General Mathematics Vol. 18, No. 4 (2010), 175-184

Approximation of common fixed points for a finite family of Zamfirescu operators ¹

Shaini Pulickakunnel, Neeta Singh

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new composite implicit iteration scheme with errors and a strong convergence theorem is established for a finite family of Zamfirescu operators in arbitrary normed spaces. As a corollary we observe that the iteration scheme introduced by Su and Li (18) converges to the common fixed point of a finite family of Zamfirescu operators.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 47H17, 54H25. Key words and phrases: Implicit iteration process with errors, Strong convergence, Common fixed point, Zamfirescu operators, Normed space.

1 Introduction and preliminary definitions

In recent years, iterative techniques for approximating the common fixed points of a finite family of pseudocontractive mappings, asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings or nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, uniformly convex Banach spaces or arbitrary Banach spaces have been considered by several authors. [eg., 4, 9, 12, 17, 19, 20, 21]. In 2001, Xu and Ori [22] introduced an implicit iteration process for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings as follows:

Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed space E. Let

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 13 March, 2009



¹Received 25 February, 2009

 $\{T_1, T_2, ..., T_N\}$ be N nonexpansive self-maps of K. Then for an arbitrary point $x_0 \in K$, and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1)$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated can be written in the compact form as follows:

(1)
$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$ (the modN function takes values in $I = \{1, 2, 3, ..., N\}$). Xu and Ori proved the weak convergence of this process to a common fixed point of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings defined in a Hilbert space. In 2004, Osilike [12] extended the results of Xu and Ori from nonexpansive mappings to strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

Inspired by the above facts, in 2006 Su and Li [18] introduced a new twostep implicit iteration process which is defined as follows:

Let E be a real Banach space and K a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be N strictly pseudocontractive self-maps of K. From arbitrary $x_0 \in K$, define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

(2)
$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n$$

$$y_n = \beta_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n) T_n x_n$$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$.

Using this iteration they proved a convergence theorem for a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps. It is observed that the class of Zamfirescu operators is independent (see Rhoades [16]) of the class of strictly pseudocontractive operators.

Consideration of error terms in iterative processes is an important part of the theory. Several authors have introduced and studied one-step, two-step as well as multi-step iteration schemes with errors to approximate fixed points of various classes of mappings in Banach spaces [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14].

Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed space E. Motivated by the above facts, we introduce the following composite implicit iteration processes with errors for a finite family of Zamfirescu operators $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N : K \to K$, and define the sequences $\{x_n\} \subset K$ as follows:

$$x_0 \in K,$$

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n + u_n,$$

$$y_n = \beta_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n) T_n x_n + v_n,$$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$ (the modN function takes values in $I = \{1, 2, 3, ..., N\}$), $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are two summable sequences in E, i.e., $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||u_n|| < \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ||v_n|| < \infty$, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in [0, 1], satisfying certain restrictions. In particular if $u_n = 0$, $v_n = 0$ for all n > 0, then the iteration scheme ob-

tained is the scheme introduced by Su and Li. We recall the following definitions in a metric space (X, d), from Berinde [1,

p.6, 50-51, 131] and Ciric [3, p.268].

A mapping $T: X \to X$ is called an *a*-contraction if

 (z_1) $d(Tx,Ty) \le ad(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $a \in [0,1)$.

The map T is called a Kannan mapping if there exists $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that (z_2) $d(Tx, Ty) \leq b[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)]$ for all $x, y \in X$.

A similar definition is due to Chatterjea : there exists $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that (z_3) $d(Tx, Ty) \leq c[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]$ for all $x, y \in X$.

It is known, see Rhoades [15] that $(z_1), (z_2)$, and (z_3) are independent contractive conditions. An operator T which satisfies at least one of the contractive conditions $(z_1), (z_2)$ and (z_3) is called a Zamfirescu operator or a Z-operator. Alternatively we say that T satisfies Condition Z.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish a strong convergence theorem to approximate common fixed points of a finite family of Zamfirescu operators in normed spaces using the new iteration scheme defined above.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 1 [11]. Let $\{r_n\}, \{s_n\}, \{t_n\}$ and $\{k_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative numbers satisfying

$$r_{n+1} \le (1-s_n)r_n + s_n t_n + k_n, \quad \text{for all } n \ge 1.$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} t_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n < \infty$ hold, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 0$.

2 Main result

Theorem 2 Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed space E. Let $\{T_1, T_2, T_3..., T_N\}$: $K \to K$ be N, Zamfirescu operators with F = $\cap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) \neq \phi$ (F denotes the set of common fixed points of $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, ..., T_N\}$). Let $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ be two summable sequences in E, and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ be two real sequences in [0, 1] satisfying the following conditions:

(i)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{n} \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) = \infty;$$

(ii) $||v_n|| = o(\beta_n).$
For any $x_0 \in K$, let the sequence $\{x_n\} \subset K$ be defined by

(3)
$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n + u_n$$
$$y_n = \beta_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n) T_n x_n + v_n$$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$ (the modN function takes values in $I = \{1, 2, 3, ..., N\}$). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, T_3..., T_N\}$.

Proof. It follows from the assumption $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) \neq \phi$, that the operators $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, ..., T_N\}$ have a common fixed point in K, say p. Consider $x, y \in K$. Since each T_i is a Zamfirescu operator, each T_i satisfies at least one of the conditions $(z_1), (z_2)$ and (z_3) .

If (z_2) holds, then for any $x, y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_i x - T_i y\| &\leq b[\|x - T_i x\| + \|y - T_i y\|] \\ &\leq b[\|x - T_i x\| + \|y - x\| + \|x - T_i x\| + \|T_i x - T_i y\|], \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(1-b) ||T_i x - T_i y|| \le b ||x - y|| + 2b ||x - T_i x||,$$

since $0 \le b < \frac{1}{2}$ we get

(4)
$$||T_i x - T_i y|| \le \frac{b}{1-b} ||x - y|| + \frac{2b}{1-b} ||x - T_i x||.$$

Similarly, if (z_3) holds, then we have for any $x, y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_i x - T_i y\| &\leq c[\|x - T_i y\| + \|y - T_i x\|] \\ &\leq c[\|x - T_i x\| + \|T_i x - T_i y\| + \|y - x\| + \|x - T_i x\|] \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(1-c) ||T_i x - T_i y|| \le c ||x - y|| + 2c ||x - T_i x||,$$

since $0 \le c < \frac{1}{2}$ we get

(5)
$$||T_i x - T_i y|| \le \frac{c}{1-c} ||x - y|| + \frac{2c}{1-c} ||x - T_i x||.$$

Denote

(6)
$$\delta = max \left\{ a, \frac{b}{1-b}, \frac{c}{1-c} \right\}.$$

Then we have $0 \leq \delta < 1$ and, in view of $(z_1), (4), (5)$ and (6), it results that the inequality

(7)
$$||T_i x - T_i y|| \le \delta ||x - y|| + 2\delta ||x - T_i x||$$

holds for all $x, y \in K$ and for every $i \in \{1, 2, 3, ..., N\}$.

Now, since $T_i p = p$, $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$ and the modN function takes values in $\{1, 2, 3, ..., N\}$, for $y = x_n$ and x = p, the above inequality (7) gives the following result

$$\|T_n x_n - p\| \le \delta \|x_n - p\|$$

Again, with $y = y_n$ and x = p, in (7) we get

(9)
$$||T_n y_n - p|| \le \delta ||y_n - p||.$$

Now, let $\{x_n\}$ be the implicit iteration process with errors defined by (3) and $x_0 \in K$ be arbitrary.

Then

$$||x_n - p|| = ||\alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n + u_n - p||$$

= $||\alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n + u_n - (\alpha_n + 1 - \alpha_n) p||$
= $||\alpha_n (x_{n-1} - p) + (1 - \alpha_n) (T_n y_n - p) + u_n||$
 $\leq \alpha_n ||x_{n-1} - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||T_n y_n - p|| + ||u_n||.$

Using (9) in the above inequality we obtain that

$$||x_n - p|| \le \alpha_n ||x_{n-1} - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta ||y_n - p|| + ||u_n|$$

Substitute for y_n from (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta\|\beta_n x_{n-1} \\ &+ (1 - \beta_n)T_n x_n + v_n - p\| + \|u_n\| \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta\|\beta_n x_{n-1} \\ &+ (1 - \beta_n)T_n x_n + v_n - (\beta_n + 1 - \beta_n)p\| + \|u_n\| \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta\|\beta_n (x_{n-1} - p) \\ &+ (1 - \beta_n)(T_n x_n - p) + v_n\| + \|u_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta\Big\{\beta_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &+ (1 - \beta_n) \|T_n x_n - p\| + \|v_n\|\Big\} + \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using (8) in the above inequality we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta \Big\{ \beta_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &+ (1 - \beta_n) \delta \|x_n - p\| + \|v_n\| \Big\} + \|u_n\| \\ &= \alpha_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta \beta_n \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &+ (1 - \alpha_n) (1 - \beta_n) \delta^2 \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \delta \|v_n\| + \|u_n\| \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2) \|x_n - p\| \leq [\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta] \|x_{n-1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta \|v_n\| + \|u_n\|$$

since
$$0 \le (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 < 1$$
, we have
(10) $||x_n - p|| \le \frac{[\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta] ||x_{n-1} - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n)\delta ||v_n|| + ||u_n||}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2}$
 $= \frac{\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2} ||x_{n-1} - p|| + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\delta ||v_n|| + ||u_n||}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2}$

Let

$$A_n = \alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta$$
$$B_n = 1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2.$$

 $\operatorname{Consider}$

$$1 - \frac{A_n}{B_n} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \delta}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2} \\ = \frac{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 - [\alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \delta]}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2}$$

Approximation of common fixed points...

(11)
$$= \frac{1 - [(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 + \alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta]}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2}.$$

Since $1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 \le 1$, from (11) we have

$$1 - \frac{A_n}{B_n} \ge 1 - \left[(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 + \alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n \delta \right]$$

that is

$$\frac{A_n}{B_n} \le (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2 + \alpha_n + (1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n\delta.$$

Using the facts that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\delta < 1$, we get

(12)
$$\frac{A_n}{B_n} \leq (1-\alpha_n)(1-\beta_n) + \alpha_n + (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n\delta$$
$$= 1-\alpha_n - \beta_n + \alpha_n\beta_n + \alpha_n + (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n\delta$$
$$= 1-\beta_n(1-\alpha_n) + (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n\delta = 1-\beta_n(1-\alpha_n)(1-\delta).$$

Hence from (10) and (12) we have

$$||x_n - p|| \leq [1 - (1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)] ||x_{n-1} - p|| + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\delta ||v_n||}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2} + \frac{||u_n||}{1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2}$$

which, by the inequality

$$1 - \delta \le 1 - (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\delta^2,$$

implies that

$$||x_n - p|| \le [1 - (1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)] ||x_{n-1} - p|| + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\delta}{1 - \delta} ||v_n|| + \frac{1}{1 - \delta} ||u_n||.$$

Since $||v_n|| = o(\beta_n)$ by assumption, let $||v_n|| = d_n \beta_n$ and $d_n \to 0$. Therefore from the above inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \left[1 - (1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\right] \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &+ \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 - \alpha_n)\delta d_n\beta_n}{(1 - \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$
$$= \left[1 - (1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\right] \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &+ \frac{(1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)\delta d_n}{(1 - \delta)^2} + \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $r_n = ||x_{n-1} - p||$, $s_n = (1 - \delta)\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)$, $t_n = \frac{\delta}{(1 - \delta)^2}d_n$, $k_n = \frac{1}{1-\delta} ||u_n||$, and using the facts that $0 \le \delta < 1$, $0 \le \alpha_n \le 1$, $0 \le \beta_n \le 1$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, $d_n \to 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||u_n|| < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - p|| = 0$

which implies that $x_n \to p \in F$. Hence the proof.

Corollary 3 Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed space E, and let $\{T_1, T_2, T_3..., T_N\} : K \to K$ be N, Zamfirescu operators with $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) \neq \phi$ ($F(T_i)$ denotes the set of fixed points of T_i). Let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0,1]$ be two real sequences satisfying the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\alpha_n)\beta_n = \infty$. For $x_0 \in K$, let the sequence $\{x_n\}$ be defined by

 $x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n) T_n y_n$ $y_n = \beta_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n) T_n x_n$

where $T_n = T_{n(modN)}$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, ..., T_N\}$.

Remark 4 Chatterjea's and Kannan's contractive conditions (z_2) and (z_3) are both included in the class of Zamfirescu operators and so their convergence theorems for the implicit iteration process with errors defined by (3) are obtained in Theorem 2.

References

- [1] V.Berinde, *Iterative approximation of fixed points*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2007.
- [2] S.S.Chang, K.K.Tan, H.W.J.Lee, Chi Kin Chan, On the convergence of implicit iteration process with error for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 313, 2006, 273-283.

- [3] Lj.B.Ciric, A generalization of Banach's Contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45, 1974, 267-273.
- [4] H. Fukhar-ud-din and A.Rahim Khan, Approximating common fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive maps in uniformly convex Banach spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 53, 2007, 1349-1360
- [5] F. Gu, The new composite implicit iterative process with errors for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 329, 2007, 766-776.
- [6] J.Huang, Ishikawa iteration process with errors for nonexpansive mappings, Int.J.Math.Math.Sci. 27(7), 2001, 413-417.
- J.U.Jeong and S.H.Kim, Weak and strong convergence of the Ishikawa iteration process with errors for two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Appl. Math. Comput., 181, 2006, 1394-1401.
- [8] S.H.Khan, Hafiz Fukhar-ud-din, Weak and strong convergence of a scheme with errors for two nonexpansive mappings, Nonlinear Analysis, 61, 2005, 1295-1301.
- Y.Kimura, W.Takahashi, M.Toyoda, Convergence to common fixed points of a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, Arch.Math. 84(4), 2005, 350-363
- [10] Q.H.Liu, Iteration sequences for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping with an error member in a uniformly convex Banach space, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 266, 2002, 468-471.
- M.O.Osilike, Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures, J.Nigerian Math. soc., 14/15, 1995/1996, 17-29.
- [12] M.O.Osilike, Implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 294, 2004, 73-81.
- [13] S.Plubteing, R.Wangkeeree, Strong convergence theorems for multi-step Noor iterations with errors in Banach spaces, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 321, 2006, 10-23.

- [14] M.O.Osilike, R.Wangkeeree, R.Punpaeng, On the convergence of the modified Noor iterations with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, J.Math.Anal.Appl. **322**(2), 2006, 1018-1029.
- [15] B.E.Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 226, 1977, 257-290.
- B.E.Rhoades, Fixed point iterations using infinite matrices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 196, 1974, 161-176
- [17] N.Shahzad, Habtu Zegeye, Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of generalized asymptotically quasinonexpansive maps, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 189(2), 2007, 1058-1065.
- [18] Y. Su, S. Li, Composite implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps, J.Math. Anal. Appl. 320, 2006, 882-891.
- [19] Z.-h.Sun, Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 286, 2003, 351-358.
- [20] B.S.Thakur, Weak and strong convergence of composite implicit iteration process, Appl. Math. Comput., 190(1), 2007, 965-973.
- [21] Y.X.Tian, S.S.Chang, J.L.Huang, On the approximation problem of common fixed points for a finite family of non-self asymptotically quasinonexpansive - type mappings in Banach spaces, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 53, 2007, 1847-1853
- [22] H.-K.Xu, R.G.Ori, An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 22(5-6), 2001, 767-773.

Shaini Pulickakunnel and Neeta Singh

Department of Mathematics University of Allahabad Allahabad-211002, India e-mail: shainipv@gmail.com