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#### Abstract

Let $S$ and $G$ be a commutative semigroup and a commutative group respectively, $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}$the sets of complex numbers and nonnegative real numbers respectively, $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ or $\sigma: G \rightarrow G$ an involution and $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$ be fixed. In this paper, we first investigate general solutions of the equation


$$
g(x+\sigma y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in S$, where $f, g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are unknown functions to be determined. Secondly, we consider the Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation, i.e., we study the functional inequality

$$
|g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \psi(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

## 1. Introduction

The cosine function admits the following decomposition

$$
\cos (x-y)=\cos x \cos y+\sin x \sin y
$$

and $g(x)=\cos x, f(x)=\sin x$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x-y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]The functional equation (1.1) was treated by Gerretsen [11] and Vaughan [22] among others. The general solutions of (1.1) are described in [2, pp. 216-217] when the unknown functions $g, f$ are functions from a group $G$ into a field $\mathbb{F}$. For some related equations we refer the reader to [1, p. 177] and [2, pp. 209-217].

The Hyers-Ulam stability problems of functional equations go back to 1940 when S. M. Ulam proposed a question concerning the approximate homormorphisms from a group to a metric group(see [21]). A partial answer was given by D.H. Hyers[12] under the assumption that the target space of the involved mappings is a Banach space. After the result of Hyers, T. Aoki [3] and D.G. Bourgin [5] dealt with this problem, however, there were no other results on this problem until 1978 when Th.M. Rassias [17] dealt again with the inequality of Aoki [3]. Following Rassias' result a great number of papers on the subject have been published concerning numerous functional equations in various directions [4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 20]. In particular, Székelyhidi [20] investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the trigonometric functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x) g(y)+g(x) f(y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)=g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ without the commutativity of $G$. Using the elegant method of Székelyhidi, Chung and Chang [6, 7] obtained the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x-y)=f(x) g(y)-g(x) f(y) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x-y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.
Recently, several authors $[9,16,19]$ have studied functional equations with involutions which generalize previous results on some classical functional equations such as the d'Alembert's functional equation [10] and the Wilson's functional equation [23]. In particular, generalizing (1.4), Chung, Choi and Kim [8] determined the general solutions and the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+\sigma y)=f(x) g(y)-g(x) f(y) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$, where $f, g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma$ is an involution on the semigroup $S$. In this paper, generalizing the functional equation (1.5), we first determine all general solutions of the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+\sigma y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Secondly, generalizing the results in $[6,7]$ we prove the HyersUlam stability for (1.7), i.e., we study the behavior of functions $g$ and $f$ satisfying functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$(the set of nonnegative real numbers).

## 2. General solutions of the functional equation (1.7)

In this section we present the general solutions $(g, f)$ of the functional equations (1.7) on semigroups. Throughout this section we denote by $S$ a commutative semigroup with an identity element. A function $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ is said to be an involution if $\sigma(x+y)=\sigma(x)+\sigma(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $\sigma(\sigma(x))=x$ for all $x \in S$. For simplicity we write $\sigma x$ instead of $\sigma(x)$. A function $m: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an exponential function provided that $m(x+y)=m(x) m(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $a: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called an additive function provided that $a(x+y)=a(x)+a(y)$ for all $x, y \in S$.

As a direct consequence of a theorem of Sinopoulos [19] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)+g(x+\sigma y)=2 g(x) g(y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Then there exists an exponential function $m: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$.
In the following, we exclude the trivial cases when $f(x)=g(x) \equiv 0$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $f, g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+\sigma y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Then either $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2}, f(x)=c_{1} \frac{m(x)-m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $m: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary exponential function and $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{1}^{2}=-1$, or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g ( x ) = c _ { 2 } E ( x ) } \\
{ f ( x ) = c _ { 3 } E ( x ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\mu(x)(1-a(x)) \\
f(x)=c_{1} \mu(x) a(x)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\text { or }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) \mu(x)+c_{2} \nu(x) \\
f(x)=c_{3}(\mu(x)-\nu(x))
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$, where $E, \mu: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are exponential functions satisfying $E \circ \sigma=$ $E, \mu \circ \sigma=\mu$ and $a, \nu$ are an additive function and an exponential function on $S^{*}:=\{x \in S: \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ satisfying $a \circ \sigma=a$ on $S^{*}$ with arbitrary values on $S \backslash S^{*}, \nu \circ \sigma=\nu$ on $S^{*}$ and $\nu=0$ on $S \backslash S^{*}$, and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants satisfying $c_{1}^{2}=-1, c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ with $c_{2} \neq 0,1$.

Proof. Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(y, x)$ in (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y+\sigma x)-g(y) g(x)-f(x) f(y)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Subtracting (2.6) from (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(y+\sigma x)=g(x+\sigma y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Putting $y=0$ in (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\sigma x)=g(x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$. Replacing $x$ by $\sigma x$ and $y$ by $\sigma y$ in (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\sigma x+y)-g(\sigma x) g(\sigma y)-f(\sigma x) f(\sigma y)=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. From (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\sigma x+y)-g(x) g(y)-f(\sigma x) f(\sigma y)=0 \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Subtracting (2.10) from (2.3) and by (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma x) f(\sigma y)=f(x) f(y) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Letting $y=x$ in (2.11), for each $x \in S$ we have $f(\sigma x)=f(x)$ or $f(\sigma x)=-f(x)$. Assume that there exists a $y_{0} \in S$ such that $f\left(\sigma y_{0}\right) \neq f\left(y_{0}\right)$. Then we have $f\left(\sigma y_{0}\right)=-f\left(y_{0}\right)$. Putting $y=y_{0}$ in (2.11) we obtain $f(x)=$ $-f(\sigma x)$ for all $x \in S$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma x)=-f(x) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\sigma x)=f(x) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$.
Case (i). Suppose that (2.12) holds. Interchanging $y$ with $\sigma y$ in (2.3) and using the fact that $g(\sigma y)=g(y)$ and $f(\sigma y)=-f(y)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)=g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Adding (2.3) and (2.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)+g(x+\sigma y)=2 g(x) g(y) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. The general solution of the functional equation can be obtained from Lemma 2.1 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $m: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function. Using (2.16) in (2.14) and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) f(y)=-\left(\frac{m(x)-m(\sigma x)}{2}\right)\left(\frac{m(y)-m(\sigma y)}{2}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=c_{1} \frac{m(x)-m(\sigma x)}{2} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_{1}^{2}=-1$. Hence for this case we have the asserted solution (2.4).

Case (ii). Suppose (2.13) holds. Letting $\sigma y$ for $y$ in (2.3) and using the fact $g(\sigma y)=g(y), f(\sigma y)=f(y)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Computing $g(x+y+z)$ first as $g(x+(y+z))$ and then as $g((x+y)+z)$, using (2.19) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x+y+z) & =g(x) g(y+z)+f(x) f(y+z) \\
& =g(x)[g(y) g(z)+f(y) f(z)]+f(x) f(y+z) \\
& =g(x) g(y) g(z)+g(x) f(y) f(z)+f(x) f(y+z), \\
g(x+y+z) & =g(x+y) g(z)+f(x+y) f(z) \\
& =[g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y)] g(z)+f(x+y) f(z) \\
& =g(x) g(y) g(z)+f(x) f(y) g(z)+f(x+y) f(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. Comparing the last two expressions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y) f(z)-g(x) f(y) f(z)=f(x) f(y+z)-f(x) f(y) g(z) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. Subtracting $f(x) g(y) f(z)$ from both sides of (2.20), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f(x+y)-g(x) f(y)-g(y) f(x)] f(z)=[f(y+z)-g(y) f(z)-g(z) f(y)] f(x) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. We fix $z=z_{0}$ with $f\left(z_{0}\right) \neq 0$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)-g(x) f(y)-g(y) f(x)=f(x) k(y) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$, where $k(y):=f\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}\left[f\left(y+z_{0}\right)-g(y) f\left(z_{0}\right)-g\left(z_{0}\right) f(y)\right]$. Replacing $(x, y)$ by $(y, x)$ in $(2.20)$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) k(y)=f(y) k(x) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. Put $y=z_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=\beta f(x) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $\beta=\frac{k_{\left(z_{0}\right)}}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}$. Hence (2.24) in (2.22) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=g(x) f(y)+g(y) f(x)+\beta f(x) f(y) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Multiplying (2.25) by $\lambda$ and adding the resulting expression to (2.19) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& g(x+y)+\lambda f(x+y) \\
& \quad=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y)+\lambda g(x) f(y)+\lambda f(x) g(y)+\beta \lambda f(x) f(y) \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. The functional equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)+\lambda f(x+y)=[g(x)+\lambda f(x)][g(y)+\lambda f(y)] \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\lambda$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-\beta \lambda-1=0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By fixing $\lambda$ to be such a constant, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)+\lambda f(x)=\mu(x) \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $\mu: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential map. From (2.28) it is easy to see that $\lambda \neq 0$. From (2.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\mu(x)-\lambda f(x) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$ and letting this into (2.19) and simplifying we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda f(x+y)=\lambda f(x) \mu(y)+\lambda f(y) \mu(x)-\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) f(x) f(y) \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. There are two possibilities: (1) $\mu=0$ and (2) $\mu \neq 0$. If $\mu=0$, then from (2.31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=-\lambda^{-1}\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) f(x) f(y) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. We define $E: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x)=-\lambda^{-1}\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) f(x) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$. Then by (2.33), the equation (2.34) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x+y)=E(x) E(y) \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. From (2.13), (2.33) and (2.34), $E: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function satisfying $E(x)=E(\sigma x)$.

Hence from (2.30) and (2.33) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=c_{2} E(x) \text { and } f(x)=c_{3} E(x) \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S$, where $c_{2}:=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}+1}$ and $c_{3}:=-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2}+1}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Note that the constants $\left(c_{2}, c_{3}\right)$ represents all solutions of the equation $c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ such that $c_{2} \neq 0,1$. Thus we have the first case of the asserted solutions (2.5).

The other possibility is $\mu \neq 0$. Let $S^{*}=\{x \in S: \mu(x) \neq 0\}$. Then $S \backslash S^{*}$ is an ideal in $S$ and $S^{*}$ is a subsemigroup of $S$. Dividing (2.31) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x+y)=\mu(x) \mu(y) \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

side by side, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda f(x+y)}{\mu(x+y)}=\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)}+\frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)}-\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\lambda^{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)}\right) \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S^{*}$. When $\lambda^{2}+1=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda f(x+y)}{\mu(x+y)}=\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)}+\frac{\lambda f(y)}{\mu(y)} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S^{*}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)}=a(x) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S^{*}$, where $a: S^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an additive function. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lambda^{-1} \mu(x) a(x) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S^{*}$ and by (2.30) and (2.40), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\mu(x)-\mu(x) a(x) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S^{*}$. Letting $c_{1}=\lambda^{-1}$ from (2.40) and (2.41) we have the second case of the asserted solutions (2.5). It is easy to check that the constant $c_{1}$ satisfies $c_{1}^{2}=-1$ because of $\lambda^{2}+1=0$.

When $\lambda^{2}+1 \neq 0,(2.37)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(x+y)=\nu(x) \nu(y) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S^{*}$, where $\nu(x)=1-\frac{\lambda^{2}+1}{\lambda^{2}}\left(\frac{\lambda f(x)}{\mu(x)}\right)$. Hence $\nu: S^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=c_{3} \mu(x)-c_{3} \nu(x) \mu(x) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S^{*}$ and by (2.30) and (2.43), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) \mu(x)+c_{2} \nu(x) \mu(x) \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in S^{*}$, where $c_{2}:=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{2}+1}$ and $c_{3}:=-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^{2}+1}$. Replacing $\mu(x) \nu(x)$ by $\nu(x)$ in (2.43) and (2.44) we get the third case of the asserted solutions (2.5). It follows from (2.30), (2.33), (2.39) and (2.43) that $\mu(\sigma x)=\mu(x), E(\sigma x)=E(x), a(\sigma x)=$ $a(x), \nu(\sigma x)=\nu(x)$ and the proof of the theorem is now complete.

Remark 2.3. Let $\sigma=I$ be the identity involution. Then as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 we obtain the solutions of hyperbolic cosine-sine functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Indeed, all solutions of (2.45) are given by (2.5) with exponential functions $E, \mu: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \nu: S^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, an additive function $a: S^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and constants $c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}, c_{2} \neq 0$.

Let $(H,+)$ be a commutative semigroup and $f, g: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, we determine all general solutions of the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{1}+y_{2}, x_{2}+y_{1}\right)=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) g\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in H$. We exclude the trivial cases when $g$ is constant.
Letting $\sigma\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H$ and using the same argument as in [8, Theorem 5] we obtain the following.

Corollary 2.4. Let $f, g: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation (2.46). Then either $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{m_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)+m_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)}{2} \\
& f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=c_{1} \frac{m_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)-m_{2}\left(x_{1}\right) m_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H$, where $m_{1}, m_{2}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary exponential functions and $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{1}^{2}=-1$, or

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g ( x _ { 1 } , x _ { 2 } ) = c _ { 2 } E ( x _ { 1 } + x _ { 2 } ) } \\
{ f ( x _ { 1 } , x _ { 2 } ) = c _ { 3 } E ( x _ { 1 } + x _ { 2 } ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\mu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(1-a\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right) \\
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=c_{1} \mu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) a\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\text { or }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) \mu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+c_{2} \nu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=c_{3}\left(\mu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-\nu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2} \in H$, where $E, \mu: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are exponential functions on $H, a$ is an additive function on $H^{*}:=\{x \in H: \mu(x) \neq 0\}$ with arbitrary values on $H \backslash H^{*}$, $\nu$ is an exponential function on $H^{*}$ and $\nu=0$ on $H \backslash H^{*}$, and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants satisfying $c_{1}^{2}=-1, c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ with $c_{2} \neq 0,1$.

If $S=G$ is a commutative group and $\sigma(x)=-x$ for all $x \in G$, we have the following.

Corollary 2.5. Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x-y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y) \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then either $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(-x)}{2}, f(x)=c_{1} \frac{m(x)-m(-x)}{2} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary exponential function and $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{1}^{2}=-1$, or

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g ( x ) = c _ { 2 } E ( x ) }  \tag{2.52}\\
{ f ( x ) = c _ { 3 } E ( x ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) \mu(x)+c_{2} \nu(x) \\
f(x)=c_{3}(\mu(x)-\nu(x))
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $E, \mu, \nu: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are exponential functions satisfying $(E(x))^{2}=(\mu(x))^{2}=(\nu(x))^{2}=1$ for all $x \in G$ and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants satisfying $c_{1}^{2}=-1, c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ with $c_{2} \neq 0,1$.

Proof. If $S=G$ is a group, then we have $G^{*}=\{x \in G: \mu(x) \neq 0\}=G$. Now, since the functions $a, E, \mu, \nu: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy $a(-x)=a(x), E(-x)=$ $E(x), \mu(-x)=\mu(x), \nu(-x)=\nu(x)$ for all $x \in G$, we have $a(x)=0$ for all $x \in G$ and $(E(x))^{2}=(\mu(x))^{2}=(\nu(x))^{2}=1$ for all $x \in G$, and the second case of (2.5) is reduced to the case $m=m \circ \sigma$ of (2.4). This completes the proof.

In particular if $S=G$ is a 2-divisible commutative group and $\sigma(x)=-x$ for all $x \in G$, then since $E=\mu=\nu=1$ we have the following.

Corollary 2.6. Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
g(x-y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then either $(g, f)$ has the form

$$
g(x)=c_{2}, \quad f(x)=c_{3}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$, or

$$
g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(-x)}{2}, f(x)=c_{1} \frac{m(x)-m(-x)}{2}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an arbitrary exponential function and $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{1}^{2}=-1$.

Remark 2.7. If $G$ is not 2 -divisible, we can find a nonconstant solution $(g, f)$ of (2.47) of the form (2.49). Indeed, let $G=\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers. Define $E: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $E(2 k)=1, E(2 k-1)=-1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $E$ is a nonconstant exponential function. Letting $\mu=E, \nu=1$ and $c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ we obtain the following nonconstant solutions of the form (2.49)

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g ( x ) = c _ { 2 } E ( x ) } \\
{ f ( x ) = c _ { 3 } E ( x ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) E(x)+c_{2} \\
f(x)=c_{3} E(x)-c_{3}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

## 3. Stability of the functional equation (1.7)

Throughout this section, let $G$ be a commutative group, $\psi: G \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be fixed and $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In this section we consider the stability of the functional equation (1.7), i.e., we deal with the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. For the proof of the stability of (3.1) we need the following.
Lemma 3.1. Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality (3.1) for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exist $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ (not both zero) and $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{1} f(x)-\mu_{2} g(x)\right| \leq M \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, or else

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.
Proof. Suppose that $\mu_{1} f(x)-\mu_{2} g(x)$ is bounded only when $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(x, y)=g(x+y)-g(x) g(\sigma y)-f(x) f(\sigma y) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Choose $y_{1}$ satisfying $f\left(\sigma y_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Then from (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\omega_{1} g(x)+\omega_{2} g\left(x+y_{1}\right)-\omega_{2} l\left(x, y_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\omega_{1}=-\frac{g\left(\sigma y_{1}\right)}{f\left(\sigma y_{1}\right)}$ and $\omega_{2}=\frac{1}{f\left(\sigma y_{1}\right)}$. From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x+y+z)= & g(x+y) g(\sigma z)+f(x+y) f(\sigma z)+l(x+y, z) \\
= & (g(x) g(\sigma y)+f(x) f(\sigma y)+l(x, y)) g(\sigma z) \\
& +\left(\omega_{1} g(x+y)+\omega_{2} g\left(x+y+y_{1}\right)-\omega_{2} l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right)\right) f(\sigma z) \\
& +l(x+y, z) \\
= & (g(x) g(\sigma y)+f(x) f(\sigma y)+l(x, y)) g(\sigma z) \\
& +\omega_{1}(g(x) g(\sigma y)+f(x) f(\sigma y)+l(x, y)) f(\sigma z) \\
& +\omega_{2}\left(g(x) g\left(\sigma\left(y+y_{1}\right)\right)+f(x) f\left(\sigma\left(y+y_{1}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+l\left(x, y+y_{1}\right)\right) f(\sigma z)-\omega_{2} l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right) f(\sigma z)+l(x+y, z) \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Also, from (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+y+z)=g(x) g(\sigma(y+z))+f(x) f(\sigma(y+z))+l(x, y+z) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Equating (3.6) and (3.7) and then isolating $l(\cdot, \cdot)$ terms into the right hand sides, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(g(\sigma y) g(\sigma z)+\omega_{1} g(\sigma y) f(\sigma z)+\omega_{2} g\left(\sigma\left(y+y_{1}\right)\right) f(\sigma z)-g(\sigma(y+z))\right) g(x) \\
& +\left(f(\sigma y) g(\sigma z)+\omega_{1} f(\sigma y) f(\sigma z)+\omega_{2} f\left(\sigma\left(y+y_{1}\right)\right) f(\sigma z)-f(\sigma(y+z))\right) f(x) \\
& \quad=-l(x, y) g(\sigma z)-\omega_{1} l(x, y) f(\sigma z)-\omega_{2} l\left(x, y+y_{1}\right) f(\sigma z) \\
& \quad+\omega_{2} l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right) f(\sigma z)-l(x+y, z)+l(x, y+z) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. So the left side of (3.8) is the of the form $\mu_{1}(y, z) f(x)-$ $\mu_{2}(y, z) g(x)$. Next we show that the right hand side of (3.8) is bounded as a function of $x$. Taking the absolute value of right hand sides of (3.8) and using triangle inequality and (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid-l(x, y) g(\sigma z)-\omega_{1} l(x, y) f(\sigma z)-\omega_{2} l\left(x, y+y_{1}\right) f(\sigma z) \\
& \quad+\omega_{2} l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right) f(\sigma z)-l(x+y, z)+l(x, y+z) \mid \\
& \leq|l(x, y)||g(\sigma z)|+|l(x, y)|\left|\omega_{1} f(\sigma z)\right|+\left|l\left(x, y+y_{1}\right)\right|\left|\omega_{2} f(\sigma z)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right)\right|\left|\omega_{2} f(\sigma z)\right|+|l(x+y, z)|+|l(x, y+z)| \\
& \leq \psi(\sigma y)|g(\sigma z)|+\psi(\sigma y)\left|\omega_{1} f(\sigma z)\right|+\psi\left(\sigma\left(y+y_{1}\right)\right)\left|\omega_{2} f(\sigma z)\right| \\
& \quad+\psi\left(\sigma y_{1}\right)\left|\omega_{2} f(\sigma z)\right|+\psi(\sigma z)+\psi(\sigma(y+z)) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. In view of (3.9), for fix $y, z$, the right hand side of (3.8) is bounded as a function of $x$. So by our assumption, the left hand side of (3.8) vanishes, so does its right hand side yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& l(x, y) g(\sigma z)+\left(\omega_{1} l(x, y)+\omega_{2} l\left(x, y+y_{1}\right)-\omega_{2} l\left(x+y, y_{1}\right)\right) f(\sigma z) \\
&=l(x, y+z)-l(x+y, z) \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. From (3.4) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& l(x, y+z)-l(x+y, z) \\
& =g(x+y+z)-g(x) g(\sigma(y+z))-f(x) f(\sigma(y+z)) \\
& \quad-g(x+y+z)+g(x+y) g(\sigma z)+f(x+y) f(\sigma z) \\
& =g(\sigma(x+y+z))-g(x) g(\sigma(y+z))-f(x) f(\sigma(y+z)) \\
& \quad-g(\sigma(x+y+z))+g(x+y) g(\sigma z)+f(x+y) f(\sigma z) \\
& =g(\sigma(y+z)+\sigma x)-g(\sigma(y+z)) g(x)-f(\sigma(y+z)) f(x) \\
& \quad-g(\sigma z+\sigma(x+y))+g(\sigma z) g(x+y)+f(\sigma z) f(x+y) \\
& = \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Using (3.11) and the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|l(x, y+z)-l(x+y, z)| & =|l(\sigma(y+z), \sigma x)-l(\sigma z, \sigma(x+y))| \\
& \leq|l(\sigma(y+z), \sigma x)|+|l(\sigma z, \sigma(x+y))| \\
& \leq \psi(x)+\psi(x+y) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Thus, if we fix $x, y$ in (3.10) the left hand side of (3.10) is a bounded function of $z$. Hence by our assumption, we have $l(x, y)=0$ for all $x, y \in G$. This completes the proof.

For the proof of the main result we also need the following three lemmas.
Lemma 3.2. [13] Let $\Psi: G \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function. Assume that $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x+y)-f(x) g(y)| \leq \Psi(y) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, then either $f$ is a bounded function or $g$ is an exponential function.

Lemma 3.3. Let $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded exponential function satisfying $m(y) \neq$ $m(\sigma y)$ for some $y \in G$. Then there exists $y_{0} \in G$ such that

$$
\left|m\left(y_{0}\right)-m\left(\sigma y_{0}\right)\right| \geq \sqrt{3}
$$

Furthermore, $\sqrt{3}$ is the best constant in general.
Proof. Since $m$ is a bounded exponential, there exists $C>0$ such that $|m(x)|^{k}=$ $|m(k x)| \leq C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in G$, which implies $|m(x)|=1$ for all $x \in G$. Assume that $m(\sigma y) \neq m(y)$. Then we have $m(\sigma y)=e^{i \theta_{1}}, m(y)=e^{i \theta_{2}}$ for some $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in[0,2 \pi]$. We may assume that $\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}$. If $\theta_{2}-\theta_{1} \in\left[\frac{2 \pi}{3}, \frac{4 \pi}{3}\right]$, we have $|m(y)-m(\sigma y)|=\left|e^{i \theta_{2}}-e^{i \theta_{1}}\right| \geq \sqrt{3}$. If $\theta_{2}-\theta_{1} \in\left[0, \frac{2 \pi}{3}\right]$ or $\theta_{2}-\theta_{1} \in\left[\frac{4 \pi}{3}, 2 \pi\right]$, then there exists an integer $k$ such that $k \theta_{2}-k \theta_{1} \in\left[\frac{2 \pi}{3}+2 n \pi, \frac{4 \pi}{3}+2 n \pi\right]$ for some integer $n$. Thus we have $|m(k y)-m(\sigma(k y))|=|m(k y)-m(k \sigma y)|=\left|e^{i k \theta_{2}}-e^{i k \theta_{1}}\right| \geq \sqrt{3}$. Now define $m: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $m(k)=e^{\frac{i k \pi}{3}}$ and let $\sigma(x)=-x$. Then we have $|m(3 k+1)-m(-3 k-1)|=\sqrt{3}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\sqrt{3}$ is the biggest one. This completes the proof.

From now on we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k-1} \psi\left(2^{k} x\right)<\infty \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, or else

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(x):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k} \psi\left(2^{-k-1} x\right)<\infty \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$.
Lemma 3.4. [3] Assume that $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)| \leq \psi(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive function $a_{1}$ given by

$$
a_{1}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left|f(x)-a_{1}(x)\right| \leq \Phi_{1}(x)
$$

for all $x \in G$ provided that (3.14) holds, and there exists a unique additive function $a_{2}$ given by

$$
a_{2}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{n} f\left(2^{-n} x\right)
$$

such that

$$
\left|f(x)-a_{2}(x)\right| \leq \Phi_{2}(x)
$$

for all $x \in G$ provided that (3.15) holds.
Next we present the second main results of this paper.
Theorem 3.5. Let $f, g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) g(y)-f(x) f(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, then $(g, f)$ satisfies one of the following:
(i) $g$ and $f$ are bounded functions,
(ii) $f$ is a bounded function and $g=m$ is an unbounded exponential function such that $m=m \circ \sigma$,
(iii) there exist an unbounded exponential function $m$ satisfying $m=m \circ \sigma$ and a bounded function $r$ such that

$$
f(x)=\frac{\lambda m(x)+r(x)}{\lambda^{2}+1}, g(x)=\frac{m(x)-\lambda r(x)}{\lambda^{2}+1}
$$

for all $x \in G$,
(iv) $g(x)=\frac{m(x)+m(\sigma x)}{2}$ and $f(x)=c_{1} \frac{m(x)-m(\sigma x)}{2}$, where $m: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an exponential function,
(v) there is an additive function $a: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and exponential functions $E, \mu, \nu$ : $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $a \circ \sigma=a, E \circ \sigma=E, \mu \circ \sigma=\mu, \nu \circ \sigma=\nu$, and $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $c_{1}^{2}=-1, c_{2}^{2}+c_{3}^{2}=c_{2}$ with $c_{2} \neq 0,1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ g ( x ) = c _ { 2 } E ( x ) } \\
{ f ( x ) = c _ { 3 } E ( x ) }
\end{array} \quad \text { or } \left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\mu(x)(1-a(x)) \\
f(x)=c_{1} \mu(x) a(x)
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
\qquad \text { or }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(x)=\left(1-c_{2}\right) \mu(x)+c_{2} \nu(x) \\
f(x)=c_{3}(\mu(x)-\nu(x))
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$,
(vi) there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda^{2}=-1$, a bounded exponential function $m$ satisfying $m \neq m \circ \sigma$ and $d \geq 0$ such that

$$
f(x)=\lambda(g(x)-m(x)), \quad|g(x)| \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{3}(\psi(x)+d)
$$

for all $x \in G$,
(vii) there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda^{2}=-1$ and a bounded exponential function $m$ satisfying $m=m \circ \sigma$ such that

$$
f(x)=\lambda(g(x)-m(x))
$$

for all $x \in G$, and $g$ satisfies one of the following; there exists an additive function $a_{1}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\left|g(x)-\left(a_{1}(x)+g(0)\right) m(x)\right| \leq 2 \Phi_{1}(x)
$$

for all $x \in G$, or there exists an additive function $a_{2}: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\left|g(x)-\left(a_{2}(x)+g(0)\right) m(x)\right| \leq 2 \Phi_{2}(x)
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ are the functions given in (3.14) and (3.15) and $g(0)=1$ if $\psi(0)=0$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1, we first consider the case when $f, g$ satisfies (3.2). If $f$ is bounded, then in view of the inequality (3.16), $g(x+y)-g(x) g(\sigma y)$ is also bounded for each $y$. By Lemma 3.2, $g$ is bounded or $g \circ \sigma$ is an unbounded exponential function and so is $g$. If $g$ is bounded, the case (i) follows. If $g$ is an unbounded exponential function, say $g=m$, then from (3.16), using the triangle inequality we have for some $d \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m(x)(m(\sigma y)-m(y))| \leq \psi(y)+d \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Thus, $m(y)=m(\sigma y)$ for all $y \in G$ is bounded, which gives the case (ii).

If $f$ is unbounded, then in view of (3.16), $g$ is also unbounded and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lambda g(x)+r(x) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\lambda \neq 0$ and $r$ is a bounded function. Putting (3.18) in (3.16), replacing $y$ by $\sigma y$ and using the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|g(x+y)-g(x)\left(\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) g(\sigma y)+\lambda r(\sigma y)\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq|(\lambda g(\sigma y)+r(\sigma y)) r(x)|+\psi(\sigma y) \leq \psi^{*}(y) \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and for some $\psi^{*}$. From (3.19), using Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right) g(y)+\lambda r(y)=m(y) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in G$ and for some exponential function $m$. If $\lambda^{2} \neq-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{\lambda m(x)+r(x)}{\lambda^{2}+1}, g(x)=\frac{m(x)-\lambda r(x)}{\lambda^{2}+1} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$. Putting (3.21) in (3.16), multiplying $\left|\lambda^{2}+1\right|$ in the result and using the triangle inequality we have for some $d \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m(x)(m(\sigma y)-m(y))| \leq\left|\lambda^{2}+1\right| \psi(y)+d \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since $m$ is an unbounded function, from (3.22) we have $m=m \circ \sigma$. If $\lambda^{2}=-1$, then from (3.18) and (3.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lambda(g(x)-m(x)) \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $\lambda^{2}=-1$ and $m$ is a bounded exponential function and hence $|m(x)|=1$ for all $x \in G$. Putting (3.23) in (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+\sigma y)-g(x) m(y)-m(x) g(y)+m(x) m(y)| \leq \psi(y) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since $g$ is unbounded, we have $m \neq 0$ and hence $m(0)=1$. Putting $x=y=0$ in (3.24) we see that $g(0)=1$ if $\psi(0)=0$. Replacing $y$ by $\sigma y$ in (3.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+y)-g(x) m(\sigma y)-m(x) g(\sigma y)+m(x) m(\sigma y)| \leq \psi(\sigma y) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Putting $x=0$ in (3.25) and multiplying $|m(x)|$ in the result we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|m(x) g(y)-g(0) m(x) m(\sigma y)-m(x) g(\sigma y)+m(x) m(\sigma y)| \leq \psi(\sigma y) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.
From (3.25) and (3.26), using the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x+y)-g(x) m(\sigma y)-m(x) g(y)+g(0) m(x) m(\sigma y)| \leq 2 \psi(\sigma y) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$.
First, we consider the case $m\left(y_{0}\right) \neq m\left(\sigma y_{0}\right)$ for some $y_{0} \in G$. Replacing $x$ by $y$ and $y$ by $x$ in (3.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(y+x)-m(\sigma x) g(y)-g(x) m(y)+g(0) m(\sigma x) m(y)| \leq 2 \psi(\sigma x) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. From (3.27) and (3.28), using the triangle inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid g(x)(m(\sigma y)-m(y))-g(y)(m(\sigma x)-m(x)) & -g(0)(m(x) m(\sigma y)-m(\sigma x) m(y)) \mid \\
& \leq 2(\psi(\sigma x)+\psi(\sigma y)) \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a $y_{0} \in G$ such that $\left|m\left(\sigma y_{0}\right)-m\left(y_{0}\right)\right| \geq$ $\sqrt{3}$, putting $y=y_{0}$ in (3.29), using the triangle inequality and dividing the result by $\left|m\left(\sigma y_{0}\right)-m\left(y_{0}\right)\right|$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{3}(\psi(\sigma x)+d) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in G$, where $d=\psi\left(y_{0}\right)+\left|g\left(y_{0}\right)\right|+|g(0)|$, which gives (vi). Now, we consider the case when $m(x)=m(\sigma x)$ for all $x \in G$. Dividing both the sides (3.27) by $|m(x+y)|=|m(x) m(y)|$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x+y)-F(x)-F(y)| \leq 2 \psi(y) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in G$, where $F(x)=\frac{g(x)}{m(x)}-g(0)$. Using Lemma 3.4 and multiplying $|m(x)|$ in the result we get (vii). If $f, g$ satisfies (3.3), then by Theorem 2.2, all solutions of (3.3) are given by (iv) or (v). This completes the proof.

Remark 3.6. Let $\sigma=I$ be the identity. Then as a direct consequence of Theorem 3.5 we obtain the Hyers-Ulam stability of the hyperbolic cosine-sine functional equation

$$
g(x+y)=g(x) g(y)+f(x) f(y)
$$
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