Hindawi Publishing Corporation Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society Volume 2010, Article ID 171234, 6 pages doi:10.1155/2010/171234

Research Article

Oscillation Criteria of Solution for a Second Order Difference Equation with Forced Term

Chen Huiqin¹ and Jin Zhen²

Correspondence should be addressed to Jin Zhen, jinzhn@263.net

Received 24 April 2010; Accepted 21 October 2010

Academic Editor: Guang Zhang

Copyright © 2010 C. Huiqin and J. Zhen. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We will consider oscillation criteria for the second order difference equation with forced term $\Delta(a_n\Delta(x_n+\lambda x_{n-\tau}))+q_nx_{n-\sigma}=r_n\ (n\geq 0)$. We establish sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution is oscillatory or eventually positive solutions converge to zero.

In the last thirty years, there has been an increasing interest in the study of oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order difference equations (see [1–11]). In [1], Arul and Thandapani considered the equation

$$\Delta(p_n\phi(\Delta x_n)) + f(n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...,$$
 (1)

and gave some sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions. In [3], Saker considered the equation

$$\Delta(p_n \Delta x_n) + q_n f(x_{n-\sigma}) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2)

and gave some sufficient conditions which guarantee that every solution is oscillatory. Following this trend, we are concerned with oscillation criteria of solutions for a second order difference equation with forced term

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta(x_n + \lambda x_{n-\tau})) + q_n x_{n-\sigma} = r_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$
(3)

¹ School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Datong University, Datong, Shanxi 037009, China

² Department of Mathematics, North University of China, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030051, China

where $\{a_n\}$ is a positive sequence, $\{q_n\}$ is a nonnegative sequence and not identically zero for all large n, $\{r_n\}$ is a real sequence, λ is a real number, and σ , τ are nonnegative integers, $\mu = \max\{\sigma, \tau\}$.

A solution $\{x_n\}$ of (3) is said to be eventually positive if $x_n > 0$ for all large n and eventually negative if $x_n < 0$ for all large n. Equation (3) is said to be oscillatory if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative.

In order to obtain our conclusions, we first give two lemmas.

Lemma 0.1. *If difference inequality*

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) + q_n z_{n-\sigma} \le r_n, \quad n > 0, \tag{4}$$

is oscillation, then difference equation

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) + q_n z_{n-\sigma} = r_n, \quad n > 0, \tag{5}$$

is oscillation.

Otherwise, if (5) has eventually positive solution, then (4) has eventually positive solution; this is contradictory.

Lemma 0.2. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (3), $\lambda \geq 0$, and

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/a_n) = +\infty$,
- (ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n = +\infty,$
- (iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$.

Set $z_n = x_n + \lambda x_{n-\tau}$. Then $z_n > 0$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \Delta z_n = 0$

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (3), then there exists $n_1 > \mu$, such that $x_n > 0$, $x_{n-\tau} > 0$, and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for $n > n_1$, then $z_n > 0$ for $n > n_1$. By summing up (3) from n_1 to n, we obtain

$$a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_{n_1}\Delta z_{n_1} + \sum_{s=n_1}^{n} q_s x_{s-\sigma} = \sum_{s=n_1}^{n} r_s.$$
 (6)

From (6), we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{n=n_1}^n q_n x_{s-\sigma} = \alpha$, where α is a positive limited number or $\alpha = +\infty$. Thus $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n \Delta z_n = \beta$, β is a limited number or $\beta = -\infty$.

If $\beta < c < 0$ (c is a constant), then there exist $n_2 \ge n_1$, $a_n \Delta z_n \le c$ for $n \ge n_2$, so that

$$z_{n+1} \le z_{n_2} + c \sum_{s=n_2}^{n} \frac{1}{a_s},\tag{7}$$

which is contrary to $z_n > 0$.

If $\beta > 0$, then there exist $n_3 \ge n_1$, $a_n \Delta z_n > \beta/2$ for $n \ge n_3$; hence,

$$z_{n+1} \ge z_{n_3} + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{s=n_3}^{n} \frac{1}{a_s} \longrightarrow +\infty \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty),$$
 (8)

therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = \infty$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{n-\sigma} = \infty$; thus, there exist $n_4 \ge n_3$, $x_n \ge M$, and $x_{n-\sigma} \ge M$ (M > 0) for $n \ge n_4$. By summing up (3) from n_4 to, we obtain

$$a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_{n_4}\Delta z_{n_4} + M\sum_{s=n_4}^n q_s \le \sum_{s=n_4}^n r_s.$$
 (9)

As $n \to \infty$, the right-hand side of (9) is bounded, but the left-hand side of (9) tends to ∞ ; this is contradictory.

Then
$$\beta = 0$$
; thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n \Delta z_n = 0$. This completes the proof.

By means of Lemma 0.2, we obtain the following.

Theorem 0.3. If conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) hold and $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (3), then $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$.

Proof. Making use of (6) and the conclusion of Lemma 0.2, we know

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{s=n_1}^n q_s x_{s-\sigma} = \alpha \quad (0 < \alpha < +\infty), \tag{10}$$

so $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$. If not, suppose that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = l > 0$, then there exist $n_5 > n_1$, $x_n \ge l/2 > 0$ for $n > n_5$. Now substitute $x_n \ge l/2 > 0$ for x_n in (6), we obtain a contrary. This completes the proof.

Theorem 0.4. *If conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) hold, let*

$$w_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} r_t, \quad n > 0,$$
 (11)

and if $\{w_n\}$ is oscillation, then (3) is oscillation.

Proof. Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (3), then there exist $n_1 > \mu$, $x_n > 0$, $x_{n-\tau} > 0$, and $x_{n-\sigma} > 0$ for $n \ge n_1$. From (6), we have

$$a_{n+1}\Delta z_{n+1} - a_{n_1}\Delta z_{n_1} < \sum_{s=n_1}^{n} r_s.$$
 (12)

Letting $n \to \infty$ and making use of Lemma 0.2, we get

$$-a_{n_1}\Delta z_{n_1} < \sum_{s=n_1}^{\infty} r_s \tag{13}$$

4

or

$$-a_n \Delta z_n < \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} r_s \quad (n > n_1). \tag{14}$$

By summing up (14) from n_1 to n, we obtain

$$z_{n_1} - z_{n+1} < \sum_{s=n_1}^n \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^\infty r_t.$$
 (15)

In view of Theorem 0.3, we know that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}$, such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} n_k = \infty$, $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k-\sigma} = 0$, and $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k} = 0$; by means of (15), we have

$$z_{n_1} - z_{n_k+1} < \sum_{s=n_1}^{n_k} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} r_t, \tag{16}$$

so

$$0 < z_n < \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_s} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} r_t. \tag{17}$$

This shows that $\{w_n\}$ is nonoscillatory, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

The oscillation of $\{w_n\}$ is only the sufficient condition for the oscillation of (3). The following examples will illustrate this point.

Example 0.5. Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta(x_n + x_{n-1})\right) + \frac{3}{n+1}x_n = \frac{3}{(n+2)(n+1)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (18)

Here, $w_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} s(1/(s-1) + 1/s + 1/(s+1)) > 0$ is nonoscillatory, and the other conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied. Equation (18) has the nonoscillatory solution $x_n = (1/n) \rightarrow 0$ $(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

Example 0.6. Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta(x_n+x_{n-1})\right) + \frac{(n-4)(2n+1)}{(n+2)(n-1)}x_{n-4} = (-1)^n \frac{2n+1}{n(n+1)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (19)

Here, $w_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} (-1)^s$ is oscillatory, and the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are satisfied. Equation (19) is oscillation.

Example 0.7. Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta(x_n+2x_{n-1})\right) + \left(\frac{(-1)^n}{n(n+1)} + \frac{2}{n+1} + \frac{2}{n}\right)x_{n-4} = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
 (20)

Here, $w_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} 1 > 0$ is nonoscillatory, and the other conditions (i), (ii), (iii) are satisfied. But (20) has the oscillatory solution $x_n = (-1)^n$.

Remarks:

- (1) When $\lambda = 0$, Theorems 0.3 and 0.4 still hold.
- (2) As $a_n = 1$, Lemma 0.2, Theorems 0.3, and 0.4 still hold. In Theorem 0.4,

$$w_n = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \sum_{t=s}^{\infty} r_t, \quad n > 0.$$
 (21)

It has been discussed that $\lambda \ge 0$. We have the following conclusion as $\lambda < 0$. Set

$$z_n = x_n + \lambda x_{n-\tau}. (22)$$

If $\{x_n\}$ is an eventually positive solution of (3), then there exist $T > \mu$, $z_n < x_n$ for n > T. Thus,

$$\Delta(a_n \Delta z_n) + q_n z_{n-\sigma} \le r_n. \tag{23}$$

Therefore, we obtain the following

Theorem 0.8. As $\lambda < 0$, if difference inequality (4) is oscillation, then difference equation (3) is oscillation.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 60771026), the Program for international cooperation of Shan'xi Province (Grant no. 2010081005), and the Natural Science Foundation of Shan'xi Province (Grant no. 2010011007).

References

- [1] R. Arul and E. Thandapani, "Asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of second order quasilinear difference equations," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 275–286, 2000.
- [2] S. R. Grace and H. A. El-Morshedy, "Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for certain second-order difference equations with forcing term," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 216, no. 2, pp. 614–625, 1997.
- [3] S. H. Saker, "Oscillation of second order nonlinear delay difference equations," *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 489–501, 2003.
- [4] S. S. Cheng and H. J. Li, "A comparison theorem for asymptotically monotone solutions of nonlinear difference equations," *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics. Academia Sinica*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 299–302, 1993.
- [5] S. S. Cheng, T. C. Yan, and H. J. Li, "Oscillation criteria for second order difference equation," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 223–239, 1991.
- [6] S. H. Saker, "Kamenev-type oscillation criteria for forced Emden-Fowler superlinear difference equations," Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, vol. 2002, no. 86, pp. 1–9, 2002.

- [7] M. H. Abu-Risha, "Oscillation of second-order linear difference equations," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 129-135, 2000.
- [8] W.-T. Li, "Oscillation theorems for second-order nonlinear difference equations," Mathematical and Computer Modelling, vol. 31, no. 6-7, pp. 71–79, 2000.
- [9] E. Thandapani and K. Ravi, "Oscillation of second-order half-linear difference equations," Applied Mathematics Letters, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 43-49, 2000.
- [10] S. H. Saker, "New oscillation criteria for second-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 142, no. 1, pp. 99–111, 2003.
 [11] Z.-R. Liu, W.-D. Chen, and Y.-H. Yu, "Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear delay difference
- equations," Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 127–132, 1999.