We prove the existence of global compact attractors for differential inclusions and obtain some results concerning the continuity and upper semicontinuity of the attractors for approximating and perturbed inclusions. Applications are given to a model of regional economic growth.

1. Introduction

The theory of multivalued dynamical systems is motivated by differential equations for which it is not known whether the solution corresponding to each initial data is unique or not. In such a case it is not possible to define a semigroup of operators. However, by taking the union of all solutions belonging to a certain class we can define a multivalued semiflow and study in this way the asymptotic behavior of the trajectories. We will recall some results of the abstract theory of attractors for multivalued semiflows developed in [11, 13, 14] (see also [3, 5]).

Denote by $X$ a complete metric space with the metric $\rho$ and by $2^X$ ($\beta(X)$; $C_u(X)$; $\text{comp}(X)$) the family of all (nonempty bounded; nonempty, bounded, closed, convex; nonempty compact) subsets of $X$. As usual, $\text{dist}(A, B) = \sup_{y \in A} \inf_{x \in B} \rho(y, x)$ and $\text{dist}_H(A, B) = \max\{\text{dist}(A, B), \text{dist}(B, A)\}$, $A, B \in \beta(X)$, is the Hausdorff metric.

Let $B_\epsilon(A) = \{y \in X \mid \text{dist}(y, A) \leq \epsilon\}$ be an $\epsilon$-neighborhood of the set $A \subset X$.

A multivalued map $F : X \to 2^X$ is said to be $w$-upper semicontinuous if $\forall x_0 \in D(F)$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $F(x) \subset B_\epsilon(F(x_0))$, $\forall x \in B_\delta(x_0)$, where $D(F) = \{x \mid F(x) \in P(X)\}$. It is said to be upper semicontinuous if $\forall x_0 \in D(F)$ and any neighborhood $O(F(x_0))$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $F(x) \subset O(F(x_0)), \forall x \in B_\delta(x_0)$. Obviously, any upper semicontinuous map is $w$-upper semicontinuous, the converse being valid if $F$ has compact values [1, page 45].

A multivalued map $G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to P(X)$ is said to be a multivalued semiflow ($m$-semiflow for short) if $G(0, \cdot) = Id$ and $G(t_1 + t_2, x) \subset G(t_1, G(t_2, x)), \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+, \forall x \in X$. The set $\Xi$ is called a global attractor of $G$ if $\Xi \subset G(t, \Xi), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and
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dist(G(t, B), \Xi) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \forall B \in \beta(X). It is said to be invariant if \Xi = G(t, \Xi), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.

If \Xi is compact then it is the minimal closed set attracting all bounded sets.

The m-semiflow G is called point dissipative if there exists \( B_0 \in \beta(X) \) such that

\[
\text{dist}(G(t, x), B_0) \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} 0, \forall x \in X.
\]

Theorem 1.1 (see \cite[Theorem 3 and Proposition 1]{14}). Let for any \( t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( G(t, \cdot) : X \to C(X) \) be upper semicontinuous. Suppose that G is point dissipative and that for some \( t_0 > 0 \) the operator \( G(t_0, \cdot) \) is compact. Then G has the global compact attractor \( \Xi \).

Concerning the dependence of attractors on a parameter from the proof of \cite[Theorem 4]{11} it follows the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. Let \( \Lambda \) be a metric space, \( \lambda_0 \) be a non-isolated point and \( G_\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to P(X), \lambda \in \Lambda \), be a family of m-semiflows satisfying:

1. for each \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), \( G_\lambda \) has a global attractor \( \Xi_\lambda \) and \( \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Xi_\lambda \in \beta(X) \);
2. the map \( \lambda \mapsto G_\lambda(t, \Xi) \), \( \Xi = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Xi_\lambda \), is w-upper semicontinuous at \( \lambda_0 \) for large \( t \).

Then \( \text{dist}(\Xi_\lambda, \Xi_{\lambda_0}) \to 0 \), as \( \lambda \to \lambda_0 \).

Other approaches to the problem of non-uniqueness is the construction of the so-called trajectory attractors (see \cite{8, 15, 18}) or multivalued semiflows via the non-standard framework \cite{7}.

Whereas in \cite{4, 21} are considered differential inclusions generating a semigroup of operators in this paper we study, as in \cite{14}, inclusions generating a multivalued semiflow. This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we extend the results of \cite{14} on existence of a global compact attractor \( \Xi \) for the differential inclusion

\[
\frac{dy}{dt} \in -\partial \phi(y) + F(y), \quad t \in [0; T],
\]

where \( F : H \to 2^H \) is a multivalued map in a Hilbert space \( H \). In Sections 3 and 4, we prove that for a certain class of approximating maps \( F_n \) of the multivalued right-hand side \( F \) the corresponding attractors \( \Xi_n \) converge in the Hausdorff metric to \( \Xi \). Finally, in Section 5 we prove the upper semicontinuity of the global attractor under a small perturbation of the map \( F, F_\epsilon = F + \epsilon S, \epsilon > 0 \). All these results are applied to boundary value problems and in particular to a model of regional economic growth.

2. Existence of the global attractor

Let \( H \) be a real separable Hilbert space, \( (\cdot, \cdot), \| \cdot \| \) be the scalar product and norm in \( H \), respectively, \( \phi : H \mapsto (-\infty, +\infty] \) be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function and let \( \partial \phi : D(\partial \phi) \subset H \mapsto 2^H \) be its subdifferential.
Consider the problem
\[
\frac{dy}{dt} \in -\partial \phi(y) + F(y), \quad t \in [0; T],
\]
\[
y(0) = y_0 \in H,
\]

where \( F : H \to 2^H \) and satisfy the properties:

(G1) \( F : H \to C_v(H) \);

(G2) \( \exists D_1, D_2 \geq 0 \) such that \( \sup_{u \in F(v)} \|u\| \leq D_1 + D_2 \|v\|, \forall v \in H \);

(G3) \( F \) is \( w \)-upper semicontinuous;

(G4) \( \exists \delta > 0, M > 0 \) such that \( \forall u \in D(\partial \phi), \|u\| > M, \forall y \in -\partial \phi(u) + F(u), \)
\[
(y, u) \leq -\delta;
\]

(G5) \( \forall R > 0 \) the set \( MR = \{u \in H \mid \|u\| \leq R, \phi(u) \leq R\} \) is compact in \( H \).

Further we denote \( X = D(\phi) \).

**Definition 2.1.** The continuous function \( y : [0, T] \to X \) is called an integral solution of problem (2.1) if \( y(0) = y_0 \) and there exists \( f \in L_1([0, T], X) \), \( f(\tau) \in F(y(\tau)) \), a.e. \( \tau \in (0, T) \), such that \( \forall u \in D(\partial \phi), \forall v \in -\partial \phi(u), \)
\[
\|y(t) - u\|^2 \leq \|y(s) - u\|^2 + 2 \int_s^t (f(\tau) + v, y(\tau) - u) d\tau, \quad t \geq s.
\]

Further we shall denote each integral solution by \( y(\cdot) = I(y_0) f(\cdot) \). The integral solution \( y(\cdot) \) is called a strong one if it is absolutely continuous on \( (0, T) \) and \( dy/dt \in -\partial \phi(y(\tau)) + f(\tau) \), a.e. on \( (0, T) \).

According to [20, Theorem 2.1] \( \forall x_0 \in X, \forall T > 0 \), there exists an integral solution of (2.1), \( x(\cdot) = I(x_0) f(\cdot), x(0) = x_0 \). Moreover, the set of all integral solutions on \( [0, T] \) starting from the point \( x_0 \) (denoted by \( \Theta^T_F(x_0) \)) is a connected compact set in the space \( C(0, T; X) \) and the map \( x \mapsto \Theta^T_F(x) \) is \( w \)-upper semicontinuous [20, Theorems 2.1 and 4.3].

**Lemma 2.2.** Under condition (G2) each integral solution of (2.1) is a strong solution.

**Proof.** According to [6, page 189] it is sufficient to prove that any selection \( f(\cdot) \in F(y(\cdot)) \), where \( y(\cdot) = I(u_0) f(\cdot) \), belongs to \( L_2(0, T; X) \). It follows from (G2) that \( \|f(\tau)\| \leq D_1 + D_2 \|y(\tau)\| \), but \( y(\cdot) \in C(0, T; X) \), so that \( f(\cdot) \in L_2(0, T; X) \).

Now in the same way as in [14] we define the \( m \)- semiflow \( G : \mathbb{R}_+ \times X \to P(X), \)
\[
G(t, y_0) = \{y(t) \mid y(\cdot) \text{ is a strong solution of (2.1)}, y(0) = y_0\}. \]
Following [14, Lemma 6] we can prove that \( G(t_1 + t_2, x) = G(t_1, G(t_2, x)), \forall x \in X, \forall t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

**Theorem 2.3.** Let (G1)–(G5) hold. Then \( G \) has the global compact invariant attractor \( \Xi \), which is the minimal closed set attracting all bounded sets.
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Proof. We obtain some properties of $G$. First we prove that $\forall t \geq 0, \forall x \in X$, $G(t, x)$ is compact in $X$. Indeed, from the fact that $\Theta F(x)$ is compact in $C(0, T; X)$ we have that $\forall \{y_n(\cdot)\} \subset \Theta F(x)$ there exist a subsequence and $y(\cdot) \in \Theta F(x)$ such as $y_n \to y$ in $C(0, T; X)$. Hence, $y_n(t) \to y(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, in $X$. It follows that $G(t, x)$ is compact $\forall t \in [0, T]$. On the other hand, we obtain that $G(t, \cdot): X \to P(X)$ is upper semicontinuous. Indeed, from the fact that $x \mapsto \Theta F(x)$ is $w$-upper semicontinuous, we have that $\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall x \in X$, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\|x - x_0\| < \delta$ implies $\Theta F(x) \subset B_\epsilon(\Theta F(x_0))$, that is, for an arbitrary $y(\cdot) \in \Theta F(x)$, $\exists y_0(\cdot) \in \Theta F(x_0)$ such that $\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|y(t) - y_0(t)\| \leq \epsilon$ and then $\forall t \in [0, T]$, $\|y(t) - y_0(t)\| \leq \epsilon$. Thus $G(t, x) \subset B_\epsilon(G(t, x_0))$ and by virtue of the compactness of $G(t, x)$ the upper semicontinuity is proved.

Let $B_0 = \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq M + \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon > 0$. We show that $G(t, B_0) \subset B_0$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in B_0$, $x(\cdot) \in \Theta F(x_0)$ be such that $\exists t > 0$ for which $x(t) \notin B_0$, that is, $\|x(t)\| > M + \epsilon$. As $x(\cdot)$ is continuous, then there exists $t_0$ such that $\|x(t_0)\| = M + \epsilon$, $\|x(\tau)\| \geq M + \epsilon$, $\forall \tau \in [t_0, t]$. Therefore, using (G4) and the fact that $x(\cdot)$ is a strong solution of (2.1), in a standard way we obtain that $(1/2)(d/d\tau)\|x(\tau)\|^2 \leq -\delta$, $\forall \tau \in [t_0, t]$, so that $\|x(t)\|^2 \leq \|x(t_0)\|^2 - 2\delta(t - t_0)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $G(t, B_0) \subset B_0$, $\forall t \geq 0$. Thus, repeating the proof of [14, Theorem 7], we obtain that $\forall x \in X$, $\exists \tau_t > 0$ such that $G(t, x) \subset B_0$, $\forall t \geq t_t$. In the same way we also prove that $G(t, B_N) \subset B_N$, $\forall N > M$, $\forall t \geq 0$, where $B_N = \{u \in X \mid \|u\| \leq N\}$. Therefore, $G$ is pointwise dissipative and $\bigcup_{t \geq 0} G(t, B) \in \beta(X)$, $\forall B \in \beta(X)$.

Now we prove that $G(t, B)$ is precompact in $X$ for any $t > 0$ and $B \in \beta(X)$. According to (G5) it is sufficient to prove that $\exists R = R(t, B)$ such that $G(t, B) \subset M_R$. First we shall show that the set $M(B, T) = \{f(\cdot) \mid y(\cdot) = I(y_0)f(\cdot), y(\cdot) \in \Theta F(y_0), y_0 \in B\}$ is bounded in $L_2(0, T; X)$. Indeed, there exists $N$ for which $G(t, B) \subset B_N$, $\forall t \geq 0$, and then $\max_{t \in [0, T]} \|y(t)\| \leq N$, $\forall y(\cdot) \in \Theta F(B)$. By virtue of (G2), $\|f(t)\| \leq D_1 + D_2\|y(t)\| \leq D_1 + D_2N$, $\forall f(\cdot) \in M(B, T)$. Thus $M(B, T)$ is bounded in $L_2(0, T; X)$. So, repeating the proof of [14, Theorem 8] we obtain that $\forall t > 0$, $\exists R = R(t, B)$ such that $G(t, B) \subset M_R$. Therefore $G(t, B)$ is precompact in $X$.

Hence, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that there exists the global compact attractor $\mathcal{Z}$. Moreover, by [14, Remarks 5 and 8], $\mathcal{Z} = G(t, \mathcal{Z})$, $\forall t \geq 0$, and the minimality property holds. \hfill $\square$

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.3 generalizes Theorem 9 from [14], in which $F$ is supposed to be Lipschitz in the multivalued sense.

Consider the application of the previous result to the problem

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \in \triangle y + f(y) + h, \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$y|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

$$y(0) = y_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $h \in L_2(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded open domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $f: \mathbb{R} \to 2^\mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

(H1) $f: \mathbb{R} \to C_p(\mathbb{R})$;
(H2) \( \exists D_1, D_2 \geq 0 \) such that \( \sup_{y \in f(s)} |y| \leq D_1 + D_2 |s|, \forall s \in \mathbb{R} \);

(H3) \( f \) is \( u \)-upper semicontinuous;

(H4) \( \exists M \geq 0, \alpha > 0 \) such that \( \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in f(s), ys \leq (\lambda_1 - \alpha) |s|^2 + M \), where \( \lambda_1 \) is the first eigenvalue of \(-\Delta\) in \( H_0^1(\Omega) \).

To come to problem (2.1), we define \( F : H \to 2^H, H = L_2(\Omega), \)

\[
F(y) = \{ \xi + h \mid \xi \in H, \xi(x) \in f(y(x)) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \}. \tag{2.5}
\]

It is well known that \(-\Delta\) is the subdifferential of the proper convex lower semicontinuous function \( \phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} 1/2 |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \) with \( D(\phi) = H_0^1(\Omega) \) and (G5) holds [6].

**Proposition 2.5.** The map \( F \) satisfies (G1)–(G4).

*Proof.* Condition (H4) in a standard way [14, Theorem 10] provides that (G4) holds. The map \( f \) has compact values and then it is upper semicontinuous, so that it is measurable [2, Proposition 8.2.1]. Hence, there exists a measurable selection \( g(s) \in f(s), s \in \mathbb{R} [2, \text{Theorem 8.1.3}]. \) Then for any \( y \in H, g(y(x)) \) is a measurable selection of \( f(y(x)) \). In view of (H2), we have that \( \forall y \in H, \forall (\xi + h) \in F(y), \|\xi + h\| \leq \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} |\xi(x)|^2 \, dx + \|h\|^2} \leq \sqrt{\int_{\Omega} (D_1 + D_2 |y(x)|)^2 \, dx + \|h\|^2} \leq \tilde{D}_1 + \tilde{D}_2 \|y\| \), so that \( F(y) \neq \emptyset, \forall y \in H, \text{ and (G2)} \) holds. Following [14, Lemma 11] we obtain that \( F : H \to C_v(H) \).

Now we prove that if \( f : \mathbb{R} \to C_v(\mathbb{R}) \) is upper semicontinuous and satisfies (H2) then \( F \) is upper semicontinuous on \( H \). Since the map \( f \) is upper semicontinuous, is upper hemicontinuous [1, page 60]. We prove that \( F \) is also hemicontinuous, that is, from \( u_n \to u \) in \( H \) and \( \sigma_n(p) := \sigma(F(u_n), p) = \sup_{v \in F(u_n)} (p, v) \to \sigma_0(p), \forall p \in H \), it follows that \( \sigma(F(u), p) \geq \sigma_0(p) \). Indeed, \( \forall p \in H, \forall n \geq 1 \exists v_n \in F(u_n) \) such that \((p, v_n) > \sigma_n(p) - 1/n\). Moreover, by virtue of (G2) with accuracy to a subsequence \( v_n \to v \) weakly in \( H \). Now we can use [16, Chapter 3, Theorem 6], taking \( X = Y = \mathbb{R}, p = q = 2 \). Since \((u_n(x), v_n(x)) \in \text{graph}(f) \) for a.e. \( x \in \Omega, u_n \to u \) in \( H, v_n \to v \) weakly in \( H \), all the conditions of the mentioned theorem hold and we have \( v(x) \in f(u(x)) \) for a.e. \( x \in \Omega \). Then passing to the limit in the last inequality we have \((p, v) \geq \sigma_0(p), v \in F(u) \). Thus, \( \sup_{v \in F(u)} (p, v) = \sigma(F(u), p) \geq \sigma_0(p) \) and hence \( F : H \to C_v(H) \) is hemicontinuous. For arbitrary \( u_0 \in H \) conditions (G1)–(G2) hold, so that \( F(u_0) \) is weakly compact and convex in \( H \) and hence according to [16, Chapter 3, Theorem 10] \( F \) is upper semicontinuous at \( u_0 \). Therefore, G3 is satisfied. \hfill \square

Now, Theorem 2.3 implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let (H1)–(H4) hold. The semiflow generated by (2.4) has the global compact invariant attractor \( \Xi \), which is the minimal closed set attracting all bounded sets.

**Example 2.7.** A model of regional economic growth.

Consider a closed economy on a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and the following variables: \( y(x, t) \) is the stock of available capital; \( u(x, t) \) is the rate of investment. From the local conservation of capital it follows, as a particular case, that the equation (see
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[17, page 603]):

\[
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \Delta y + \omega(y) + g(y) + u, \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T),
\]

\[
y|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,
\]

\[
y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

\[
0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \theta(y(x, t)), \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T),
\]

(2.6)

where \(-\omega(y), \omega \) being non-decreasing, represents a recursive depreciation of capital and \(-g(y)\) is the nonlinear rate of demand. The Dirichlet boundary conditions imply the fact that the economy is closed. We assume that the functions \(\omega, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\), \(\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\) are continuous and have at most linear growth.

Define the multivalued map \(f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{R}\),

\[
f(s) = \{\omega(s) + g(s) + \xi | 0 \leq \xi \leq \theta(s)\}.
\]

(2.7)

It is straightforward to check that (H1)–(H3) hold. If we assume that

\[
(\omega(s) + g(s) + \theta(s))s \leq (\lambda_1 - \alpha)s^2 + M, \quad \forall s \geq 0,
\]

\[
(\omega(s) + g(s))s \leq (\lambda_1 - \alpha)s^2 + M, \quad \forall s \leq 0,
\]

(2.8)

then (H4) is also satisfied. Therefore, equation (2.6) is a particular case of (2.4) and Theorem 2.6 holds.

3. Approximation of the attractor

Now we are interested in the possibility of the approximation of the attractor \(\mathcal{N}\). For this we assume that the following stronger conditions hold instead of (G2) and (G4):

(G2*) \(\exists C > 0\) such that \(\sup_{u \in F(v)} \|u\| \leq C, \forall v \in H\);

(G4*) \(\exists \gamma > 0\) such that \((\partial \varphi(y), y) \geq \gamma \|y\|^2, \forall y \in D(\partial \varphi)\).

Conditions (G2*), (G4*) imply (G2), (G4). Indeed, for any \(\xi \in -\partial \varphi(y) + F(y)\) we have \((\xi, y) \leq -\gamma \|y\|^2 + \sup_{u \in F(y)} \|u\| \|y\| \leq -\gamma \|y\|^2 + C \|y\|\). Hence \((\xi, y) \leq \|y\|(-\gamma \|y\| + C)\) and condition (G4) holds for \(\delta = M = (1/\gamma)(C + 1)\). Due to condition (G2*) we can use [20, Theorem 1.1] and construct the sequence \(\{F_n : H \mapsto C_v(H)\}\) such that \(\forall u \in H, F(u) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(u), F_{n+1}(u) \subset F_n(u), F_n\) are locally Lipschitz (in the multivalued sense) and have locally Lipschitz selections and for each \(F_n\) condition (G2*) holds with the same constant \(C\). Moreover, \(\text{dist}(F_n(u), F(u)) \rightarrow 0, \forall u \in H\). By \(F_n\) we construct in the same way as before the \(m\)-semiflows \(G_n\), since (G1)–(G4) are satisfied for the maps \(F_n\). From Theorem 2.3 it follows the existence of the compact global invariant attractor \(\mathcal{N}_n\) for each \(G_n, n \geq 1\). The maps \(F_n\) are more regular than \(F\), so it is interesting to consider whether the attractors \(\mathcal{N}_n\) converge to \(\mathcal{N}\) in the Hausdorff metric.

Theorem 3.1. Let (G1), (G2*), (G3), (G4*) hold. Then \(\text{dist}_H(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty\).

Proof. We note that \(\mathcal{N} = G(t, \mathcal{N}) \subset G_n(t, \mathcal{N}) \subset B_\epsilon(\mathcal{N}_n), \forall \epsilon > 0, t \geq T(\epsilon)\), and since the sets \(\mathcal{N}_n\) are compact, we have \(\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}_n, \forall n \geq 1\). Analogously, \(\mathcal{N}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{N}_n\). Hence,
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\text{z}_n \to z \text{ in } C (0, T; H) \text{ [9, Theorem 2.3]. Hence, } z_n \to z \text{ in } C (0, T; H), \text{ f}_n \to f \text{ in }
\sigma = L_1 (0, T; H) \text{ and } z (\cdot) = I (\eta_0) f (\cdot) \text{ [19, Lemma 1.3]. Therefore}
\text{max}_{t \in [0, T]} \| u_n (t) - z (t) \| \leq \text{max}_{t \in [0, T]} \| I (\eta_0) f_n (t) - I (\eta_0) f (t) + \text{max}_{t \in [0, T]} \| I (\eta_0) f_n (t) - I (\eta_0) f (t) \| \leq
\| \eta_n - \eta_0 \| + \text{max}_{t \in [0, T]} \| z_n (t) - z (t) \| \to 0, n \to \infty. \text{ Thus } u_n (t) \to z (t), \forall t \in [0, T],
z (0) = \eta_0 \in \mathbb{S}_1. \text{ We prove the fact that } f (t) \in F (z (t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \text{ First we note that}
f_n (t) \in F_n (z_n (t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T]. \text{ We prove that } \exists N \text{ such that } \forall n \geq N, f (t) \in
B_{1/n} (F_n (z_n (t))), \text{ a.e. on } (0, T). \text{ Indeed, let it not be so. Then } \forall N \geq 1, \exists n \geq N \text{ such that}
f (t) \notin B_{1/n} (F_n (z_n (t))). \text{ On the other hand, from the } w\text{-semicontinuity and the facts}
\text{proved above } \forall n \geq 1, \exists m (n) \geq n \text{ such that } F_n (z_k (t)) \subset B_{1/2n} (F_n (z (t))), \forall k \geq m (n).
\text{So } \bigcup_{k \geq m (n)} F_n (z_k (t)) \subset B_{1/2n} (F_n (z (t))). \text{ As } k \geq m (n) \geq n, \text{ so }
\bigcup_{k \geq m (n)} f_k (z_k (t)) \subset B_{1/2n} (F_n (z (t))). \text{ Hence, by virtue of the convexity of } F_n (z), \text{ we have}
\overline{\bigcup_{k \geq m (n)} f_k (t)} \subset B_{1/2n} (F_n (z (t))) \text{ and therefore } f (t) \notin \overline{\bigcup_{k \geq m (n)} f_k (t)}. \text{ From [19, Proposition 1.1]}
\text{we obtain a contradiction. Thus } \forall n \geq N, \exists g_n \in F_n (z (t)) \text{ such that } \| g_n - f (t) \| \leq
1/n. \text{ Hence } g_n \to f (t) \text{ in } H \text{ and from } F_{n+1} (z (t)) \subset F_n (z (t)) \text{ it follows that }
f (t) \in F_n (z (t)), \forall n \geq N. \text{ Thus } f (t) \in F (z (t)), \text{ a.e. on } (0, T), \text{ and } u_n = u_n (t) \to z (t) = u_1 \in
G_{\lambda_0} (t, \mathbb{S}_1). \qed

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 holds for inclusion (2.4) if we assume that } D_2 = 0 \text{ in condition }
\text{(H2). } (G2^*) \text{ and } (G4^*) \text{ will be satisfied with } C = D_1 (\mu (\Omega))^{1/2} \text{ and } \gamma = \lambda_1.

4. Dependence on a parameter

Now we are interested in the continuous dependence on a parameter. Consider the sequence of problems (2.1) with right-hand sides } F_n \text{ satisfying:
(R1) } F_n : H \to C_v (H); \forall u \in H, \forall n \geq 1;
(R2) \ F_{n+1} (u) \subset F_n (u), \forall n \geq 1;
Proof. As in Theorem 1.2, \( h \) where
\[
\text{Consider the space } H, \text{ use the same arguments as in the final part of the proof of Theorem 1.2.}
\]

un of Theorem 2.3 it follows that
\[
\text{Let } \left\{ F_n \right\} \text{ be the semiflows corresponding to } F_n, F. \text{ Then in view of Theorem 2.3 there}
\]
exist the global compact attractors \( \mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E} \) corresponding to \( G_n, G, \) respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let (R1)–(R6) and (G5) hold. Then \( \text{dist}_H(\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{E}) \to 0, \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

**Proof.** As in **Theorem 1.2, \( \mathcal{E} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{E}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_1, \forall n \geq 1, \) and the desired result will be obtained if we show that for any sequence \( u_n \in G_n(t, \mathcal{E}_1) \) there exists \( u_1 \in G(t, \mathcal{E}_1) \) such that \( u_n \to u_1 \) in \( H \) (with accuracy to a subsequence). From the proof of **Theorem 2.3** it follows that \( G(t, B_N) \subset B_N, G(t, B_N) \subset B_N, \forall n \geq 1, \forall N > M. \) Let
\[
\left\{ u_n \right\} = u_n(t), u_n(0) = \eta_n \in \mathcal{E}_1, \| \eta_n \| \leq N, \forall n \geq 1, \text{ where } N > M.
\]
Then \( \max_{t \in [0, T]} \| u_n(t) \| \leq N. \) Hence, \( \| f_n(t) \| \leq D_1 + D_2 N, \text{ a.e. on } (0, T), \) and we can use the same arguments as in the final part of the proof of **Theorem 1.2.** \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** We note that conditions (R1)–(R5) do not imply that \( \text{dist}(F_n(u), F(u)) \to 0, \) as \( n \to \infty. \)

**Proof.** Consider the space \( H = l_2 = \{ y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots) | \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |y_i|^2 < \infty \} \) and the sequence of constant maps \( F_n(u) \equiv Y_n = \{ y \in l_2 | y_1 = \cdots = y_n = 0, \| y \| \leq 1 \}, n \geq 1. \) The sets \( Y_n \) are nonempty, bounded, closed and convex and \( F(u) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(u) = \{ 0 \}. \) It is obvious that the maps \( F_n, F \) are \( w \)-upper semicontinuous and satisfy (R2)–(R3) (with \( D_1 = 1, D_2 = 0 \)). We take \( \xi_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots) \in F_n. \) Since \( \| \xi_n - 0 \| = 1, \) we have \( \text{dist}(F_n(u), F(u)) \geq 1, \forall n \geq 1. \) \( \square \)

Consider the sequence of problems
\[
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \in \Delta y + f_n(y) + h, \quad \Omega \times (0, T),
\]
\[
y|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,
\]
\[
y(x, 0) = y_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]
where \( h \in L_2(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is a bounded open domain with smooth boundary \( \partial \Omega \) and \( f_n: \mathbb{R} \to 2^\mathbb{R} \) satisfy:

- (L1) \( f_n: \mathbb{R} \to C_v(\mathbb{R}), f_{n+1}(t) \subset f_n(t), \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n \geq 1; \)
- (L2) \( \exists D_1, D_2 \geq 0 \) such that \( \sup_{y \in f_1(s)} |y| \leq D_1 + D_2 |s|, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}; \)
(L3) $f_n$ are $w$-upper semicontinuous $\forall n \geq 1$;
(L4) $\exists M \geq 0, \alpha > 0$ such that $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n \geq 1, \forall y \in f_n(s), y_s \leq (\lambda_1 - \alpha)|s|^2 + M$.

Define $F_n, F : H \to 2^H$, $H = L_2(\Omega)$,
$$F_n(y) = \left\{ \xi + h \mid \xi \in H, \xi(x) \in f_n(y(x)) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\},$$
$$F(y) = \left\{ \xi + h \mid \xi \in H, \xi(x) \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(y(x)) \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

**Proposition 4.3.** The maps $F, F_n$ satisfy (R1)--(R6).

**Proof.** Condition (L4) in a standard way [14, Theorem 10] provides that (R6) holds. It follows from (L1)--(L4) and Proposition 2.5 that the maps $F_n$ satisfy (R1)--(R4).

(L1)--(L3) imply that all $f_n$ are upper semicontinuous (because they are compact-valued) and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0$, map the ball $B_a(t)$ into subsets of some compact set in $\mathbb{R}$. As $\{f_n(t)\}$ is a centered family of compacts, so $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t) \neq \emptyset$ and in view of [12, page 60] $f(\cdot) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\cdot)$ is upper semicontinuous at $t$. It follows now from (L1)--(L4) that $f$ satisfies (H1)--(H4). Then using again Proposition 2.5 we obtain that (R5) holds. □

Let $\Xi_n, \Xi$ be the global attractors corresponding to $f_n, f$, respectively. As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let (L1)--(L4) hold. Then $\text{dist}_H(\Xi_n, \Xi) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

**Example 4.5.** A model of regional economic growth.

Consider in (2.6) a sequence of functions $\theta_n$ such that $\theta_{n+1}(s) \leq \theta_n(s), \forall n \geq 1, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta_1$ satisfies (2.8). Then (L1)--(L4) hold and Theorem 4.4 takes place.

**5. Perturbed differential inclusions**

We are now interested in the upper semicontinuity of the global attractor for inclusion (2.1) under small perturbations. Consider the family of differential inclusions

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in -\partial \varphi(u) + F(u) + \epsilon S(u),$$
$$u(0) = u_0,$$

where $\epsilon \geq 0$ is a small parameter and $S, F : H \to 2^H$ are multivalued maps satisfying (G1)--(G3) and

(G4**) there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0, \delta > 0, M > 0$ such that $\forall \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \forall u \in D(\partial \varphi), \|u\| > M, \forall y \in -\partial \varphi(u) + F(u) + \epsilon S(u),$

$$\langle y, u \rangle \leq -\delta.$$  

**Lemma 5.1.** The maps $S_\epsilon(u) = F(u) + \epsilon S(u)$ are $w$-upper semicontinuous.
In another case there exists \( M \) be arbitrary. We take \( h \) and
\[ \text{Proof.} \]
It is clear that the set \( S \) and \( \epsilon \) converging subsequence \( t \) is continuous.
\[ \text{On the other hand, it is evident that} \ S \text{ satisfy (G1) and (G2) with } D_{1}\epsilon = \epsilon D_{1}^{\gamma} + D_{1}^{F}, \]
\[ D_{2}\epsilon = \epsilon D_{2}^{\gamma} + D_{2}^{F}, \text{where } D_{i}^{\gamma}, D_{i}^{F} \text{ are the constants in condition (G2) corresponding to } S \text{ and } F, \text{ respectively. If condition (G5) is also satisfied then in view of Theorem 2.3} \]
\[ \text{for each } \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0} \text{ inclusion (5.1) generates the multivalued semiflow } G_{\epsilon} : \mathbb{R}_{+} \times D(\varphi) \rightarrow \text{Comp}(\overline{D(\varphi)}) \text{ which has the global compact invariant attractor } \Xi_{\epsilon}. \]

Define the set-valued map \( R(u) = D_{0} = \epsilon_{u} \epsilon_{0} S(u). \)

**Lemma 5.2.** The map \( R \) satisfies (G1)–(G3) and (G4**) replacing \( \epsilon S \) by \( R. \)

**Proof.** It is clear that the set \( R(u) \) is nonempty and bounded. Let \( y_{n} \in R(u), y_{n} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow \infty} y. \)

Then \( y_{n} = \epsilon_{n} z_{n}, z_{n} \in S(u). \) If there exists a subsequence \( \epsilon_{n'} \rightarrow 0 \) then \( y = 0 \in S(u). \)

In another case there exists \( n_{0} \) such that \( \epsilon_{n} = [\delta, \epsilon_{0}], \forall n \geq n_{0}, \text{ for some } \delta > 0. \) Take a converging subsequence \( \epsilon_{n'} \rightarrow \epsilon_{1} \in [\delta, \epsilon_{0}]. \) It follows that \( z_{n'} = y_{n'}/\epsilon_{n'} \rightarrow y/\epsilon_{1} = z \in S(u), \) since \( S(u) \) is closed. Hence, \( y = \epsilon_{1} z \in R(u), \) so that \( R(u) \) is closed. Further, let \( \epsilon_{y}, \epsilon_{1} z \in R(u) \) be arbitrary. Suppose that \( \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{1}. \) Then for any \( \alpha \in [0, 1], \)
\[ \alpha \epsilon y + (1 - \alpha) \epsilon_{1} z = \epsilon_{2} (\alpha' y + (1 - \alpha') z) = \epsilon_{2} v, \]
where \( \epsilon_{2} = \alpha \epsilon + (1 - \alpha) \epsilon_{1}, \alpha' = \alpha (\epsilon / \epsilon_{2}) \in [0, 1]. \) Since \( S(u) \) is convex, \( v \in S(u) \) and then \( R(u) \) is convex. Therefore, \( R(u) \in C_{v}(H) \) and (G1) holds.

Let us check (G3). Let \( u \) be arbitrary. Since \( S \) is \( w \)-upper semicontinuous, for any \( \gamma > 0 \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that if \( \|u - v\| \leq \delta, \) then \( S(v) \subset O_{\gamma}(S(u)). \) Let \( \epsilon y \in R(v) \) be arbitrary. We take \( h \in \epsilon S(u) \) such that \( \text{dist}(y, R(u)) = \|y - h\|. \) Then
\[ \text{dist}(\epsilon y, R(u)) \leq \|\epsilon y - \epsilon h\| \leq \epsilon_{0} \gamma. \]

It follows that \( \text{dist}(R(v), R(u)) \leq \epsilon_{0} \gamma, \) if \( \|u - v\| \leq \delta, \) so that \( R \) is \( w \)-upper semicontinuous.

Finally, it is evident that \( R \) satisfies (G2) with \( D_{1}^{R} = \epsilon_{0} D_{1}^{\gamma}, D_{2}^{R} = \epsilon_{0} D_{2}^{\gamma} \) and also that (G4**) holds.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let the maps \( F, S \) satisfy (G1)–(G3), (G4**) and (G5) hold. Then \( \text{dist}(\Xi_{\epsilon}, \Xi_{0}) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}. \)

**Proof.** From Theorem 1.2 it follows that it is sufficient to check that \( \cup_{\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}} \Xi_{\epsilon} \in \beta(D(\varphi)) \) and that the map \( \epsilon \mapsto G_{\epsilon}(t, \cup_{\epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}} \Xi_{\epsilon}) \) is \( w \)-upper semicontinuous at \( \epsilon = 0 \) for any \( t \geq 0. \)

First, we note that for any \( \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}, \Xi_{\epsilon} \) belongs to the ball \( B^{a} = \{u \in H \mid \|u\| \leq M + \alpha\}, \) where \( \alpha > 0. \) To prove this fact we shall use that for any \( \gamma > 0 \) and \( u \in D(\varphi) \) there exists \( T(u, \epsilon) \) such that \( G_{\epsilon}(T, u) \in B^{\gamma} \) and also that \( G_{\epsilon}(T, B^{\gamma}) \subset B^{\gamma}, \)
∀t ≥ 0, ∀ε ≤ ε₀ (see the proof of Theorem 2.3). Let γ < α. Since G_ε(T, ·) is upper semicontinuous (see again Theorem 2.3), for any u ∈ Ξ_ε we can find a neighborhood

O(u) such that G_ε(T, O(u)) ⊂ B^α. Since Ξ_ε is compact, from the covering ∪_{u∈Ξ_ε} O(u) we can obtain a finite subcovering ∪_{i=1}^δ O(u_i). Hence, Ξ_ε ⊂ G_ε(t, Ξ_ε) ⊂ B^α (we take t ≥ max_i(T(u_i, ε)), as required. Hence, ∪_{ε≤ε₀} Ξ_ε ∈ β(Δ(ϕ)).

In order to check the second property we shall prove first that the set K_0 = ∪_{ε≤ε₀} Ξ_ε is compact. Let G_R be the semiflow generated by inclusion (5.1) if we replace the map εS by R. Since εS(u) ⊂ R(u), ∀ε ≤ ε₀, it is clear that G_ε(u) ⊂ G_R(u), ∀u ∈ D(ϕ), ∀ε ≤ ε₀. From Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 5.2 it follows that G_R has a compact global attractor Ξ_R. Obviously, Ξ_R is a globally attracting set for each G_ε, ε ≤ ε₀. Hence, since Ξ_ε is the minimal closed set that attracts any bounded set for G_ε, it follows that Ξ_ε ⊂ Ξ_R, ∀ε ≤ ε₀. Therefore, K_0 is compact.

Suppose that the map ε ↦ G_ε(t, ∪_{ε≤ε₀} Ξ_ε) is not w-upper semicontinuous at ε = 0 for some t > 0. Then there exists a γ-neighborhood O_γ of G_0(t, K_0) and a sequence u_ε^n ∈ G_ε(t, K_0), ε_n → 0^+, such that u_ε^n ∉ O_γ. Then u_ε^n = u_ε_n(t), where u_ε_n(·) = I(u_ε_n(·), u_ε_n ∈ K_0, and f(u_ε_n(τ)) ∈ F(u_ε_n(τ)) + ε_nS(u_ε_n(τ)), a.e. τ ∈ (0, t). Arguing as in Theorems 3.1, 4.1 we obtain the existence of a subsequence (denoted again by ε_n) and functions f, u such that f_ε_n → f in C(σ − L_1([0, t]), H), u_ε_n → u_0 ∈ K_0, u_ε_n → u in C([0, t], H) and u(·) = I(u_0)f(·). We have to prove that f(τ) ∈ F(u(τ)), a.e. τ ∈ (0, t).

In view of [19, Proposition 1.1] for a.a. τ ∈ (0, t), f(τ) ∈ ∩_{m=1}^{∞} cō ∪_{n≥m} f_ε_n(τ). Fix τ ∈ (0, t). Since F is w-upper semicontinuous and using condition (G2) for the map S, we obtain that for any δ > 0 there exists n > 0 such that ∀k ≥ n,

\[
\text{dist}(F(u_ε_k(τ)) + ε_kS(u_ε_k(τ)), F(u(τ))) \\
\leq \text{dist}(F(u_ε_k(τ)) + ε_kS(u_ε_k(τ)), F(u_ε_k(τ))) + \text{dist}(F(u_ε_k(τ)), F(u(τ))) \\
\leq ε_k(\|D_1^S + D_2^S\|u_ε_k(τ)) + \frac{δ}{2} ≤ δ.
\]

Since F(u(τ)) is convex, this implies that cō ∪_{k≥n} f_ε_k(τ) ⊂ O_δ(F(u(τ))). Hence, since F(u(τ)) is closed, f(τ) ∈ F(u(τ)), a.e. t ∈ (0, t). Then u_ε^n → u(t) ∈ G_0(t, K_0), which is a contradiction.

\[\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} ∈ \Delta u + f(u) + εj(u) + h, \quad \text{on } \Omega \times (0, T),\]

\[u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,\]

\[u(0) = u_0,\]

where h ∈ L_2(\Omega), ε ≥ 0 is small, f, j : \mathbb{R} → \mathbb{R} satisfy (H1)–(H3) and f satisfies (H4). Define the maps F, S : H → 2^H, H = L_2(\Omega), by

\[F(u) = \{ y ∈ H | y(\cdot) ∈ f(u(\cdot)) + h(\cdot), \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \},\]

\[S(u) = \{ y ∈ H | y(\cdot) ∈ j(u(\cdot)), \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega \}.\]
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It follows from Proposition 2.5 that the maps $F, S$ satisfy (G1)–(G3).

**Lemma 5.4.** Condition $(G4^{**})$ holds.

**Proof.** Since $f$ satisfies (H4) and (G2) holds for $S$, we have that $\forall u \in D(\partial \phi), \forall y \in -\partial \phi(u) + F(u) + \epsilon S(u)$,

$$
(y, u) \leq -\lambda_1 \|u\|^2 + (\lambda_1 - \alpha) \|u\|^2 + M \mu(\Omega) + \epsilon \left(D_1 + D_2 \|u\|\right) \|u\| + \|u\| \|h\|
$$

$$
\leq \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} + \epsilon D_2\right) \|u\|^2 + M \mu(\Omega) + \frac{\epsilon^2 D_1^2}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \|h\|^2.
$$

Taking $\epsilon_0 = \alpha/4D_2$ the last inequality implies that condition $(G4^{**})$ holds. \qed

Since (G5) is also satisfied, we have obtained a particular case of inclusion (5.1), so that Theorem 5.3 implies the following result.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let $f, j$ satisfy (H1)–(H3) and $f$ satisfy (H4). Then $\text{dist}(N\xi_1 \epsilon, N\xi_1 \epsilon_0) \to 0$, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$.

**Example 5.6.** A model of regional economic growth.

Consider in (2.6) the family of functions $g_\epsilon = g_1 + \epsilon g_2$, $\theta_\epsilon = \theta_1 + \epsilon \theta_2$, where $g_1, \theta_1$ satisfy the same conditions as $g, \theta$ and $g_2, \theta_2$ are continuous and have at most linear growth. Define the multivalued maps $f, j : \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$
f(s) = \{\omega(s) + g_1(s) + \xi \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq \theta_1(s)\},
$$

$$
j(s) = \{g_2(s) + \xi \mid 0 \leq \xi \leq \theta_2(s)\}.
$$

Then we obtain a particular case of inclusion (5.7), so that Theorem 5.5 holds.

Finally, we remark that if in problems (2.4), (4.2), and (5.7) we replace the operator $-\Delta$ by $A(u) = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\partial/\partial x_i) ((\partial y/\partial x_i)_{|p-2}(\partial y/\partial x_i))$, $p > 2$, then all the results remain valid. In this case, conditions (H4), (L4) are not necessary. Indeed, we prove that $(G4^{**})$ holds ($(G4)$ and (R6) can be proved in a similar way). It follows from Poincaré inequality that $\langle Au, u \rangle = \|\nabla u\|_{L_p} \geq D \|u\|_{L_p}^p$ for some $D > 0$. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. Then using the Young inequality we have that $\forall u \in D(\partial \phi), \forall \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0, \forall y \in -A(u) + F(u) + \epsilon S(u)$,

$$
(y, u) \leq -D \|u\|_{L_p}^p + \epsilon \left(D_1 + D_2 \|u\|\right) \|u\| + \left(D_3 + D_4 \|u\|\right) \|u\| + \|u\| \|h\|
$$

$$
\leq -\tilde{D} \|u\|_{L_p}^p + K,
$$

where $\tilde{D} > 0$, so that $(G4^{**})$ holds.
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