MAXIMUM PRINCIPLES FOR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS COUPLED IN BOTH FIRST-ORDER AND ZERO-ORDER TERMS

CHIPING ZHOU

Department of Mathematics University of Hawaii-HCC 874 Dillingham Boulevard Honolulu, HI 96817

(Received October 21, 1992 and in revised form June 30, 1993)

ABSTRACT. Some generalized maximum principles are established for linear second-order parabolic systems in which both first-order and zero-order terms are coupled.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Maximum principles, parabolic systems, strongly coupled, complex-valued.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 35B50, 35K40.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Hile and Protter [2] proved that the Euclidean length of the solution vector $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$ of the second-order elliptic system

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij}(x) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj}(x) u_j = 0, \quad s = 1, \cdots, m,$$

can be bounded by a constant times the maximum of its boundary values under a "small" condition which requires that either the domain D or the coefficients b_{sij} and c_{sj} are sufficiently small. In this paper, we have established the same kind of maximum principle for the second-order parabolic system

$$\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2 u_s}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} - \frac{\partial u_s}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj}(x,t) u_j = 0, 1 \le s \le m.$$

Moreover, our parabolic version of the maximum principle holds without any "small" conditions.

When the coupling occurs only in the zero-order terms (i.e., in the case of $b_{sij} = 0$ for all i, j, s except when j = s), the above systems are called weakly coupled systems. For weakly coupled second-order parabolic systems, similar maximum principles have been obtained by Stys [4] and Zhou [6]. Under different assumptions, different maximum principles in which the components rather than the Euclidean length of the solution vector are bounded can be found in Protter and Weinberger [3] and Dow [1]. In Weinberger's paper [5], both kinds of maximum principles have been reformulated and studied in terms of invariant sets.

2. MAIN RESULTS.

Consider a second-order parabolic operator with real coefficients,

$$M \equiv \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad a_{ij} = a_{ji}$$

in a general bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_t$ $(n \ge 1)$ with the boundary $\partial \Omega := \partial_p \Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$. Here $\partial_p \Omega$ is the parabolic boundary of Ω and $\partial_t \Omega := \partial \Omega \setminus \partial_p \Omega$. We suppose that $\Omega \subset D \times (0,T)$ where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n and $0 < T < \infty$. The operator M is assumed to be uniformly parabolic in Ω ; i.e., there is a constant $\delta > 0$ such that for all $(x,t) \in \Omega$ and all (y_1, \dots, y_n) in \mathbb{C}^n the inequality

$$\sum_{k,k=1}^{n} a_{ik}(x,t) \ y_{i} \ \overline{y}_{k} \ge \delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_{i}|^{2}$$
(2.1)

holds. The operator M is the principal part of each equation in the second-order parabolic system

$$Mu_{s} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij}(x,t) \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj}(x,t)u_{j} = 0, \qquad s = 1, 2, \cdots, m.$$
(2.2)

We suppose that the complex-valued coefficients b_{sij} , c_{sj} have the property that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and all $(x,t) \in \Omega$.

$$\sum_{r,s=1}^{m} \left[c_{sr} + \overline{c}_{rs} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} A_{ki} b_{sij} \overline{b}_{rkj} \right] \xi_{r} \overline{\xi}_{s} \leq K |\xi|^{2}, \text{for some } K > 0.$$
(2.3)

Here $(A_{k_i}) = (A_{i_k})$ denotes the inverse matrix of (a_{i_k}) . A solution $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m)$ is a complex-valued $C^{2,1}(\Omega \cup \partial_i \Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ function which satisfies (2) in Ω . Here $C^{k,h}(\Omega)$ is defined as the set of functions f(x,t) having all x (space) derivatives of order $\leq k$ and t (time) derivatives of order $\leq h$ continuous in Ω .

THEOREM 1. Assume conditions (1.1) and (1.3) hold. If u is a solution of (2.2) and α is a positive $C^{2,1}(\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega)$ function, then the product $\alpha |u|^2 = \alpha \sum_{j=1}^m |u_j|^2$ cannot attain a positive maximum at any point in $\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$ where α satisfies

$$\alpha^{-1}M\alpha - 2\alpha^{-2}\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{k}} > K.$$
(2.4)

PROOF. We set $p = |u|^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{m} |u_s|^2$ and find

$$M(\alpha p) = pM\alpha + \alpha Mp + 2\sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}.$$
 (2.5)

At a point $(x,t) \in \Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$ where αp attains a maximum, we have

$$\leq \frac{\partial(\alpha p)}{\partial t}, \qquad 0 = \frac{\partial(\alpha p)}{\partial x_k} = \alpha \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k} + p \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_k}, \qquad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

and (2.5) becomes

$$M(\alpha p) = p \left[M\alpha - 2\alpha^{-1} \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{k}} \right] + \alpha M p.$$
(2.6)

A direct computation yields

0

$$\begin{split} Mp &= \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left[u_{s} M\overline{u}_{s} + \overline{u}_{s} Mu_{s} + 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \overline{u}_{s}}{\partial x_{k}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{m} \left\{ -2Re \left[\overline{u}_{s} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj} u_{j} \right) \right] + 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \overline{u}_{s}}{\partial x_{k}} \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \left[\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{m} A_{iq} \overline{b}_{rqj} u_{r} \right] \left[\frac{\partial \overline{u}_{s}}{\partial x_{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{m} A_{kq} b_{sqj} \overline{u}_{s} \right] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r,s=1}^{m} \left[\sum_{k,q=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{kq} b_{sqj} \overline{b}_{rkj} \right] u_{r} \overline{u}_{s} \right\} - \sum_{r,s=1}^{m} (c_{sr} + \overline{c}_{sr}) u_{r} \overline{u}_{s} \\ &\geq -K \sum_{s=1}^{m} |u_{s}|^{2} = -Kp. \end{split}$$

Hence, from (2.6), we have

$$M(\alpha p) \ge \alpha p \left[\alpha^{-1} M \alpha - 2\alpha^{-2} \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} a_{ik} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_{k}} - K \right].$$
(2.7)

This inequality holds at any point in $\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$ where αp attains a maximum. Thus αp cannot achieve a positive maximum at any point in $\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$ where the quantity in brackets in (2.7) is positive. The theorem is established.

REMARK. If for all $(x, t) \in \Omega$,

$$|c_{sj}| \le K_0, |b_{sij}| \le K_1, 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j, s \le m, \text{ for some } K_0, K_1 \in \mathbb{R},$$
(2.8)

then for any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^m$,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r,s=1}^{m} \left[c_{sr} + \overline{c}_{rs} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} A_{ki} b_{sij} \overline{b}_{rkj} \right] \xi_{r} \overline{\xi} , \\ &\leq \sum_{r,s=1}^{m} |c_{sr}| \left(|\xi_{r}|^{2} + |\xi_{s}|^{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k,i=1}^{n} A_{ki} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{m} b_{sij} \overline{\xi} , s \right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^{m} \overline{b}_{rkj} \xi_{r} \right) \\ &\leq 2m K_{0} \sum_{s=1}^{m} |\xi_{s}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\sum_{s=1}^{m} b_{sij} \overline{\xi} |_{s}|^{2} \\ &\leq 2m K_{0} |\xi|^{2} + \frac{m}{2\delta} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{m} |b_{sij} \overline{\xi} |_{s}|^{2} \leq [2m K_{0} + (2\delta)^{-1} n m^{2} K_{1}^{2}] |\xi|^{2}, \end{split}$$

which is the condition (2.3) with $K: = 2mK_0 + (2\delta)^{-1}nm^2K_1^2$. Hence, the single bound (2.3) in Theorem 1 can be replaced by the separate bounds (2.8) with $K: = 2mK_0 + (2\delta)^{-1}nm^2K_1^2$.

Under the conditions (2.1) and (2.3) (or (2.1) and (2.8)), by choosing $\alpha(x,t) = e^{-(K+\epsilon)t}, \varepsilon > 0$, the condition (2.4) will be satisfied. Hence from Theorem 1, we get the following maximum principle:

COROLLARY 2 (Maximum Principle). For any solution u of the system (2.2), the function $|u(x,t)|^{2}exp[-(K+\varepsilon)t], \varepsilon > 0,$

does not attain a positive maximum in $\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$, and

$$\| u \|_{0,\Omega} \le \exp(KT/2) \| u \|_{0,\partial_{n}\Omega}.$$
(2.9)

Here $K = (2\delta)^{-1} nm^2 K_1^2 + 2mK_0$ and $||u||_{0,\Omega} := \sup_{(x,t) \in \Omega} |u(x,t)|.$

REMARK. Results similar to Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 for second-order elliptic systems were proven by Hile and Protter [2] (under a condition which is similar to (2.8)). But their maximum principle for elliptic systems only holds under the restriction that either the domain D is sufficiently small or the coefficients of the elliptic system are restricted sufficiently. Corollary 2 tells us that these restrictions can be lifted for parabolic systems.

COROLLARY 3 (Uniqueness). The system (2.2) with the initial-boundary condition

$$u \mid_{\partial_{-}\Omega} = \varphi(x,t)$$

has at most one solution $u \in C^{2,1}(\Omega \cup t\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$.

Theorem 1 can be used to obtain bounds on the gradient of the $C^{3,2}$ solution of the parabolic system (2.2), provided the coefficients are C^1 and

$$\|a_{ik}\|_{1,\Omega} \le L_2, \|b_{sij}\|_{1,\Omega} \le L_1, \|c_{sj}\|_{1,\Omega} \le L_0, \text{ for some } L_2, L_1, L_0 \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(2.10)

Here $||f||_{1,\Omega} := ||f||_{0,\Omega} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}||_{0,\Omega} + ||\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}||_{0,\Omega}$.

We differentiate (2.2) with respect to x_h and t, and get m(n+1) equations:

C. ZHOU

$$M\left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{h}}\right) + \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_{ik}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}}\right) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial b_{sij}}{\partial x_{h}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{h}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial c_{sj}}{\partial x_{h}} u_{j} = 0, \\ s = 1, 2, \cdots, m \text{ and } h = 1, 2, \cdots, n;$$

$$M\left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial a_{ik}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{sij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{h}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}\right)$$

$$(2.11)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t} + \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{k}} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{b_{sij}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{-j}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial b_{sij}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{sj} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial t} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial c_{sj}}{\partial t} u_{j} = 0,$$

$$h = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

$$(2.12)$$

By combining (2.2), (2.11) and (2.12) we get a system (of the form (2.2)) consisting of m(n+2) equations in the m(n+2) unknowns u_s , $\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial x_h}$, $\frac{\partial u_s}{\partial t}$, $s = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, $h = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

THEOREM 4. Let $K: = (2\delta)^{-1}n(n+2)^2m^2(Max\{L_1,L_2\})^2 + 2m(n+2)Max\{L_0,L_1\}$ and suppose that u is a $C^{3,2}(\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ solution of (2.2) and α is a positive $C^{2,1}(\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega)$ function. Then the product

$$\alpha(x,t)[|u(x,t)|^{2} + |\nabla u(x,t)|^{2}] = \alpha(x,t)\sum_{s=1}^{m} \left[|u_{s}|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left|\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x_{i}}\right|^{2} + \left|\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial t}\right|^{2}\right]$$

cannot attain a positive maximum at any point in $\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega$ where α satisfies (2.4).

COROLLARY 5. Let K be the same number of Theorem 4. Then, for any $C^{3,2}(\Omega \cup \partial_t \Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ solution u of the system (2.2), we have

 $\|\,u\,\|_{\,0,\,\Omega}^{\,2}\,+\,\|\,\,\nabla\,u\,\|_{\,0,\,\Omega}^{\,2}\,\leq exp(KT) \Big(\,\|\,u\,\|_{\,0,\,\partial_{p}\Omega}^{\,2}\,+\,\|\,\,\nabla\,u\,\|_{\,0,\,\partial_{p}\Omega}^{\,2}\,\Big)$

or equivalently,

$$\| u \|_{1,\Omega} \leq \exp(KT/2) \cdot \| u \|_{1,\partial_n\Omega}$$

REMARK. Under the condition that either $(c_{sj})_{m \times m}$ is a constant matrix or $(c_{sj})_{m \times m}$ is invertible for all $(x,t) \in \Omega$, the unknowns $u_{s}, s = 1, \dots, m$, can be eliminated from the system (2.2), (2.11), (2.12), and then a system of m(n+1) equations in the gradient of u yields a maximum principle for $\alpha | \nabla u |^2$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author thanks Professor G.N. Hile and the anonymous referee for some helpful suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- 1. DOW, M.A., Strong maximum principles for weakly coupled systems of quasilinear parabolic inequalities, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 19 (1975), 103-120.
- HILE, G.N. and PROTTER, M.H., Maximum principles for a class of first-order elliptical systems, J. Differential Equations 24 (1977), 136-151.
- 3. PROTTER, M.H. and WEINBERGER, H.F., Maximum Principles in Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- 4. STYS, T., On the unique solvability of the first Fourier problem for a parabolic system of linear differential equations of second order, *Prace Mat.* 9 (1965), 283-289.
- WEINBERGER, H.F., Invariant sets for weakly coupled parabolic and elliptic systems, Rend. di Mat. 8 (1975), 295-310.
- ZHOU, C., Maximum principles for weakly coupled parabolic systems, Appl. Analysis 47 (1992), 57-69.