# OSCILLATIONS IN LINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS<sup>1</sup>

CH. G. PHILOS and I.K. PURNARAS Department of Mathematics University of Ioannina P.O. Box 1186 451 10 Ioannina, GREECE

#### ABSTRACT

A class of linear difference equations with variable coefficients is considered. Sufficient conditions and necessary conditions for the oscillation of the solutions are established. In the special cases where the coefficients are constant or periodic the conditions become both necessary and sufficient.

Key words:Linear difference equation, oscillation.AMS (MOS) subject classification:Primary 39A10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been a great deal of work on the oscillation of solutions of difference equations (see, for example, [2]-[5], [7]-[10], [14]-[16], [18], [19], and [21]).

Within the past two decades, the study of difference equations has acquired a new significance. This comes about, in large part, from the fact that difference equations appear as natural descriptions of observed evolution phenomena as well as in the study of discretization methods for differential equations. Furthermore, the theory of difference equations is rapidly gaining attention because of its use in such fields as numerical analysis, control theory, finite mathematics and computer science; in particular, because of the successful use in recent years of computers to solve difficult problems arising in applications, the connection between the theory of difference equations and computer science has become more important.

For a systematic treatment of the theory of difference equations and its applications to numerical analysis, the reader is referred to the recent book by Lakshmikantham and Trigiante [12]. Chapter 7 of this book is devoted to some applications of difference equations to many fields such as economics, chemistry, population dynamics and queueing theory. Some discrete models in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Received: December, 1990. Revised: February, 1991.

Printed in the U.S.A. © 1991 The Society of Applied Mathematics, Modeling and Simulation

population dynamics have appeared in [6].

Consider the linear difference equation

$$A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) A_{n-\ell_k} = 0, \qquad (E)$$

where *m* is a positive integer,  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., m) are sequences of nonnegative numbers, and  $\ell_k(k = 0, 1, ..., m)$  are integers such that  $0 = \ell_0 < \ell_1 < ... < \ell_m$ . The sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}(k = 1, ..., m)$  are (supposed to be) not identically zero.

Let  $n_0$  be a nonnegative integer and set

$$N_{n_0} = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \ldots\}$$

By a solution on  $N_{n_0}$  of the difference equation (E) we mean a sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  which satisfies (E) for all  $n \ge n_0$ . As usual, a solution of (E) is said to be nonoscillatory if it is either eventually positive or eventually negative. Otherwise, the solution is said to be oscillatory. A solution  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  on  $N_{n_0}$  of (E) is called positive if  $A_n > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$ .

Let us consider the linear difference equation with constant coefficients

$$A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_k A_{n-\ell_k} = 0, \qquad (E_0)$$

where  $P_k(k = 0, 1, ..., m)$  are real numbers with  $P_0 \ge 0, P_1 > 0, ..., P_m > 0$ . This equation is a special case of the difference equation (E). For the autonomous difference equation  $(E_0)$  the following "if and only if" oscillation criterion is known (see [4], [9], [14]), which is the discrete analogue of a result due to Tramov [22] (see also [1], [11]) concerning the oscillation of first order linear delay differential equations with constant coefficients.

**Theorem A:** A necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solutions of the difference equation  $(E_0)$  is that its characteristic equation

$$F_{0}(\lambda) \equiv \lambda - 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k} \lambda^{-\ell_{k}} = 0$$
 (\*)<sub>0</sub>

has no roots in (0,1).

Next, let us consider another special case (which includes the previous one), i.e., the case where the coefficients in (E) are periodic sequences with a common period and the integers  $\ell_k(k=1,...,m)$  are multiples of this period. In this case, the oscillation of the solutions of the difference equation (E) is described by the following result due to Philos [18], which is the discrete analogue of an oscillation criterion of the same author [17] for first order linear delay differential equations with periodic coefficients.

**Theorem B:** Assume that  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}(k = 0, 1, ..., m)$  are periodic sequences with a common period L (where L is a positive integer) and that there exist positive integers  $v_1, ..., v_m$  such that

$$\ell_1 = v_1 L, \dots, \ell_m = v_m L.$$

Introduce the equation

$$F(\lambda) \equiv \lambda^{L} - \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(r) \lambda^{-\ell_{k}} \right) = 0, \qquad (*)$$

which is associated with (E). Then we have:

(i) A necessary condition for the oscillation of all solutions of (E) is that there is no root  $\lambda_0$ in (0,1) of (\*) with the property: If L > 1, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(r) \lambda_0^{-\ell_k} < 1 \quad (r = 1, ..., L-1).$$

(ii) A sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solutions of (E) is that (\*) has no roots in (0,1).

It is easy to see that Theorem A can be obtained from Theorem B for L = 1.

Our purpose in this paper is to examine the oscillation of the solutions of the general difference equation (E) in which the coefficients are variable (and not necessarily periodic). More precisely, our aim is to establish sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of (E) and also conditions under which (E) has at least one nonoscillatory solution. In the special case of the difference equation  $(E_0)$  in which the coefficients are constant, our results lead to Theorem A. Also, Theorem B can be obtained from the results of this paper by applying them to the special case where the coefficients are periodic with a common period and  $\ell_k (k = 1, ..., m)$  are multiples of this period. The application of our results to the above special cases of constant or periodic coefficients will be presented in Section 5. The results of the present paper are motivated by Theorems A and B as well as by the recent results of Philos [20] concerning the oscillation of first order delay differential equations with variable coefficients (see also [13] for similar results).

### 2. STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Our main results are Theorems 1 and 2 below. Theorem 1 provides sufficient conditions for

the oscillation of all solutions of the difference equation (E). Conditions under which (E) has at least one nonoscillatory solution are established by Theorem 2.

**Theorem 1:** Let L and  $v_k (k = 1, ..., m)$  be positive integers such that

$$\ell_1 = v_1 L, \dots, \ell_m = v_m L.$$

Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sum_{i=0}^{\ell_1} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i+n-\ell_1) > 0.$$
 (H<sub>1</sub>)

Suppose also that, for some integer  $\nu_0 \geq \ell_m$ ,

$$\sup_{n \ge v_0} \max_{1 \le k \le m} \max_{1 \le s \le v_k} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \right) < 1.$$
 (C<sub>0</sub>)

Moreover, assume that, for every  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , there exists an integer  $\nu \geq \ell_m$  such that

$$\lambda^{L} - \sup_{n \ge \nu_{0}} \max_{1 \le k \le m} \max_{1 \le s \le \nu_{k}} \prod_{r = (s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{k})\lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right) > 0.$$
 (C<sub>1</sub>)

Then all solutions of the difference equation (E) are oscillatory.

**Theorem 2:** Assume that there exists a  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  and an integer  $n_0 \ge 1 + \ell_m$  such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) \lambda^{-\ell_k} < 1 \text{ for every } n \ge 0, \tag{H}$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k(n+i) > 0 \text{ for all } n \ge n_0$$
 (H<sub>2</sub>)

and

$$\sup_{n \ge n_0} \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \left\{ -\lambda^{-\ell_k} + \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{\ell_k-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k)\lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) \right]^{-1} \right\} \le 0.$$
 (C<sub>2</sub>)

Then the difference equation (E) has a positive solution  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0 - \ell_m}$  on  $N_{n_0}$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = 0$  and such that

$$A_n \leq \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(r)\lambda^{-\ell_k}\right) \text{ for every } n \geq n_0 - \ell_m.$$

<u>Note</u>: In condition  $(C_2)$ , we have used the convention  $\prod_{\rho}^{\sigma} = 1$  when  $\sigma < \rho$ . This convention will also be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that the difference equation (E) has a nonoscillatory solution  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  on  $N_{n_0}$ , where  $n_0$  is a nonnegative integer. As the negative of a solution of (E) is also a solution of the same equation, we may (and do) assume that  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  is eventually positive. Furthermore, without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $A_n > 0$  for all  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$ . Then from (E) it follows that  $A_{n+1} - A_n \le 0$  for  $n \ge n_0$  and so the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0}$  is decreasing.

For any  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , we define

$$c_{\lambda}(n) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) \lambda^{-\ell_k}$$
 for  $n \ge 0$ .

Furthermore, we consider the set

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in (0,1): A_{n+1} - c_{\lambda}(n)A_n \leq 0 \text{ for all large } n\}.$$

The set  $\Lambda$  is nonempty. In fact, by taking into account the decreasing character of the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0}$ , from (E) we obtain for  $n \ge n_0 + \ell_m$ 

$$0 = A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n) A_{n-\ell_j} \ge A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n) A_n$$

and consequently

$$A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n)\right) A_n \le 0 \text{ for every } n \ge n_0 + \ell_m.$$
(1)

This in particular implies that

$$1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n) > 0 \text{ for every } n \ge n_0 + \ell_m.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Set

$$\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \sup_{n \ge \nu_0} \max_{1 \le k \le m} \max_{1 \le s \le \nu_k} \prod_{r = (s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{1/L}$$

Because of (2) and assumption  $(C_0)$ , we have  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . If  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , then from (1) we obtain for every integer n with  $n \ge max\{\nu_0, n_0 + 2\ell_m\}$ 

$$\frac{A_{n-\ell_{i}}}{A_{n}} \ge \left[\prod_{r=n-\ell_{i}}^{n-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r)\right)\right]^{-1} = \left[\prod_{r=0}^{\ell_{i}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{i})\right)\right]^{-1} = \left[\prod_{s=1}^{\nu_{i}} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{i})\right)\right]^{-1}$$

$$\geq \begin{bmatrix} \max_{1 \le s \le v_i} & \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_i) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{-v_i} \\ \geq \begin{bmatrix} \sup_{n \ge v_0} & \max_{1 \le k \le m} & \max_{1 \le s \le v_k} & \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \right) \end{bmatrix}^{-v_i} \\ = (\alpha^L)^{-v_i} = \alpha^{-v_iL} = \alpha^{-\ell_i}.$$

Therefore,

$$A_{n-\ell_i} \ge \alpha^{-\ell_i} A_n \text{ for } n \ge \max\{\nu_0, n_0 + 2\ell_m\} \quad (i = 0, 1, ..., m).$$

(The last inequality is obvious when i = 0.) Thus, (E) gives for every  $n \ge max\{\nu_0, n_0 + 2\ell_m\}$ 

$$0 = A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i(n)A_{n-\ell_i} \ge A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i(n)\alpha^{-\ell_i}\right)A_n$$
$$= A_{n+1} - c_{\alpha}(n)A_n.$$

This means that  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and so  $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ . We can immediately see that, if  $\lambda_1 \in \Lambda$ , then every number  $\lambda_2$  with  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1$  also belongs to  $\Lambda$ . So,  $\Lambda$  is a subinterval of the interval (0,1) and  $sup\Lambda = 1$ .

Next, we will establish that  $inf\Lambda > 0$ . By assumption  $(H_1)$ , there exist a constant  $\beta > 0$ and an integer  $n_1 \ge \ell_1$  so that

$$\sum_{i=n-\ell_1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell_1} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i+n-\ell_1) \ge \beta \text{ for } n \ge n_1.$$

Hence, for any  $n \ge n_1$ , we can choose an integer  $n^* \equiv n^*(n)$  with  $n - \ell_1 \le n^* \le n$  such that

$$\sum_{i=n-\ell_1}^{n^*} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i) \ge \frac{\beta}{2} \text{ and } \sum_{i=n^*}^n \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i) \ge \frac{\beta}{2}.$$
 (3)

Since the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0}$  is decreasing, from (E) it follows that

$$A_n - A_{n+1} \ge \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(n)\right] A_{n-\ell_1} \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 + \ell_m.$$

Thus, by using again the fact that  $(A_n)_{n\,\geq\,n_0}$  is a decreasing sequence, we obtain for  $n\geq n_0+\ell_m+\ell_1$ 

$$A_{n^*} > A_{n^*} - A_{n+1} = \sum_{i=n^*}^n (A_i - A_{i+1})$$
  
$$\geq \sum_{i=n^*}^n [\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i)] A_{i-\ell_1} \ge [\sum_{i=n^*k=1}^n p_k(i)] A_{n-\ell_1}.$$

Therefore, in view of (3), we derive

$$A_{n^*} > \frac{\beta}{2} \quad A_{n-\ell_1} \text{ for every } n \ge \max\{n_1, n_0 + \ell_m + \ell_1\}.$$
(4)

Furthermore, consider an arbitrary element  $\lambda$  of  $\Lambda$ . Then there exists an integer  $n_{\lambda} \ge n_0$  such that

$$A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n)\lambda^{-\ell_k}\right) A_n \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_\lambda.$$

This gives

$$A_n - A_{n+1} \ge \left[\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(n)\right] \lambda^{-\ell_1} A_n \text{ for all } n \ge n_{\lambda}.$$

Hence, by the decreasing nature of the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0}$ , we obtain for  $n \ge n_{\lambda} + \ell_1$ 

$$A_{n-\ell_{1}} > A_{n-\ell_{1}} - A_{n^{*}+1} = \sum_{i=n-\ell_{1}}^{n^{*}} \left(A_{i} - A_{i+1}\right)$$
  
$$\geq \left\{ \sum_{i=n-\ell_{1}}^{n^{*}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(i)\right]A_{i}\right\} \lambda^{-\ell_{1}} \geq \left[\sum_{i=n-\ell_{1}}^{n^{*}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(i)\right]A_{n^{*}} \lambda^{-\ell_{1}}.$$

So, by (3), we have

$$A_{n-\ell_1} > \frac{\beta}{2} \lambda^{-\ell_1} A_{n^*} \text{ for all } n \ge \max\{n_1, n_\lambda + \ell_1\}.$$
(5)

Combining (4) and (5), we conclude that

$$1 > \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^2 \lambda^{-\ell_1} \text{ or } \lambda > \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2/\ell_1}$$

Thus, as  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary element of  $\Lambda$ , we see that the positive number  $\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2/\ell_1}$  is a lower bound of  $\Lambda$  and so  $inf\Lambda > 0$ .

Now, we set  $inf \Lambda_0 = \lambda_0 \in (0,1)$  and we consider an arbitrary integer  $\nu \ge \ell_m$ . Moreover, we consider an arbitrary number  $\theta$  in the interval  $(\lambda_0, 1)$  and we put  $\gamma = \lambda_0/\theta$ . Then  $\lambda_0 < \gamma < 1$  and consequently  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Hence, there exists an integer  $n_{\gamma} \ge n_0$  so that

$$A_{n+1} - c_{\gamma}(n)A_n \leq 0$$
 for all  $n \geq n_{\gamma}$ 

i.e.

$$A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n)\gamma^{-\ell_j}\right)A_n \le 0 \text{ for } n \ge n_{\gamma}.$$
(6)

This in particular implies that

$$1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(n) \gamma^{-\ell_j} > 0 \text{ for every } n \ge n_{\gamma}.$$
(7)

Define

$$q = \begin{bmatrix} \sup_{n \ge \nu} \max_{1 \le k \le m} & \max_{1 \le s \le \nu_k} & \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k)\gamma^{-\ell_j}\right) \end{bmatrix}^{1/L}.$$

By taking into account (7), we can see that q is a positive number. Furthermore, if  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ , then from (6) it follows that for every  $n \ge max\{\nu, n_{\gamma} + \ell_m\}$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{A_{n-\ell_{i}}}{A_{n}} &\geq \left[ \prod_{r=n-\ell_{i}}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r)\gamma^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{-1} = \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{\ell_{i}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{i})\gamma^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[ \prod_{s=1}^{v_{i}} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{i})\gamma^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &\geq \left[ \max_{1 \leq s \leq v_{i}} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{i})\gamma^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{-v_{i}} \\ &\geq \left[ \sup_{n \geq \nu} \max_{1 \leq k \leq m-1} \max_{1 \leq s \leq v_{k}} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{k})\gamma^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{-v_{i}} \\ &= \left( q^{L} \right)^{-v_{i}} = q^{-v_{i}L} = q^{-\ell_{i}}. \end{split}$$

So, we have

$$A_{n-\ell_{i}} \ge q^{-\ell_{i}} A_{n} \text{ for } n \ge \max\{\nu, n_{\gamma} + \ell_{m}\} \quad (i = 0, 1, ..., m).$$
(8)

(For i = 0 the last inequality is obvious.) We now claim that

$$q \ge \lambda_0. \tag{9}$$

If  $q \ge 1$ , then (9) is obvious. So, let us assume that 0 < q < 1. By using (8), from (E) we obtain for  $n \ge max\{\nu, n_{\gamma} + \ell_m\}$ 

$$0 = A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i(n)A_{n-\ell_i} \ge A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i(n)q^{-\ell_i}\right)A_n$$
$$A_{n+1} - \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m} p_i(n)q^{\ell_i}\right)A_n$$
$$= A_{n+1} - c_q(n)A_n,$$

which means that  $q \in \Lambda$  and consequently  $q \ge \lambda_0$ . Thus, (9) is true. Finally, (9) gives

$$\sup_{\substack{n \geq \nu \\ n \geq \nu}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \max_{1 \leq s \leq v_k} \prod_{\substack{r=(s-1)L \\ r=(s-1)L}}^{sL-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k)\gamma^{-\ell_j}\right) \geq \lambda_0^L,$$

namely

$$\sup_{\substack{n \geq \nu \\ n \geq \nu}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \max_{1 \leq s \leq v_k} \prod_{\substack{r=(s-1)L}}^{sL-1} \left(1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k)\lambda_0^{-\ell_j} \ell_j\right) \geq \lambda_0^L$$

Therefore, as  $\theta \rightarrow 1 - 0$ , we obtain

$$\sup_{n \ge \nu} \max_{1 \le k \le m} \max_{1 \le s \le v_k} \prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j (r+n-\ell_k) \lambda_0^{-\ell_j} \right) \ge \lambda_0^L.$$
(10)

We have thus proved that there exists a number  $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$  such that, for every integer  $\nu \geq \ell_m$ , (10) holds. This contradicts the assumption that, for every  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , there exists an integer  $\nu \geq \ell_m$  so that  $(C_1)$  is satisfied. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

# 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

To prove Theorem 2 we will make use of the following result, which is interesting in its own right.

**Lemma:** Let  $(B_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  be a positive solution on  $N_{n_0}$ , where  $n_0$  is a nonnegative integer, of the difference inequality

$$B_{n+1} - B_n + \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) B_{n-\ell_k} \le 0.$$
 (I)

Moreover, assume that condition  $(H_2)$  is satisfied.

Then there exists a positive solution  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  on  $N_{n_0}$  of the difference equation (E) with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = 0$  and such that

$$A_n \leq B_n$$
 for every  $n \geq n_0 - \ell_m$ .

Note: If  $n_0$  is a nonnegative integer, by a solution on  $N_{n_0}$  of the difference inequality (I) we mean a sequence  $(B_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  which satisfies (I) for all  $n \ge n_0$ . A solution  $(B_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  on  $N_{n_0}$  of (I) is said to be positive if  $B_n > 0$  for every  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$ .

**Proof of the Lemma:** The method of proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 in [10] concerning a special case (see also Lemma in Section 3 of [16]).

From (I) we obtain for  $\nu \ge n \ge n_0$ 

$$B_n > -(B_{\nu+1} - B_n) = -\sum_{j=n}^{\nu} (B_{j+1} - B_j) \ge \sum_{j=n}^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(j) B_{j-\ell_k}$$

and consequently

$$B_n \ge \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(j) B_{j-\ell_k} \text{ for all } n \ge n_0.$$

$$\tag{11}$$

Consider the space  $\mathcal{A}$  of all sequences  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  satisfying

$$A_n = B_n$$
 for  $n_0 - \ell_m \le n < n_0$ , and  $0 \le A_n \le B_n$  for  $n \ge n_0$ .

For any sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0 - \ell_m}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we define

$$SA_n = \begin{cases} B_n, \text{ if } n_0 - \ell_m \le n < n_0 \\ \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(j)A_{j-\ell_k}, \text{ if } n \ge n_0 \end{cases}$$

and, by using (11), we see that  $(SA_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  is a sequence in the space  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus, the above formula defines an operator  $S: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ . We can easily see that this operator is monotonic in the following sense: If  $(A_n^1)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  and  $(A_n^2)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  are two sequences in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $A_n^1 \le A_n^2$  for every  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$ ,

then we also have

$$SA_n^1 \leq SA_n^2$$
 for all  $n \geq n_0 - \ell_m$ 

Now, we introduce the sequence  $((A_n^r)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m)_{r \ge 0}$  of points in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is defined by

$$A_n^0 = B_n \text{ for } n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$$

and

$$A_n^r = S A_n^{r-1}$$
 for  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$   $(r = 1, 2, ...)$ .

It follows easily that

$$A_n^0 \ge A_n^1 \ge A_n^2 \ge \dots$$
 for  $n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$ 

and so we can define

$$A_n = \lim_{r \to \infty} A_n^r \text{ for } n \ge n_0 - \ell_m$$

Then we observe that

$$0 \leq A_n \leq B_n$$
 for all  $n \geq n_0 - \ell_m$ 

Moreover, we can obtain

$$A_n = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(j) A_{j-\ell_k} \text{ for } n \ge n_0.$$

This gives

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = 0$$

and

$$A_{n+1} - A_n = -\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) A_{n-\ell_k}$$
 for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

The last equation means that the sequence  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  is a solution on  $N_{n_0}$  of the difference equation (E). We see that  $A_n = B_n > 0$  for  $n_0 - \ell_m \le n < n_0$ . It remains to show that  $A_n$  is also positive for  $n \ge n_0$ . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $N \ge n_0$  is the first zero of  $(A_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$ . That is,

$$A_n > 0$$
 for  $n_0 - \ell_m \le n < N$ , and  $A_N = 0$ .

Then, by using the hypothesis  $(H_2)$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq A_{N+\ell_{1}} = A_{N+\ell_{1}} - A_{N} = \sum_{\mu=N}^{N+\ell_{1}-1} (A_{\mu+1} - A_{\mu})$$
  
$$= -\sum_{\mu=N}^{N+\ell_{1}-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(\mu)A_{\mu-\ell_{k}} \leq -\sum_{\mu=N}^{N+\ell_{1}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(\mu)A_{\mu-\ell_{k}}$$
  
$$\leq -\left( \min_{N \leq \mu \leq N+\ell_{1}-1} \min_{1 \leq k \leq m} A_{\mu-\ell_{k}} \right) \sum_{\mu=N}^{N+\ell_{1}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(\mu)$$
  
$$\leq -\left( \min_{N-\ell_{m} \leq \rho \leq N-1} A_{\rho} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell_{1}-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(N+i) < 0.$$

This is a contradiction and hence the proof of our lemma is complete.

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 2. Define

$$B_n = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} c_{\lambda}(r) \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

where

$$c_{\lambda}(n) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(n) \lambda^{-\ell_{k}} \text{ for } n \ge 0.$$

By assumption (H), we can see that

 $B_n > 0$  for every  $n \ge 1$ .

Next, by using condition  $(C_2)$ , we obtain for every  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$\begin{split} B_{n+1} - B_n + \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) B_{n-\ell_k} &= \\ &= [c_\lambda(n) - 1] \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} c_\lambda(r) + \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \prod_{r=0}^{n-\ell_k - 1} c_\lambda(r) \\ &= \Big\{ c_\lambda(n) - 1 + \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \left[ \prod_{r=n-\ell_k}^{n-1} c_\lambda(r) \right]^{-1} \Big\}_{r=0}^{n-1} c_\lambda(r) \\ &= \Big\{ - \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \lambda^{-\ell_k} + \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \left[ \prod_{r=n-\ell_k}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r) \lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) \right]^{-1} \Big\}_{n} \\ &= B_n \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \Big\{ - \lambda^{-\ell_k} + \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r) \lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) \right]^{-1} \Big\} \\ &= B_n \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \Big\{ - \lambda^{-\ell_k} + \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) \right]^{-1} \Big\} \\ &\leq B_n \sup_{n \ge n_0} \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \Big\{ - \lambda^{-\ell_k} + \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{\ell_k - 1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) \right]^{-1} \Big\} \\ &\leq 0. \end{split}$$

Thus, the sequence  $(B_n)_{n \ge n_0} - \ell_m$  is a positive solution on  $N_{n_0}$  of the difference inequality (I). So, it suffices to apply our lemma to complete the proof of Theorem 2.

# 5. <u>APPLICATION OF THE MAIN RESULTS TO THE SPECIAL CASES OF</u> <u>CONSTANT OR PERIODIC COEFFICIENTS</u>

In this section, we shall apply our results to the special cases where the coefficients in the difference equation (E) are constant or periodic. More precisely, we will show that Theorems A and

B can be obtained from Theorems 1 and 2.

The case of constant coefficients: Consider the special case where the coefficients are constant, i.e., the case of the autonomous difference equation  $(E_0)$ .

Assume first that the characteristic equation  $(*)_0$  of  $(E_0)$  has no roots in (0,1). Since  $F_0(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_k > 0$ , we must have

$$\lambda - 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{m} P_k \lambda^{-\ell_k} > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0,1).$$
(12)

In order to apply here Theorem 1, we choose  $L = 1, v_k = \ell_k (k = 1, ..., m)$ , and  $\nu_0 = \nu = \ell_m$ . Then we can immediately see that conditions  $(H_1)$  and  $(C_0)$  hold by themselves. Moreover, one can verify that, for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , condition  $(C_1)$  is satisfied because of (12). Thus, Theorem 1 can be applied to guarantee the oscillation of all solutions of  $(E_0)$ .

Suppose, conversely, that  $(*)_0$  has a root  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ . Then  $\sum_{k=0}^m P_k \lambda^{-\ell_k} = 1 - \lambda < 1$  and so (H) is true. Choose  $n_0 = 1 + \ell_m$ . We can see that  $(H_2)$  holds by itself. Furthermore, assumption  $(H_2)$  takes the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} P_{k} \left[ -\lambda^{-\ell_{k}} + \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} P_{j} \lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right)^{-\ell_{k}} \right] \leq 0.$$

This inequality is satisfied, since  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  is a root of  $(*)_0$ . So, Theorem 2 ensures that  $(E_0)$  has a nonoscillatory solution.

The case of periodic coefficients: Assume that the sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., m) are periodic with a common period L (where L is a positive integer) and that there exist positive integers  $v_k$  (k = 1, ..., m) such that

$$\ell_1 = v_1 L, \dots, \ell_m = v_m L.$$

Let there exist a root  $\lambda \in (0,1)$  of the equation (\*) with the property: If L > 1, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(r)\lambda^{-\ell_{k}} < 1 \quad (r = 1, ..., L-1).$$

Then from (\*) it follows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(r) \lambda^{-\ell_{k}} < 1 \text{ for all } r \in \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}.$$

This means that (H) holds, since the sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 0, 1, ..., m) are L-periodic. We now choose  $n_0 = 1 + \ell_m$ . Since the sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 1, ..., m) are L-periodic and not identically zero and  $\ell_1 = v_1 L$ , we can easily verify that condition  $(H_2)$  is also satisfied.

Furthermore, by taking into account the fact that  $(p_j(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (j = 0, 1, ..., m) are *L*-periodic sequences and that  $\ell_k = v_k L$  (k = 1, ..., m), we obtain for any  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and for every  $n \ge n_0$ 

$$\prod_{r=0}^{\ell_{k}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r+n-\ell_{k})\lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right) = \prod_{r=n-\ell_{k}}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r)\lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{r=0}^{\ell_{k}-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r)\lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right) = \left[ \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j}(r)\lambda^{-\ell_{j}} \right) \right]^{\nu_{k}}.$$

Thus, condition  $(C_2)$  becomes

$$\sup_{n \ge n_0} \sum_{k=0}^m p_k(n) \Big\{ -(\lambda^L)^{-v_k} + \big[ \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \Big( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^m p_j(r) \lambda^{-\ell_j} \Big) \big]^{-v_k} \Big\} \le 0,$$

which is true since  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  is a root of (\*). So, by Theorem 2, the difference equation (E) admits a nonoscillatory solution.

Now, let us suppose that the equation (\*) has no roots in the interval (0,1). Moreover, assume for the sake of contradiction that (E) has at least one nonoscillatory solution. Then, as in the proof of Theorem 1 (cf. inequality (2)), we can verify that

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) > 0 \text{ for all large } n.$$

This means that

$$1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(r) > 0 \text{ for } r = 0, 1, \dots, L-1.$$

Furthermore, since the sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 1, ..., m) are L-periodic and not identically zero, we can see that

$$\prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(r) \right) < 1.$$
(13)

This gives F(1) > 0 and so, as  $F(\lambda) = 0$  has no roots in (0, 1), we always have

$$\lambda^{L} - \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_{k}(r) \lambda^{-\ell_{k}} \right) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in (0,1).$$
(14)

We will apply Theorem 1. Since the sequences  $(p_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 1, ..., m) are L-periodic and not identically zero, we have for every  $n \ge \ell_1$ 

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\ell_1} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i+n-\ell_1) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{\ell_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(i+n-\ell_1) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\ell_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(\mu) > 0$$

and hence  $(H_1)$  is fulfilled. Next, we choose  $\nu_0 = \nu = \ell_m$ . By using again the *L*-periodicity of the sequences  $(p_j(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (j = 0, 1, ..., m) and the fact that  $\ell_k = v_k L$ , we obtain for all  $n \ge \nu_0$ ,  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $s \in \{1, ..., v_k\}$ 

$$\prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \right) = \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r+n-\ell_k) \right)$$
$$= \prod_{r=n-\ell_k}^{n-\ell_k+L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r) \right) = \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r) \right).$$

So, assumption  $(C_0)$  holds because of (13). In a similar way, we can see that for every  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ 

$$\prod_{r=(s-1)L}^{sL-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r+n-\ell_k)\lambda^{-\ell_j} \right) = \prod_{r=0}^{L-1} \left( 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_j(r)\lambda^{-\ell_j} \right)$$

for  $n \ge \nu$ ,  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$  and  $s \in \{1, ..., v_k\}$ . Thus, in view of (14), condition  $(C_1)$  is satisfied for each  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . So, we can apply Theorem 1 to conclude that (E) has no nonoscillatory solutions, a contradiction.

#### 6. <u>A BRIEF DISCUSSION</u>

Concerning Theorem 1 it remains an open question to the authors if an analogous oscillation result can be obtained without the restriction that there exist positive integers L and  $v_k$ (k = 1, ..., m) so that  $\ell_1 = v_1 L, ..., \ell_m = v_m L$ . Furthermore, it is an open problem to extend the results of this paper to the more general case of difference equations of the form

$$A_{n+1} - A_n + \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) A_{n-\ell_k(n)} = 0,$$

where  $\ell_0(n) = 0$  for  $n \ge 0$ , and  $(\ell_k(n))_{n \ge 0}$  (k = 1, ..., m) are sequences of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(n-\ell_k(n))=\infty \quad (k=1,\ldots,m).$$

Equation (E) is also called a delay difference equation. It will be the subject of a future work to present an analogous investigation with that of this paper for the advanced difference equation

$$A_{n+1} - A_n - \sum_{k=0}^{m} p_k(n) A_{n+\ell_k} = 0$$

(see [15] for the case of advanced difference equations with constant coefficients).

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] O. Arino, I. Györi, and A. Jawhari, "Oscillation criteria in delay equations", J. Differential Equations, 53 (1984), pp. 115-123.
- [2] L.H. Erbe and B.G. Zhang, "Oscillation of discrete analogues of delay equations", Differential and Integral Equations, 2 (1989), pp. 300-309.
- [3] I. Györi, "Sharp conditions for existence of nontrivial invariant cones of nonnegative initial values of difference equations", Appl. Math. Comput., 36 (1990), pp. 89-111.
- [4] I. Györi and G. Ladas, "Linearized oscillations for equations with piecewise constant arguments", Differential and Integral Equations, 2 (1989), pp. 123-131.
- [5] I. Györi, G. Ladas, and L. Pakula, "Conditions for oscillation of difference equations with applications to equations with piecewise constant arguments", SIAM J. Math. Anal., to appear.
- [6] G. Karakostas, Ch.G. Philos, and Y.G. Sficas, "The dynamics of some discrete population models", *Nonlinear Anal.*, to appear.
- [7] G. Ladas, "Oscillations of equations with piecewise constant mixed arguments", Inter. Conference on Theory and Applications of Differential Equations, March 21-25, 1988, Ohio University, to be published by Marcel Dekker, Inc..
- [8] G. Ladas, "Explicit conditions for the oscillation of difference equations", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 153 (1990), pp. 276-287.
- [9] G. Ladas, Ch.G. Philos, and Y.G. Sficas, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillation of difference equations", *Libertas Math.*, 9 (1989), pp. 121-125.
- [10] G. Ladas, Ch.G. Philos, and Y.G. Sficas, "Sharp conditions for the oscillation of delay difference equations", J. Appl. Math. Simulation, 2 (1989), pp. 101-112.
- [11] G. Ladas, Y.G. Sficas, and I.P. Stavroulakis, "Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations", Amer. Math. Monthly, 90 (1983), pp. 637-640; see also "Necessary and sufficient conditions for oscillations of higher order delay differential equations", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 285 (1984), pp. 81-90.
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, "Theory of Difference Equations: Numerical Methods and Applications", Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [13] V.A. Nadareishvili, "Oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of first-order linear differential equations with deviating arguments", Differential inye Uravneniya, 25 (1989), pp. 611-616 (Differential Equations, 25 (1989), pp. 412-417).
- [14] E.G. Partheniadis, "Stability and oscillation of neutral delay differential equations with piecewise constant argument", *Differential and Integral Equations*, 1 (1988),

pp. 459-472.

- [15] Ch.G. Philos, "Oscillations in certain difference equations", Utilitas Math., in press.
- [16] Ch.G. Philos, "On oscillations of some difference equations", Funkcial. Ekvac., to appear.
- [17] Ch.G. Philos, "On the oscillation of differential equations with periodic coefficients", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., in press.
- [18] Ch.G. Philos, "Oscillations in difference equations with periodic coefficients", Arch. Math., to appear.
- [19] Ch.G. Philos, "Oscillations in a nonautonomous delay logistic difference equation", Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2), to appear.
- [20] Ch.G. Philos, "Oscillation for first order linear delay differential equations with variable coefficients", Funkcial. Ekvac., to appear.
- [21] Ch.G. Philos and Y.G. Sficas, "Positive solutions of difference equations", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 108 (1990), pp. 107-115.
- [22] M.I. Tramov, "Conditions for oscillatory solutions of first order differential equations with a delayed argument", *Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved., Matematica*, 19 (1975), pp. 92-96.