ON A CLASS OF p-VALENT STARLIKE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER α

M.K. AOUF

Dept. of Maths., Faculty of Science University of Mansoura Mansoura, Egypt

(Received October 9, 1986)

ABSTRACT. Let Ω denote the class of functions w(z), w(o) = o, |w(z)| < 1 analytic in the unit disc U = {z: |z| < 1}. For arbitrary fixed numbers A, B, -1 < A \leq 1, -1 \leq B < 1 and o $\leq \alpha < p$, denote by P(A, B, p, α) the class of functions P(z) = p + $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ analytic in U such that P(z) ϵ P(A, B, p, α) if and only if P(z) = $p + [p B + (A-B) (p-\alpha)] w(z) + W \epsilon \Omega$, $z \epsilon U$. Moreover, let S(A, B, p, α) denote the class of functions f(z) = $z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ analytic in U and satisfying the condition that f(z) ϵ S(A, B, p, α) if and only if $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = P(z)$ for some P(z) ϵ P(A, B, p, α) and all z in U.

In this paper we determine the bounds for |f(z)| and $|\arg \frac{f(z)}{z}|$ in S(A, B, p, α), we investigate the coefficient estimates for functions of the class S(A, B, p, α) and we study some properties of the class P(A, B, p, α).

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. p- Valent, analytic, bounds, starlike functions of order α .

1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30A32, 30A36.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let A_p (p a fixed integer greater than zero) denote the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic in U = {z : |z| <1}. We use Ω to denote the class of bounded analytic functions w(z) in U satisfies the conditions w(o) = o and | w(z) | \leq | z | for z ε U.

Let $P(A, B)(-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ denote the class of functions having the form

$$P_1(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$
 (1.1)

which are analytic in U and such that $P_1(z) \in P(A, B)$ if and only if

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)} , w \in \Omega, z \in U.$$
(1.2)

The class P(A, B) was introduced by Janowski [1].

For -1 \leq B < A \leq 1 and o \leq α < p, denote by P(A, B, p, $\alpha)$ the class of functions

 $P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ which are analytic in U and which satisfy that $P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = (p - \alpha) P_{1}(z) + \alpha , P_{1}(z) \in P(A, B).$$
(1.3)

Using (1.2) in (1.3), one can show that P(z) ϵ P(A, B, P, $\alpha)$ if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{\rho + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, w \in \Omega.$$
 (1.4)

It was shown in [1] that

$$P_{1}(z) \in P(A, B) \text{ if and only if}$$

$$P(z) = \frac{(1 + A) p(z) + 1 - A}{(1 + B) p(z) + 1 - B}$$
(1.5)

for some $p(z) \in P(1, -1) = P$ (the class of functions of form (1.1) which are analytic in U and have a positive real part in U). Thus, from (1.3) and (1.5), we have

$$P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha)$$
 if and only if

$$P(z) = \frac{[p+pB+(A-B)(p-\alpha)]p(z)+[p-pB-(A-B)(p-\alpha)]}{(1+B)p(z) + 1-B}, p(z) \in P.$$
 (1.6)

Moreover, let S(A, B, p, $\alpha)$ denote the class of functions f(z) ϵ A $_p$ which satisfy

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = P(z)$$
(1.7)

for some P(z) in $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$ and all z in U.

We note that S(A, B, 1, o) = S*(A, B), is the class of functions $f_1(z) \in A_1$ which satisfy

$$\frac{z + \frac{1}{2}(z)}{f_1(z)} = P_1(z), P_1 \in P(A, B).$$
(1.8)

The class S*(A, B) was introduced by Janowski [1]. Also, S(1, -1, p, α) = S $_{\alpha}$ (p), is the class of p-valent starlike functions of order α , $\alpha < p$, investigated by Goluzine [2].

From (1.3), (1.7) and (1.8), it is easy to show that $f \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$ if and only if for $z \in U$

$$f(z) = z^{p} \left[\frac{f_{1}(z)}{z}\right]^{(p-\alpha)}, f_{1} \in S^{*}(A, B).$$
(1.9)

2. THE ESTIMATION OF |f(z)| AND $|\arg \frac{f(z)}{z}|$ FOR THE CLASS S(A, B, p, α). LEMMA 1. Let P(z) ε P(A, B, p, α). Then, for $|z| \le r$, we have

$$\left| P(z) - \frac{p - [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{1 - B^2 r^2} .$$

PROOF. It is easy to see that the transformation

$$P_1(z) = \frac{1+Aw(z)}{1+Bw(z)}$$
 maps $|w(z)| \le r$ onto the circle

$$\left| P_{1}(z) - \frac{1 - ABr^{2}}{1 - B^{2}r^{2}} \right| \leq \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^{2}r^{2}} .$$
(2.1)

Then the result follows from (1.3) and (2.1).

THEOREM 1. If
$$f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$$
, then for $|z| = r, 0 \le r < 1$,

$$C(r; -A, -B, p, \alpha) \le |f(z)| \le C(r; A, B, p, \alpha),$$
 (2.2)

where

 $C(r; A, B, p, \alpha) =$

$$r^{p}.e^{A(p-\alpha)}r$$
 for B=0.

These bounds are sharp, being attained at the point z = re $^{i\phi}$, o $\leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, by

$$f_{\star}(z) = z^{p} f_{0}(z; -A, -B, p, \alpha)$$
 (2.3)

and

$$f^{*}(z) = z^{p} f_{0}(z; A, B, p, \alpha),$$
 (2.4)

respectively, where

$$f_{0}(z; A, B, p, \alpha) = \begin{cases} (1 + Be^{-i\phi}z) & \text{for } B \neq 0 \\ e^{A(p - \alpha)e^{-i\phi}z} & \text{for } B = 0 \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From (1.9), we have $f(z) \in S(A, \, B, \, p, \, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = z^{p} \left[\frac{f_{1}(z)}{z} \right]^{(p-\alpha)}, f_{1} \in S^{*}(A, B)$$
 (2.5)

It was shown by Janowski [1] that for $f_1(z) \in S^*(A, B)$

$$f_1(z) = z \exp \left(\int_0^z \frac{P_1(z) - 1}{\zeta} dz \right), P_1(z) \in P(A, B).$$
 (2.6)

735

Thus from (2.5) and (2.6), we have for $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$

$$f(z) = z^{p} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{z} \frac{P(\zeta) - p}{\zeta} d\zeta\right), P(z) \in P(A, B, p, \alpha).$$

Therefore

$$|f(z)| = |z|^p \exp (\operatorname{Re} \int_{\zeta}^{z} \frac{P(\zeta) - p}{\zeta} d\zeta).$$

substituting $\zeta = zt$, we obtain

$$|f(z)| = |z|^{p} \exp (\operatorname{Re} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} dt).$$

Hence

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^{p} \exp \left(\int \max_{\substack{f \\ o \\ zt|} = rt} \left(\operatorname{Re} \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} \right) dt \right).$$

From Lemma 1, it follows that

$$\max_{\substack{|zt| = rt}} \frac{P(zt) - p}{t} = \frac{(A-B)(p - \alpha)r}{1+Brt};$$

then, after integration, we obtain the upper bounds in (2.2). Similarly, we obtain the bounds on the left-hand side of (2.2) which ends the proof.

REMARKS ON THEOREM 1.

- 1. Choosing A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Goluzina [2].
- 2. Choosing P = 1 and α = 0 in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Janowski [1].
- 3. Choosing p = 1, A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Robertson [3].
- 4. Choosing p = 1, A = 1 and $B = \alpha = o$ in Theorem 1, we get the result due to Singh [4]. THEOREM 2. If $f(z) \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then for |z| = r < 1

$$\arg\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^{p}}\right) \leq \frac{(A - B)(p - \alpha)}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br), B\neq 0, \qquad (2.7)$$

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{f(z)}{z^p}\right) \right| \leq A(p - \alpha)r, B = 0.$$
 (2.8)

These bounds are sharp, being attained by the function $f_0(z)$ defined by

$$f_{0}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(A - B)(p - \alpha)}{B}, & B \neq 0, \\ z^{p}(1 + B \delta z) & B & , B \neq 0, \\ z^{p} \exp(A(p - \alpha) \delta z) & , B = 0, |\delta| = 1. \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

PROOF. It was shown by Goel and Mehrok [5] that for ${\rm f}_1~{\rm \varepsilon}$ S*(A, B)

$$\arg \frac{f_1(z)}{z} \le \frac{(A - B)}{B} \sin^{-1} (Br), B \neq 0,$$
 (2.10)

$$\arg \frac{f_1(z)}{z} \leq Ar, \quad B = 0.$$
 (2.11)

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of (1.9), (2.10) and (2.11).

REMARK ON THEOREM 2.

Choosing p = 1, A = 1 and B = -1 in Theorem 2, we get the result due to Pinchuk [6].

3. COEFFICIENT ESTIMATES FOR THE CLASS S(A, B, P, α).

LEMMA 2. If integers p and m are greater than zero; $o \le \alpha < p$ and $-1 \le B < A \le 1.$ Then

$$\frac{m^{-1}}{j=0} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} = \frac{1}{m^{2}} ((B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + \frac{m^{-1}}{m^{2}} [k^{2}(B^{2}-1) + (B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] x$$

$$\frac{m^{-1}}{k+1} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \} . \qquad (3.1)$$
PROOF. We prove the lemma by induction on m. For m = 1, the lemma is obvious. Next, suppose that the result is true for m = q-1. We have

$$\frac{1}{q^{2}} \{(B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + \frac{q^{-1}}{k+1} [k^{2}(B^{2}-1) + \frac{1}{k+1} [k^{2}(B^{2}-1) + \frac{1}{q^{2}} ((B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + \frac{q^{-2}}{k+1} [k^{2}(B^{2}-1) + \frac{1}{q^{2}} ((B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] x \frac{\pi^{-1}}{j=0} \frac{1(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \}$$

$$= \frac{1}{q^{2}} \{(B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + 2kB(B-A)(p-\alpha)] x \frac{\pi^{-1}}{j=0} \frac{1(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} + [(q-1)^{2}(B^{2}-1) + (B-A)^{2}(p-\alpha)^{2} + 2(q-1)B(B-A)(p-\alpha)] x$$

$$\frac{q^{-2}}{\pi} \frac{1(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \}$$

M.K. AOUF

$$= \frac{q-2}{\prod_{j=0}^{n}} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2} \times \{ \frac{(q-1)^2 B^2 + (B-A)^2 (p-\alpha)^2 +}{2(q-1)B(B-A)(p-\alpha)} \}$$

$$= \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{|(B - A)(p - \alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j + 1)^2}.$$

Showing that the result is valid for m = q. This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 3. If
$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \in S(A, B, p, \alpha)$$
, then

$$|a_{n}| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bk|}{k+1}$$
 (3.2)

for $n \geq p+1,$ and these bounds are sharp for all admissible A, B and α and for each n.

PROOF. As f ε S(A, B, p, $\alpha),$ from (1.4) and (1.7), we have

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{p + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, w \in \Omega .$$

This may be written as

$$\{Bzf'(z) + [-pB + (B - A)(p - \alpha)]f(z)\}w(z) = pf(z) - zf'(z).$$

Hence

or

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \{ pz^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \} + [-pB + (B - A)(p - \alpha)] \{ z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \}]w(z) = p \{ z^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \} - \{ pz^{p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (p+k)a_{p+k} z^{p+k} \}$$

$$[pB + [-pB + (B - A)(p - \alpha)] + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ (p + k)B + [-pB + (B - A)(p - \alpha)] \} a_{p+k} z^{k}] w(z) = (P - p) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ p - (p + k) \} a_{p+k} z^{k}$$

738

$$\frac{2}{k = 0} \left[\left[\left(p + k \right) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} z^{k} \right] w(z)$$

$$\frac{2}{k = 0} \left[\left(-k \right) a_{p+k} z^{k} \right] (3.3)$$
where $a_{p} = 1$ and $w(z) = \frac{2}{k = 0} b_{k+1} z^{k+1}$.
Equating coefficients of z^{m} on both sides of (3.3) , we obtain
$$\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left\{ (p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right\} a_{p+k} b_{m-k} = \left\{ -m \right\} a_{p+m};$$
which shows that a_{p+m} on right-hand side depends only on
$$\frac{a}{p} \cdot a_{p+1} \cdot \cdots \cdot a_{p+(m-1)},$$
of left-hand side. Hence we can write
$$\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left[\left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} z^{k} \right] w(z)$$

$$= \frac{m}{k = 0} \left[\left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} z^{k} \right] w(z)$$

$$= \frac{m}{k = 0} \left[\left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} z^{k} \right] w(z)$$
Let $z = re^{\frac{1}{10}} \cdot o (r < 1, o \le 0 \le 2\pi, then$

$$\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left[(p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m-1}{k = 0} \left((p + k) B + \left[-pB + \left(B - A \right) \left(p - \alpha \right) \right] \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m}{k = 0} \left(-k \right) a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} + \frac{m}{k = m+1} A_{k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m}{k = 0} \left(-k \right) a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} + \frac{m}{k = m+1} A_{k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m}{k = 0} \left[-k \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} + \frac{m}{k = m+1} A_{k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2} de$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{2m}{0} \left[\frac{m}{k = 0} \left[-k \right] a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} + \frac{m}{k = m+1} A_{k} r^{k} e^{\frac{10}{10} k} \right]^{2}$$

739

(3.4)

Setting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (3.4), the inequality (3.4) may be written as

$$\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\ k=0}}^{m-1} \{ |(p+k) B + [-pB + (B - A)(p - \alpha)] |^{2} - k^{2} \} |a_{p+k}|^{2}$$

$$\geq m^{2} |a_{p+m}|^{2} .$$
(3.5)

Simplification of (3.5) leads to

$$|a_{p+m}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \{k^{2}(B^{2} - 1) + (B - A)(p - \alpha)[(B - A)(p - \alpha) + 2kB]\}|a_{p+k}|^{2}.$$
 (3.6)

Replacing p + m by n in (3.6), we are led to

$$|a_{n}|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{(n-p)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \{k^{2}(B^{2}-1) + (B-A)(p-\alpha)x\}}{k=0}$$

$$[B-A)(p-\alpha) + 2kB] \} |a_{p+k}|^{2}, \qquad (3.7)$$

where $n \ge p + 1$. For n = p + 1, (3.7) reduces to

$$|a_{p+1}|^2 \le (B - A)^2 (p - \alpha)^2$$

$$|a_{p+1}| \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha)$$
 (3.8)

which is equivalent to (3.2).

To establish (3.2) for n > p + 1, we will apply induction argument.

Fix n, $n \geq p+1,$ and suppose (3.2) holds for k = 1, 2, ... ,, n-(p+1). Then

$$|a_n|^2 \le \frac{1}{(n-p)^2} \{ (B - A)^2 (p - \alpha)^2 + \frac{n - (p+1)}{\Sigma} \{ k^2 (B^2 - 1) + k = 1 \} \}$$

$$(B - A)(p - \alpha)[(B - A)(p - \alpha) + 2kB] \} \frac{k-1}{\prod_{j=0}^{n} \frac{|(B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj|^2}{(j+1)^2}}, \qquad (3.9)$$

Thus, from (3.7), (3.9) and Lemma 2 with m = n - p, we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_n \end{vmatrix}^2 \leq \begin{array}{c} n-(p+1) \\ = \\ j=0 \end{matrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \frac{\mid (B-A)(p-\alpha) + Bj \mid^2}{(j+1)^2} \end{array}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.2). This proof is based on a technique found in Clunie [7].

For sharpness of (3.2) consider

$$f(z) = \frac{z^{p}}{(1 - B \delta z)} , |\delta| = 1, B \neq 0.$$

REMARK ON THEOREM 3.

Choosing p = 1, A = 1, and B = -1 in Theorem 3, we get the result due to Robertson [3] and Schild [8].

4. DISTORTION AND COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR FUNCTIONS IN P(A, B, p, α). THEOREM 4. If P(z) ϵ P(A, B, p, α), then

$$|\arg P(z)| \le \sin^{-1} \frac{(A-B)(p-\alpha)r}{p-[pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]Br^2}, |z| = r.$$

The bound is sharp.

PROOF. The proof follows from Lemma 1. To see that the result is sharp, let

$$P(z) = p \left\{ \frac{1 + [B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})]\delta_1 z}{1 + \delta_1 B z} \right\}, |\delta_1| = 1.$$
(4.1)

.

•

Putting

ing

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{r}{z} \left\{ -\frac{\left(\left[B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})\right] + B\right)r}{1 + \left[B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})\right]Br^{2}} + \frac{1}{1 + \left[B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})\right]^{2}r^{2}}\sqrt{1 - B^{2}r^{2}} + \frac{1}{1 + \left[B + (A-B)(1 - \frac{\alpha}{p})\right]Br^{2}} , r = |z|,$$

in (4.1), we have

arg P(z) =
$$\sin^{-1} \frac{(A - B)(p - \alpha)r}{p - [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]Br^2}$$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is COROLLARY 1. If P(z) is in P(A, B, p, $_{\alpha})$, then for $|z| \leq r < 1$

$$\frac{p - (A-B)(p - \alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p - \alpha)]Br^{2}}{1 - B^{2}r^{2}} \leq |P(z)| \leq \frac{p + (A-B)(p - \alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p - \alpha)]Br^{2}}{1 - B^{2}r^{2}},$$

and

$$\frac{p - (A-B)(p - \alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p - \alpha)]Br^{2}}{1 - B^{2}r^{2}} \le \text{Re} \{P(z)\} \le$$

$$\frac{p + (A-B)(p - \alpha)r - [pB + (A-B)(p - \alpha)]Br^2}{1 - B^2 r^2}$$

REMARK ON COROLLARY 1.

Choosing p = 1, A = 1 and B = -1 in the above corollary we get the following distortion bounds studied by Libera and Livingston [9] stated in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. If P(z) is in P(1, -1, α) = P(α), $0 \le \alpha < 1$ (the class of functions P(z) with positive real part of order α , $0 \le \alpha < 1$), then for $|z| \le r < 1$

$$\frac{1-2(1-\alpha)r+(1-2\alpha)r^2}{1-r^2} \le |P(z)| \le \frac{1+2(1-\alpha)r+(1-2\alpha)r^2}{1-r^2}.$$

and

$$\frac{1 - 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2} \le \operatorname{Re} \{P(z)\} \le \frac{1 + 2(1 - \alpha)r + (1 - 2\alpha)r^2}{1 - r^2}$$

The coefficient bounds which follow are derived by using the method of Clunie [7].

•

THEOREM 5. If
$$P(z) = p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$
 is in $P(A, B, p, \alpha)$, then
 $|b_n| \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha), n = 1, 2, ...;$ (4.2)

these bounds are sharp.

PROOF. The representation P(z) in (1.4) is equivalent to

$$[B + (A - B)(p - \alpha) - B P(z)]w(z) = P(z) - p, w \in \Omega .$$
(4.3)

or

$$[B + (A - B)(p - \alpha) - B\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k] w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, b_0 = p.$$
(4.4)

This can be written as

$$[(A - B)(p - \alpha) - B\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_k z^k] w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k z^k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_k z^k, \qquad (4.5)$$

the last term also being absolutely and uniformly convergent in compacta on U. Writing $z = re^{i\theta}$, performing the indicated integration and making use of the bound $|w(z)| \le |z| < 1$ for z in U gives

742

$$(A - B)^{2}(p - \alpha)^{2} + B^{2} \frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{D}} |b_{k}|^{2} r^{2k} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |(A - B)(p - \alpha) + B \frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{D}} b_{k} r^{k} e^{ik\theta}|^{2} d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\{(A - B)(p - \alpha) + B \frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{D}} b_{k} r^{k} e^{i\theta k}\} w(re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} r^{k} e^{ik\theta} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} r^{k} e^{ik\theta}|^{2} d\theta$$

$$\geq \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{D}} |b_{k}|^{2} r^{2k} + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |q_{k}|^{2} r^{2k}.$$

The last term is non-negative and r < 1, therefore

$$(A - B)^{2}(p - \alpha)^{2} + B^{2} \frac{n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n}} |b_{k}|^{2} \ge \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n}} |b_{k}|^{2}, \qquad (4.6)$$

or

$$|b_n|^2 \le (A - B)^2 (p - \alpha)^2 + (B^2 - 1) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |b_k|^2$$
 (4.7)

Since $-1 \le B \le 1$, we have $B^2 - 1 \le o$. Hence

$$|b_n| \leq (A - B)(p - \alpha) , \qquad (4.8)$$

and this is equivalent to (4.2). If $w(z) = z^n$, then

$$P(z) = p + (A - B)(p - \alpha)z^{n} + ...,$$

which makes (4.2) sharp.

REMARK ON THEOREM 5.

Choosing p = 1, A = 1, and B = -1 in Theorem 5, we get the result due to Libera [10] stated in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. If
$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \epsilon P(1, -1, 1, \alpha) = P(\alpha), \alpha \le \alpha < 1$$
,

then

$$|b_n| \le 2(1 - \alpha), n = 1, 2, 3, ...;$$

these bounds are sharp.

REFERENCES

- 1. JANOWSKI, W. Some external problems for certain families of analytic functions, <u>Ann. Polon. Math.</u>, 28 (1973), 297-326.
- GOLUZINA, E. G. On the coefficients of a class of functions, regular in a disk and having an integral representation in it, <u>J. of Soviet Math. (2)</u> <u>6</u> (1974), 606-617.
- ROBERTSON, M. S. On the theory of univalent functions, <u>Ann. of Math.</u>, <u>37</u> (1936), 169-185.
- SINGH, R. On a class of starlike functions, <u>J. Indian Math Soc</u>., <u>32</u> (1968), 208-213.
- GOEL, R. M. and MEHROK, B. S. On a class of close-to-convex functions, <u>Indian</u> <u>J. Pure Appl. Math.</u>, <u>12</u>(5) (1981), 648-658.
- 6. PINCHUK, B. On starlike and convex functions of order α , <u>Duke Math. J.</u>, <u>35</u> (1968), 721-734.
- CLUNIE, J. On meromorphic schlicht functions, <u>J. London Math. Soc</u>., <u>34</u> (1959), 215-216.
- SCHILD, A. On starlike functions of order α, <u>Amer. J. Math.</u>, <u>87(1)</u> (1965), 65-70.
- 9. LIBERA, R. J. and LIVINGSTON, A. E. Bounded functions with positive real part, Czechoslovak Math. J., 22(97) (1972), 195-209, Praba.
- 10. LIBERA, R. J. Univalent α -spiral functions, <u>Canadian J. Math.</u>, <u>19</u> (1967), 449-456.

Present Address: Dept. of Mathematics Faculty of Science University of Qatar P.O. Box 2713 Doha-Qatar