1.4). As the calculations in these results are similar to those in the above results, so we omit their proofs.

Theorem 2.8. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ with monotone modulus of uniform convexity $\eta$ and let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued maps from $D$ into $P(D)$ with $F \neq \emptyset$ such that $P_{T_{1}}$ and $P_{T_{2}}$ are nonexpansive. Then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.4) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, $\triangle-$ converges to a point in $F$.

Theorem 2.9. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$ and let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued maps from $D$ into $P(D)$ with $F \neq \emptyset$ such that $P_{T_{1}}$ and $P_{T_{2}}$ are nonexpansive. Then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.4) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, converges strongly to a point in $F$ if and only if $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.

Theorem 2.10. Let $D$ be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a complete uniformly convex $W$-hyperbolic space $X$. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two multi-valued maps from $D$ into $P(D)$ with $F \neq \emptyset$ such that $P_{T_{1}}$ and $P_{T_{2}}$ are nonexpansive. If one of the maps is hemi-compact or satisfies Condition (II), then the algorithm $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in (1.4) with $0<l \leq \alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n}^{\prime} \leq k<1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)<\infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}^{\prime}-\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right)<\infty$, strongly converges to a point in $F$.

Remark 2.11. The essentials of hypotheses in our results are natural in view of the following observations: $X=[0,1] \times[0,1]$ under the Euclidean distance. Define maps $S, T: X \rightarrow C B(X)$ by $S(x, y)=\left\{\frac{1}{4}(2 x+1,2 y+1)\right\}$ and $T(x, y)=$ $\left\{\frac{1}{6}(4 x+1,4 y+1)\right\}$ and the parameters as $\alpha_{n}=\alpha_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta_{n}=\beta_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{n^{2}+2 n-1}{2(n+1)^{2}}$. Now the computations: $S\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)=\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}=T\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\alpha_{n}-\beta_{n}\right)=$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{n^{2}+2 n-1}{2(n+1)^{2}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{(n+1)^{2}-2}{2(n+1)^{2}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}<\infty$ guarantee the conclusions.
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