

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A NONLINEAR TYPE CONTRACTION

S. A. HUSAIN and V. M. SEHGAL

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wyoming  
Laramie, Wyoming 82071

(Received January 31, 1978)

ABSTRACT. A well-known result of Boyd and Wong [1] on nonlinear contractions is extended. Several other known results are obtained as special cases.

### INTRODUCTION.

In this paper, we extend a well-known result of Boyd and Wong [1] and obtain as consequences several other known results (see [2], [3], [4], [5]).

Throughout this paper, let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $\mathbb{R}^+$  the nonnegative reals and  $\phi = \phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5): (\mathbb{R}^+)^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  a function which is (a) continuous from right in each coordinate variable (b) nondecreasing in  $t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5$ , and satisfies the inequality (c)  $\phi(t, s, s, as, bs) < \text{Max}\{t, s\}$  if  $\text{Max}\{t, s\} \neq 0$  where  $\{a, b\} \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}$  with  $a + b = 2$ . Note that (c) implies that  $\phi(t, t, t, t, t) < t$  for any  $t > 0$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS.

The following is the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  be two commutative mappings such that

(i)  $fx \subseteq gx$ ,

(ii)  $g$  is continuous,

(iii)  $d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(gx, gy), d(fx, gx), d(fy, gy), d(fx, gy), d(fy, gx))$ ,

for each  $x, y \in X$ . Then, there exists a unique  $u \in X$  with  $fu = gu = u$ .

We first prove the following lemma which simplifies the proof of the above theorem.

LEMMA. Under the conditions of Theorem 1, if there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $fv = gv$ , then there exists a unique  $u \in X$  with  $fu = gu = u$ .

PROOF. We show that for any  $w \in X$

$$f(w) = g(w) \text{ implies } f(v) = f(w) \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose  $t = d(fv, fw) > 0$ . Then it follows by (iii) that

$$t \leq \phi(t, 0, 0, t, t) \leq \phi(t, t, t, t, t) < t,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $fv = fw$ . Now, since  $fw = gw$ , therefore,  $f(fw) = g(fw)$  and consequently by (2.1)

$$f(w) = f(fw) = g(fw).$$

Thus, if we set  $u = f(w)$ , then  $fu = gu = u$ . The uniqueness of  $u$  now follows from (2.1).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Construct a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  as follows. Let  $y_0 = fx_0$ . By (i) there exists a  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $y_0 = gx_1$ . Set  $y_1 = fx_1$ . Thus, if  $y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n$  are obtained with  $y_n = fx_n$ , there exists by (i) a  $x_{n+1} \in X$  such that  $y_n = gx_{n+1}$ . Let  $y_{n+1} = fx_{n+1}$ . Thus, for each  $n \in I$  (nonnegative Integers),

$$y_n = fx_n = gx_{n+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

We shall show that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . For this, let for each  $n \in I$ ,  $d_n = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$ . Then by (i) and (b),

$$d_{n+1} = d(fx_{n+1}, fx_{n+2}) \leq \phi(d_n, d_n, d_{n+1}, 0, d_n + d_{n+1}). \quad (2.3)$$

Now, if for some  $n \in I$ ,  $d_{n+1} > d_n$ , then by (b) and (c)

$$d_{n+1} \leq \phi(d_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+1}, 0, 2d_{n+1}) < d_{n+1},$$

a contradiction. Thus for each  $n \in I$ ,  $d_{n+1} \leq d_n$ , that is  $\{d_n\}$  is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative reals and consequently there exists a  $d \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\{d_n\} \rightarrow d$ . Clearly  $d = 0$ , for otherwise by (2.3) and (c),

$$d \leq \phi(d, d, d, 0, 2d) < d,$$

a contradiction. Thus,

$$d_n \rightarrow 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Suppose, now that  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists a  $E > 0$  such that for each  $k \in I$ , there exist integers  $n(k), m(k)$  with  $k \leq n(k) < m(k)$  satisfying

$$E_k = d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)}) > E.$$

Let  $m(k)$  be the least integer greater than  $n(k)$  such (2.4) holds. This implies that for each  $k \in I$ ,  $d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) \leq E$ . Consequently, for each  $k \in I$ ,

$$E < E_k \leq d(y_{n(k)}, y_{m(k)-1}) + d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{m(k)}) \leq E + d_k. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence, it follows by (2.4) that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $E_k \rightarrow E$ .

However, for each  $k \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_k &\leq d_{n(k)} + d(fx_{n(k)+1}, fx_{m(k)+1}) + d_{m(k)}, \\ &\leq 2d_k + \phi(E_k, d_k, d_k, E_k + d_k, E_k + d_k), \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E \leq \phi(E, 0, 0, E, E) < E,$$

contradicting the existence of  $E > 0$ . Thus,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Consequently, there is a  $v \in X$  such that  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow v$ , that is

$$fx_n = gx_{n+1} \rightarrow v. \tag{2.6}$$

We show that for this  $v$ ,

$$\alpha = d(fv, gv) = 0.$$

Suppose  $\alpha > 0$ . Now by (ii) and (2.6) we have,

$$fgx_n = gfx_n \rightarrow gv \text{ and } g^2x_n \rightarrow gv.$$

Also, it follows by (b) and (iii) that,

$$d(fgx_n, fv) \leq \phi(d(g^2x_n, gv), d(fgx_n, g^2x_n), \alpha, d(fgx_n, gv), \alpha + d(gv, g^2x_n)).$$

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality yields that

$$\alpha = d(gv, fv) \leq \phi(0, 0, \alpha, 0, \alpha) < \alpha,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $fv = gv$  and hence by the above lemma, there is a unique  $u \in X$  satisfying  $fu = gu = u$ .

In the special case when  $g$  is taken to be the identity map of  $x$  in Theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 1. Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  satisfy either of the following conditions: for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(A). \quad d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)).$$

$$(B). \quad d(fx, fy) \leq \alpha(d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)) + \beta(d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)) + \Psi(d(x, y))$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\Psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a right continuous function satisfying  $\Psi(t) < (1-2\alpha-2\beta)t$  if  $t > 0$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. The conclusion is an obvious consequence of Theorem 1 if (A) holds.

In case of condition (B), let  $\phi: (\mathbb{R}^+)^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be defined by

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = \Psi(t_1) + \alpha(t_2 + t_3) + \beta(t_4 + t_5).$$

then  $\phi$  satisfies conditions (a), (b) and (c). Thus the conclusion again follows by Theorem 1.

It may be remarked that if  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  in (B) then Corollary 1 yields a well-known result of Boyd and Wong [1]. If  $\Psi(t) = \alpha t$ , then Corollary 1 yields certain results of Hardy and Rogers [2], Kannan [3], Reich [4], Sehgal [5]. All these results are special cases of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. Boyd, D. W. and S. W. Wong. On Nonlinear Contractions, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. 20 (1969) 458-464.
2. Hardy, G. E. and T. D. Rogers. A Generalization of a Fixed Point Theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (2) (1973) 201-206.
3. Kannan, R. Some Remarks on Fixed Points, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 60 (1968) 71-76.
4. Reich, S. Kannan's Fixed Point Theorems, Bull. U.M.I. 4 (1971) 1-11.
5. Sehgal, V. M. Some Fixed and Common Fixed Point Theorems in Metric Spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (1974) 257-259.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Nonlinear type contraction, Fixed point theorems.*

AMS(MOS) SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS (1970). 47H10.