

A NEW DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY

GEORGIA IRINA OROS

ABSTRACT. We find conditions on the complex-valued functions A, B, C, D defined in the unit disc U such that the differential inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) - B(z)(zp'(z))^2 + C(z)zp'(z) + D(z)] > 0$$

implies $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, where $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C80

Keywords and phrases: differential subordination, dominant.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

We let $\mathcal{H}[U]$ denote the class of holomorphic functions in the unit disc

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}.$$

For $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ we let

$$\mathcal{H}[a, n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}[U], f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots, z \in U\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{f \in \mathcal{H}[U], f(z) = z + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + a_{n+2} z^{n+2} + \dots, z \in U\}$$

with $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$.

In order to prove the new results we shall use the following lemma, which is a particular form of Theorem 2.3.i [1, p. 35].

Lemma A. [1, p. 35] *Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function which satisfies*

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho i, \sigma; z) \leq 0,$$

where $\rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$, $z \in U$ and $n \geq 1$.

If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that unctions $A, B, C, D : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i) \operatorname{Re} B(z) \geq 0 \\ ii) \operatorname{Re} A(z) \geq -\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{n^2}{2} B(z) + \frac{n}{2} C(z) \right] \\ iii) \operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{n^2}{4} B(z) + \frac{n}{2} C(z) \right]. \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) - B(z)(zp'(z))^2 + C(z)zp'(z) + D(z)] > 0 \quad (2)$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

Proof. We let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^2 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) = A(z)p^2(z) - B(z)(zp'(z))^2 + C(z)zp'(z) + D(z) \quad (3)$$

From (2) we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) > 0, \text{ for } z \in U. \quad (4)$$

For $\sigma, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\sigma \leq -\frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2)$, we have

$$-\sigma^2 \leq -\frac{n^2}{4}(1 + \rho^2)^2,$$

and by using (1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\rho i, \sigma; z) &= \operatorname{Re} [A(z)(\rho i)^2 - B(z)\sigma^2 + C(z)\sigma + D(z)] = \\ &= -\rho^2 \operatorname{Re} A(z) - \sigma^2 \operatorname{Re} B(z) + \sigma \operatorname{Re} C(z) + \operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq \\ &\leq -\rho^2 \operatorname{Re} A(z) - \frac{n^2}{4}(1 + \rho^2)^2 \operatorname{Re} B(z) - \frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2) \operatorname{Re} C(z) + \operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq \\ &\leq -\rho^2 \operatorname{Re} A(z) - \frac{n^2}{4}(1 + 2\rho^2 + \rho^4) \operatorname{Re} B(z) - \frac{n}{2}(1 + \rho^2) \operatorname{Re} C(z) + \operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq \\ &\leq -\frac{n^2}{4} \operatorname{Re} B(z) - \rho^2 \left[\operatorname{Re} A(z) + \frac{n^2}{2} \operatorname{Re} B(z) + \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Re} C(z) \right] - \\ &\quad - \frac{n^2}{4} \operatorname{Re} B(z) - \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Re} C(z) + \operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma A we have that $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. \square

If $C(z) \equiv 0$, then Theorem can be rewritten as follows:

Corollary. *Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that the functions $A, B, D : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy*

- i) $\operatorname{Re} B(z) \geq 0$
 - ii) $\operatorname{Re} A(z) \geq -\frac{n^2}{2} \operatorname{Re} B(z)$
 - iii) $\operatorname{Re} D(z) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} \operatorname{Re} B(z)$.
- If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [A(z)p^2(z) - B(z)(zp'(z))^2 + D(z)] > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If $A(z) = 1 + z$, $B(z) = 3 + 2z$, $D(z) = \frac{z}{4}$, $n = 1$, then from Corollary 1 we deduce

Example 1. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[(1+z)p^2(z) - (3+2z)(zp'(z))^2 + \frac{z}{4} \right] > 0$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If $A(z) = 2 + z$, $B(z) = 1 + \frac{z}{2}$, $D(z) = \frac{z}{2}$, $n = 2$, then from Corollary 1 we deduce:

Example 2. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 2]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[(2+z)p^2(z) - \left(1 + \frac{z}{2}\right) (zp'(z))^2 + \frac{z}{2} \right] > 0$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If $D(z) = \frac{n^2}{4}B(z) + \frac{n}{2}C(z)$, then Theorem can be rewritten as follows:

Corollary 2. Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that the functions $A, B, C : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfy

i) $\operatorname{Re} B(z) \geq 0, \operatorname{Re} C(z) \geq 0$

ii) $\operatorname{Re} A(z) \geq -\operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{n^2}{2}B(z) + \frac{n}{2}C(z) \right].$

If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, n]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[A(z)p^2(z) - B(z)(zp'(z))^2 + C(z)zp'(z) + \frac{n^2}{4}B(z) + \frac{n}{2}C(z) \right] > 0$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If $A(z) = 4 + z$, $B(z) = 2 + z$, $C(z) = 3 - z$, $n = 1$, then from Corollary 2 we deduce

Example 3. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 1]$ then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[(4+z)p^2(z) - (2+z)(zp'(z))^2 + (3-z)zp'(z) + 2 - \frac{z}{4} \right] > 0$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

If $A(z) = 1 - z$, $B(z) = 2 - z$, $C(z) = -1 + z$, $n = 2$, then from Corollary 2 we deduce

Example 4. If $p \in \mathcal{H}[1, 2]$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [(1 - z)p^2(z) - (2 - z)(zp'(z))^2 + (-1 + z)zp'(z) + 1] > 0$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0.$$

REFERENCES

[1] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, Basel, 2000.

Authors:

Georgia Irina Oros
Department of Mathematics
University of Oradea
Oradea
Romania
e-mail: *georgia_oros_ro@yahoo.co.uk*