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#### Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the problem of characterizing linear maps compressing the numerical range. A counterexample is given to show that such a map need not be a Jordan $*$-homomorphism in general even if the $C^{*}$-algebras are commutative. Next, under an auxiliary condition we show that such a map is a Jordan $*$-homomorphism.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital complex Banach algebras. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{B}}$ the units of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively ( or simply $\mathbf{1}$ if no confusion can arise). Define the set of normalized states

$$
S(\mathcal{A})=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}: f(\mathbf{1})=\|f\|=1\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ denotes the dual space. For any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the algebraic numerical range $V(a)$ and numerical radius $v(a)$ of $a$ are defined by

$$
V(a)=\{f(a): f \in S(\mathcal{A})\} \text { and } v(a)=\sup _{z \in V(a)}|z| .
$$

It is well known that $V$ is a compact and convex set of the complex plane, $v($. is a norm on $\mathcal{A}$ and this norm is equivalent to the usual operator norm. The suggested references on numerical ranges are [2, 10]. A linear map $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be numerical range (resp. numerical radius) preserving if $V(T(a))=V(a)$

[^0](resp. $v(T(a))=v(a))$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Also, we shall say that $T$ compresses the numerical range if $V(T(a)) \subset V(a)$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

There has been considerable interest in studying maps between $C^{*}$-algebras leaving invariant the numerical range or the numerical radius. A nice survey of earlier known results relating to the preserving problem can be found in [4, 14]. In 1975, Pellegrini [16] studied numerical range preserving operators on a Banach algebra. Particularly, when $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two $C^{*}$-algebras, it was shown that a linear isomorphism $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a Jordan $*$-isomorphism if and only if it is numerical range preserving. Later, Chan [5] showed that a linear isomorphism $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is numerical radius preserving if and only if $c T$ is a Jordan $*-$ isomorphism for some central and unitary element $c \in \mathcal{A}$. Surjective nonlinear maps $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between unital $C^{*}$-algebras that satisfy $v(T(a)-T(b))=$ $v(a-b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ were characterized in [1] under a mild condition that $T(\mathbf{1})-T(0)$ belongs to the center of $\mathcal{B}$. Recently, in [3], the assumption $T(\mathbf{1})-T(0)$ belongs to the center of $\mathcal{B}$ is successfully removed.

The aim of this paper, is to study maps between $C^{*}$-algebras compressing the numerical range. Firstly, we shall give an example showing that such a map need not to be a Jordan $*$-homomorphism. Next, We will show that under some supplementary condition such a map is a Jordan $*$-homomorphism.

We close this Introduction with some definitions and properties of the numerical range needed in the sequel. In the case of $C^{*}$-algebra, a linear functional $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is said to be positive $(f \geq 0)$ if $f\left(x x^{*}\right) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the set of normalized states $S(\mathcal{A})$ is nothing but

$$
S(\mathcal{A})=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}: f \geq 0 \text { and } f(\mathbf{1})=1\right\}
$$

Recall also that a positive linear functional $f$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is said to be pure if for every positive functional $g$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying $g\left(x x^{*}\right) \leq f\left(x x^{*}\right)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, there is a scalar $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ such that $g=\lambda f$. The set of pure states on $\mathcal{A}$ is denoted by $P(\mathcal{A})$. It is well known that $P(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the set of all extremal points of $S(\mathcal{A})$.

For any element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and any scalars $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have: $V(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $V(a) \subset[0,+\infty))$ if and only if $a=a^{*}($ resp. $a \geq 0)$. Also $V(\alpha 1+\beta a)=\alpha+\beta V(a)$ and $V(a)=\{\alpha\} \Longleftrightarrow a=\alpha 1$. The numerical radius $v$ is a norm and satisfies $\frac{1}{e}\|a\| \leq v(a) \leq\|a\|$, where $e=\exp (1)$. See [2] and [11] for further details.

## 2. Main result

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two unital complex $C^{*}$-algebras. Let $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear map. Recall that $T$ is numerical range compressing if

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(T(a)) \subset V(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $T$ compresses the numerical range then $T(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}$, since the numerical range is a nonempty set of $\mathbb{C}$ and $V(T(\mathbf{1})) \subset V(\mathbf{1})=\{1\}$. Let us begin by the following example, which shows that a linear map which compresses the numerical range need not to be a Jordan $*$-homomorphism.

Example 2.1. Consider the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ and define the map $T$ : $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ for any matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \in \mathcal{A}$ by

$$
T(A)=\frac{1}{2} A+\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A) \mathbf{1}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}$ denotes the usual function trace. Clearly, we have $f \circ T \in S(\mathcal{A})$ whenever $f \in S(\mathcal{A})$. Hence according to [16, Theorem 2.2], $T$ satisfies condition (2.1). Consider the two matrices $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ and $B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}i & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. An easy calculation will convince the reader that $B$ is unitary, but $T\left(A^{2}\right) \neq T(A)^{2}$ and $T(B)$ is not unitary. This shows that $T$ is not neither a Jordan $*$-homomorphism nor a unitary preserving map.

At the $4^{\text {th }}$ Seminar on Functional analysis and its applications, which was held in University of Mashhad in March 2016 it is shown that in [9] that if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are commutative and $T$ is a numerical range compressing, then $T$ is a unital *-homomorphism, see [9, Theorem $2.5 \& 2.6]$. In fact, in his proof, the author shows that such a map is completely positive and preserves unitary elements. But we remark that this proof is based on the fact that if an element $u$ is unitary in $\mathcal{A}$, then $|f(u)|=1$ for any $f \in S(\mathcal{A})$. But this fails to be true even if the $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are commutative. It is in fact true only when $f$ is a pure state, see for instance [5, Proposition 1]. To see why this, let $\mathcal{A}=C(\mathbb{T})$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra of all continuous functions on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ and let $m$ be the normalised arc length measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Then the linear functional $\varphi$, defined by $\varphi(f)=\int f d m=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(e^{i t}\right) d t$ is a state of $\mathcal{A}$. The element $u \in \mathcal{A}$ defined by $u(z)=z, \forall z \in \mathbb{T}$ is unitary but $\varphi(u)=0<1$. Finally observe that $\varphi$ is not a *-homomorphism although that $V(\varphi(a)) \subset V(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, since $\varphi$ is a state. Therefore, the main result [9, Theorem $2.5 \& 2.6]$ is wrong in general.

Based on the aforesaid a natural question arises. Namely, what additional condition on a linear map $T$ compressing the numerical range which forces $T$ to be a Jordan $*$-homomorphism? To that end, we shall impose the following additional requirement on the map $T$.

Assumption 2.2. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$such that $a b=0$, we have $T(a) \geq T(b)$ implies that $T(a) T(b)=0$.

We establish the following.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be two unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Any surjective linear map $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ compressing the numerical range and satisfying Assumption 2.2 is a unital Jordan $*$-homomorphism.

Before turning to the proof of Theorem 2.3, few remarks can be made.
Remark 2.4. If $T$ preserves the numerical range then Assumption 2.2 is already satisfied. Indeed, let $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$such that $a b=0$ and $T(a) \geq T(b)$. Since $V(T(a-b))=V(a-b)$ and $T(a-b) \geq 0$, then $a-b \geq 0$. By [15, Theorem 2.2.5], $0 \leq b^{3} \leq b a b=0$. Accordingly $b^{3}=b=0$. Therefore $T(a)=T(b)=0$.

Remark 2.5. Conditions (2.1) and Assumption 2.2 do not imply in general that $T$ is linear as the following example quoted from [12] shows. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Consider the mapping $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined as

$$
T(A)= \begin{cases}A & \text { if } A \text { is invertible } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Straightforward computations show that $T$ satisfies assumptions (2.1) and Assumption 2.2 but is not additive.

Remark 2.6. In [6], it was been shown that if $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a bounded linear map between unital $C^{*}$-algebras preserving the zero products of self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{A}$ then $T=T(\mathbf{1}) J$ for a Jordan $*$-homomorphism $J$ from $\mathcal{A}$ into the bidual $B^{* *}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Note that Assumption 2.2 does not imply in general that $T$ preserves the zero product of self-adjoint elements or a Jordan $*$-homomorphism. To see why this consider the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}=C([0,1])$ and the map $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $T(f)=2 f-f(1)$. Clearly, $T$ is surjective and unital. But then $(T(f))^{2}-$ $T\left(f^{2}\right)=2 f^{2}-4 f(1) f+2 f(1)^{2}$ is not always zero. Hence $T$ is not a Jordan $*-$ homomorphism. Next, let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$be such that $f g=0$ and $T(f) \geq T(g)$. Since $T(f) \geq T(g)$, then $f(1) \geq g(1)$ and $f(x) \geq g(x)+\frac{1}{2}(f(1)-g(1))$, for any $x \in[0,1]$. This together with the fact $f g=0$ yields that $g=0$. Therefore $T(f) T(g)=0$. Accordingly $T$ satisfies Assumption 2.2. On the other hand, one can check easily that $T$ does not preserve the zero product of self-adjoint elements.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.3:

We present now the proof of Theorem 2.3. Our arguments are influenced by ideas from the proof of [7, Theorem 5] but by using properties of the numerical range. We divide the proof into three steps.

Step 1. $T$ is unital and positive. Moreover, for each $b \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$ there is an $a \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $T(a)=b$.

Firstly, note that $T(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}$, since $V(T(\mathbf{1})) \subset V(\mathbf{1})=\{1\}$. Now, let $a \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$. Then $V(a) \subset[0, \infty)$. Since $V(T(a)) \subset V(a)$ we infer that $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}^{+}$and in particular $T$ is self adjoint, that is $T(a)^{*}=T(a), \forall a=a^{*} \in \mathcal{A}$. Now, let $b \geq 0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $T(a)=b$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a=a^{*}$ (otherwise take $\frac{a+a^{*}}{2}$ instead of $a$ ). By [8, Proposition 12.5], there exist $a_{+}, a_{-} \geq 0$ such that $a=a_{+}-a_{-}$and $a_{+} a_{-}=a_{-} a_{+}=0$. Then $b=T\left(a_{+}\right)-T\left(a_{-}\right)$with $T\left(a_{+}\right) \geq 0$ and $T\left(a_{-}\right) \geq 0$. Assumption 2.2 entails that $T\left(a_{+}\right) T\left(a_{-}\right)=T\left(a_{-}\right) T\left(a_{+}\right)=0$. Since every self adjoint element in a $C^{*}-$ algebra can be uniquely written as the difference of two positive elements with zero product, we infer that $T\left(a_{-}\right)=0$. This completes the proof of the first step.

Step 2. The kernel of $T$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$.
Firstly observe that by the proof of Step 1, we have that if $T(a)=0$ and $a=a_{+}-a_{-}$with $a_{+} a_{-}=a_{-} a_{+}=0$ and $a_{ \pm} \geq 0$, then $T\left(a_{+}\right)=T\left(a_{-}\right)=0$. Thus each element in $\operatorname{ker} T$ is a linear combination of positive elements in ker $T$. Now, Lemma 5.1 of [17] can be used to deduce that $\operatorname{ker} T$ is a two sided ideal. However,
for the sake of completeness we sketch a different proof of this fact. To that end it suffices to show that $a \geq 0$ and $T(a)=0$ imply that $T(a x)=T(x a)=0$ for all positive element $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Fix such an $a \in \mathcal{A}$, a similar reasoning to that of [7, Theorem 5] entails that $T(a x)^{*}=T(x a)=-T(a x)$. By keeping in mind that $T(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}^{+}$, we infer that the linear functional $f \circ T$ is positive and unital, for any $f \in S(\mathcal{B})$. Accordingly $f \circ T \in S(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to $f \circ T$ yields

$$
|f \circ T(a x)|^{2}=\left|f \circ T\left(a^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right)\right|^{2} \leq f \circ T(a) f \circ T(x a x)=0
$$

Accordingly, $f(T(a x))=0$, for all $f \in S(\mathcal{B})$ and so $T(a x)=T(x a)=0$ as desired. The kernel of $T$ is therefore an ideal. Since $v(T(a)) \leq v(a), \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, v$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are two equivalent norms, then $T$ is bounded and so the kernel of $T$ is closed.

Step 3. $T$ is a Jordan $*$-homomorphism.
Firstly, note that by Step 1 we have $T(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}$ and $T$ is positive. By Step 2, $\operatorname{ker} T$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $T$ induces the unital and positive bijective linear map $\widetilde{T}: \mathcal{A} / \operatorname{ker} T \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by $\widetilde{T}(a+\operatorname{ker} T)=T(a)$. Again Step 1, entails that $\widetilde{T}^{-1}$ is also positive. So, by [13, Corollary 5] we have $\widetilde{T}$ is a Jordan *-isomorphism. Thus $T$, the composition of the natural quotient map and $\widetilde{T}$, is a Jordan $*$-homomorphism.
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