The Prime Power Conjecture is True for n < 2,000,000

Daniel M. Gordon
Center for Communications Research
4320 Westerra Court
San Diego, CA 92121
gordon@ccrwest.org

Submitted: August 11, 1994; Accepted: August 24, 1994.

Abstract

The Prime Power Conjecture (PPC) states that abelian planar difference sets of order n exist only for n a prime power. Evans and Mann [2] verified this for cyclic difference sets for $n \leq 1600$. In this paper we verify the PPC for $n \leq 2,000,000$, using many necessary conditions on the group of multipliers.

AMS Subject Classification. 05B10

1 Introduction

Let G be a group of order v, and D be a set of k elements of G. If the set of differences $d_i - d_j$ contains every nonzero element of G exactly λ times, then D is called a (v, k, λ) -difference set in G. The order of the difference set is $n = k - \lambda$. We will be concerned with abelian planar difference sets: those with G abelian and $\lambda = 1$.

The Prime Power Conjecture (PPC) states that abelian planar difference sets of order n exist only for n a prime power. Evans and Mann [2] verified this for cyclic difference sets for $n \le 1600$.

In this paper we use known necessary conditions for existence of difference sets to test the PPC up to two million. Section 2 describes the tests used, and Section 3 gives details of the computations. All orders not the power of a prime were eliminated, providing stronger evidence for the truth of the PPC.

2 Necessary Conditions

We begin by reviewing known necessary conditions for the existence of planar difference sets. The oldest is the Bruck-Ryser-Chowla Theorem, which in the case we are interested in states:

Theorem 1 If $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, and the squarefree part of n is divisible by a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then no difference set of order n exists.

A multiplier is an automorphism α of G which takes D to a translate g+D of itself for some $g \in G$. If α is of the form $\alpha: x \to tx$ for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ relatively prime to the order of G, then α is called a numerical multiplier. Most nonexistence results for difference sets rely on the properties of multipliers.

Theorem 2 (First Multiplier Theorem) Let D be a planar abelian difference set, and t be any divisor of n. Then t is a numerical multiplier of D.

Investigating the group of numerical multipliers is a powerful tool for proving nonexistence. McFarland and Rice [7] showed:

Theorem 3 Let D be an abelian (v, k, λ) -difference set in G, and M be the group of numerical multipliers of D. Then there exists a translate of D that is fixed by every element of M.

This implies that D is a union of orbits of M. Many sets of parameters for abelian difference sets can be eliminated by finding the orbits of M and showing that no combination of them has size k.

The following theorem of Ho [3] shows that M cannot be too large.

Theorem 4 Let M be the group of multipliers of an abelian planar difference set of order n. Then $|M| \le n + 1$, unless n = 4 (where |M| = 6).

A number of necessary conditions on the multipliers have been proved by various authors. Theorem 8.8 of [5] gives the following useful conditions:

Theorem 5 Let D be a planar abelian difference set of order n. Let p be a prime divisor of n and q be a prime divisor of v. Then each of the following conditions implies that n is a square:

$$D$$
 has a multiplier which has even order \pmod{q} . (1)

$$p$$
 is a quadratic nonresidue (mod q). (2)

$$n \equiv 4 \text{ or } 6 \pmod{8}. \tag{3}$$

$$n \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{8} \text{ and } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$
 (4)

$$n \equiv m \text{ or } m^2 \pmod{m^2 + m + 1}$$
 and

$$p \text{ has even order} \pmod{m^2 + m + 1}.$$
 (5)

This is particularly useful when combined with the following theorem of Jungnickel and Vedder [4]:

Theorem 6 If a planar difference set of order $n = m^2$ exists in G, then there exists a planar difference set of order m in some subgroup of G.

In that paper, it is also shown that

Theorem 7 If a planar difference set has even order n, then n = 2, n = 4, or n is a multiple of 8.

Wilbrink [8] proved the following:

Theorem 8 If a planar difference set has order n divisible by 3, then n = 3 or n is a multiple of 9.

The following result is due to Lander [6]:

Theorem 9 Let D be a planar abelian difference set of order n in G. If t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , and t_4 are numerical multipliers such that

$$t_1 - t_2 \equiv t_3 - t_4 \pmod{\exp(G)}$$

then $\exp(G)$ divides the least common multiple of $(t_1 - t_2, t_1 - t_3)$.

The cyclic version of this test was the main tool used by Evans and Mann [2] to show the nonexistence of non–prime power difference sets for $n \leq 1600$. It can be used to immediately rule out many possible orders [5]:

Corollary 1 Let *D* be a planar abelian difference set of order *n*. Then *n* cannot be divisible by 6, 10, 14, 15, 21, 22, 26, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 46, 51, 55, 57, 58, 62 or 65.

Evans and Mann also used the following tests to eliminate possible orders for planar cyclic difference sets. By Theorem 5, condition 5, they also apply to planar abelian difference sets:

Theorem 10 Let D be a planar abelian difference set of order n. Let p be a prime divisor of n. Then each of the following conditions implies that n is a square:

$$\begin{array}{lll} n & \equiv & 1 \pmod{3}, p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \\ n & \equiv & 2, 4 \pmod{7}, p \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}. \\ n & \equiv & 3, 9 \pmod{13}, p \not\equiv 1, 3, 9 \pmod{13}. \\ n & \equiv & 5, 25 \pmod{31}, \left(\frac{p}{31}\right) = -1. \\ n & \equiv & 6, 36 \pmod{43}, \left(\frac{p}{43}\right) = -1. \\ n & \equiv & 7, 11 \pmod{19}, \left(\frac{p}{19}\right) = -1. \end{array}$$

A prime p in the multiplier group is called an *extraneous* multiplier if $p \not\mid n$. A theorem due to Ho (see [1]), uses extraneous multipliers to rule out some orders.

Theorem 11 Let p be a prime, which is a multiplier of an abelian planar difference set of order n. If $3|n^2+n+1$ or $(p+1,n^2+n+1) \neq 1$, then n is a square in GF(p).

3 Eliminating Possible Orders

In order to prove the PPC for $n \leq N$, we first use the following quick tests to eliminate most values of n:

- 1. Eliminate prime powers in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$.
- 2. Eliminate squares by Theorem 6.
- 3. Eliminate n which do not satisfy the Bruck-Chowla-Ryser theorem.
- 4. Use Corollary 1 to eliminate multiples of 6, 10, ...
- 5. Eliminate even n which are not multiples of 8, by Theorem 7.
- 6. Eliminate $n \equiv 3, 6 \pmod{9}$, by Theorem 8.
- 7. Eliminate $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{8}$ with a prime divisor $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, by Theorem 5, condition 4.
- 8. Eliminate n excluded by Theorem 10.

These tests can be done very quickly, and leave 173,596 possible orders less than two million.

The next test is to factor n and v, and use condition 2 of Theorem 5. For each p|n and q|v, we check if (p|q) = -1. This leaves 85516 possible orders, of which 83222 have squarefree v (and so must be cyclic) and 2294 do not.

The next step is to use the First Multiplier Theorem and Theorem 4. Let v^* be the minimal possible order of $\exp(G)$ for an abelian group of order v. We have

$$v^* = \prod_{\substack{p|v\\p \text{ prime}}} p,$$

and $v^* | \exp(G)$.

Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r be primes dividing n. Then $\langle p_1, \ldots, p_r \rangle$, the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/v^*\mathbb{Z}$ generated by p_1, \ldots, p_r , is a subgroup of the group of numerical multipliers of any difference set of order n. If the size of this group is greater than n+1, then by Theorem 4 we cannot have a difference set of order n.

This test eliminated almost all of the remaining possible orders. The rest were eliminated using Theorems 9 and 11. For each order the multiplier group M was generated, and differences $t_i - t_j \pmod{v}$ less than one million were stored in a hash table. The process continued until a prime multiplier which satisfied the conditions of Theorem 11 was encountered, or a collision was found. A collision gave a set of multipliers t_1, t_2, t_3 and t_4 with $t_1 - t_2 \equiv t_3 - t_4 \pmod{v}$. If $v^* \not | \operatorname{lcm}(t_1 - t_2, t_3 - t_4)$, then we have a proof that no difference set of order n exists.

The orders eliminated in this way are given in Table 1 and 2. Table 1 gives the squarefree orders, and Table 2 the nonsquarefree ones. For the latter orders, each possible exponent v' with $v^*|v'|v$ was tested separately. If the multiplier group for an exponent larger than v^* was greater than n+1, it could be eliminated immediately, and was not included in the table.

n	$\exp(G)$	Nonexistence proof
2435	5931661	238654 - 63632 = 175023 - 1
24451	597875853	691945 - 278968 = 661978 - 249001
45151	2038657953	p = 347821 is an extraneous multiplier, $(n p) = -1$
56407	3181806057	2801176 - 1783075 = 2544382 - 1526281
58723	3448449453	2243179 - 1211197 = 1034383 - 2401
176723	31231195453	60728299 - 60182930 = 31325592 - 30780223
257083	66091925973	375477574 - 375165064 = 74530342 - 74217832
339203	115059014413	3375768433 - 3375251728 = 1816976863 - 1816460158
357575	127860238201	91601372 - 90598866 = 49830631 - 48828125
381959	145893059641	719055731 - 718803023 = 64826764 - 64574056
424733	180398546023	1158732738 - 1158508082 = 268638427 - 268413771
474563	225210515533	39091685 - 38943434 = 8015875 - 7867624
632663	400263104233	3599415514 - 3598770282 = 908866176 - 908220944
660323	436027124653	61400216 - 61255940 = 45722527 - 45578251
720287	518814082657	4307002579 - 4306857623 = 3905399286 - 3905254330
723719	523769914681	3784025046 - 3783677394 = 1861644742 - 1861297090
838487	703061287657	43760576 - 43118230 = 41161497 - 40519151
882671	779108976913	132083219835 - 132082512788 = 44141413687 - 44140706640
912425	832520293051	101269095 - 100356671 = 912425 - 1
1053619	1110114050781	668690929 - 667759090 = 659905024 - 658973185
1085363	1178013927133	28212681427 - 28212634691 = 2672490749 - 2672444013
1585651	2514290679453	13288521241 - 13288488364 = 11908956544 - 11908923667

Table 1: Squarefree orders with small multiplier groups

The calculations took roughly a week on DEC Alpha workstation. They could of course be taken further with more work. The number of orders passing each test seems to grow roughly linearly with the range being checked.

An alternative approach would be to search for a possible counterexample to the PPC. The most likely form for such an order would be of the form n=pq, where p and q have small order modulo v. This seems improbable, and a lower bound on the size of the multiplier group for non-prime power orders might be an approach towards proving the PPC.

n	$\exp(G)$	Nonexistence proof
2443	5970693	p = 395173 is an extraneous multiplier, $(n p) = -1$
2443	192603	p = 41389 is an extraneous multiplier, $(n p) = -1$
3233	804271	65599 - 53 = 65547 - 1
3233	61867	61 - 9 = 53 - 1
72011	740808019	265903 - 673 = 265337 - 107
72011	105829717	504044 - 107 = 503938 - 1
73481	5399530843	906334 - 185809 = 720722 - 197
73481	771361549	612117 - 6876 = 605614 - 373
96183	711635821	202946 - 41174 = 161781 - 9
128251	16448447253	p = 758101 is an extraneous multiplier, $(n p) = -1$
128251	2349778179	p = 758101 is an extraneous multiplier, $(n p) = -1$
135053	107925727	613551 - 29 = 613523 - 1
229952	4984273	9 - 2 = 8 - 1
318089	14454418573	2094691 - 1306617 = 1036302 - 248228
636479	9421073347	166476 - 23 = 166454 - 1
636479	1345867621	71360 - 23 = 71338 - 1
748421	685599439	173657 - 26454 = 148416 - 1213
769607	13774318699	2350716 - 1337224 = 1660397 - 646905
991937	20080408243	529839 - 208385 = 410265 - 88811
1615303	2609205397113	816469390 - 816125185 = 773267854 - 772923649
1615303	372743628159	9618478 - 9164122 = 9164122 - 8709766
1982923	3931985606853	122491576 - 121569202 = 6485290 - 5562916
1982923	49771969707	122491576 - 121569202 = 6485290 - 5562916

Table 2: Nonsquarefree orders with small multiplier groups

References

- [1] K. T. Arasu. Recent results on difference sets. In Dijen Ray-Chaudhuri, editor, Coding Theory and Design Theory, Part II, pages 1–23. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [2] T. A. Evans and H. B. Mann. On simple difference sets. Sankhya, 11:357–364, 1951.
- [3] C. Y. Ho. On bounds for groups of multipliers of planar difference sets. *J. Algebra*, 148:325–336, 1992.
- [4] D. Jungnickel and K. Vedder. On the geometry of planar difference sets. *Europ. J. Combin.*, 5:143–148, 1984.
- [5] Dieter Jungnickel. Difference sets. In Jeffrey H. Dinitz and Douglas R. Stinson, editors, Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys, pages 241–324. Wiley, 1992.
- [6] E. S. Lander. Symmetric Designs: An Algebraic Approach. Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- [7] R. L. McFarland and B. F. Rice. Translates and multipliers of abelian difference sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 68:375–379, 1978.
- [8] H. A. Wilbrink. A note on planar difference sets. J. Combin. Theory A, 38:94–95, 1985.