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1. Introduction

The concept of geometry of submanifolds begin with the idea of extrinsic geometry of surface and it is developed for ambient space. The course of time and this theory of submanifolds play an important role in economic modeling, computer design, image processing and in mathematical physics and in mechanics. The extensive application of such topic makes it an active and interesting field of research for all geometers.

The notion of geometry slant submanifolds are the natural generalization of both holomorphic and totally real immersions has been defined and introduced by Chen [6], then after several geometers during last two decades studies this interesting topic ([4, 20]). Lotta [3] investigate the properties of slant immersion of a Riemannian manifolds in to an almost contact metric manifolds. The concept of semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehlerian manifolds was studies by Papaghuic [17]. Furthermore, the slant submanifolds were generalized as semi-slant submanifolds, pseudo-slant submanifolds, bi-slant submanifolds and quasi-slant submanifolds etc, on different kind
of differentiable manifolds (for more details see [1, 5, 11, 12, 14]).
In 1967, Blair [8], established and explain the geometry of quasi-Sasakian structure.
Prasad et al. [18] studied the quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of Sasakian manifolds
and Cosymplectic manifolds in [19], and investigated some properties of integrability
of distribution and totally geodesic, in 2020.
Motivated enough from the above studies, we will attempt to study quasi hemi-
lslant submanifolds of hemi-Sasakian manifolds which include the semi-slant and
hemi-slant submanifolds.
This present paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce the basic
definition and some properties of an almost contact metric manifolds. In section 3,
we describe about quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of quasi-Sasakian manifolds and
investigate some basic results and provide a non trivial example of quasi hemi-slant
submanifolds of quasi-Sasakian manifolds. Section 4, deals with necessary and suffi-
cient conditions for integrability of distribution for quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of
quasi-Sasakian manifolds. In section 5, we also drive some necessary and sufficient
conditions for totally geodesic for quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of quasi-Sasakian
manifolds.

2. Preliminaries
Let \( \bar{M} \) be a real \((2n + 1)\) dimensional manifold endowed with an almost contact
metric structure \((\phi, \xi, \eta, g)\), where \( \phi \) is a tensor of type \((1,1)\), \( \xi \) is a vector field, \( \eta \) is
1-form and \( g \) is a Riemannian metric on \( \bar{M} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi^2 &= -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \\
g(X, \xi) &= \eta(X), \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \\
g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)
\end{align*}
\]

(1) (2) (3)
for any vector field \( X, Y \) tangent to \( \bar{M} \), where \( I \) is the identity on the tangent bundle
\( \Gamma(M) \) on \( \bar{M} \).
An almost contact metric structure \((\phi, \xi, \eta, g)\) on \( \bar{M} \) is said to be normal [9] if
an almost complex structure \( J \) on the product manifold \( \bar{M} \times R \) is given by

\[
J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f \xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt}),
\]

where \( J^2 = -1 \) and \( f \) is a differentiable function on \( \bar{M} \times R \) has no torsion, i.e. \( J \) is
integrable. The condition for normality in terms of \( \phi, \eta \) and \( \xi \) is \([\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi\) on
\( \bar{M} \), where \([\phi, \phi] \) is the Nijenhuis tensor of \( \phi \).
An almost contact metric structure \((\phi, \xi, \eta, g)\) on \(\tilde{M}\) is called quasi-Sasakian manifold \([8]\) if
\[
(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,
\]
where \(A\) is a symmetric linear transformation field, \(\tilde{\nabla}\) denotes the Riemannian connection of \(g\) on \(\tilde{M}\). We have also on quasi-Sasakian manifold \(\tilde{M}\)
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = \phi AX.
\]

Now, let \(M\) be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in \(\tilde{M}\) and the induced Riemannian metric on \(M\) is denoted by the same symbol \(g\) throughout this paper. The Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by \([10]\)
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y),
\]
\[
\tilde{\nabla}_X V = -\Lambda_V X + \nabla^\perp_X V
\]
for all \(X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)\) and \(V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)\), where \(\tilde{\nabla}\) is induced connection on \(M\) and \(\nabla^\perp\) is the connection on the normal bundle \(T^\perp M\) of \(M\) and \(\Lambda_V\) is the shape operator on \(M\) with normal vector \(V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)\). Moreover, \(\sigma\) is the second fundamental form of \(A_V\) is the Weingarten map associated with \(V\) as
\[
g(\Lambda_V X, Y) = g(\sigma(X, Y), V)
\]
The mean curvature vector \(H\) of \(M\) is defined by
\[
H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma(e_i, e_i)
\]
where \(n\) is the dimension of \(M\) and \(\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}\) is a local orthogonal frame of \(M\).

A submanifolds \(M\) of an almost contact metric manifold \(\tilde{M}\) is said to be totally umbilical if
\[
\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H
\]
where \(H\) is the mean curvature vector. A submanifold \(M\) is said to be geodesic if \(\sigma(X, Y) = 0\), for each \(X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)\) and \(M\) is said to minimal submanifold if \(H = 0\).

For any \(X \in TM\), we can write
\[
\phi X = TX + NT,
\]
where $TX$ and $NX$ are tangential and normal component of $\phi X$ on $M$ respectively. Similarly, for any $V \in \Gamma(T\perp M)$, we have

$$\phi V = tV + nV,$$

(12)

where $tV$ and $nV$ are the tangential and normal component of $\phi V$ on $M$ respectively.

The covariant derivative of projection morphisms in (11) and (12) are defined as

$$\bar{\nabla}_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y,$$

(13)

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N)Y = \nabla_X^\perp NY - N\nabla_X Y,$$

(14)

$$\bar{\nabla}_X t)V = \nabla_X tV - t\nabla_X V,$$

(15)

$$\bar{\nabla}_X n)V = \nabla_X^\perp nV - n\nabla_X V$$

(16)

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T\perp M)$.

**Definition 1.** [13] Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$. A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is said to be invariant, if $\phi(T_x M) \subseteq T_x M$, for every point $x \in M$.

**Definition 2.** [16] A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is said to be anti-invariant, if $\phi(T_x M) \subseteq T_x^\perp M$, for every point $x \in M$.

**Definition 3.** [19] A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is said to be slant, if for each non-zero vector $X$ tangent to $M$ at $x \in M$, linearly independent on $\xi$, the angle $\theta(K)$ between $\phi X$ and $T_x M$ is constant, i.e. it does not depend on the choice of the point $x \in M$ and $X \in T_x M$. In this case, the angle $\theta$ is called the slant angle of the submanifold. A slant submanifold $M$ is called proper slant submanifold if neither $\theta = 0$ nor $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

We note that, on a slant submanifold $M$ if $\theta = 0$, then it is an invariant submanifold and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then it is an anti-invariant submanifold. This means that the slant submanifold is a generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds.

**Definition 4.** [2] A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\tilde{M}$ is said to be semi-invariant, if there exist two orthogonal complementary distributions $D$ and $D^\perp$ on $M$ such that

$$TM = D \oplus D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle,$$

where $D$ is invariant and $D^\perp$ is anti-invariant.
Definition 5. [17] A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be semi-slant, if there exist two orthogonal complementary distributions $D$ and $D^\theta$ on $M$ such that

$$TM = D \oplus D^\theta \oplus \langle \xi \rangle,$$

where $D$ is invariant and $D^\theta$ is slant with slant angle $\theta$. In this case, the angle $\theta$ is called semi-slant angle.

Definition 6. [5] A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be hemi-slant, if there exist two orthogonal complementary distributions $D^\theta$ and $D^\perp$ on $M$ such that

$$TM = D^\theta \oplus D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle,$$

where $D^\theta$ is slant with slant angle $\theta$ and $D^\perp$ is anti-invariant. In this case, the angle $\theta$ is called hemi-slant angle.

3. Quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of quasi-Sasakian manifolds

In this section of paper, we explain the necessary background of quasi-hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifolds and also, provide an example of quasi-hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifolds.

Definition 7. A submanifold $M$ of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$ is called quasi hemi-slant submanifold if there exist distributions $D$, $D^\theta$ and $D^\perp$ on $M$ such that

(i) $TM$ admits the orthogonal direct decomposition as

$$TM = D \oplus D^\theta \oplus D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$$

(ii) The distribution $D$ is $\phi$ invariant, i.e., $\phi D = D$.

(iii) For any non-zero vector field $X \in (D^\theta)_p, p \in M$, the angle $\theta$ between $JX$ and $(D^\theta)_p$ is constant and independent of the choice of point $p$ and $X$ in $(D^\theta)_p$.

(iv) The distribution $D^\perp$ is $\phi$ anti-invariant, i.e., $\phi D^\perp \subseteq T^\perp M$.

In this case, we call $\theta$ quasi-hemi-slant angle of $M$. Let the dimension of distributions $D$, $D^\theta$ and $D^\perp$ are $n_1, n_2$ and $n_3$ respectively. Then we can easily see the following cases.

(i) If $n_1 = 0$, then $M$ is a hemi-slant submanifold.

(ii) If $n_2 = 0$, then $M$ is a semi-invariant submanifold.
If $n_3 = 0$, then $M$ is a semi-slant submanifold.

We say that a quasi hemi-slant submanifold $M$ is proper if $D \neq \{0\}$, $D^\perp \neq \{0\}$ and $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$.

This means that the notion of quasi hemi-slant submanifold is a generalization of invariant, anti-invariant, semi-invariant, slant, hemi-slant, semi-slant submanifolds and also, they are the examples of quasi hemi-slant submanifolds.

**Remark 3.2.** The definition can be generalized by taking $TM = D \oplus D^\theta_1 \oplus D^\theta_2 \ldots \oplus D^\theta_k \oplus D^\perp \oplus <\xi>$. Hence, we can define multi-slant submanifolds, quasi multi-slant submanifolds, quasi hemi multi-slant submanifolds, etc [14].

**Example 1.** Let $\mathbb{R}^7$ be a 7-dimensional Euclidean space with a local coordinate system $(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z)$, which admits the following quasi Sasakian structure $\phi$ and the metric $g$

$$\phi = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y_1 & 2y_2 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

and

$$g = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + 4y_1^2 & 4y_1y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2y_1 \\
4y_1y_2 & 1 + 4y_2^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2y_2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-2y_1 & -2y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.$$  

with $\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$; $\eta = dz - 2y_1dx_1 - 2y_2dx_2$. Let $\left\{E_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right\}_{i=1,2,...,7}$ be the global fields of frames of $\mathbb{R}^7$, where $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, z) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z)$. Then the quintet of $(\mathbb{R}^7, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ consist a quasi Sasakian manifold [7].

Now, we define a submanifold $N$ of $\mathbb{R}^7$ by the immersion $\gamma$ as follows:

$\gamma(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6) = (u_1, (-\tan \theta)u_1 + u_2, u_3, (\sin \theta)u_4, (\cos \theta)u_4, u_5, u_6)$.
where $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. It is easy check that tangent bundle $TN = \text{Span}\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_5, Z_6\}$, where

$$Z_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad Z_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad Z_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

$$Z_4 = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5},$$

$$Z_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \tan \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad Z_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}.$$

Using the structure $\phi$, we obtain

$$\phi Z_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \quad \phi Z_2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad \phi Z_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5},$$

$$\phi Z_4 = -\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

$$\phi Z_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \tan \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \quad \phi X_6 = 0.$$

If we choose the following distributions

$$D = \text{Span}\{Z_1, Z_2\}, D^\theta = \text{Span}\{Z_3, Z_4\}, D^\perp = \text{Span}\{Z_5\}. \quad (18)$$

Then, the distributions $D$, $D^\theta$ and $D^\perp$ are invariant, slant with slant angle $\theta$ and anti-invariant distributions, respectively. Thus, in view of (17) $N$ is quasi hemi-slant submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^7$.

Let $M$ be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$. We denote the projection of $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ on the distribution $D, D^\theta$ and $D^\perp$ by $P, Q$ and $R$ respectively. Then we can write for $X \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$X = PX + QX + RX + \eta(X)\xi. \quad (19)$$

Now we put,

$$\phi X = TX + NX, \quad (20)$$

where $TX$ and $NX$ are tangential and normal component of $\phi X$ on $M$. Using (19) and (20), we get

$$\phi X = TPX + NPX + TQX + NQX + TRX + NRX. \quad (21)$$

Since $\phi D = D$ and $\phi D^\perp \subseteq T^\perp M$, we have $NPX = 0$ and $TRX = 0$. So, we get

$$\phi X = TPX + TQX + NQX + NRX. \quad (22)$$
Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, it is easy to write

$$TX = TPX + TQX,$$

and

$$NX = NQX + NRX.$$ 

So, from (22), we have the following decomposition

$$\phi(TM) = D \oplus TD^\theta \oplus ND^\theta \oplus ND^\perp,$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where, '$\oplus$' denotes orthogonal direct sum. Since $ND^\theta \subset T^\perp M$ and $ND^\perp \subset T^\perp M$, we have

$$T^\perp M = ND^\theta \oplus ND^\perp \oplus \mu,$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

where $\mu$ is the orthogonal complement of $ND^\theta \oplus ND^\perp$ in $\Gamma(T^\perp M)$ and it is invariant with respect to $\phi$. For any non-zero vector field $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$.

We put

$$\phi V = tV + nV,$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

where, $tV \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus D^\perp)$ and $nV \in \Gamma(\mu)$.

**Proposition 1.** Let $M$ be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$\nabla_X TY - A_N Y - T\nabla_X Y - t\sigma(X,Y) = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX,Y)\xi,$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$\sigma(X,TY) + \nabla_X^\perp NY - N\nabla_X Y - n\sigma(X,Y) = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (27)

and

$$TD = D, TD^\theta = D^\theta; \quad TD^\perp = \{0\}, \quad tND^\theta = D^\theta, \quad tND^\perp = D^\perp.$$ \hspace{1cm} (28)

**Proof.** Using equations (4), (6) and (7) and equating tangential and normal components, we obtain the desired results.

**Proposition 2.** Let $M$ be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, then the endomorphism $T$ and $N$, $t$ and $n$ in the tangent bundle of $M$, satisfying the following identities.

(i) $T^2 + tN = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$ on $TM$,

(ii) $NT + nN = 0$ on $TM$, 
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(iii) \( Nt + n^2 = -I \) on \( T^\perp M \),

(iv) \( Tt + tn = 0 \) on \( T^\perp M \).

where \( I \) is the identity.

Proof. Using equations (20) and (25) and using the fact that \( \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi \), then on computing tangential and normal components.

Lemma 1. Let \( M \) be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \( \bar{M} \), then

(i) \( T^2 X = -(\cos^2 \theta) X \),

(ii) \( g(TX, TY) = (\cos^2 \theta) g(X, Y) \),

(iii) \( g(NX, NY) = (\sin^2 \theta) g(X, Y) \),

for any \( X, Y \in D^\theta \).

Proof. The proof follows similar steps as in Proposition 2.8 of [12].

Proposition 3. Let \( M \) be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \( \bar{M} \), then

\[
(\nabla_X T)Y = \Lambda_{NY} X + t\sigma(X, Y) + \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi,
\]

\[
(\nabla_X N)Y = n\sigma(X, Y) - \sigma(X, TY),
\]

\[
(\nabla_X t)Y = \Lambda_{nV} X - T\Lambda_V X,
\]

\[
(\nabla_X n)V = -\sigma(X, tV) - N\Lambda_V X,
\]

for any \( X, Y \in \Gamma(TM) \) and \( V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M) \).

Proof. Using the equations (4), (6), (7), (11), (12) and (13) and equating tangential and normal components.

Proposition 4. Let \( M \) be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \( \bar{M} \), then

\( \sigma(X, \xi) = NAX \)

and

\( \nabla_X \xi = TAX \),

for any \( X \in \Gamma(TM) \).

Proof. Using the equations (5), (6) and (11) and equating tangential and normal components.
Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, then

$$\Lambda_{\phi Z}W = \Lambda_{\phi W}Z + T([Z,W]) + g(AW,Z)\xi - g(AZ,W)\xi,$$

$$\nabla_{\tilde{Z}}^\perp \phi W = \nabla_{\tilde{W}}^\perp \phi Z + N([Z,W])$$

for any $Z, W \in D^\perp$.

Proof. For all $Z, W \in D^\perp$ and using covariant differentiation in (4), we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi W - \phi(\tilde{\nabla}_Z W) = \eta(W)AZ - g(AZ,W)\xi$$

Using (6), (7), (11) and (12), we have

$$-\Lambda_{\phi W}Z + \nabla_{\tilde{Z}}^\perp \phi W - T(\nabla_Z W) - N(\nabla_Z W) - t\sigma(Z,W) - n\sigma(Z,W) = -g(AZ,W)\xi$$

Equating tangential and normal part, we have

$$\Lambda_{\phi W}Z + T(\nabla_Z W) + t\sigma(Z,W) = g(AZ,W)\xi, \quad (29)$$

$$\nabla_{\tilde{Z}}^\perp \phi W = N(\nabla_Z W) + n\sigma(Z,W). \quad (30)$$

Interchanging $Z$ and $W$ in (29) and (30), we can easily get the desired results.

Lemma 3. Let $M$ be a quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, then

(i) $g([X,Y]\xi) = 2g(TAX,Y)$,

(ii) $g(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) = g(TAX, Y)$,

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^\theta \oplus D^\perp)$.

Proof. Using the equations (3), (5) and (11), calculation just straight forward.

4. Integrability of Distributions

In this segment, we examine the integrability conditions of distributions involved in the definition of quasi hemi-slant submanifolds of quasi-Sasakian manifolds.

Theorem 4. Let $M$ be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, then the distribution $D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ is integrable if and only if

$$g(\nabla_X TY - \nabla_Y TX, TQZ) = g(\sigma(Y, TX) - \sigma(X, TY), NQZ + NRZ)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus D^\perp)$.
Proof. For all \(X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus <\xi>)\) and \(Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus D^\perp)\), we know that
\[
g([X, Y], Z) = g(\nabla_X Y) - g(\nabla_Y X, Z)
\]
Using (3) in above equation, we have
\[
g([X, Y], Z) = g(\phi \nabla_X Y, \phi Z) - g(\phi \nabla_Y X, \phi Z).
\]
(32)
Using (4) and concept of covariant differentiation, we have
\[
g(\phi(\nabla_X Y), \phi Z) = g(\nabla_X \phi Y, \phi Z).
\]
Therefore from (32), we have
\[
g([X, Y], Z) = g(\nabla_X \phi Y, \phi Z) - g(\nabla_Y \phi X, \phi Z).
\]
(33)
Using (6), (11) and (20) in above equation, we have
\[
g([X, Y], Z) = g(\nabla_X TY + \sigma(X, TY), TQZ + TRZ + NQZ + NRZ)
\]
\[
- g(\nabla_Y TX + \sigma(Y, TX), TQZ + TRZ + NQZ + NRZ)
\]
As \(\phi D^\perp \in T^\perp M\), so \(TRZ = 0\), therefore from above, we have
\[
g([X, Y], Z) = g(\nabla_X TY - \nabla_Y TX, TQZ) + g(\sigma(X, TY) - \sigma(Y, TX), NQZ + NRZ)
\]
As \(D^\oplus <\xi>\) is integrable, so we have the required result.

**Theorem 5.** Let \(M\) be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \(\bar{M}\), then the slant distribution \(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>\) is integrable if and only if
\[
g(\Lambda_{NTZ}Y - \Lambda_{NTY}Z, W) = g(\Lambda_{NZ}Y - \Lambda_{NY}Z, TPW)
\]
\[
+ g(\nabla^\perp_Z NY - \nabla^\perp_Y NZ, NRW)
\]
for all \(Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>)\) and \(W \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^\perp)\).

Proof. For all \(Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>)\) and \(W = PW + RW \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^\perp)\) and using (3) and (4), we have
\[
g([Y, Z], W) = g(\nabla_Y \phi Z, \phi W) - g(\nabla_Z \phi Y, \phi W).
\]
(35)
Using (7) and (11) in (35), we have
\[
g([Y, Z], W) = g(\Lambda_{NY}Z - \Lambda_{NZ}Y \phi W) + g(\nabla^\perp_Y NZ - \nabla^\perp_Z NY, \phi W)
\]
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\[-g(\nabla_Y T^2 Z - \nabla_Z T^2 Y, W) + g(\Lambda_{NTZ} Y - \Lambda_{NTY} Z, W).\]

Using (20) and Lemma 1 in above equation, we have
\[(1 - \cos^2 \theta)g([Y, Z], W) = g(\Lambda_{NY} Z - \Lambda_{NZ} Y, TPW) + g(\nabla_Z^\perp N Y - \nabla_Y^\perp N Z, N RW) + g(\Lambda_{NTZ} Y - \Lambda_{NTY} Z, W).\]

As distribution \(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>\) is integrable, so we have required result.

**Theorem 6.** Let \(M\) be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \(\bar{M}\), if
\[\nabla_Z^\perp N Y - \nabla_Y^\perp N Z \in ND^\theta \oplus \mu,\]
\[\Lambda_{NTZ} Y - \Lambda_{NTY} Z \in D^\theta,\]
and
\[\Lambda_{NZ} Y - \Lambda_{NY} Z \in D^\perp \oplus D^\theta.\]

for all \(Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>)\), then the slant distribution \(D^\theta \oplus <\xi>\) is integrable.

**Theorem 7.** Let \(M\) be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold \(\bar{M}\), then the anti-derivative \(D^\perp\) is integrable if and only if
\[g(T[Z, W], TY) = g(N[W, Z], NQY)\] (36)
for all \(Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)\) and \(Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^\theta)\).

**Proof.** For all \(Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)\) and \(Y = PY + QY \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^\theta)\) and using (3), (4), (7) and (20), we have
\[g([Z, W], Y) = g(\Lambda_\phi Z - \Lambda_\phi W Z, TPY + TQY) - g(\nabla_W^\perp \phi Z - \nabla^\perp_Z \phi W, N Q Y).\]

Using Lemma 2 in above equation, we have
\[g([Z, W], Y) = g(T[Z, W], TY) - g(N[W, Z], NQY).\]

As anti-derivative \(D^\perp\) is integrable, so we have desired result.
5. Totally Geodesic Foliations

Geodesicness and foliations are significant geometric characteristics. In this section, we determine the geometry of foliations of quasi hemi-slant submanifolds, also, some conditions are given for the totally Geodesicness.

**Theorem 8.** Let $M$ be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$, then $M$ is totally geodesic if and only if

$$g(\sigma(X, PY) + \cos^2 \theta \sigma(X, QY), V) = g(\nabla_X T^2 TQY, V) - g(\nabla_X NTQY, V)$$

$$+ g(\Lambda_{NQY} X + \Lambda_{NRY} X, tV)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^1 M)$.

**Proof.** For all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), V \in \Gamma(T^1 M)$ and using (19), we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, V) = g(\nabla_X PY, V) + g(\nabla_X QY, V) + g(\nabla_X RY, V)$$

Using (3), (4), (6) and (11) in above equation, we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, V) = g(\sigma(X, PY), V) - g(\nabla_X T^2 TQY, V) - g(\nabla_X NTQY, V)$$

$$+ g(\nabla_X NQY, \phi V) + g(\nabla_X NRY, \phi V)$$

Using (7), (12) and Lemma 1 in above equation, we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, V) = g(\sigma(X, PY) + \cos^2 \theta \sigma(X, QY), V) - g(\Lambda_{NQY} X + \Lambda_{NRY} X, tV + nV)$$

$$+ g(\nabla_X NQY, \nabla_X NRY, tV + nV) - g(\nabla_X NTQY, V)$$

As $NY = NPY + NQY + NRY$ and $NPY = 0$, thus we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, V) = g(\sigma(X, PY) + \cos^2 \theta \sigma(X, QY), V) - g(\Lambda_{NQY} X + \Lambda_{NRY} X, tV)$$

$$+ g(\nabla_X NQY, nV) - g(\nabla_X NTQY, V)$$

Hence the proof follows.

**Theorem 9.** Let $M$ be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$, then the distribution $D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$ if and only if

$$g(\nabla_X T^2 TQZ) = -g(\sigma(X, TQY), NQZ + NRZ).$$

$$g(\nabla_X T^2 tV) = -g(\sigma(X, TQY), nV)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus \langle \xi \rangle), Z = QZ + RZ \in \Gamma(D^\theta \oplus D^\perp)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^1 M)$. 
Proof. For any $X,Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus \xi)$, $Z = QZ + RZ \in \Gamma(D^0 \oplus D^\perp)$ and using (3) and (4), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi Y, \phi Z)$$

Using (6), (11), (20) and $NY = 0$, in above equation, we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, Z) = g(\nabla_X TY, TQZ) + g(\sigma(X, TY), NQZ + NRZ).$$

(40)

Now, for any $X,Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus \xi)$, $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ and using (3), (4), (20) and $NY = 0$, we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, V) = g(\bar{\nabla}_X TY, \phi V)$$

Using (6) and (12), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, V) = g(\nabla_X TY, tV) + g(\sigma(X, TY), nV).$$

(41)

As distribution $(D \oplus \xi)$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$, So from (40) and (41), we have desired results.

**Theorem 10.** Let $M$ be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\tilde{M}$, then the distribution $D^\perp$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$ if and only if

$$g(\Lambda_{NZ} Y, TPW + TQW) = g(\nabla^\perp_Y NZ, NQW),$$

(42)

$$g(\Lambda_{NZ} Y, tV) = g(\nabla^\perp_Y NZ, nV)$$

(43)

for any $Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, $W \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^0)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$.

Proof. For any $Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, $W = PW + QW \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^0)$ and using (3), (4) and (11), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z, W) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Y NZ, \phi W)$$

Using (7) and (20), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z, W) = -g(\Lambda_{NZ} Y, TPW + TQW) + g(\nabla^\perp_Y NZ, NQW).$$

(44)

Now, for any $Y, Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ and using (3), (4) and (11), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z, V) = g(\bar{\nabla}_Y NZ, \phi V)$$

Using (7) and (12), we have

$$g(\bar{\nabla}_Y Z, V) = -g(\Lambda_{NZ} Y, tV) + g(\nabla^\perp_Y NZ, nV).$$

(45)

As distribution $(D^\perp)$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$, So from (44) and (45), we have desired results.
**Theorem 11.** Let $M$ be a proper quasi hemi-slant submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$, then the distribution $D^{\theta} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$ if and only if
\[
g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, NRX) = g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, TPX) - g(\Lambda_{NTW}Z, X),
\]
\[
g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, tV) = g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, nV) - g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NTW, V)
\]
for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\theta} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$, $X \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^{\perp})$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$.

*Proof.* For any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\theta} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$, $X = PX + RX \in \Gamma(D \oplus D^{\perp})$ and using (3), (4), (7) and (11), we have
\[
g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, X) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}\phi TW, X) + g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}NW, \phi X)
\]
\[
g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, X) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}T^{2}W, X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}NTW, X) - g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, \phi X) + g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, \phi X)
\]
Using (7) and Lemma 1 in above equation, we have
\[
(1 - \cos^{2}\theta)g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, X) = g(\Lambda_{NTW}Z, X) - g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, \phi X) + g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, \phi X)
\]
Using (20) and using the fact that $NPX = 0$ in above, we have
\[
(1 - \cos^{2}\theta)g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, X) = g(\Lambda_{NTW}Z, X) - g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, TPX) + g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, NRX).
\]
Now, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\theta} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle)$, $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ and using (3), (4) and (11), we have
\[
g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, V) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}\phi TW, V) + g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}NW, \phi V)
\]
Using (97) and (11), we have
\[
g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, V) = -g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}T^{2}W, V) - g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}NTW, V) - g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, \phi V) + g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, \phi V)
\]
Using (2.7), (2.12)and Lemma 3.5 in above equation, we have
\[
(1 - \cos^{2}\theta)g(\bar{\nabla}_{Z}W, V) = -g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NTW, V) - g(\Lambda_{NW}Z, tV) + g(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}NW, nV).
\]
As distribution $D^{\theta} \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ defines a totally geodesic foliation on $M$, So from (48) and (49), we have desired results.
References


Toukeer Khan  
Computing and Informatics Department,  
Mazoon College, P.O. Box-101, P.C. 133,  
Muscat, Sultanate of Oman  
email: toukeerkhan@gmail.com

Mohd Danish Siddiqi  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Jazan University, Jazan  
Kingdom of Saudi Arabia  
email: msiddiqi@jazanu.edu.sa

Oğuzhan Bahadir  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters,  
Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University,  
Kahrmanmaras, Turkey,  
email: oguzbaha@gmail.com

Mobin Ahmad  
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied sciences,  
Kursi Road, Lucknow, 226026,  
U.P. India.,  
email: mobinahmad68@gmail.com