## **ON CERTAIN SEQUENCE SPACES II**

HÜSNÜ KIZMAZ Karadeniz Technical University Department of Mathematics 61080 Trabzon, Turkey

(Received February 2, 1994 and in revised form July 1, 1994)

ABSTRACT In this paper we define the space  $c_0(\Lambda) = \{x = (x_k)/x_k - k_{k-1} \rightarrow 0 \ (k \rightarrow \infty), x_0 = 0, x_k \in C\}$  and compute its duals (Continuous dual, β-dual and N-dual) The aim of this paper is to give same results about matrix mapping of  $c_{o}(\Delta)$  into other sequence spaces including the convergent sequences, null sequences and bounded sequences

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Sequence spaces, matrix maps, Δ-norm, β-dual, Null-dual

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 40C05

## 1. Introduction

Let  $I_{\infty}$ , c and c<sub>0</sub> be the linear spaces of complex bounded, convergent and null sequences x=(x<sub>k</sub>) respectively, normed by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{m} = \sup_{\mathbf{k}} |\mathbf{x}|_{k}$$

where  $k \in IN = \{1,2,...\}$  the positive integers. On the other hand we defined  $I_{\infty}(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k)/\Delta x \in I_{\infty}\}, \ c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k)/\Delta x \in c\} \text{ and } c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k)/\Delta x \in c_0\} \text{ where } \Delta x = (x_k - x_{k-1}), \ x_0 = 0 \ [2].$ (Throughout this paper it is assumed that x<sub>0</sub>=0)

 $c_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ ,  $c(\Delta)$  and  $I_{\infty}(\Delta)$  are Banach Spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta} = \sup_{k} |x_{k} - x_{k-1}|$$
 [2]

 $c_0, c, l_{\infty}$  and  $M_0 = l_{\infty} \cap c_0(\Delta)$  are Banach with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  but they aren't Banach with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda}$ . If we say sx=( $\sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k$ ) then we have  $m_s = \{x=(x_k)/sx \in I_{\infty}\}, c_s=\{x=(x_k)/sx \in c_0\}$  and  $(c_0)_s=\{x=(x_k)/sx \in c_0\}$ [4].  $I_{\infty}$ , c and c<sub>0</sub> are isometrically isomorphic to m<sub>s</sub>, c<sub>s</sub> and (c<sub>0</sub>)<sub>s</sub>, respectively with their natural norms. For instance  $f: I_{\infty} \to m_s$ ,  $f(x) = \Delta x$  and  $f^{-1}: m_s \to I_{\infty}$ 

f<sup>-1</sup>(x)=sx are isometric isomorphisms. Similary  $I_{\alpha}(\Delta)$ , c( $\Delta$ ) and c<sub>0</sub>( $\Delta$ ) are isometrically isomorphic to  $I_{\alpha}$ , c and co respectively. Obviously

$$f: (c_{O}(\Delta), \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\Delta}) \rightarrow (c_{O}, \left\|\cdot\right\|_{\infty}), f(x) = \Delta x$$

and

 $f^{-1}: (c_{\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \rightarrow (c_{\alpha}(\Delta), \|\cdot\|_{\Lambda}), \quad f(x)=sx$ 

(1.1)

are isometric isomorphisms.

We have investigated matrix maps and related questions connected with  $I_{m}(\Delta)$  and  $c(\Delta)$  in [2]. We know that  $c_0$  and c have Schauder basis but  $I_{\infty}$  has no basis with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . Write  $e_k$ =(0,0,...,0, $\vec{1},0,...$ ). Then (e<sub>k</sub>) is a basis for c<sub>0</sub> and (e<sub>k-1</sub>) (e<sub>0</sub>=(1,1,1,...)) is a basis for c, with  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Delta}$ . On the other hand  $(E_k) = (0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 1, 1, ...)$  is a basis for  $M_0$  and  $c_0(\Delta)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Delta}$ . So  $c_{o}(\Delta)$  is a separable Banach Space.

We know that the continuous dual of  $c_0$  and c is  $I_1 = \{x = (x_k), \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| < \infty, x_k \in \mathbb{C}\}$  [3] (Page 110) (C the set of complex numbers) Thus I<sub>1</sub>, is continuous dual of  $c_0(\Delta)$  by (1.1) Moreover, we can prove that

with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{\Omega}}$ , where the bar denotes closure. For this, let  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any number. Then there exists one and only one  $y=(y_k) \in c_0$  such that  $x_k = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$  (1.1) and a corresponding index  $M=M(\varepsilon) \in |N|$  such that  $|y_k| < 2/2$  for all  $k \ge M$ . Now we take

thus  $z=(z_k) \in c \subset c_0(\Delta)$  belongs to the open ball  $B(x, \epsilon)$  which is in  $(c_0(\Delta), \|\cdot\|_{\Delta})$ 

## 2. B-dual, N-dual and Matrix Maps

If X is a sequence space, we define  $X^{\beta}=\{a=(a_{k})/\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}a_{k}x_{k} \text{ is Convergent for each } x\in X\}$ 

 $X^{N}=(a=(a_{k})/\lim_{k}a_{k}x_{k}=0$ , for each  $x\in X$ ).  $X^{\beta}$  is called the  $\beta$ -(or generalized Köthe-Toeplitz) dual [1] and we will say that  $X^{N}$  is N-(or null) dual space of X. We have that if  $X\subset Y$ . then  $Y^{\beta}\subset X^{\beta}$ . The N-dual has similar properties with the  $\beta$ -dual. For instance if  $X\subset Y$  than  $Y^{N}\subset X^{N}$  and  $X^{\beta}\subset X^{N}$ .

Obviously 
$$c_0^N = I_\infty$$
,  $I_\infty^N = M_0^N = c^N = c_0$ ,

 $c^{N}(\Delta) = I_{\infty}^{N}(\Delta) = \{a = (a_{k})/(ka_{k}) \in c_{0}\}$ . Let (X,Y) denote the set of all infinite matrices A= $(a_{nk})$  which map X into Y.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $(a_k) \in I_1$  and if  $\lim_k |a_k x_k| = L$  exists for an  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$ , than L=0.

**Proof.** It is trivial if  $x=(x_k)$  is bounded. Suppose that  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$  is unbounded and  $\lim_k |a_k x_k| = L > 0$ . Then x can't have a bounded subbequence. If  $(x_{k_n})$  is bounded then  $\lim_n |a_{k_n} x_{k_n}| = 0$  implies L=0. So we can take  $x_k = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now let  $\varepsilon = \frac{L}{2} > 0$ , than there exists an  $M_1 = M_1(\varepsilon) \in IN$  such that  $\frac{L}{2} < Ia_k x_k | < \frac{3L}{2}$  for all  $k \ge M_1$ . Thus we get  $Ia_k I > \frac{L}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{|x_k|}$  for all  $k \ge M_1$  and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x_k|} < \infty$$
(2.1)

We have that  $\frac{x_k}{k} \to 0$  ( $k \to \infty$ ) [2]. Let c=1, then we have  $\frac{|x_k|}{k} < 1$  and  $\frac{1}{|x_k|} > \frac{1}{k}$  for all  $k \ge M_2(1) \in |N|$ . If we take max ( $M_1, M_2$ )=M then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x_k|} \ge \sum_{k=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|x_k|} = \infty$ . This contradicts with (2.1). So L must be zero.

**LEMMA 2.**  $c_0^N(\Delta) = \{a = (a_k)/(ka_k) \in I_{\infty}\} = E.$  **Proof.** Suppose that  $a = (a_k) \in E.$  Since  $\lim_k \frac{x_k}{k} = 0$  for all  $x = (x_k) \in c_0(\Delta)$  [2], then we get  $\lim_k a_k x_k = \lim_k ka_k \frac{x_k}{k} = 0.$  This implies that  $a \in c_0^N(\Delta)$ . Now let  $a \in c_0^N(\Delta)$ . Then  $\lim_k a_k x_k = 0$ , for all  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$ , then there exists one and only one  $y = (y_k) \in c_0$ . such that  $x_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k (1 \ 1)$  $\lim_n a_n x_n = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_n y_k = 0$  for all  $y = (y_k) \in \mathbb{C}_0$  if we take

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} a_{n}, & 1 \le k \le n \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$
we get lim<sub>n</sub>  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} y_{k} = 0$ , for all  $x \in c_{0}$ . Then  $A = (a_{nk}) \in (c_{0}, c_{0})$  and we have  
 $Sup_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = sup_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{n}| = Sup_{n} n|a_{n}| < \infty$  [4] This completes the proof

For the next results we introduce the sequence (R<sub>k</sub>) [resp. matrix R] given by  $R_k = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i$  [resp. matrix R=(R<sub>nk</sub>)= ( $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{ni}$ )].

 $\textbf{LEMMA 3.} \quad c^{\beta}_{o}(\Delta) {=} \{ a {=} (a_k) {\in} I_1 / (R_k) {\in} I_1 \cap c^N_{o}(\Delta) \} {=} \mathsf{D}$ 

**Proof.** Suppose that  $a\in D$  If  $x\in c_0(\Delta)$  then we use Abel's summation formula to get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} x_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}) (x_{k} \cdot x_{k+1}) + (\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}) x_{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (R_{1} \cdot R_{k+1}) (x_{k} \cdot x_{k+1}) + (R_{1} \cdot R_{n+1}) x_{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} R_{k} (x_{k} \cdot x_{k-1}) \cdot R_{n+1} x_{n+1} \qquad (2.2)$$

This implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$  is convergent, then  $a \in c_0^{\beta}(\Delta)$ .

If  $a \in c_0^{\beta}(\Delta)$  then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$  is convergent for all  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$  Obviously  $a \in I_1$ . If  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$ , then there exists  $y = (y_k) \in c_0$  such that  $x_k = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$  (1.1).

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{k}y_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}) a_{k} + R_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}$$
 with Abel summation formula Thus we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} x_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (R_{k} - R_{n+1}) y_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\sum_{i=k}^{n} a_{i}) y_{k}$$
(2.3)

If we take

$$\mathbf{a_{nk}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=k}^{n} \mathbf{a_i}, & 1 \le k \le n \\ \\ 0, & k > n \end{cases}$$

then A= $(a_{nk}) \in (c_0,c)$  since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} y_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{nk} y_k$  exists for all  $y \in c_0$  (2.3). This implies that

$$\begin{split} & \text{Sup}_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{Ia}_{nk} \text{I} = \text{Sup}_n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=k}^n a_i^{-1} < \infty \text{ [4]. Thus we get } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{IR}_k \text{I} < \infty. \text{ Furthermore (2.2) implies that } \lim_n \text{R}_{n+1} \text{ } x_{n+1} \text{ exists for each } x \in c_0(\Delta) \text{ then we get } (\text{R}_n) \in c_0^N(\Delta) \text{ by lemma 1. This completes the proof.} \end{split}$$

**THEOREM 1.** A= $(a_{nk}) \in (c_0(\Delta), c)$  iff

- $T_1 \cdot (R_{nk}) \in c_0^N(\Delta)$  , for each  $n \in N$
- $T_2$ . R= (R<sub>nk</sub>)  $\in$  (c<sub>o</sub>,c)

**Proof.** If  $a \in (c_0(\Delta), c)$  then the series  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$  are convergent for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in c_0(\Delta)$ , this implies that  $\sup_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{k=p}^{\infty} a_{nk} = a_p$  exists for each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  [3] (page 166). From lemma 3 we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_{nk}| < \infty$ ,  $\lim_{k \to \infty} R_{nk} x_k = 0$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in (c_0(\Delta))$ . This proves  $T_1$ . If we write again (2.2) we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{nk} x_{k}^{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} R_{nk} (x_{k} x_{k-1}) R_{n m+1} x_{m+1}$$
(2.4)

and

$$A_{n}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_{nk} (x_{k} - x_{k-1})$$
(2.5)

This shows that  $R \in (c_0, c)$ . If we use again lemma 3 and (2.5) we get the sufficiency of T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>. Similarly we can prove that

- i)  $A \in (c_0(\Delta), c_0)$  iff  $T_1$  and  $R \in (c_0, c_0)$
- ii)  $A \in (c_0(\Delta), I_{\infty})$  iff  $T_1$  and  $R \in (I_{\infty}, I_{\infty})$
- iii)  $A \in (c_{\alpha}(\Delta), M_{\alpha})$  iff  $T_1$ ,  $R \in (I_{\omega}, I_{\omega})$  and

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{B}{=}(\mathsf{b}_{\mathsf{nk}}){=}(\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{nk}} \cdot \mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{n} \ \mathsf{k}+1}) \in (\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}(\Delta), \mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}})\\ & \mathsf{iv}) \ \mathsf{A}{\in}(\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}(\Delta), \ \mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}(\Delta)) \ \mathsf{iff} \ (\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{nk}}) \in \mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}^{\beta}(\Delta), \ \mathsf{for \ each \ n}{\in} \mathsf{IN} \ \mathsf{and} \ \mathsf{C}{=}(\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{nk}}){=}(\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{nk}} \cdot \mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{n}-1,\mathsf{k}}){\in}(\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}(\Delta), \mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{o}}) \ (\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{o}\mathsf{k}}{=}\mathsf{o}) \end{split}$$

Open questions

1) Matrix maps for Mo.

2)  $M_0$  has a Schauder basis with  $\|\cdot\|_{\Delta}$ . It is  $(E_k)$ . (we can write  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - x_{k-1}) E_k$ , each  $x \in M_0$ )

Then  $(M_0, \|\cdot\|_{\Delta})$  is separable.

Is M<sub>o</sub> separable or have a Schauder basis with  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ ?

3) It is obvious that  $c_0 \subset c \subset M_0 \subset I_{\infty}$  and inclusions are strict. In this order, is there a separable space E which is  $c \subset E \subset I_{\infty}$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ ? If not, is c an upper bound according to separability?

## REFERENCES

- Garling D.J.H., The α-and β-duality of sequence spaces, Proc. Comb. Phil soc. 1967 63(963-981)
- [2] Kızmaz H., On Certain Sequence Spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 25(2) 1981 (169-176)
- [3] Maddox I.J., Elements of Functional Analysis Cambridge 1970
- [4] Stieglitz M. Tietz H., Matrixtransformationen Von Fongenraumen Eine Ergebnisübersicht <u>Math. Z. 154</u> (1977) (1-16)