In this work, we study bifurcation in the von Kármán equations for a thin circular elastic plate which lies on the elastic base and is simply supported and subjected to a compressive force along the boundary. Applying analytical methods, we prove the existence of stable and unstable simple bifurcation points in the solution set of these equations.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study a certain problem of partial differential equations which derives from the mechanics of elastic constructions. Our goal is to find forms of equilibrium of a thin circular elastic plate on the elastic foundation under the action of a compressive force. A form of equilibrium of the plate is a pair of functions \((w, \sigma)\) composed of a deflection function \(w\) and a stress function \(\sigma\).

Let \(D\) be the unit disk in \(\mathbb{R}^2\) centered at the origin (\(D\) corresponds with the shape of the plate) and let \(\mu \in (0, 1)\). Forms of equilibrium of the plate may be found as solutions \((w, \sigma) \in C^4(\bar{D}) \times C^4(\bar{D})\) of the following differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^2 w - [w, \sigma] - \alpha w_{uu}(3w_u^2 + w_v^2) - \alpha w_{vv}(3w_v^2 + w_u^2) & - 4\alpha w_{uv}w_uw_v + 2\alpha \Delta w + \beta w - \gamma w^3 = 0, \\
\Delta^2 \sigma + \frac{1}{2}[w, w] & = 0, \quad (u, v) \in D, \\
w = \Delta w = 0, \\
\sigma = \Delta \sigma = 0, \quad (u, v) \in \partial D
\end{align*}
\]

with two positive parameters \(\alpha, \beta\) and a positive constant \(\gamma\). The parameter \(\alpha\) is a value of the loading (the compressive force on the length unit of \(\partial D\)), \(\beta\) is a parameter of the elastic foundation (it corresponds with the force produced by the foundation), and \(\gamma\) is a constant that characterizes the foundation. The operator \([\cdot, \cdot]\) is given as follows:

\[
[w, \sigma] = w_{uu}\sigma_{vv} - 2w_{uv}\sigma_{uv} + w_{vv}\sigma_{uu},
\]

and \(\Delta\) denotes the Laplace operator.
Our partial differential equations are called the von Kármán equations. The first equations that described the buckling of a thin elastic plate were proposed by Theodore von Kármán in 1910. He studied two nonlinear partial differential equations with Dirichlet boundary conditions and one parameter corresponding to a compressive force. Many people have studied his equations with extra assumptions on the shape of the plate and with different boundary conditions (clamped, simply supported, free) by the use of numerical, analytical and variational methods. Among the previous studies of the von Kármán equations are those of [1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11]. The equations (1.1) describe the phenomenon of the buckling of the plate only approximately. They were derived from the rule of conservation of energy. Writing the formula of energy of the plate we took into account the terms up till the 4th order.

We note that $(0,0) \in C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D}) \times C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D})$ is a solution of (1.1) for every $\alpha$ and $\beta$. This is of course the solution corresponding with the undeflected position of the plate. Experiments show that for some values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ the plate may deflect out, that is, equilibrium forms other than $(0,0)$ are possible. We prove this by the use of bifurcation theory.

From now on, a solution of (1.1) is called a 4-tuple $(w, \sigma, \alpha, \beta)$ such that:

(i) $(w, \sigma) \in C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D}) \times C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D})$,

(ii) $(\alpha, \beta) \in R_+^2$,

(iii) $(w, \sigma)$ satisfies pointwise (1.1) with the parameters $\alpha, \beta$.

Let $\Gamma = \{(0,0,\alpha,\beta) \in C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D}) \times C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D}) \times R_+^2 : \alpha, \beta \in R_+\}$. Every point from $\Gamma$ is called a trivial solution of (1.1). Every solution of (1.1) that does not belong to $\Gamma$ is called a nontrivial solution. A trivial solution of (1.1) that belongs to the closure of the set of nontrivial solutions is a bifurcation point of (1.1).

Our main purpose is the study of the existence of bifurcation points of (1.1). In Section 2, we write our equations in the form of an operator equation $F(w, \sigma, \alpha, \beta) = 0$ and we collect basic properties of $F$. In Section 3, we review some of the standard notions and facts of bifurcation theory. Section 4 is devoted to the study of stable and unstable bifurcation in the von Kármán problem.

2. Properties of the von Kármán equations

In this section, we transform the von Kármán equations into the operator equation $F(w, \sigma, \alpha, \beta) = 0$ in Banach spaces. We discuss a few important properties of $F$ that we apply to the study of bifurcation in the next section.

Let $C^{4,\mu}_{0,0}(\overline{D}) = \{ f \in C^{4,\mu}(\overline{D}) : f|_{\partial D} = \Delta f|_{\partial D} = 0 \}$. Set $X = C^{4,\mu}_{0,0}(\overline{D}) \times C^{4,\mu}_{0,0}(\overline{D})$ and $Y = C^{0,\mu}(\overline{D}) \times C^{0,\mu}(\overline{D})$. The Hölder spaces are considered here with their standard and well-known norms. The norms in $X$ and $Y$ are defined as the maximum of the norms of both coordinates. Let $F_1, F_2 : X \times R_+^2 \to C^{0,\mu}(\overline{D})$ be given by

\[
F_1(x, p) = \Delta^2 w - [w, \sigma] + 2\alpha \Delta w - \alpha w_{uu} (3w_u^2 + w_v^2) - \alpha w_{uv} (3w_v^2 + w_u^2) - 4\alpha w_{uv} w_u w_v + \beta w - yw^3, \quad (2.1)
\]

\[
F_2(x, p) = -\Delta^2 \sigma - \frac{1}{2} [w, w],
\]
where \( x = (w, \sigma) \) and \( p = (\alpha, \beta) \). The map \( F: X \times R^2_x \rightarrow Y \) is defined by

\[
F = (F_1, F_2).
\]

We see at once that the von Kármán equations are equivalent to the operator equation

\[
F(x, p) = 0.
\]

\( F \) is easily seen to be \( C^\infty \)-smooth, and a trivial verification shows that for every \( p \in R^2_x \) and \( h \in X \)

\[
F'_x(0, p)h = (\Delta^2 z + 2\alpha \Delta z + \beta z, -\Delta^2 \eta),
\]

where \( h = (z, \eta) \). We collect now several important facts concerning \( F'_x(0, p): X \rightarrow Y \). All the details can be found in [7, 8].

**Fact 2.1.** \( F'_x(0, p): X \rightarrow Y \) is a Fredholm map of index zero for every \( p \in R^2_x \).

The proof of this fact is immediate. It is based on the observation that

\[
F'_x(0, p)h = A(h) + B(h),
\]

where \( A(h) = (\Delta^2 z, -\Delta^2 \eta) \) is an isomorphism and \( B(h) = (2\alpha \Delta z + \beta z, 0) \) is completely continuous.

Set \( C^{2, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) = \{ f \in C^{2, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) : f|_{\partial \mathbb{D}} = 0 \} \). To simplify notation, we use the same letter \( I \) for the natural embedding of \( C^{2, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \) into \( C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \) and for the natural embedding of \( C^{2, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \) into \( C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \).

Fix \( p = (\alpha, \beta) \in R^2_x \). Let \( \delta = \alpha^2 - \beta \). Set \( a = -\alpha - \sqrt{\delta} \) and \( b = -\alpha + \sqrt{\delta} \), provided \( \delta \geq 0 \). Let \( \Delta - aI, \Delta - bI : C^{2, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \). We will describe the kernel of \( F'_x(0, p) \), denoted by \( N(p) \). Since \( \Delta^2 : C^{4, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \) is an isomorphism, it suffices to research the kernel of \( \Delta^2 + 2\alpha \Delta + \beta I : C^{4, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \).

**Fact 2.2.** (i) If \( \delta < 0 \) then \( \ker(\Delta^2 + 2\alpha \Delta + \beta I) = \{0\} \).

(ii) If \( \delta = 0 \) then \( \ker(\Delta^2 + 2\alpha \Delta + \beta I) = \ker(\Delta - aI) \).

(iii) If \( \delta > 0 \) then \( \ker(\Delta^2 + 2\alpha \Delta + \beta I) = \ker(\Delta - aI) \oplus \ker(\Delta - bI) \).

It is well-known that \( \lambda < 0 \) is an eigenvalue of \( \Delta : C^{2, \mu}_0(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow C^{0, \mu}(\mathbb{D}) \) if and only if there is \( k \in N \cup \{0\} \) such that \( \sqrt{-\lambda} \) is a root of the Bessel function \( J_k : R \rightarrow R \) given by

\[
J_k(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(s \sin t - kt) dt.
\]

Furthermore, if \( J_k(\sqrt{-\lambda}) = 0 \) for a certain \( k \in N \) then \( \dim \ker(\Delta - \lambda I) = 2 \) and \( \ker(\Delta - \lambda I) = \text{span}\{ J_k(\sqrt{-\lambda}r) \cos(k\varphi), J_k(\sqrt{-\lambda}r) \sin(k\varphi) \} \), where \( (r, \varphi) \) are polar coordinates. If \( J_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}) = 0 \) then \( \ker(\Delta - \lambda I) = \text{span}\{ J_0(\sqrt{-\lambda}r) \} \). Combining this with Fact 2.2 we conclude that the dimension \( N(p) \) is no greater than 4. We are able to determine when exactly \( N(p) \) is one, two, three, or four dimensional. In this paper, we are interested in a one-dimensional case.
Fact 2.3. $N(p)$ is one dimensional if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $\delta = 0$ and $J_0(\sqrt{-a}) = 0$,

(ii) $\delta > 0$, $J_0(\sqrt{-a}) = 0$ and $J_k(\sqrt{-b}) \neq 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

(iii) $\delta > 0$, $J_0(\sqrt{-b}) = 0$ and $J_k(\sqrt{-a}) \neq 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\langle (w, \sigma), (z, \eta) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D (wz + \sigma \eta) \, dudv. \quad (2.7)$$

Formula (2.7) determines the scalar product both in $Y$ and $X$. Since the convergence in Hölder spaces implies the uniform convergence, this scalar product is continuous in $X$ and $Y$. Define $E : X \times \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$E(x, p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_D ((\Delta w)^2 - (\Delta \sigma)^2 - [w, w]\sigma) \, dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_D \left( -2\alpha (w_u^2 + w_v^2) + \frac{\alpha}{2} (w_u^2 + w_v^2)^2 \right) dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_D \left( \beta w^2 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma w^4 \right) dudv. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $E$ is $C^\infty$-smooth.

Fact 2.4. $F$ is a variational gradient of $E$ with respect to the scalar product (2.7), that is, $E'(x, p)h = \langle F(x, p), h \rangle$ for all $x, h \in X$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$.

Proof. Take $x = (w, \sigma)$, $h = (z, \eta) \in X$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Since $E$ is $C^\infty$-smooth, the Fréchet derivative of $E$ is equal to the Gateux derivative of this functional. An easy computation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(x + th)|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \Delta w \Delta z \, dudv - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \Delta \sigma \Delta \eta \, dudv$$

$$- \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \sigma [w, z] \, dudv - \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \frac{1}{2} [w, w] \eta \, dudv$$

$$- \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D 2\alpha (w_u z_u + w_v z_v) \, dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D \alpha (w_u^2 + w_v^2) (w_u z_u + w_v z_v) \, dudv$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_D (\beta wz - \gamma w^3 z) \, dudv. \quad (2.9)$$

The operator $\Delta : C^2_{\mu}(\overline{D}) \to C^0_{\mu}(\overline{D})$ is symmetric with respect to the scalar product in $L^2(D)$. Hence

$$\iint_D \Delta w \Delta z \, dudv = \iint_D (\Delta^2 w) z \, dudv,$$

$$\iint_D \Delta \sigma \Delta \eta \, dudv = \iint_D (\Delta^2 \sigma) \eta \, dudv. \quad (2.10)$$
Applying the Fubini theorem and the method of integrating by parts we receive

\[
\begin{align*}
\int\!\!\!\int_D \sigma[w,z]dudv &= \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-u^2}}^{\sqrt{1-u^2}} \sigma w_{uu}z_{uv}dv \right) du + \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-v^2}}^{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \sigma w_{vv}z_{uv}du \right) dv \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-v^2}}^{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \sigma w_{uv}z_{ud}du \right) dv \\
&= \int\!\!\!\int_D [w,\sigma]zdudv, \\
\int\!\!\!\int_D (w_u z_u + w_v z_v) dudv &= \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-v^2}}^{\sqrt{1-v^2}} w_u z_u du \right) dv + \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-u^2}}^{\sqrt{1-u^2}} w_v z_v dv \right) du \\
&= -\int\!\!\!\int_D (\Delta w)zdudv, \\
\int\!\!\!\int_D (w_u^2 + w_v^2) (w_u z_u + w_v z_v) dudv &= \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-v^2}}^{\sqrt{1-v^2}} (w_u^2 + w_v^2) w_u z_u du \right) dv \\
&\quad + \int_{-1}^{1} \left( \int_{-\sqrt{1-u^2}}^{\sqrt{1-u^2}} (w_u^2 + w_v^2) w_v z_v dv \right) du \\
&= -\int\!\!\!\int_D w_{uu} (3w_u^2 + w_v^2) z dudv - \int\!\!\!\int_D w_{vv} (3w_u^2 + w_v^2) z dudv \\
&\quad - \int\!\!\!\int_D 4w_u w_v w_{uv} z dudv.
\end{align*}
\]

Consequently,

\[
E'_x(x,p)h = \frac{1}{\pi} \int\!\!\!\int_D (\Delta^2 w - [w,\sigma] + 2\alpha \Delta w - \alpha w_{uu} (3w_u^2 + w_v^2)) z dudv \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int\!\!\!\int_D (-\alpha w_{vv} (3w_u^2 + w_v^2) - 4\alpha w_u w_v w_{uv}) z dudv \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \int\!\!\!\int_D (\beta w - \gamma w^3) z dudv + \frac{1}{\pi} \int\!\!\!\int_D \left(-\Delta^2 \sigma - \frac{1}{2}[w,w]\right) \eta dudv \\
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int\!\!\!\int_D (F_1(x,p)z + F_2(x,p)\eta) dudv \\
= \langle F(x,p),h \rangle.
\]

3. Introduction to bifurcation theory

We recall a few notions and facts of bifurcation theory. To shorten notation, we use the same letters as in the von Kármán problem. The following assumptions will be needed
throughout this section:

(i) $X$ and $Y$ are Banach spaces,

(ii) $F$ is a $C^1$-smooth map defined in a certain neighbourhood of a point $(0, \alpha_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to $Y$,

(iii) $F(0, \alpha) = 0$ for every $\alpha$ in a certain neighbourhood of $\alpha_0$.

Consider the equation

$$F(x, \alpha) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

The set $\Gamma = \{(0, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^n : F(0, \alpha) = 0\}$ is composed of trivial solutions of $(3.1)$. Each point $(x, \alpha) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $F(x, \alpha) = 0$ and $x \neq 0$ is a nontrivial solution of $(3.1)$.

**Definition 3.1.** $(0, \alpha_0) \in \Gamma$ is a bifurcation point of $(3.1)$ with respect to the set $\Gamma$ if it belongs to the closure of the set of nontrivial solutions of $(3.1)$.

Let $N(\alpha_0) = \ker F'_x(0, \alpha_0)$. If $F'_x(0, \alpha_0) : X \to Y$ is a Fredholm operator of index $0$ then the necessary condition for bifurcation at $(0, \alpha_0)$ is that $\dim N(\alpha_0) > 0$. It is a simple consequence of the theorem on the implicit function. If $(0, \alpha_0)$ is a bifurcation point such that $N(\alpha_0)$ is one dimensional, then it is called a simple bifurcation point. Otherwise, it is a multiple bifurcation point.

In this paper, we are interested in simple bifurcation points. One of the basic theorems on simple bifurcation points was proved by Crandall and Rabinowitz in 1971. Below we formulate this theorem in the form adopted to our needs.

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that $F : X \times \mathbb{R}^1 \to Y$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $F$ is a $C^r$-map, $r \geq 3$,
2. $\dim N(\alpha_0) = 1$, $F'_x(0, \alpha_0)e = 0$, $e \neq 0$,
3. $\text{codim} \text{Im} F'(0, \alpha_0) = 1$,
4. $F''_{xx}(0, \alpha_0) = 0$,
5. $F''_{xx}(0, \alpha_0) \notin \text{Im} F'_x(0, \alpha_0)$.

Under the above assumptions, the solution set of $(3.1)$ in a certain neighbourhood of $(0, \alpha_0)$ is composed of $\Gamma$ and a $C^{r-2}$-smooth curve $\Lambda$. $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ intersect at $(0, \alpha_0)$ only, and $\Lambda$ is parametrized as follows

$$\Lambda = \{(\dot{x}(t), \dot{\alpha}(t)) : |t| < \varepsilon\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\dot{x}(0) = 0$, $\dot{x}'(0) = e$, and $\dot{\alpha}(0) = \alpha_0$.

Suppose that $(3.1)$ describes a certain problem coming from the mechanics of elastic plates and a parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the loading. We may distinguish stable and unstable bifurcation points. If the shape of the plate changes continuously by crossing $\alpha_0$, then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is called a stable bifurcation point. Otherwise, it is called an unstable bifurcation point. We present now a simple way of the study of stable and unstable bifurcation points.

Let $X$ be a linear subspace of $Y$. Assume that there is given a scalar product in $Y$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : Y \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$, that is continuous in $X$ and $Y$. Let $F$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $F$ is a $C^r$-map, $r \geq 3$,
2. $\dim N(\alpha_0) = 1$, $F'_x(0, \alpha_0)e = 0$, $\langle e, e \rangle \neq 0$,
3. $F''(0, \alpha_0) : X \to Y$ is a Fredholm map of index $0$,
(H₄) $F$ is a variational gradient of a certain $C^{r+1}$-smooth functional $E$ with respect to the scalar product in $Y$, that is, $E'_x(x,\alpha)h = \langle F(x,\alpha),h \rangle$ for every $(x,\alpha)$ in the domain of $F$ and $h \in X$.

(H₅) $E''_{xx\alpha}(0,\alpha_0) \neq 0$.

It is easy to check that $F$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.2. Applying the scheme of the finite-dimensional reduction by Lyapunov and Schmidt we reduce the problem of existence of bifurcation from the trivial solution of (3.1) to the bifurcation problem for a certain equation in $\mathbb{R}$:

$$\Phi'_\xi(\xi,\alpha) = 0,$$

(3.3)

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. There are different methods of finding $\Phi$. We use the method described by Sapronov. To this aim consider the equation

$$F(x,\alpha) + (\xi - \langle x,e \rangle)e = 0.$$  

(3.4)

By the theorem on the implicit function, there exist two open sets $U \subset X$ and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $0 \in U$ and $(0,\alpha_0) \in V$ and there exists a $C^r$-map $\tilde{x} : V \to U$ such that $\tilde{x}(0,\alpha_0) = 0$ and the solution set of (3.4) in $U \times V$ is the graph of $\tilde{x}$. Then $\Phi : V \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\Phi(\xi,\alpha) = -E(\tilde{x}(\xi,\alpha),\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi - \langle \tilde{x}(\xi,\alpha),e \rangle \right)^2.$$  

(3.5)

$\Phi$ is called the key function.

Theorem 3.3 (Borysowicz, see [3, 4]). Under the above assumptions, the solution set of (3.1) in a certain neighbourhood of $(0,\alpha_0)$ is composed of $\Gamma$ and a $C^{r-2}$-smooth curve $\Lambda$. The curves $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda$ intersect at $(0,\alpha_0)$ only:

(i) If $\Phi''''_{\xi\xi\alpha}(0,\alpha_0) \neq 0$ and $\Phi''''_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}(0,\alpha_0) \neq 0$ then $(0,\alpha_0)$ is a transcritical bifurcation point and $\Lambda$ is parametrized as follows:

$$\Lambda : \tilde{x}(\alpha) = C(\alpha - \alpha_0)e + o(\vert \alpha - \alpha_0 \vert), \quad \alpha \in (\alpha_0 - \varepsilon, \alpha_0 + \varepsilon),$$  

(3.6)

where $C = -2\Phi''''_{\xi\xi\alpha}(0,\alpha_0)/\Phi''''_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}(0,\alpha_0)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough (see Figure 3.1).
If $\Phi_{\xi\xi\alpha}''(0, \alpha_0) \neq 0$, $\Phi_{\xi\xi\xi}''(0, \alpha_0) = 0$ and $\Phi_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}^{(4)}(0, \alpha_0) \neq 0$ then the phenomenon of bifurcation at $(0, \alpha_0)$ depends on

$$D = \frac{-6\Phi_{\xi\xi\alpha}''(0, \alpha_0)}{\Phi_{\xi\xi\xi\xi}^{(4)}(0, \alpha_0)}.$$  

(3.7)

If $D > 0$ then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is a postcritical bifurcation point and $\Lambda$ is parametrized as follows:

$$\Lambda : \hat{x}(\alpha) = \pm \sqrt{D(\alpha - \alpha_0)} e + o(\sqrt{\alpha - \alpha_0}), \quad \alpha \in [\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + \epsilon),$$  

(3.8)

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough (see Figure 3.2).

If $D < 0$ then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is a subcritical bifurcation point and $\Lambda$ is parametrized as follows:

$$\Lambda : \hat{x}(\alpha) = \pm \sqrt{|D|} (\alpha_0 - \alpha) e + o(\sqrt{\alpha_0 - \alpha}), \quad \alpha \in (\alpha_0 - \epsilon, \alpha_0],$$  

(3.9)

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough (see Figure 3.3).

The proof of this theorem is based on the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem and the key function method.
If (3.1) describes the phenomenon of buckling of an elastic plate then on the strength of experimental results and Theorem 3.3 we conclude that if $D < 0$ then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is an unstable bifurcation point. That is we observe bifurcation such as in Figure 3.4.

4. Stable and unstable bifurcation points in the von Kármán equations

We come back to the von Kármán problem. Let $p_0 = (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in \mathbb{R}_2$ and $\delta_0 = \alpha_0^2 - \beta_0$. From now on, we assume that $(0, p_0) \in X \times \mathbb{R}_2$ is such that $\delta_0 \geq 0$ and $N(p_0) = 1$. Set $a_0 = -\alpha_0 - \sqrt{\delta_0}$ and $b_0 = -\alpha_0 + \sqrt{\delta_0}$. By Fact 2.2, $N(p_0) = \ker (\Delta - c_0 I) \times \{0\} = \text{span} \{(J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}), 0)\}$, (4.1)

where

$c_0 = \begin{cases} a_0 & \text{if } J_0(\sqrt{-a_0}) = 0, \\ b_0 & \text{if } J_0(\sqrt{-b_0}) = 0. \end{cases}$ (4.2)

Take $e \in N(p_0)$ such that $\langle e, e \rangle = 1$. From the above it follows that $e = (e_1, 0)$, $\Delta e_1 = c_0 e_1$ and there exists $c_1 \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that $e_1(u, v) = c_1 J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0})$. Consider the equation

$F(x, \alpha, \beta_0) = 0$ (4.3)

with $F$ defined by (2.2). Let

$\gamma(p_0) = \frac{\alpha c_0^2 \int_0^1 r(J'_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}))^4 \, dr - 2c_0^3 \int_0^1 r(\Delta^{-1}((1/r)J''_0(r\sqrt{-c_0})J'_0(r\sqrt{-c_0})))^2 \, dr}{\int_0^1 r J'_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}) \, dr}$. (4.4)

**Theorem 4.1.** If $\gamma > \gamma(p_0)$ then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is a subcritical (unstable) bifurcation point of (4.3), and if $\gamma < \gamma(p_0)$ then $(0, \alpha_0)$ is a postcritical bifurcation point of (4.3).

**Proof.** Let $\Phi$ be the key function for (4.3), that is,

$\Phi(\xi, \alpha, \beta_0) = -E(\tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha, \beta_0), \alpha, \beta_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\xi - \langle \tilde{x}(\xi, \alpha, \beta_0), e \rangle)^2$, (4.5)
where \( \tilde{x}(\cdot, \cdot, \beta_0) \) is the implicit function of the equation \( F(x, \alpha, \beta_0) + (\xi - \langle x, e \rangle) e = 0 \) such that \( \tilde{x}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = 0 \). An easy computation based on (4.5) shows that
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = -E_{xx}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)(e, e, 1),
\]
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = -E_{xxx}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)(e, e, e),
\]
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = -E_{xxxx}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)(e, e, e) - 3E_{xxxx}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)(e, e, y),
\]
where \( y = \tilde{x}_\xi(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) \). Let us denote \( y = (y_1, y_2) \), \( y_i \in C^{0,\#}(D) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Applying (2.8) we receive
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}''(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = -2c_0 > 0,
\]
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}''(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = 0,
\]
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \left( 6ye_1^2 - 6a \left( (e_1)_u^2 + (e_1)_v^2 \right)^2 - 3(\Delta y_2)^2 \right) dv.
\]
Differentiating the equality \( F(\tilde{x}_\xi(\alpha, \beta_0), \alpha, \beta_0) + (\xi - \langle \tilde{x}_\xi(\alpha, \beta_0), e \rangle) e = 0 \) twice with respect to \( \xi \) at \( (0, \alpha_0) \), we receive
\[
F_{xx}'(0, p_0)(e, e) + F_x'(0, p_0)y - \langle y, e \rangle e = 0,
\]
and hence
\[
\langle F_{xx}'(0, p_0)(e, e), e \rangle + \langle F_x'(0, p_0)y, e \rangle - \langle y, e \rangle = 0,
\]
\[
E_{xxx}(0, p_0)(e, e, e) + \langle F_x'(0, p_0)e, y \rangle - \langle y, e \rangle = 0,
\]
\[
\langle y, e \rangle = 0.
\]
From this it follows that \( F_x'(0, p_0)y = -F_{xx}'(0, p_0)(e, e) \), hence that \( F_x'(0, p_0)y = (0, [e_1, e_1]) \), and finally that \( \Delta^2 y_2 = -[e_1, e_1] \). Consequently, substituting \( e_1(u, v) = c_1J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}) \), we receive
\[
\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D \left( 6ye_1^2 - 6a \left( (e_1)_u^2 + (e_1)_v^2 \right)^2 \right) dv
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{\pi} \int_D 3(\Delta^{-1}[e_1, e_1])^2 dv
\]
\[
= \frac{c_4}{\pi} \int_D \left( 6yJ_0^2(r\sqrt{-c_0}) - 6ac_0^2(J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0})^4 - 4(\Delta^{-1}J_0^2(r\sqrt{-c_0}))^2 dv
\]
\[
+ \frac{c_4}{\pi} \int_0^1 12c_0^3 \left( \Delta^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{r}J_0^2(r\sqrt{-c_0})J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}) \right) \right)^2 dv
\]
\[
= 12yc_4 \int_0^1 J_0^2(r\sqrt{-c_0}) dr - 12ac_0^4 \int_0^1 r(J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0})^4 dr
\]
\[
+ 24c_4^3 \int_0^1 r \left( \Delta^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{r}J_0^2(r\sqrt{-c_0})J_0(r\sqrt{-c_0}) \right) \right)^2 dr.
\]
Let \( D = -6\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0)/\Phi_{\xi \xi}(0, \alpha_0, \beta_0) \). Then \( D > 0 \) if and only if \( \gamma < \gamma(p_0) \), and \( D < 0 \) if and only if \( \gamma > \gamma(p_0) \). By Theorem 3.3, the proof is finished. \( \square \)
Consequently, we receive the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** If \( \gamma \neq \gamma(p_0) \) then \((0, p_0)\) is a bifurcation point of (2.3). Moreover, if \( \gamma > \gamma(p_0) \) then \((0, p_0)\) is a subcritical (unstable) bifurcation point with respect to \( \alpha \), and if \( \gamma < \gamma(p_0) \) then \((0, p_0)\) is a postcritical bifurcation point with respect to \( \alpha \).
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