# Bordered Conjugates of Words over Large Alphabets

Tero Harju Dirk Nowotka University of Turku Universität Stuttgart harju@utu.fi nowotka@fmi.uni-stuttgart.de

Submitted: Oct 23, 2008; Accepted: Nov 14, 2008; Published: Nov 24, 2008 Mathematics Subject Classification: 68R15

#### Abstract

The border correlation function attaches to every word w a binary word  $\beta(w)$  of the same length where the *i*th letter tells whether the *i*th conjugate w' = vu of w = uv is bordered or not. Let [u] denote the set of conjugates of the word w. We show that for a 3-letter alphabet A, the set of  $\beta$ -images equals  $\beta(A^n) = B^* \setminus ([ab^{n-1}] \cup D)$ where  $D = \{a^n\}$  if  $n \in \{5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17\}$ , and otherwise  $D = \emptyset$ . Hence the number of  $\beta$ -images is  $B_3^n = 2^n - n - m$ , where m = 1 if  $n \in \{5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17\}$  and m = 0otherwise.

**Keywords:** combinatorics on words, border correlation, binary words, square-free, cyclically square-free, Currie set,

### 1 Introduction

The border correlation function of a word was introduced by the present authors in [4], where the binary case was considered in detail. In this paper we consider the case for alphabets of size  $s \ge 3$ . The border correlation function is related to the *auto-correlation* function of Guibas and Odlyzko [3], as well as to the *border-array* function of Moore, Smyth and Miller [7]. Border correlation of partial words have been recently considered by Blanchet-Sadri et al. [1].

A word  $w \in A^*$  is said to be *bordered* (or *self-correlated* [8]), if there exists a nonempty word v, with  $v \neq w$ , such that  $w = u_1v = vu_2$  for some words  $u_1, u_2$ . In this case v is a *border* of w. A word that has a border is called *bordered*; otherwise it is *unbordered*.

Let  $\sigma: A^* \to A^*$  be the (cyclic) shift function, where  $\sigma(xw) = wx$  for all  $w \in A^*$  and  $x \in A$ , and  $\sigma(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$  for the empty word  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $B = \{a, b\}$  be a special binary alphabet. The border correlation function  $\beta: A^* \to B^*$  is defined as follows. For the empty word, let  $\beta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$ . For a word  $w \in A^*$  of length n, let  $\beta(w) = c_0c_1 \dots c_{n-1} \in B^*$  be the binary word of the same length such that

$$c_i = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \sigma^i(w) \text{ is unbordered,} \\ b & \text{if } \sigma^i(w) \text{ is bordered.} \end{cases}$$

**Example 1.** (1) Assume the word w is not primitive, i.e.,  $w = u^k (= uu \dots u)$ , for some power  $k \geq 2$ . Then all words  $\sigma^i(w)$  are bordered, and thus  $\beta(w) = b^n$ , where n is the length of w.

(2) Consider the alphabet  $A = \{a, b, c\}$ , and let  $w = bacaba \in A^*$ . Then

i	$\sigma^i(w)$	border	i	$\sigma^i(w)$	border
0	bacaba	ba	3	ababac	-
1	a cabab	-	4	babaca	-
2	cababa	-	5	a ba c a b	ab

and hence  $\beta(w) = baaaab$ . Note that a border need not be unique.

For an alphabet A, let  $A^*$  denote the monoid of all finite words over A including the empty word  $\varepsilon$ . Also, let  $A^n$  denote the set of words  $w \in A^*$  of length n. In the binary case, where we can choose  $A = B (= \{a, b\})$ , it was shown in [4] that the image  $\beta(w)$  of  $w \in B^*$  does not have two consecutive a's except for some trivial cases. Hence, if  $\sigma^i(w)$ is unbordered, then  $\sigma^{i+1}(w)$  is necessarily bordered. Also, in the binary case, there are other 'exceptions', e.g., for no binary word w, it is the case that  $\beta(w) = abababbababb$ . It is an open problem to characterize the set of the images  $\beta(w)$  for  $w \in B^*$ .

The words xy and yx are called *conjugates* of each other. We denote by [w] the set of all conjugates of the word w. Note that if u and v are conjugates then  $v = \sigma^i(u)$  for some i, and hence, for all words w,

$$\beta([w]) = [\beta(w)]. \tag{1}$$

Let  $\beta(A^n) = \{\beta(w) \mid w \in A^n\}$  be the set of the  $\beta$ -images of the words of length n, and denote by  $B_k^n$  the cardinality of  $\beta(A^n)$  where A is a k-letter alphabet. In the present paper we prove the following result, where

$$\mathbf{C} = \{5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17\}$$

is the *Currie set* of integers.

**Theorem 1.** Let A be an alphabet of three letters, and let  $n \ge 2$ . Then

$$\beta(A^n) = \begin{cases} B^* \setminus [ab^{n-1}] & \text{if } n \notin \mathbf{C}, \\ B^* \setminus ([ab^{n-1}] \cup \{a^n\}) & \text{if } n \in \mathbf{C}. \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $B_3^n = 2^n - n - m$ , where m = 1 if  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  and m = 0 otherwise.

We end this section with some definitions and notation needed in the rest of the paper. We refer to Lothaire's book [6] for more basic and general definitions of combinatorics on words.

We denote the length of a word w by |w|. A word u is a *factor* of a word  $w \in A^*$ , if  $w = w_1 u w_2$  for some words  $w_1 \in A^*$  and  $w_2 \in A^*$ . A word  $w \in A^*$  is said to be *square-free*, if it does not have a factor of the form vv where  $v \in A^*$  is nonempty. Moreover, w is *cyclically square-free*, if all its conjugates are square-free.

### 2 The proof

This section let  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  be a ternary alphabet. Let T denote the *Thue word* obtained by iterating the substitution  $\varphi \colon \{a, b, c\}^* \to \{a, b, c\}^*$  determined by  $\varphi(a) = abc$ ,  $\varphi(b) = ac$ and  $\varphi(c) = b$ . Therefore T is the infinite word starting with

 $T = abcacbabcbacabcacbacabcba \dots$ 

As was shown by Thue [9, 10] (see also Lothaire [5]), the word T is square-free, i.e., it does not contain any nonempty factors of the form vv.

Recall that [w] denotes the conjugacy class of the word w. By the next lemma, each primitive word has at least two unbordered conjugates.

**Lemma 1.** For all  $n \ge 2$ ,  $[ab^{n-1}] \cap \beta(A^n) = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Assume a occurs in  $\beta(w)$  for a word w with  $|w| \geq 2$ . Hence w is primitive. A conjugate v of w is a Lyndon word if it is minimal in [w] with respect to some lexicographic order of  $A^*$ . It is well known (see, e.g., Lothaire [6]), that each primitive word w has a unique Lyndon conjugate with respect to a given order and that each Lyndon word is unbordered. Hence, there exists at least two Lyndon words in [w] for a given order of A and its inverse order, respectively. These two words imply that a occurs at least twice in  $\beta(w)$ .

The following result is due to Currie [2].

**Theorem 2 (Currie).** There exists a cyclically square-free word  $w \in A^n$ , if and only if  $n \notin \mathbf{C} = \{5, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17\}.$ 

A square vv is called *simple* if  $v \in a^*$  with  $v \neq \varepsilon$ . Let  $w_{(i)}$  denote the *i*-th letter of w.

**Lemma 2.** Let w be a square-free word. Then  $w' = w_{(1)}^{k_1} w_{(2)}^{k_2} \cdots w_{(n)}^{k_n}$  contains only simple squares for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $k_i \ge 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose on the contrary that w' contains a nonsimple square vv, say

$$v = b_{i+1}^{p_{i+1}} b_{i+2}^{p_{i+2}} \cdots b_{i+j-1}^{p_{i+j-1}} b_{i+j}^{p_{i+j}}$$
  
=  $b_{i+j+1}^{p_{i+j+1}} b_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+2}} \cdots b_{i+2j-1}^{p_{i+2j-1}} b_{i+2j}^{p_{i+2j-1}}$ 

with  $0 \le i \le n - 2j$  and  $p_{i+1} \le k_{i+1}$  and  $p_{i+\ell} = k_{i+\ell} = k_{i+j+\ell-1}$ , for all  $2 \le \ell < j$ , and  $p_{i+j} + p_{i+j+1} = k_{i+j}$  and  $p_{i+j} \le k_{i+2j-1}$  and  $b_{i+1} = b_{i+j} = b_{i+2j} = w_{(i+j)} = w_{(i+2j-1)}$  and  $b_{i+\ell} = b_{i+j+\ell} = w_{(i+\ell)} = w_{(i+j+\ell-1)}$ , for all  $1 \le \ell < j$ .

Observe that we obtain a square  $(b_{i+1}b_{i+2}\cdots b_{i+j-1})^2$  from vv when all powers in vv are reduced to 1 and the last letter is deleted. But now, we have that  $b_{i+1}b_{i+2}\cdots b_{i+j-1} = w_{(i+1)}w_{(i+2)}\cdots w_{(i+j-1)} = w_{(i+j)}w_{(i+j+1)}\cdots w_{(i+2j-2)}$  implies a square in w; a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.** Let w be a cyclically square-free word of length  $n \ge 2$ . Then for each nonempty  $u \in \{a, b\}^*$  that has exactly n occurrences of a, there exists a word w' such that  $\beta(w') = u$ .

Proof. By (1), we can assume without loss of generality that u begins with the letter a. Let  $u = ab^{k_1}ab^{k_2}\cdots ab^{k_n}$  where  $k_i \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By Lemma 2,  $w' = w_{(1)}^{k_1+1}w_{(2)}^{k_2+1}\cdots w_{(n)}^{k_n+1}$  and all its conjugates contain only simple squares. That is, if a conjugate  $w_{(i)}^{k_i+1}w_{(i+1)}^{k_i+1+1}\cdots w_{(n)}^{k_n+1}w_{(1)}^{k_1+1}\cdots w_{(i-1)}^{k_{i-1}+1}$  of w' that starts and ends in different letters is bordered then  $w_{(i)}w_{(i+1)}\cdots w_{(n)}w_{(1)}\cdots w_{(i-1)}$  is bordered contradicting the fact that w is cyclically square-free. This means that every conjugate of w' that starts and ends in a different letter is unbordered and all other conjugates are, of course, bordered by a border of length one. Hence, we have  $\beta(w') = u$  which completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $u = ab^{k_1}ab^{k_2}\cdots ab^{k_n} \in \beta(A^*)$  whenever  $u \notin a^*$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following six words with lengths in  $\mathbf{C}$  which have a unique border v of length two or three (the borders are underlined):

5: <u>abcab</u> 7: <u>abcbabc</u> 9: <u>abcacbcab</u> 10: <u>abcacbacab</u> 14: <u>abc</u>bacabacb<u>abc</u> 17: <u>abcabacbcabcbacab</u>

It is straightforward to check that for every word w in the list, each  $x \in [w]$  with  $x \neq w$  is unbordered, i.e., there exists only one bordered word w in the conjugacy class [w] and w has a unique border. This also implies that these words are square-free.

Let

$$u = ab^{k_1}ab^{k_2}\cdots ab^{k_n}$$

as in the statement of the lemma.

We proceed by case distinction on |v| to show that for every *n* there exists a word w' such that  $\beta(w') = u$  except if  $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n$  for *n* equal to 5, 7, 9, 14, or 17, and  $k_1 = k_3 = k_5 = k_7 = k_9$  and  $k_2 = k_4 = k_6 = k_8 = k_{10}$  for n = 10. The exceptional cases are handled at the end of the proof.

Let  $w \in A^*$  be any square-free word having a unique border v such that each word in  $[w] \setminus \{w\}$  is unbordered. Write  $w = w_{(1)}w_{(2)} \dots w_{(n)}$ , where again  $w_{(i)}$  denotes the *i*th letter of w.

Suppose first that |v| = 3 as in the case for 7 and 14. We can assume that v = abc(possibly by renaming the letters); otherwise v would not be a unique border. Hence  $w_{(1)}w_{(2)}w_{(3)} = abc = w_{(n-2)}w_{(n-1)}w_{(n)}$ . Consider  $w' = w_{(1)}^{k_1+1}w_{(2)}^{k_2+1}\cdots w_{(n)}^{k_n+1}$ . Since exactly one conjugate of w is bordered, the number of the letter a in the  $\beta$ -image equals n, if w' is unbordered. Now, w' is unbordered if  $k_2 \neq k_{n-1}$ , and in this case  $\beta(w') = u$ . Note that, by (1), it is enough to show that  $\beta(w') = u'$  for any conjugate u' of u. In particular, we are done if the powers  $k_i$  can be cycled so that, for some j, the word  $w'' = w_{(1)}^{k'_1+1}w_{(2)}^{k'_2+1}\cdots w_{(n)}^{k'_n+1}$ , where  $k'_i = k_{i+j \mod n}$ , is unbordered. It follows that, for the border length 3, the only cases left in  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  are when  $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n$ . (Note that the case n = 9, where n is divisible by 3, is treated below.)

Suppose then that |v| = 2 as in the case for 5, 9, 10, and 17. We can assume that v = ab (possibly after renaming of the letters), i.e.,  $w_{(1)}w_{(2)} = ab = w_{(n-1)}w_{(n)}$ . Consider  $w' = w_{(1)}^{k_1+1}w_{(2)}^{k_2+1}\cdots w_{(n)}^{k_n+1}$ . We recall that w is the unique bordered word in its conjugacy class. Now, w' is unbordered if  $k_1 > k_{n-1}$  or  $k_2 < k_n$ . Analogously to the above case with |v| = 3 we can consider shifts of the indices modulo n. We conclude that w' is bordered for all possible shifts of  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_n$  only if  $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n$  or n is even; a case that is avoided for |v| = 2 except for n = 10. If n = 10 then we are left with the case where  $k_1 = k_3 = \cdots = k_9$  and  $k_2 = k_4 = \cdots = k_{10}$ , where possibly  $k_1 = k_2$ .

It remains to be shown that u is a  $\beta$ -image if  $k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_n$  or  $k_1 = k_3 = \cdots = k_9$ and  $k_2 = k_4 = \cdots = k_n$ , if n = 10, with  $k_i \ge 1$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Let  $t = k_1 + 1$  and  $s = k_2 + 1$ . The following list gives a word for every  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the  $\beta$ -image is  $(ab^{t-1})^n$  or  $(ab^{t-1}ab^{s-1})^5$  in the case n = 10.

$$5: a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}a^{t}bc^{t-1}$$

$$7: a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}b^{t}cb^{t-1}$$

$$9: a^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}cb^{t-1}$$

$$10: c^{t}b^{s}a^{t}c^{s}a^{t}b^{s}c^{t}a^{s}c^{t}ba^{s-1}$$

$$14: b^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}a^{t}b^{t}a^{t}c^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}b^{t-1}a$$

$$17: c^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}a^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}b^{t}a^{t}c^{t}a^{t}b^{t}c^{t}a^{t}b^{t-1}a$$

This last claim can easily be verified by hand after noting that s, t > 1. This concludes the proof.

We now show that almost all binary words of length n are  $\beta$ -images.

Proof of the main Theorem 1. Let  $u \in \{a, b\}^*$  be a nonempty binary word of length n. We proceed by a case distinction on the number  $k_a$  of occurrences of the letter a in u. Note that  $\beta(a^n) = b^n$  for the case  $k_a = 0$  and the case  $k_a = 1$  does not exist; see Lemma 1.

Suppose  $k_a \ge 2$ . If  $k_a \notin \mathbb{C}$  then there exists a cyclically square-free word w in  $A^*$  of length  $k_a$  by Theorem 2, and Lemma 3 shows how to construct a word w' such that  $\beta(w') = u$ .

In the remaining case, where  $k_a \in \mathbf{C}$ , we have  $a^n \notin \beta(A^n)$  which explains the value of m; otherwise a cyclically square-free word of length  $n \in \mathbf{C}$  would contradict Theorem 2. Lemma 4 shows that u is a  $\beta$ -image in the remaining cases.

Finally, by counting, we obtain the number of  $\beta$ -images:  $B_3^n = 2^n - n - m$ , where m = 1 if  $n \in \mathbb{C}$  and m = 0 otherwise.

# 3 The case of four and more letters

The exceptions in the Currie set disappear when the alphabet has at least four letters.

**Theorem 3.**  $B_k^n = 2^n - n$  for all k > 3 and  $n \ge 2$ .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the claim for the alphabet of four letters,  $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ , since  $B_4^n = 2^n - n$  implies  $B_k^n = 2^n - n$  for all k > 3. The *n* exceptions are the binary words of length *n* with only one letter *a*; see Lemma 1. We show that any binary word *u* of length *n*, except  $ab^{n-1}$  and its conjugates, is the  $\beta$ -image of a word over *A*. Note that  $\beta(a^n) = b^n$ . Let then  $u \notin [ab^{n-1}]$ , and suppose *u* has  $k_a = m \ge 2$  occurrences of *a*. Let *w* be the prefix of the square-free Thue word *T* of length *m* where the last letter is replaced by *d*, that is, w = vd, where *v* is the prefix of *T* of length m - 1. Note that *w* is cyclically square-free because no square occurs in the prefix *v*, and no square can contain the letter *d*, since *d* occurs only once in *u*. Now, Lemma 3 implies the claim.

### Acknowledgement

We are grateful to the anonymous referee of this journal for pointing out the second exception of the case n = 10 in the proof of Lemma 4.

## References

- [1] F. Blanchet-Sadri, E. Clader, and O. Simpson. Border correlations of partial words. *Theory Comput. Syst.* to appear.
- [2] J. D. Currie. There are ternary circular square-free words of length n for  $n \ge 18$ . Electron. J. Combin., 9(1):Note 10, 7 pp. (electronic), 2002.
- [3] L. J. Guibas and A. Odlyzko. String overlaps, pattern matching, and nontransitive games. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 30(2):183–203, 1981.
- [4] T. Harju and D. Nowotka. Border correlation of binary words. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 108(2):331–341, 2004.
- [5] M. Lothaire. Combinatorics on Words, volume 17 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983.
- [6] M. Lothaire. Algebraic Combinatorics on Words, volume 90 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2002.

- [7] D. Moore, W. F. Smyth, and D. Miller. Counting distinct strings. Algorithmica, 23(1):1–13, 1999.
- [8] H. Morita, A. J. van Wijngaarden, and A. J. Han Vinck. On the construction of maximal prefix-synchronized codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 42:2158–2166, 1996.
- [9] A. Thue. Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. Det Kongelige Norske Videnskabersselskabs Skrifter, I Mat.-nat. Kl. Christiania, 7:1–22, 1906.
- [10] A. Thue. Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. Det Kongelige Norske Videnskabersselskabs Skrifter, I Mat.-nat. Kl. Christiania, 1:1–67, 1912.