HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF THE LINEAR RECURRENCE WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

DORIAN POPA

Received 5 November 2004 and in revised form 14 March 2005

Let *X* be a Banach space over the field \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , $a_1, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbb{C}$, and $(b_n)_{n \ge 0}$ a sequence in *X*. We investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the linear recurrence $x_{n+p} = a_1 x_{n+p-1} + \cdots + a_{p-1} x_{n+1} + a_p x_n + b_n$, $n \ge 0$, where $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1} \in X$.

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam proposed the following problem.

PROBLEM 1.1. Given a metric group (G, \cdot, d) , a positive number ε , and a mapping $f : G \to G$ which satisfies the inequality $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) \le \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in G$, do there exist an automorphism a of G and a constant δ depending only on G such that $d(a(x), f(x)) \le \delta$ for all $x \in G$?

If the answer to this question is affirmative, we say that the equation a(xy) = a(x)a(y) is stable. A first answer to this question was given by Hyers [5] in 1941 who proved that the Cauchy equation is stable in Banach spaces. This result represents the starting point theory of Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations. Generally, we say that a functional equation is stable in Hyers-Ulam sense if for every solution of the perturbed equation, there exists a solution of the equation that differs from the solution of the perturbed equations was strongly developed. Recall that very important contributions to this subject were brought by Forti [2], Găvruța [3], Ger [4], Páles [6, 7], Székelyhidi [9], Rassias [8], and Trif [10]. As it is mentioned in [1], there are much less results on stability for functional equations in a single variable than in more variables, and no surveys on this subject. In our paper, we will investigate the discrete case for equations in single variable, namely, the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear recurrence with constant coefficients.

Let *X* be a Banach space over a field *K* and

$$x_{n+p} = f(x_{n+p-1}, \dots, x_n), \quad n \ge 0,$$
(1.1)

a recurrence in *X*, when *p* is a positive integer, $f : X^p \to X$ is a mapping, and $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1} \in X$. We say that the recurrence (1.1) is stable in Hyers-Ulam sense if for every positive ε

Copyright © 2005 Hindawi Publishing Corporation Advances in Difference Equations 2005:2 (2005) 101–107 DOI: 10.1155/ADE.2005.101

102 Hyers-Ulam stability of a linear recurrence

and every sequence $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ that satisfies the inequality

$$||x_{n+p} - f(x_{n+p-1}, \dots, x_n)|| < \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0,$$

$$(1.2)$$

there exist a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ given by the recurrence (1.1) and a positive δ depending only on f such that

$$||x_n - y_n|| < \delta, \quad n \ge 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In [7], the author investigates the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the first-order linear recurrence in a Banach space. Using some ideas from [7] in this paper, one obtains a result concerning the stability of the *n*-order linear recurrence with constant coefficients in a Banach space, namely,

$$x_{n+p} = a_1 x_{n+p-1} + \dots + a_{p-1} x_{n+1} a + a_p x_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{1.4}$$

where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_p \in K$, $(b_n)_{n \ge 0}$ is a given sequence in *X*, and $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{p-1} \in X$. Many new and interesting results concerning difference equations can be found in [1].

2. Main results

In what follows, we denote by *K* the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers or the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers. Our stability result is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Let X be a Banach space over K, ε a positive number, $a \in K \setminus \{-1,0,1\}$, and $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ a sequence in X. Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in X with the following property:

$$||x_{n+1} - ax_n - a_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Then there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in X satisfying the relations

$$y_{n+1} = ay_n + a_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$
 (2.2)

$$||x_n - y_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{||a| - 1|}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Proof. Denote $x_{n+1} - ax_n - a_n := b_n$, $n \ge 0$. By induction, one obtains

$$x_n = a^n x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{n-k-1} (a_k + b_k), \quad n \ge 1.$$
(2.4)

(1) Suppose that |a| < 1. Define the sequence $(y_n)_{n \ge 0}$ by the relation (2.2) with $y_0 = x_0$. Then it follows by induction that

$$y_n = a^n x_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{n-k-1} b_k, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (2.5)

By the relation (2.4) and (2.5), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_n - y_n|| &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k a^{n-k-1} \right\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ||b_k|| |a|^{n-k-1} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{1 - |a|^n}{1 - |a|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - |a|}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$
(2.6)

(2) If |a| > 1, by using the comparison test, it follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_{n-1}/a^n)$ is absolutely convergent, since

$$\left\| \frac{b_{n-1}}{a^n} \right\| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|a|^n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{|a|^n} = \frac{\varepsilon}{|a| - 1}.$$
(2.7)

Denoting

$$s := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n-1}}{a^n},$$
 (2.8)

we define the sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ by the relation (2.2) with $y_0 = x_0 + s$.

Then one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} ||x_n - y_n|| \left\| - a^n s + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k a^{n-k-1} \right\| &= |a|^n \left\| - s + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{a^{k+1}} \right\| \\ &= |a|^n \left\| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{a^{k+1}} \right\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|a|^n} = \frac{\varepsilon}{|a|-1}, \quad n \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

The lemma is proved.

Remark 2.2. (1) If |a| > 1, then the sequence $(y_n)_{n \ge 0}$ from Lemma 2.1 is uniquely determined.

(2) If |a| < 1, then there exists an infinite number of sequences $(y_n)_{n \ge 0}$ in Lemma 2.1 that satisfy (2.2) and (2.3).

Proof. (1) Suppose that there exists another sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ defined by (2.2), $y_0 \neq x_0 + s$, that satisfies (2.3). Hence,

$$\left|\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|\right|\left|\left|a^{n}(x_{0}-y_{0})+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}b_{k}a^{n-k-1}\right|\right|=|a|^{n}\left|\left|x_{0}-y_{0}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{b_{k}}{a^{k+1}}\right|\right|, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(2.10)

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| x_0 - y_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{b_k}{a^{k+1}} \right\| = \left\| x_0 + s - y_0 \right\| \neq 0,$$
(2.11)

104 Hyers-Ulam stability of a linear recurrence

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - y_n|| = \infty.$$
(2.12)

(2) If |a| < 1, one can choose $y_0 = x_0 + u$, $||u|| \le \varepsilon$. Then

$$||x_n - y_n|| = \left\| -a^n u + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k a^{n-k-1} \right\| \le \varepsilon \sum_{k=0}^n |a|^k$$
(2.13)

$$=\varepsilon \frac{1-|a|^{n+1}}{1-|a|} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{1-|a|}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

The stability result for the *p*-order linear recurrence with constant coefficients is contained in the next theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. Let X be a Banach space over the field K, $\varepsilon > 0$, and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \in K$ such that the equation

$$r^{p} - a_{1}r^{p-1} - \dots - a_{p-1}r - a_{p} = 0$$
(2.14)

admits the roots $r_1, r_2, ..., r_p$, $|r_k| \neq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq p$, and $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in X. Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in X with the property

$$||x_{n+p} - a_1 x_{n+p-1} - \dots - a_{p-1} x_{n+1} - a_p x_n - b_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.15)

Then there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in X given by the recurrence

$$y_{n+p} = a_1 y_{n+p-1} + \dots + a_{p-1} y_{n+1} + a_p y_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(2.16)

such that

$$||x_n - y_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|(|r_1| - 1) \cdots (|r_p| - 1)|}, \quad n \ge 0.$$
 (2.17)

Proof. We prove Theorem 2.3 by induction on *p*.

For p = 1, the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 is true in virtue of Lemma 2.1. Suppose now that Theorem 2.3 holds for a fixed $p \ge 1$. We have to prove the following assertion.

ASSERTION 2.4. Let ε be a positive number and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{p+1} \in K$ such that the equation

$$r^{p+1} - a_1 r^p - \dots - a_p r - a_{p+1} = 0$$
(2.18)

admits the roots $r_1, r_2, ..., r_{p+1}$, $|r_k| \neq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq p+1$, and $(b_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in X. If $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in X satisfying the relation

$$||x_{n+p+1} - a_1 x_{n+p} - \dots - a_p x_{n+1} - a_{p+1} x_n - b_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(2.19)

then there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in X, given by the recurrence

$$y_{n+p+1} = a_1 y_{n+p} + \dots + a_p y_{n+1} + a_{p+1} y_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(2.20)

such that

$$||x_n - y_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|(|r_1| - 1) \cdots (|r_{p+1}| - 1)|}, \quad n \ge 0.$$
 (2.21)

The relation (2.19) can be written in the form

$$||x_{n+p+1} - (r_1 + \dots + r_{p+1})x_{n+p} - \dots + (-1)^{p+1}r_1 \cdots r_{p+1}x_n - b_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.22)

Denoting $x_{n+1} - r_{p+1}x_n = u_n$, $n \ge 0$, one gets by (2.22)

$$||u_{n+p} - (r_1 + \dots + r_p)u_{n+p-1} + \dots + (-1)^p r_1 r_2 \cdots r_p u_n - b_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.23)

By using the induction hypothesis, it follows that there exists a sequence $(z_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in X, satisfying the relations

$$z_{n+p} = a_1 z_{n+p-1} + \dots + a_p z_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(2.24)

$$\left|\left|u_{n}-z_{n}\right|\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\left|\left(\left|r_{1}\right|-1\right)\cdots\left(\left|r_{p}\right|-1\right)\right|\right|}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

$$(2.25)$$

Hence

$$||x_{n+1} - r_{p+1}x_n - z_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|(|r_1| - 1) \cdots (|r_p| - 1)|}, \quad n \ge 0,$$
(2.26)

and taking account of Lemma 2.1, it follows from (2.26) that there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in *X*, given by the recurrence

$$y_{n+1} = r_{p+1}y_n + z_n, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{2.27}$$

that satisfies the relation

$$||x_n - y_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|(|r_1| - 1) \cdots (|r_{p+1}| - 1)|}, \quad n \ge 0.$$
 (2.28)

By (2.24) and (2.27), one gets

$$y_{n+p+1} = a_1 y_{n+p} + \dots + a_{p+1} y_n + b_n, \quad n \ge 0.$$
 (2.29)

The theorem is proved.

Remark 2.5. If $|r_k| > 1$, $1 \le k \le p$, in Theorem 2.3, then the sequence $(y_n)_{n\ge 0}$ is uniquely determined.

Proof. The proof follows from Remark 2.2.

Remark 2.6. If there exists an integer *s*, $1 \le s \le p$, such that $|r_s| = 1$, then the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 is not generally true.

106 Hyers-Ulam stability of a linear recurrence

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and consider the sequence $(x_n)_{n \ge 0}$, given by the recurrence

$$x_{n+2} + x_{n+1} - 2x_n = \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0, \ x_0, x_1 \in K.$$
(2.30)

A particular solution of this recurrence is

$$x_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}n, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{2.31}$$

hence the general solution of the recurrence is

$$x_n = \alpha + \beta (-2)^n + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}n, \quad n \ge 0, \ \alpha, \beta \in K.$$
(2.32)

Let $(y_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence satisfying the recurrence

$$y_{n+2} + y_{n+1} - 2y_n = 0, \quad n \ge 0, \ y_0, y_1 \in K.$$
 (2.33)

Then $y_n = \gamma + \delta(-2)^n$, $n \ge 0$, $\gamma, \delta \in K$, and

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|x_n-y_n|=\infty. \tag{2.34}$$

Example 2.7. Let *X* be a Banach space and ε a positive number. Suppose that $(x_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence in *X* satisfying the inequality

$$||x_{n+2} - x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le \varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.35)

Then there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$ in *X* given by the recurrence

$$f_{n+2} - f_{n+1} - f_n = 0, \quad n \ge 0, \tag{2.36}$$

such that

$$||x_n - f_n|| \le (2 + \sqrt{5})\varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
 (2.37)

Proof. The equation $r^2 - r - 1 = 0$ has the roots $r_1 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, $r_2 = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2$. By the Theorem 2.3, it follows that there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n \ge 0}$ in *X* such that

$$||x_n - f_n|| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{|(|r_1| - 1)(|r_2| - 1)|} = (2 + \sqrt{5})\varepsilon, \quad n \ge 0.$$
(2.38)

References

- R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities. Theory, Methods, and Applications*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 228, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- G. L. Forti, *Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations in several variables*, Aequationes Math. 50 (1995), no. 1-2, 143–190.
- P. Găvruţa, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), no. 3, 431–436.

- [4] R. Ger, A survey of recent results on stability of functional equations, Proc. of the 4th International Conference on Functional Equations and Inequalities (Cracow), Pedagogical University of Cracow, Poland, 1994, pp. 5–36.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 27 (1941), 222–224.
- [6] Z. Páles, *Generalized stability of the Cauchy functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **56** (1998), no. 3, 222–232.
- [7] _____, Hyers-Ulam stability of the Cauchy functional equation on square-symmetric groupoids, Publ. Math. Debrecen 58 (2001), no. 4, 651–666.
- [8] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [9] L. Székelyhidi, Note on Hyers's theorem, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada 8 (1986), no. 2, 127–129.
- [10] T. Trif, On the stability of a general gamma-type functional equation, Publ. Math. Debrecen 60 (2002), no. 1-2, 47–61.

Dorian Popa: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Automation and Computer Science, Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, 25-38 Gh. Baritiu Street, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

E-mail address: popa.dorian@math.utcluj.ro