RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREMS ON TWO COMPLETE AND COMPACT METRIC SPACES

R.K. NAMDEO and N.K. TIWARI

Department of Mathematics Dr. H.S Gour University Sagar, M.P., INDIA 470-003

B. FISHER Department of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Leicester LE1 7RH, ENGLAND

> KENAN TAŞ Department of Mathematics Abant İzzet Baysal University 14280, Bolu, TURKEY

(Received August 30, 1996 and in revised form October 25, 1996)

ABSTRACT. A new related fixed point theorem on two complete metric spaces is obtained A generalization is given for two compact metric spaces

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Fixed point, complete metric space, compact metric space 1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES: 54H25

The following related fixed point theorem was proved in [1].

THEOREM 1.1. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces, let T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} &d(STx, STx') \leq c \max\{d(x, x'), d(x, STx), d(x', STx'), \rho(Tx, Tx')\}, \\ &\rho(TSy, TSy') \leq c \max\{\rho(y, y'), \rho(y, TSy), \rho(y', TSy'), d(Sy, Sy')\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where $0 \le c < 1$. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y Further, Tz = w and Sw = z.

We now prove the following related fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces, let T be a mapping of X into Y and let S be a mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sy, Sy') d(STx, STx') &\leq c \max\{d(Sy, Sy') \rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy) \rho(y', Tx), \\ d(x, x') d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx) d(Sy', STx')\} \end{aligned}$$
(1)

$$\rho(Tx, Tx')\rho(TSy, TSy') \leq c \max\{d(Sy, Sy')\rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy)\rho(y', Tx), \\ \rho(y, y')\rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy)\rho(Tx', TSy')\}$$
(2)

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y, where $0 \le c < 1$. If either T or S is continuous then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Tz = w and Sw = z.

PROOF. Let x be an arbitrary point in X. We define the sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X and $\{y_n\}$ in Y by

$$(ST)^n x = x_n, \quad T(ST)^{n-1} x = y_n$$

for n = 1, 2, ...

560

We will assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ and $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ for all n, otherwise, if $x_n = x_{n+1}$ and $y_n = y_{n+1}$ for some n, we could put $x_n = z$ and $y_n = w$.

Applying inequality (1) we get

$$d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Sy_{n-1}, Sy_n)d(STx_{n-1}, STx_n) \leq c \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\rho(y_n, y_n), [d(x_{n-1}, x_n)]^2, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$$
(3)

from which it follows that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le c \max\{\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$$

Applying inequality (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho(y_n, y_{n+1})]^2 &= \rho(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)\rho(TSy_{n-1}, TSy_n) \\ &\leq c \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\rho(y_n, y_n), \\ &\qquad \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n)\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_n)\rho(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})\} \end{aligned}$$
(4)

from which it follows that

 $\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \rho(y_{n-1}, y_n)\}.$

It now follows easily by induction that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le c^n \max\{d(x, x_1), \rho(y_1, y_2)\}$$

$$\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) \le c^{n-1} \max\{d(x, x_1), \rho(y_1, y_2)\}$$

for n = 1, 2, ... Since c < 1, it follows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits z in X with w in Y

Applying inequality (1) we have

$$\begin{split} d(Sw, x_n) d(STz, x_{n+1}) &= d(Sw, Sy_n) d(STz, STx_n) \\ &\leq c \max\{d(Sw, x_n) \rho(Tz, y_{n+1}), d(x_n, Sw) \rho(y_n, Tz), d(z, x_n) d(Sw, x_n), \\ &\quad d(Sw, STz) d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}. \end{split}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$d(Sw,z)d(STz,z) \leq cd(Sw,z)\rho(Tz,w)$$

and so either

$$Sw = z$$
 (5)

or

$$d(STz, z) \le c\rho(Tz, w). \tag{6}$$

Applying inequality (2) we have

$$\begin{split} \rho(Tz,y_{n+1})\rho(TSw,y_{n+1}) &= \rho(Tz,Tx_n)\rho(TSw,TSy_n) \\ &\leq c \max\{d(Sw,x_n)\rho(Tz,y_{n+1}), d(x_n,Sw)\rho(y_n,Tz), \rho(w,y_n)\rho(Tz,y_{n+1}), \\ &\quad \rho(Tz,TSw)\rho(y_{n+1},y_{n+1})\}. \end{split}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\rho(Tz, w)\rho(TSw, w) \le cd(z, Sw)\rho(Tz, w)$$

and so either

$$Tz = w \tag{7}$$

$$\rho(TSw,w) \le cd(z,Sw). \tag{8}$$

If T is continuous, then

$$w = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = T z$$

If inequality (6) holds, then it implies that

$$z = STz = Sw,$$

and so equation (5) will necessarily hold. We then have

$$TSw = Tz = w$$

If S is continuous, then

$$z = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Sy_n = Sw.$$

If inequality (8) holds, then it implies that

$$w = TSw = Tz$$

and so equation (7) will necessarily hold. We then have

$$STz = Sw = z.$$

To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second fixed point z' and TS has a second fixed point w' Then applying inequality (1) we have

$$[d(z,z')]^2 = [d(STz,STz')]^2 \le c \max \Big\{ d(z,z')\rho(Tz,Tz'), [d(z,z')]^2 \Big\},$$

which implies that

$$d(z, z') \le c\rho(Tz, Tz'). \tag{9}$$

Further, applying inequality (2) we have

$$\left[\rho(Tz,Tz')\right]^2 = \rho(Tz,Tz')\rho(TSTz,TSTz') \leq c \max\Big\{d(z,z')\rho(Tz,Tz'),\left[\rho(Tz,Tz')\right]^2\Big\},$$

which implies that

$$\rho(Tz, Tz') \le cd(z, z'). \tag{10}$$

It now follows from inequalities (9) and (10) that

$$d(z, z') \le c\rho(Tz', w) \le c^2 d(z, z')$$

and so z = z' since c < 1, proving the uniqueness of the fixed point z of ST.

Now TSw' = w' implies that STSw' = Sw' and so Sw' = z Thus

$$w = TSw = TSz = TSw' = w',$$

proving that w is the unique fixed point of TS. This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let T be a continuous mapping of X onto X satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(Ty,Ty')d\big(T^2x,T^2x'\big) &\leq c \max\{d(Ty,Ty')d(tx,Tx'),d(x',Ty)d(y',Tx),\\ d(x,x')d(Ty,Ty'),d\big(Ty,T^2x\big)d\big(Ty',T^2x'\big)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x, x', y, y' in X, where $0 \le c < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point z in X.

PROOF. It follows from the theorem with $(X, d) = (Y, \rho)$ and S = T that T^2 has a unique fixed point z. Then $T^2(Tz) = T(T^2z) = Tz$ and so we see that Tz is also a fixed point of T^2 Since the fixed point is unique, we must have Tz = z.

We now prove a fixed point theorem for compact metric spaces.

THEOREM 1.4. Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be compact metric spaces, let T be a continuous mapping of X into Y and let S be a continuous mapping of Y into X satisfying the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sy, Sy')d(STx, STx') &< \max\{d(Sy, Sy')\rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy)\rho(y', Tx), \\ &d(x, x')d(Sy, Sy'), d(Sy, STx)d(Sy', STx')\} \end{aligned}$$
(11)

$$\rho(Tx, Tx')\rho(TSy, TSy') < \max\{d(Sy, Sy')\rho(Tx, Tx'), d(x', Sy)\rho(y', Tx), \\ \rho(y, y')\rho(Tx, Tx'), \rho(Tx, TSy)\rho(Tx', TSy')\}$$
(12)

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y. Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y. Further, Tz = w and Sw = z.

PROOF. Suppose first of all that there exists no a < 1 such that

$$d(Sy, STSy)d(STx, STSTx) \le a \max\{d(Sy, STSy)\rho(Tx, TSTx), d(STx, Sy)\rho(TSy, Tx), \\ d(x, STx)d(Sy, STSy), d(Sy, STx)d(STSy, STSTx)\}$$
(13)

for all x in X and y in Y. Then there exist sequences $\{x_n\}$ in X and $\{y_n\}$ in Y such that

$$d(Sy_n, STSy_n)d(STx_n, STSTx_n) > (1 - n^{-1})\max\{d(Sy_n, STSy_n)\rho(Tx_n, TSTx_n), d(STx_n, Sy_n)\rho(TSy_n, Tx_n), d(x_n, STx_n)d(Sy_n, STSy_n), d(Sy_n, STx_n)d(STSy_n, STSTx_n)\}$$
(14)

for n = 1, 2, ... Since X and Y are compact, and by relabelling if necessary, we may suppose that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to z' in X and the sequence $\{y_n\}$ converges to w' in Y. Letting n tend to infinity in inequality (16), it follows that

$$d(Sw', STSw')d(STz', STSTz') \\ \geq \max\{d(Sw', STSw')\rho(Tz', TSTz'), d(STz', Sw')\rho(TSw', Tz'), \\ d(z', STz')d(Sw', STSw'), d(Sw', STz')d(STSw', STSTz')\}.$$
(15)

This is only possible if the right hand side of inequality (17) is zero. It follows that either STz' = STSTz' or Sw' = STSw'.

If STz' = STSTz', then STz' = z is a fixed point of ST and it follows that Tz = w is a fixed point of TS

If Sw' = STSw', then Sw' = z is a fixed point of ST and it again follows that Tz = w is a fixed point of TS.

Now suppose that there exists no b < 1 such that

$$\rho(Tx, TSTx)\rho(TSy, TSTSy) \leq b \max\{d(Sy, STSy)\rho(Tx, TSTx), d(STx, Sy)\rho(TSy, Tx), \rho(y, TSy)\rho(Tx, TSTx), \rho(Tx, TSy)\rho(TSTx, TSTSy)\}$$
(16)

for all x in X and y in Y. Then it follows similarly that ST has a fixed point z and TS has a fixed point w.

Finally, suppose that there exist a, b < 1 satisfying inequalities (15) and (18) Then with $c = \max\{a, b\}$, it follows that if the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are defined as in the proof of Theorem 2, inequalities (3) and (4) will hold. It then follows as in the proof of Theorem 2 that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences with limits z in X and w in Y. Since ST and TS are continuous, it now follows that z is a fixed point of ST and w is a fixed point of TS.

To prove uniqueness, suppose that ST has a second distinct common fixed point z' Then applying inequality (13) we have

$$[d(z,z')]^2 = [d(STz,STz')]^2 < \max \Big\{ d(z,z') \rho(Tz,Tz'), [d(z,z')]^2 \Big\},$$

which implies that

$$d(z, z') < \rho(Tz, Tz'). \tag{17}$$

Further, applying inequality (14) we have

$$\left[\rho(Tz,Tz')\right]^2 = \rho(Tz,Tz')\rho(TSTz,TSTz') < \max\left\{d(z,z')\rho(Tz,Tz'), \left[\rho(Tz,Tz')\right]^2\right\},$$

which that

$$\rho(Tz,Tz') < d(z,z'). \tag{18}$$

It now follows from inequalities (19) and (20) that

$$d(z,z') < \rho(Tz',Tz) < d(z,z'),$$

a contradiction and so the fixed point z must be unique.

.

The uniqueness of w is proved similarly. This completes the proof of the theorem

COROLLARY 1.5. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let T be a continuous mapping of X into X satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{split} d(Ty,Ty')d\big(T^2x,T^2x'\big) &< \max\{d(Ty,Ty')d(Tx,Tx'),d(x',Ty)d(y',Tx),\\ &d(x,x')d(Ty,Ty'),d\big(Ty,T^2x\big)d\big(Ty',T^2x'\big)\} \end{split}$$

for all x, x', y, y' in X for which the right hand side of the inequality is positive. Then T has a unique fixed point z in X.

REFERENCES

[1] FISHER, B., Related fixed points on two metric spaces, Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ., 10 (1982), 17-26.