

## Research Article

# On the Existence of Positive Solutions of a Nonlinear Differential Equation

Sonia Ben Othman, Habib Mâagli, and Noureddine Zeddini

Received 7 October 2006; Revised 20 December 2006; Accepted 5 January 2007

Recommended by Thomas P. Witelski

We study some existence results for the nonlinear equation  $(1/A)(Au')' = u\psi(x, u)$  for  $x \in (0, \omega)$  with different boundary conditions, where  $\omega \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $A$  is a continuous function on  $[0, \omega)$ , positive and differentiable on  $(0, \omega)$ , and  $\psi$  is a nonnegative function on  $(0, \omega) \times [0, \infty)$  such that  $t \mapsto t\psi(x, t)$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ . We give asymptotic behavior for positive solutions using a potential theory approach.

Copyright © 2007 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the following nonlinear equation:

$$\frac{1}{A}(Au')' = f(x, u), \quad \text{in } (0, \omega), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\omega \in (0, \infty]$  and  $A$  is a continuous function on  $[0, \omega)$ , which is positive and differentiable on  $(0, \omega)$ .

Several results have been obtained for (1.1) with different boundary conditions (see [1–7] and references therein).

In [5], Mâagli and Zeddini generalize the result of Taliaferro [7] who took  $A(t) = 1$ . Indeed, they studied (1.1) with the following boundary conditions  $u(0) = u(1) = 0$  and a nonlinear term  $f(x, u) = -\varphi(x, u)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $(0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$ , nonincreasing with respect to the second variable and the function  $A$  satisfies  $\int_0^1 (1/A(t))dt < \infty$ .

Usually  $A(t) = t^{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , so the integral  $\int_0^1 (1/A(t))dt$  diverges. The condition  $\int_0^1 (1/A(t))dt < \infty$  seems to be too restrictive from an application view point.

Our aim in this paper is to study (1.1) with a nonlinear term  $f(x, u) = u\psi(x, u)$  and two boundary conditions. More precisely, we assume that  $x \mapsto 1/A(x)$  is integrable in the

neighborhood of  $\omega$  and the integral  $\int_0^\omega (1/A(t))dt$  may diverges and we search a positive continuous solution  $u$  of (1.1).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some properties of the Green's function  $G(x, y)$  of the operator  $u \mapsto -(1/A)(Au')'$  with  $Au'(0) = 0$  and  $u(\omega) = 0$ , which will be used later. We recall (see [4]) that for  $x, y$  in  $[0, \omega)$ , we have

$$G(x, y) = A(y) \int_{x \vee y}^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)} dt. \tag{1.2}$$

We refer in this paper to  $Vf$ , the potential of a measurable nonnegative function  $f$  defined on  $(0, \omega)$  by

$$Vf(x) = \int_0^\omega G(x, y)f(y)dy. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that  $Vf$  is a lower semicontinuous function on  $(0, \omega)$ . Moreover, for two nonnegative measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  with  $f \leq g$  and  $Vg$  is continuous, we have  $Vf$  is continuous.

In Section 3, we are interested to the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}(Au')' &= u\psi(x, u), \quad \text{a.e in } (0, \omega), \\ u &> 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\rho(x)} &= c > 0, \\ u(\omega) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \omega} u(x) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{P_1}$$

where  $\rho(x) = \int_x^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ .

We assume that  $\rho$  and  $\psi$  satisfy the following conditions.

(H<sub>0</sub>) The function  $t \mapsto t\psi(x, t)$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  for each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ .

(H<sub>1</sub>) The integral  $\int_0^\omega (1/A(t))dt$  diverges.

(H<sub>2</sub>) For each  $a > 0$ , there exists  $q_a = q \in K$  such that for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq a$  and  $x \in (0, \omega)$ , we have

$$t\psi(x, t\rho(x)) - s\psi(x, s\rho(x)) \leq q(x)(t - s), \tag{1.4}$$

where  $K$  is the set of nonnegative Borel measurable functions  $q$  on  $(0, \omega)$  satisfying  $\int_0^\omega G(0, y)q(y)dy < \infty$ .

Under these hypotheses, we prove the following result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Assume (H<sub>0</sub>)–(H<sub>2</sub>), then the problem (P<sub>1</sub>) has a positive solution  $u \in C^1((0, \omega))$  satisfying*

$$c_1\rho(x) \leq u(x) \leq c\rho(x), \tag{1.5}$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant.

If we replace hypothesis  $(H_2)$  by the following condition:

$(H_3)$  for each  $a > 0$ , there exists  $q_a = q \in K$  such that for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq a$  and  $x \in (0, \omega)$ , we have

$$t\psi(x, t) - s\psi(x, s) \leq q(x)(t - s), \tag{1.6}$$

we obtain the following result.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Under hypotheses  $(H_0)$  and  $(H_3)$ , the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}(Au')' &= u\psi(x, u), \quad a.e \text{ in } (0, \omega), \\ u &> 0, \\ Au'(0) &:= \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Au'(x) = 0, \\ u(\omega) &:= \lim_{x \rightarrow \omega} u(x) = c > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{P_2}$$

has a positive bounded solution  $u \in C([0, \omega]) \cap C^1((0, \omega))$  satisfying

$$c_1 \leq u(x) \leq c, \quad \forall x \in (0, \omega), \tag{1.7}$$

where  $c_1$  is a positive constant.

In order to simplify our statements, we adopt the following notation.

*Notation.*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}((0, \omega))$  denotes the set of Borel measurable functions on  $(0, \omega)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$  is the set of nonnegative Borel measurable functions on  $(0, \omega)$ .
- (iii) We denote by  $C([0, \omega]) := \{u \in C((0, \omega)), \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x), \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow \omega} u(x) \text{ exist}\}$ , and by  $C^+([0, \omega])$  the set of nonnegative ones.
- (iv) Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two positive functions defined on a set  $S$ .
  - (a) We call  $f \leq g$ , if there exists a constant  $c > 0$ , such that

$$f(x) \leq cg(x), \quad \forall x \in S. \tag{1.8}$$

- (b) We call  $f \sim g$ , if there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{c}g(x) \leq f(x) \leq cg(x), \quad \forall x \in S. \tag{1.9}$$

- (v) For  $s, t \in [0, \omega)$ , we denote  $s \vee t = \max(s, t)$ .
- (vi) We denote

$$K = \left\{ q \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega)), \int_0^\omega G(0, y)q(y)dy < \infty \right\}. \tag{1.10}$$

**2. Properties of Green’s function**

In the sequel, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x, y) &= \int_{x \vee y}^{\omega} \frac{1}{A(t)} dt, \quad \text{for } x, y \in [0, \omega), \\ \delta(x) &= \min\left(1, \int_x^{\omega} \frac{1}{A(t)} dt\right), \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Let  $a \in (0, \omega)$ , then for each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ , we have

$$\Gamma(x, a) \sim \delta(x). \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, the result follows from the following inequalities:

$$\min(\alpha, 1) \min(1, \beta) \leq \min(\alpha, \beta) \leq \max(\alpha, 1) \min(1, \beta), \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta > 0. \tag{2.3}$$

First, we give the following version of a comparison principle.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The following properties hold.*

(1) *Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$ , then for a fixed  $a \in (0, \omega)$ ,*

$$Vf(x) \geq Vf(a) \frac{\Gamma(x, a)}{\Gamma(a, a)}, \quad \forall x \in [0, \omega). \tag{2.4}$$

(2) *The function  $x \mapsto \Gamma(x, 0)/\delta(x)$ , is nonincreasing on  $(0, \omega)$ .*

(3) *For each  $x, y \in (0, \omega)$ ,*

$$\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G(x, y) \leq G(0, y). \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x, y \in [0, \omega)$  and  $a \in (0, \omega)$ , then we have

$$\Gamma(x, y)\Gamma(a, a) \geq \Gamma(x, a)\Gamma(a, y), \tag{2.6}$$

which implies the result.

(2) It follows from the fact that  $x \mapsto \Gamma(x, 0)$  is nonincreasing and

$$\frac{\Gamma(x, 0)}{\delta(x)} = \max(1, \Gamma(x, 0)). \tag{2.7}$$

(3) For  $x, y \in (0, \omega)$ , we distinguish the following cases:

(i) if  $y \leq x$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} G(x, y) &= \delta(y)A(y) \frac{\Gamma(x, y)}{\delta(x)} \\ &\leq \delta(y)A(y) \frac{\Gamma(x, 0)}{\delta(x)} \leq A(y)\Gamma(y, 0) = G(0, y); \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

(ii) if  $y \geq x$ , then  $\delta(y)/\delta(x) \leq 1$ , which implies that

$$\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}G(x, y) \leq G(x, y) \leq G(0, y), \tag{2.9}$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we will give some inequalities satisfied by Green’s function.

**THEOREM 2.2 (3G-theorem).** *For each  $x, y, z \in [0, \omega)$ ,*

$$\frac{G(x, z)G(z, y)}{G(x, y)} \leq \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)}G(x, z) + \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(y)}G(y, z). \tag{2.10}$$

*Proof.* We remark that assertion (2.10) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\Gamma(x, z)\Gamma(z, y)}{\Gamma(x, y)} \leq \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)}\Gamma(x, z) + \frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(y)}\Gamma(z, y). \tag{2.11}$$

Since  $\Gamma(x, y)$  is symmetric in  $x, y$ , we will discuss three cases.

- (i) If  $z \leq x \leq y$ , then  $\Gamma(x, z) = \int_x^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ ,  $\Gamma(z, y) = \int_y^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ , and  $\Gamma(x, y) = \int_y^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ . Since  $\delta(z)/\delta(x) \geq 1$ , then we have the result.
- (ii) If  $x \leq y \leq z$ , then we obtain  $\Gamma(x, z) = \Gamma(z, y) = \Gamma(z, 0)$  and  $\Gamma(x, y) = \Gamma(y, 0)$ . Hence, we have

$$(2.11) \iff \frac{\Gamma(z, 0)}{\delta(z)} \leq \frac{\Gamma(y, 0)}{\delta(x)} + \frac{\Gamma(y, 0)}{\delta(y)}. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, using the second assertion of Proposition 2.1, we obtain the result.

- (iii) If  $x \leq z \leq y$ , then we obtain  $\Gamma(x, z) = \int_z^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ ,  $\Gamma(z, y) = \int_y^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ , and  $\Gamma(x, y) = \int_y^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ . So if  $\delta(z) = 1$ , then  $\delta(x) = 1$ , and if  $\delta(z) = \int_z^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ , then  $\delta(y) = \int_y^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ .

This proves (2.11).  $\square$

In the sequel, for a fixed  $q \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} \|q\| &= \sup_{x \in (0, \omega)} \int_0^\omega \frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}G(x, y)q(y)dy, \\ \alpha_q &= \sup_{x, y \in (0, \omega)} \int_0^\omega \frac{G(x, z)G(z, y)}{G(x, y)}q(z)dz. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Then, we have the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $q \in K$ , then*

$$\|q\| \leq Vq(0) \leq \alpha_q \leq 2\|q\|. \tag{2.14}$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1, we have  $(\delta(y)/\delta(x))G(x, y) \leq G(0, y)$ , which implies that  $\|q\| \leq Vq(0)$ .

On the other hand, using Lebesgue’s theorem and Fatou’s lemma, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Vq(0) &= \int_0^\omega G(0,z)q(z)dz = \sup_{x \in (0,\omega)} \int_0^\omega G(x,z)q(z)dz \\
 &= \sup_{x \in (0,\omega)} \int_0^\omega \lim_{y \rightarrow \omega} \frac{G(x,z)G(z,y)}{G(x,y)} q(z)dz \leq \sup_{x \in (0,\omega)} \liminf_{y \rightarrow \omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{G(x,z)G(z,y)}{G(x,y)} q(z)dz \\
 &\leq \sup_{x,y \in (0,\omega)} \int_0^\omega \frac{G(x,z)G(z,y)}{G(x,y)} q(z)dz = \alpha_q.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

Now, by (2.10) we deduce that

$$\alpha_q \leq 2\|q\|.
 \tag{2.16}$$

This completes the proof. □

*Remark 2.4.* It is clear that if  $q \in K$ , then the function

$$x \mapsto Vq(x) = \int_x^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)} \left( \int_0^t A(s)q(s)ds \right) dt
 \tag{2.17}$$

is continuous on  $[0, \omega)$

In the next two propositions, we will give some estimates on the potential  $Vq$ , for a convenient function  $q$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha < \min(\lambda + 1, 2)$ , and  $\beta < 2$ . Put  $A(x) = x^\lambda$  and  $q(x) = 1/x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta$ , for  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$Vq(x) \sim \begin{cases} (1-x)^{2-\beta} & \text{if } 1 < \beta < 2, \\ (1-x) \log\left(\frac{2}{1-x}\right) & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ (1-x) & \text{if } \beta < 1. \end{cases}
 \tag{2.18}$$

*Proof.* Since the function  $x \mapsto Vq(x)$  is continuous and positive on  $[0, 1/2]$ , then we deduce that  $Vq(x) \sim 1$ , for  $x \in [0, 1/2]$ .

Now, assume that  $x \in [1/2, 1)$ . Using the fact that for  $t \in [x, 1)$ , we have  $1/2 \leq t \leq 1$ , then we obtain

$$Vq(x) \sim \int_x^1 \left( \int_0^t \frac{s^{\lambda-\alpha}}{(1-s)^\beta} ds \right) dt.
 \tag{2.19}$$

Since  $\alpha < \min(\lambda + 1, 2)$  and  $\beta < 2$ , then for each  $t \in [x, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^t \frac{s^{\lambda-\alpha}}{(1-s)^\beta} ds &\sim \left( \int_0^{1/2} s^{\lambda-\alpha} ds + \int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds \right) \\
 &\sim \left( 1 + \int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.20}$$

- (i) If  $\beta < 1$ , then since  $\int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds = (1/(1-\beta))((1/2)^{1-\beta} - (1-t)^{1-\beta})$ , we deduce that  $1 + \int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds \sim 1$ . So  $Vq(x) \sim 1 - x$ .
- (ii) If  $\beta > 1$ , then since  $\int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds \sim (1-t)^{1-\beta} - 2^{\beta-1}$ , we deduce that  $1 + \int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-\beta} ds \sim (1-t)^{1-\beta}$ . So  $Vq(x) \sim \int_x^1 (1-t)^{1-\beta} dt \sim (1-x)^{2-\beta}$ .
- (iii) If  $\beta = 1$ , then since  $\int_{1/2}^t (1-s)^{-1} ds = \log(1/2(1-t))$ , we deduce that  $\int_0^t (s^{\lambda-\alpha}/(1-s)^\beta) ds \sim \log(e/2(1-t))$ .

Now using the fact that for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 1$ ,

$$\int_x^{+\infty} \frac{(\log(t))^\mu}{t^\sigma} dt \sim \frac{(\log(x))^\mu}{(\sigma-1)x^{\sigma-1}}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.21}$$

we deduce that  $\int_x^1 \log(e/2(1-t)) dt \sim (1-x) \log(e/2(1-x))$ , as  $x \rightarrow 1$ .

So  $Vq(x) \sim (1-x) \log(e/2(1-x))$ .

Thus, by combination of the two cases we obtain the result. □

The following results will be used later.

Let  $q \in K$  and  $\varphi \in C^+([0, \omega]) \cap C^1((0, \omega))$  be the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}(Au')' - qu &= 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \omega), \\ Au'(0) &= 0, \quad u(0) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{Q}$$

Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.6 (see [4]). (i)  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, \omega)$ .

(ii) For each  $x \in [0, \omega)$ ,

$$1 \leq \varphi(x) \leq e^{(Vq(0) - Vq(x))}. \tag{2.22}$$

In the sequel, we denote by

$$G_q(x, y) = A(y)\varphi(y)\varphi(x) \int_{x \vee y}^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} dt \tag{2.23}$$

the Green's function of the operator  $u \mapsto -(1/A)(Au')' + qu$ , with  $Au'(0) = 0$  and  $u(\omega) = 0$ . Let  $V_q f(x) = \int_0^\omega G_q(x, y) f(y) dy$ , for  $f \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$ . Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.7. Let  $q \in K$ , then the following resolvent equation holds:

$$V = V_q + V_q(qV) = V_q + V(qV_q). \tag{2.24}$$

Moreover, for each  $u \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$  such that  $V(qu) < \infty$ ,

$$(I - V_q(q.))(I + V(q.))u = (I + V(q.))(I - V_q(q.))u = u. \tag{2.25}$$

For each  $x, y \in [0, \omega)$ ,

$$e^{-Vq(0)}G(x, y) \leq G_q(x, y) \leq G(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in (0, \omega), \tag{2.26}$$

$$1 - V_q(q)(x) \geq e^{-Vq(0)}, \quad \forall x \in [0, \omega), \tag{2.27}$$

$$(\rho - V_q(q\rho))(x) \geq \rho(x)e^{-Vq(0)}, \tag{2.28}$$

where  $\rho(x) = \Gamma(x, 0) = \int_x^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of (2.25) and (2.26) can be found in [4, Theorem 4].

For each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ , we obtain by Fubini-Tonelli's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} V_q(q)(x) &= \int_0^\omega G_q(x, y)q(y)dy \\ &= \int_0^\omega A(y)\varphi(y)\varphi(x) \left( \int_{x \vee y}^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} dt \right) q(y)dy \\ &= \varphi(x) \int_x^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} \left( \int_0^t A(y)\varphi(y)q(y)dy \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

Now using that  $\varphi$  is the solution of the problem (Q), and by integrating by parts, we have

$$V_q(q)(x) = 1 - \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(\omega)}. \tag{2.30}$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (2.22) that

$$0 < \varphi(\omega) \leq e^{Vq(0)}, \tag{2.31}$$

which proves (2.27).

For each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ , we obtain by Fubini-Tonelli's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} V_q(q\rho)(x) &= \int_0^\omega G_q(x, y)q(y)\rho(y)dy \\ &= \int_0^\omega A(y)\varphi(y)\varphi(x) \left( \int_{x \vee y}^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} dt \right) q(y)\rho(y)dy \\ &= \varphi(x) \int_x^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} \left( \int_0^t A(y)\varphi(y)q(y)\rho(y)dy \right) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

Now using that  $\varphi$  is the solution of the problem (Q) and  $\rho$  is differentiable on  $(0, \omega)$ , we obtain by integrating by parts that

$$\rho(x) - V_q(q\rho)(x) = \varphi(x) \int_x^\omega \frac{1}{A(t)\varphi^2(t)} dt = \frac{G_q(0, x)}{A(x)}. \tag{2.33}$$

Hence, from the lower inequality of (2.26), we deduce that

$$\rho(x) - V_q(q\rho)(x) \geq e^{-Vq(0)} \frac{G(0, x)}{A(x)} = e^{-Vq(0)}\Gamma(x, 0) = e^{-Vq(0)}\rho(x). \tag{2.34}$$

This completes the proof of (2.28). □



### 3. Proofs of the main results

In this section, we aim at proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

We recall that  $\rho(x) = \int_x^\omega (1/A(t))dt$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $c > 0$  and  $q \in K$  satisfying (H<sub>2</sub>). We denote by

$$\Lambda := \{u \in \mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega)); c\rho e^{-Vq(0)} \leq u \leq c\rho\} \quad (3.1)$$

the nonempty convex set of  $\mathcal{B}^+((0, \omega))$ , and we define the operator  $T$  on  $\Lambda$  by

$$Tu(x) := c(\rho(x) - V_q(q\rho)(x)) + V_q(u(q - \psi(\cdot, u)))(x), \quad \forall x \in (0, \omega). \quad (3.2)$$

We claim that  $T\Lambda \subset \Lambda$ . Indeed, for  $u \in \Lambda$  we have by (H<sub>2</sub>),

$$Tu \leq c\rho - cV_q(q\rho) + cV_q(\rho(q - \psi(\cdot, c\rho))) \leq c\rho - cV_q(\psi(\cdot, c\rho)) \leq c\rho. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, by using (2.28), we obtain that

$$Tu \geq c(\rho - V_q(q\rho)) \geq c\rho e^{-Vq(0)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Hence  $T\Lambda \subset \Lambda$ . Next, we prove that the operator  $T$  is nondecreasing on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $u_1, u_2 \in \Lambda$  such that  $u_1 \leq u_2$ , then from (H<sub>2</sub>), we have for each  $x \in (0, \omega)$ ,

$$Tu_2(x) - Tu_1(x) = V_q[q(u_2 - u_1) + u_1\psi(\cdot, u_1) - u_2\psi(\cdot, u_2)](x) \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Now, we consider the sequence  $(u_j)_j$  defined by  $u_0(x) = c(\rho(x) - V_q(q\rho)(x))$  and  $u_{j+1}(x) = Tu_j(x)$ , for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in (0, \omega)$ . Then since  $\Lambda$  is invariant under  $T$ , we have obviously  $u_1 = Tu_0 \geq u_0$  and so from the monotonicity of  $T$ , we deduce that

$$u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_j \leq c\rho. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, the sequence  $(u_j)$  converges on  $(0, \omega)$  to a function  $u \in \Lambda$ . Now, using (H<sub>0</sub>), (H<sub>2</sub>), and the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that  $(Tu_j)_j$  converges to  $Tu$  on  $(0, \omega)$ . Consequently, we have

$$u(x) = c(\rho(x) - V_q(c\rho)(x)) + V_q(u(q - \psi(\cdot, u)))(x), \quad (3.7)$$

or equivalently

$$u(x) - V_q(qu)(x) = c\rho(x) - V_q(c\rho + u\psi(\cdot, u))(x). \quad (3.8)$$

Applying the operator  $(I + V(q))$  on both sides of the above equality and using (2.25), we deduce that  $u$  satisfies

$$u = c\rho - V(u\psi(\cdot, u)). \quad (3.9)$$

Finally, we need to verify that  $u$  is a positive continuous solution for the problem (P<sub>1</sub>). Indeed, by (H<sub>2</sub>) we have  $u\psi(\cdot, u) \leq c\rho$ , then using the fact that  $q \in K$  and  $\rho$  is bounded

on each interval  $[x_0, \omega)$  with  $x_0 > 0$ , we deduce the continuity of  $V(cq\rho)$ , which implies the continuity of  $u$  on  $(0, \omega)$ . Now since  $q \in K$  and for each  $x, y \in (0, \omega)$ , we have

$$A(y) \frac{\rho(y)\rho(x \vee y)}{\rho(x)} \leq A(y)\rho(y)q(y) = G(0, y)q(y), \tag{3.10}$$

then we obtain by  $(H_1)$  and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{V(q\rho)(x)}{\rho(x)} = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

which implies that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(u(x)/\rho(x)) = c$ . This completes the proof. □

*Example 3.1.* Let  $\gamma > 1$  and let  $p$  be a nonnegative Borel measurable function on  $(0, 1)$  such that  $\int_0^1 \gamma p(y)(\log(1/y))^\gamma dy < \infty$ . Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x}(xu')' - p(x)u^\gamma &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, 1), \\ u &> 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\log(1/x)} &= c > 0, \quad u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

has a positive solution  $u \in C^2((0, 1))$  satisfying

$$u(x) \sim \log\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

*Example 3.2.* Let  $\gamma > 1, \lambda > 1$ , and put  $A(x) = x^\lambda$ . Let  $p$  be a nonnegative Borel measurable function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty (p(y)/y^{(\lambda-1)(\gamma-1)-1}) dy < \infty$ . Then the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x^\lambda}(x^\lambda u')' - p(x)u^\gamma &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ u &> 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{x^{1-\lambda}} &= c > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

has a positive solution  $u \in C((0, \infty))$  satisfying

$$u(x) \sim x^{1-\lambda}. \tag{3.15}$$

In the next, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $c > 0$ , then by hypothesis  $(H_3)$ , there exists  $q \in K$  such that the function  $t \mapsto t(\psi(x, t) - q(x))$  is nonincreasing on  $[0, c]$ . We consider the nonempty closed convex set  $\Lambda$  given by

$$\Lambda = \{u \in C([0, \omega]); ce^{-Vq(0)} \leq u(x) \leq c\}, \tag{3.16}$$

and we define the operator  $T$  on  $\Lambda$  by

$$Tu := c(1 - V_q(q)) + V_q((q - \psi(\cdot, u))u), \tag{3.17}$$

and  $Tu(\omega) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \omega} Tu(x) = c$ .

Now, by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain that  $T\Lambda \subset \Lambda$  and  $T$  is an increasing operator on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $(u_n)_n$  be the sequence of functions defined by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &= c(1 - V_q(q)), \\ u_{n+1} &= Tu_n, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Then the sequence  $(u_n)_n$  converges to a function  $u = \sup_n u_n \in \Lambda$ , satisfying

$$u = c - V(u\psi(\cdot, u)). \tag{3.19}$$

Since we have  $\psi(\cdot, u) \leq q$  and  $Vq \in C^+([0, \omega))$ , then  $V(qu) \in C^+([0, \omega))$  and consequently  $V(u\psi(\cdot, u)) \in C^+([0, \omega))$ . Hence,  $u$  is a positive continuous solution of the problem  $(P_2)$ .  $\square$

*Example 3.3.* Let  $\gamma, \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha < \min(\lambda + 1, 2)$ , and  $\beta < 2$ . Put  $A(x) = x^\lambda$ , for  $x \in (0, 1)$ . Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}(Au')' - \frac{u^{\gamma+1}(x)}{x^\alpha(1-x)^\beta} &= 0, \quad \text{in } (0, 1), \\ Au'(0) = 0, \quad u(1) &= c > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

has a positive solution  $u \in C([0, 1]) \cap C^1((0, 1))$  satisfying for each  $x$  in  $(0, 1)$

$$0 \leq c - u(x) \leq \begin{cases} (1-x)^{2-\beta} & \text{if } 1 < \beta < 2, \\ (1-x) \log\left(\frac{2}{1-x}\right) & \text{if } \beta = 1, \\ (1-x) & \text{if } \beta < 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

### References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Twin solutions to singular Dirichlet problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 240, no. 2, pp. 433–445, 1999.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, "Existence theory for single and multiple solutions to singular positone boundary value problems," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 175, no. 2, pp. 393–414, 2001.
- [3] R. Kannan and D. O'Regan, "A note on singular boundary value problems with solutions in weighted spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 791–796, 1999.
- [4] H. Mâagli and S. Masmoudi, "Sur les solutions d'un opérateur différentiel singulier semi-linéaire," *Potential Analysis*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 289–304, 1999.
- [5] H. Mâagli and N. Zeddini, "Positive solutions for a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem," *Nonlinear Studies*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 295–306, 2003.

- [6] S. Masmoudi and N. Yazidi, "On the existence of positive solutions of a singular nonlinear differential equation," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 268, no. 1, pp. 53–66, 2002.
- [7] S. D. Taliaferro, "A nonlinear singular boundary value problem," *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 897–904, 1979.

Sonia Ben Othman: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis,  
Campus Universitaire, Tunis 2092, Tunisia  
*Email address:* sonia.benothman@fsb.rnu.tn

Habib Mâagli: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis,  
Campus Universitaire, Tunis 2092, Tunisia  
*Email address:* habib.maagli@fst.rnu.tn

Noureddine Zeddini: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis,  
Campus Universitaire, Tunis 2092, Tunisia  
*Email address:* noureddine.zeddini@ipein.rnu.tn