AN APPLICATION OF THE RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES

SHIGEYOSHI OWA

Department of Mathematics Kinki University Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577, Japan

SEIICHI FUKUI

Department of Mathematics Wakayama University Wakayama 640, Japan

XOICHI SAKAGUCHI

Department of Mathematics Nara University of Education Nara 630, Japan

and

SHOTARO OGAWA

Department of Mathematics Kinki University Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577, Japan

(Received January 15, 1986)

ABSTRACT. Let $D^{\alpha}f(z)$ be the Ruscheweyh derivative defined by using the Hadamard product of f(z) and $z/(1-z)^{1+\alpha}$. Certain new classes S^{*}_{α} and K_{α} are introduced by virtue of the Ruscheweyh derivative. The object of the present paper is to establish several interesting properties of S^{*}_{α} and K_{α} . Further, some results for integral operator $J_{\alpha}(f)$ of f(z) are shown.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Ruscheweyh derivative, Hadamard product, starlike function, convex function, integral operator. 1980 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. Primary 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION. Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \qquad (a_1 = 1)$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z; |z| < 1\}$. Let S denote the subclass of of A consisting of univalent functions in the unit disk U. A function f(z) belonging to A is said to be starlike with respect to the origin in the unit disk U if it satisfies

Re
$$\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\} > 0$$
 (1.2)

for all $z \in U$. We denote by S^* the class of all starlike functions with respect to the origin in the unit disk U. A function f(z) belonging to A is said to be

convex in the unit disk U if it satisfies

Re
$$\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\} > 0$$
 (1.3)

for all $z \in U$. We denote by K the class of all convex functions in the unit disk U. We note that $f(z) \in K$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^*$ and that

Let $f_i(z) (j = 1, 2)$ in A be given by

$$f_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1,j} z^{n+1}$$
 $(a_{1,j} = 1).$

Then the <u>Hadamard product</u> (or <u>convolution product</u>) $f_1 * f_2(z)$ of $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ is defined by

$$f_{1} \star f_{2}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1,1} a_{n+1,2} z^{n+1}.$$
 (1.5)

By the Hadamard product, we define

$$D^{\alpha}f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z) \qquad (\alpha \ge -1)$$
 (1.6)

for $f(z) \in A$. The symbol $D^{\alpha}f(z)$ was introduced by Ruscheweyh [1], and is called the Ruscheweyh derivative of f(z).

To derive our results, we have to recall here the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([2]). Let $\phi(z)$ and g(z) be analytic in the unit disk \bigcup and satisfy $\phi(0) = g(0) = 0$, $\phi'(0) \neq 0$, $g'(0) \neq 0$. Suppose that for each $\sigma(|\sigma| = 1)$ and $\delta(|\delta| = 1)$, we have

$$\phi(z) \star \frac{1+\delta\sigma_z}{1-\sigma_z} g(z) \neq 0 \qquad (0 < |z| < 1) \qquad (1.7)$$

Then for each function F(z) analytic in the unit disk \bigcup and satisfying Re $\{F(z)\}$ > 0 ($z \in \bigcup$), we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\phi^{*}G(z)}{\phi^{*}g(z)} \right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \bigcup).$$

$$(1.8)$$

where $G(z) = F \cdot g(z)$.

LEMMA 2 ([3]). Let w(z) be regular in the unit disk ||, with w(0) = 0. Then, if |w(z)| attains its maximum value on the circle |z| = r (0 $\leq r < 1$) at a point z_0 , we can write

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = mw(z_0),$$

where m is real and $m \ge 1$.

LEMMA 3 ([4]). For a real number α ($\alpha > -1$), we have

$$z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha+1}f(z) - \alpha D^{\alpha}f(z).$$
 (1.9)

722

REMARK. Note that (1.9) holds true for $\alpha = -1$.

LEMMA 4 ([5]). Let $\phi(u,v)$ be a complex function, $\phi: \mathbb{N} + \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{C})$ is the complex plane) and let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$. Suppose that ϕ satisfies the following conditions

- (i) $\phi(u,v)$ is continuous in];
- (ii) $(1,0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1,0)\} > 0;$
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(\operatorname{iu}_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$ for all $(\operatorname{iu}_2, v_1) \in []$ and such that $v_1 \leq -(1 + u_2^2)/2$.

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + ...$ be regular in the unit disk U, such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$ for all $z \in U$. If $Re\{\phi(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0$ $(z \in U)$, then Re(z) > 0 for $z \in U$.

- 2. PROPERTIES OF $D^{\alpha}f(z)$. Applying Lemma 1, we prove
- THEOREM 1. Let f(z) be in the class S^{*} and satisfy the condition
- $D^{\alpha}f(z) \neq 0$ (0 < |z| < 1) for $\alpha \geq -1$. Then $D^{\alpha}f(z)$ is also in the class S^* . PROOF. We note that

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{D^{\alpha}(zf'(z))}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} *(zf'(z))}{\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} *f(z)}.$$
(2.1)

Setting $\delta = -1$, $\phi(z) = z/(1-z)^{1+\alpha}$, g(z) = f(z), and F(z) = zf'(z)/f(z) in Lemma 1, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} \right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \bigcup), \qquad (2.2)$$

which implies $D^{\alpha} f(z) \in S^*$.

THEOREM 2. Let f(z) be in the class K and satisfy the condition $D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \neq 0$ (0 < |z| < 1) for $\alpha \geq -1$. Then $D^{\alpha}f(z)$ is also in the class K.

PROOF. Since $f(z) \in K$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^*$, Theorem 1 derives $z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' = D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in S^*$. Hence we have $D^{\alpha}f(z) \in K$.

3. THE CLASSES $S^{\textbf{*}}_{\alpha}$ AND K_{α} . In view of Theorems 1 and 2, we can introduce the following classes;

$$S^*_{\alpha} = \{f(z) \in A: D^{\alpha}f(z) \in S^*, \alpha \geq -1\}$$

and

$$\mathsf{K}_{\alpha} = \{ \mathsf{f}(z) \in \mathsf{A} : \mathsf{D}^{\alpha} \mathsf{f}(z) \in \mathsf{K} , \alpha \geq -1 \}.$$

Now, we derive:

THEOREM 3. For $\alpha \ge 0$, we have $S_{\alpha+1}^* \subset S_{\alpha}^*$. PROOF. For $f(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^*$, we define the function w(z) by

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \qquad (w(z) \neq 1).$$
(3.1)

Then, with Lemma 3, we have

$$\frac{p^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{p^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \left\{ \frac{z(p^{\alpha}f(z))'}{p^{\alpha}f(z)} + \alpha \right\}$$
$$= \frac{(1+\alpha) + (1-\alpha)w(z)}{(1+\alpha)(1-w(z))}.$$
(3.2)

Differentiating both sides of (3.2) logarithmically, it follows that

$$\frac{zD^{\alpha+1}f(z)'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))\{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha)w(z)\}}.$$
(3.3)

Suppose that for $z_0 \in U$

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |w(z)| = |w(z_0)| = 1 \qquad (w(z_0) \neq \pm 1).$$
(3.4)

Then it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$z_0 w'(z_0) = mw(z_0),$$

where m is real and m \geq 1. Setting w(z_0) = e , we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z_{0}(D^{\alpha+1}f(z_{0}))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z_{0})} \right\}$$

$$= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + w(z_{0})}{1 - w(z_{0})} \right\} + \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{2\operatorname{mw}(z_{0})}{(1 - w(z_{0}))\{(1 + \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)w(z_{0})\}} \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{\operatorname{m\alpha}(1 - \cos\theta_{0})}{M} \leq 0, \qquad (3.5)$$

where $M = \{\alpha(1 - \cos\theta_0) + (1 - \alpha)\sin^2\theta_0\}^2 + \{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)\cos\theta_0\}^2\sin^2\theta_0$. This contradicts the hypothesis that $f(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^*$. Therefore, w(z) has to satisfy that |w(z)| < 1 for all $z \in \bigcup$. Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \right\} > 0, \qquad (3.6)$$

which implies $f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$.

THEOREM 4. For $\alpha \ge 0$, we have

$$\bigcap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{*} = \{ id \},\$$

where id is the identity function f(z) = z. PROOF. Note that $D^{\alpha}z = z$ for all α , and that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(\underline{D}^{\alpha} z)'}{\underline{D}^{\alpha} z} \right\} = 1 > 0 \qquad (z \in \bigcup)$$

for all α . Consequently, we conclude that id $\in S_{\alpha}^{*}$ for all α . For the converse, we assume that the function f(z) belonging to A is in the class $\bigcap_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{*}$. Then we have

$$D^{\alpha}f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} a_{n+1} z^{n+1} \in S^{*}$$

for all $\alpha \ge 0$. It is well known that

$$\left|a_{n+1}\right| \leq n+1 \qquad (n \geq 1)$$

for $f(z) \in S^*$. This implies

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \left| a_{n+1} \right| \leq n+1 \qquad (n \geq 1), \qquad (3.7)$$

or

$$|a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{(n+1)!\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}$$
 (n \ge 1) (3.8)

for all $\alpha \ge 0$. Therefore, we have f(z) = z. By virtue of Theorem 3, we prove: THEOREM 5. For $\alpha \geq 0$, we have $K_{\alpha+1} \subset K_{\alpha}$.

PROOF. By Theorem 3, it follows that

$$f(z) \in K_{\alpha+1} \iff D^{\alpha+1}f(z) \in K$$
$$\iff z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))' \in S^{*}$$
$$\iff D^{\alpha+1}(zf'(z)) \in S^{*}$$
$$\iff zf'(z) \in S_{\alpha+1}^{*}$$
$$\implies zf'(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$$
$$\iff D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in S^{*}$$
$$\iff z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' \in S^{*}$$

This asserts the result of the theorem. THEOREM 6. FOR $\alpha \geq 0$, we have

s. owa, s. fukui, k. sakaguchi and s. ogawa $\bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha} = \{id\},$

where id is the identity function f(z) = z.

The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to that of Theorem 4.

Furthermore, an application of Lemma 4 to the classes S^*_{α} and K_{α} gives: THEOREM 7. Let f(z) be in the class S^*_{α} with $\alpha \geq -1$. Then

Re
$$\{\left(\frac{D^{\alpha}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1}\}$$
 > $\frac{1}{2\beta-1}$ (z $\in [!]$), (3.9)

where $1 < \beta \leq 3/2$.

PROOF. We define the function p(z) by

$$A\left(\frac{D^{\alpha}f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1} = p(z) + (A - 1), \qquad (3.10)$$

where $A = 1 + 1/2(\beta-1)$. Differentiating (3.10) logarithmically, we have

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \quad \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + (A - 1)} + 1.$$
(3.11)

Since $f(z) \in S^*_{\alpha}$, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \cdot \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z) + (A - 1)} + 1 \right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \bigcup). \tag{3.12}$$

Let $p(z) = u = u_1 + iu_2$ and $zp'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$, and define the function $\phi(u,v)$ by

$$\phi(u,v) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \cdot \frac{v}{u + (A - 1)} + 1.$$
 (3.13)

Then $\phi(u,v)$ is continuous in $[] = ((-\{1-A\}) \times (], and together with <math>(1,0) \in []$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1,0)\} = 1 > 0$. Moreover, for all $(\operatorname{iu}_2, v_1) \in []$ such that $v_1 \leq -(1 + u_2^2)/2$, we can show that

Re {
$$\phi(1u_2, v_1)$$
} = $\frac{1}{\beta - 1}$ Re { $\frac{v_1}{1u_2 + (A - 1)}$ } + 1
 $\leq \frac{-1}{\beta - 1} \cdot \frac{(A - 1)(1 + u_2^2)}{2(u_2^2 + (A - 1)^2)} + 1 \leq 0,$ (3.14)

for $1 < \beta \leq 3/2$. Hence the function $\phi(u, v)$ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 4. It follows from this fact that Re p(z) > 0 for $z \in []$, that is,

Re {
$$A(\frac{D^{\alpha}f(z)}{z})^{\beta-1} - (A-1)$$
} > 0 (z $\in U$). (3.15)

This completes the assertion of Theorem 7.

Taking $\beta = 3/2$ in Theorem 7, we have: COROLLARY 1. Let f(z) be in the class S^*_{α} with $\alpha \geq -1$. Then

726

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left(\frac{D^{\alpha} f(z)}{z} \right)^{1/2} \right\} > \frac{1}{2} \qquad (z \in \bigcup), \qquad (3.16)$$

COROLLARY 2. Let f(z) be in the class K_{α} with $\alpha \geq -1$. Then

Re
$$\{(D^{\alpha}f(z))'\}^{\beta-1} > \frac{1}{2\beta-1}$$
 (z $\in \bigcup$), (3.17)

where $1 < \beta \leq 3/2$.

PROOF. Note that

$$f(z) \in K_{\alpha} \iff D^{\alpha}f(z) \in K$$
$$\iff z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' \in S^{*}$$
$$\iff D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in S^{*}$$
$$\iff zf'(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*},$$

which implies

$$\frac{D^{\alpha}(zf'(z))}{z} = (D^{\alpha}f(z))'.$$

Therefore, we have the corollary with the aid of Theorem 7. 4. INTEGRAL OPERATOR $J_c(f)$. We define the integral operator $J_c(f)$ by

$$J_{c}(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{c-1} f(t) dt \qquad (c > -1) \qquad (4.1)$$

for $f(z) \in A$. The operator $J_c(f)$ when $c \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ was studied by Bernardi [6]. In particular, the operator $J_1(f)$ was studied by Libera [7] and Livingston [8].

THEOREM 8. Let f(z) be in the class S_{α}^{*} with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then $J_{\alpha}(f)$ is also in the class S_{α}^{*} .

PROOF. Define the function w(z) by

$$\frac{z(D^{u}J_{\alpha}(f))'}{D^{u}J_{\alpha}(f)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} \qquad (w(z) \neq 1).$$
(4.2)

Then, by taking the differentiation of both sides logarithmically, we have

$$\frac{z^{2}(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))' + z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'}{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'} - \frac{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'}{D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f)} = \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))(1 - w(z))}$$
(4.3)

Since

$$z(z(D^{\alpha}f(z))')' = z^{2}(D^{\alpha}f(z))'' + z(D^{\alpha}f(z))', \qquad (4.4)$$

we can see that

$$z^{2}(D^{\alpha}f(z))^{"} = (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))^{'} - (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha}f(z))^{'}$$
(4.5)

by Lemma 3. Furthermore, it follows from the definition of $J_{\alpha}(f)$ that

$$D^{\alpha}f(z) = D^{\alpha+1}J_{\alpha}(f). \qquad (4.6)$$

By using (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$z^{2}(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))^{"} = (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha+1}J_{\alpha}(f))^{"} - (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))^{"}$$
$$= (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha}f(z))^{"} - (\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))^{"}.$$
(4.7)

With the aid of Lemma 3, we have

$$z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha}f(z) - \alpha D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f).$$
(4.8)

Consequently, from (4.3), we obtain

$$\frac{(\alpha + 1)z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'} - \frac{(\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha}f(z)}{D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f)} = \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))(1 - w(z))},$$
(4.9)

or

$$\frac{(\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha}f(z)}{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'} \left\{ \frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} - \frac{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'}{D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f)} \right\} = \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1 + w(z))(1 - w(z))}.$$
(4.10)

Since (4.8) implies

$$\frac{(\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha}f(z)}{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'} = 1 + \frac{\alpha D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f)}{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'} = \frac{(1 + \alpha) + (1 - \alpha)w(z)}{1 + w(z)},$$
 (4.11)

it follows from (4.10) that

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha}f(z)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} + \frac{2zw'(z)}{(1-w(z))\{(1+\alpha)+(1-\alpha)w(z)\}}.$$
 (4.12)

By assuming

for $z_0 \in \bigcup$ and using the same technique as in the proof of Theorem 3, we can show that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))'}{D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f)}\right\} = \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}\right\} > 0 \qquad (z \in \bigcup).$$
(4.13)

Thus we conclude that $J_{\alpha}(f)$ is in the class S_{α}^{*} . COROLLARY 3. Let f(z) be in the class S_{α}^{*} with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(z_{p+1}F_p(a+1,..., a+1,1; a+2,..., a+2;z))*f(z) \in S_a^*,$$

where $p+1^{F_{p}(\alpha_{1},\ldots,\alpha_{p+1};\beta_{1},\ldots,\beta_{p};z)}$ denotes the generalized hypergeometric function.

PROOF. It is easy to see that

$$J_{\alpha}(f) = \frac{\alpha + 1}{z^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\alpha - 1} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} t^{n+1} \right) dt$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + 1}{n + \alpha + 1} \right) a_{n+1} z^{n+1}$$
$$= \left(z_{2} F_{1}(\alpha + 1, 1; \alpha + 2; z) \right) * f(z)$$
(4.14)

...

for $f(z) \in A$. Therefore, by Theorem 8, we have

$$(z_2F_1(\alpha+1,1;\alpha+2;z))*f(z) \in S^*_{\alpha}.$$

Repeating the same manner, we conclude that

$$f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*} \implies (z_{2}F_{1}(\alpha+1,1;\alpha+2;z))*f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$$
$$\implies (z_{3}F_{2}(\alpha+1,\alpha+1,1;\alpha+2,\alpha+2;z))*f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$$
$$\implies (z_{p+1}F_{p}(\alpha+1,\cdots,\alpha+1,1;\alpha+2,\cdots,\alpha+2;z))*f(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}.$$

Finally, we prove

THEOREM 9. Let f(z) be in the class K_α with $\alpha \geqq 0$, Then $J_\alpha(f)$ is also in the class $K_\alpha.$

PROOF. In view of Theorem 5, we can see that

$$f(z) \in K_{\alpha} \iff z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' \in S^{*}$$

$$\iff D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in S^{*}$$

$$\implies zf'(z) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$\implies J_{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in S_{\alpha}^{*}$$

$$\iff D^{\alpha}(J_{\alpha}(zf')) \in S^{*}$$

$$\iff z(D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f))' \in S^{*}$$

$$\iff D^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}(f) \in K$$

$$\iff J_{\alpha}(f) \in K_{\alpha},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 9.

COROLLARY 4. Let f(z) be in the class K_{α} with $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, for $P \in \mathbb{N}$, $(z_{p+1}F_p(\alpha+1,\ldots,\alpha+1,1;\alpha+2,\ldots,\alpha+2;z))*f(z) \in K_{\alpha}$, where ${}_{p+1}F_p(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{p+1};\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_p;z)$ denotes the generalized hypergeometric function.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The present investigation was carried out at the University of Victoria while the first author was on study leave from Kinki University, Osaka, Japan.

REFERENCES

- RUSCHEWEYH, S. New Criteria for Univalent Functions, <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49</u> (1975), 109-115.
- 2. RUSCHEWEYH, S. and SHEIL-SMALL, T. Hadamard Products of Schlicht Functions and the Polya -Schoenberg Conjecture, Comment. Math. Helv. 48 (1973), 119-135.
- JACK, I. S. Functions Starlike and Convex of Order α, <u>J. London Math. Soc. 2</u> (1971), 469-474.
- 4. FUKUI, S. and SAKAGUCHI, K. An Extension of a Theorem of S. Ruscheweyh, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Nat. Sci. 29 (1980), 1-3.
- MILLER, S. S. Differential Inequalities and Caratheodory Functions, <u>Bull. Amer.</u> <u>Math. Soc. 81</u> (1975), 79-81.
- BERNARDI, S. D. Convex and Starlike Univalent Functions, <u>Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.</u> <u>135</u> (1969), 429-446.
- LIBERA, R. J. Some Classes of Regular Univalent Functions, <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.</u> <u>16</u> (1965), 755-758.
- LIVINGSTON, A. E. On the Radius of Univalence of Certain Analytic Functions, <u>Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17</u> (1966), 352-357