A STOCHASTIC MODEL FOR THE FINANCIAL MARKET WITH DISCONTINUOUS PRICES¹

LEDA D. MINKOVA

Technical University of Sofia Institute of Applied Mathematics and Informatics P.O. Box 384, 1000 Sofia, Bulgaria

(Received May, 1994; Revised January, 1996)

ABSTRACT

This paper models some situations occurring in the financial market. The asset prices evolve according to a stochastic integral equation driven by a Gaussian martingale. A portfolio process is constrained in such a way that the wealth process covers some obligation. A solution to a linear stochastic integral equation is obtained in a class of cadlag stochastic processes.

Key words: Contingent Claim Valuation, Representation of Martingales, Stochastic Integral Equation, Option Pricing, Portfolio Processes.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications: 60H20, 60H30.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we model investments of an economic agent whose decisions cannot affect market prices (a "small investor").

Karatzas and Shreve in [7] considered a market model in which prices evolve according to a stochastic differential equation, driven by Brownian motion. Aase [1] and M. Picqué and M. Pontier [9] studied a more general model in which the evolution of asset prices is a combination of a continuous process based on Brownian motion (a semimartingale) and a Poisson point process.

The security price model that we use is a linear stochastic equation driven by a Gaussian martingale. This is a natural generalization, because the market is not continuous and the Brownian motion cannot model jump processes. Moreover, the instants of jumps of a Gaussian martingale are nonrandom.

The techniques we use include the martingale representation theorem and the Girsanov's type theorem. We also find a solution to a linear stochastic integral equation.

2. The Model

We consider a model of a security market where an economic agent is allowed to trade continuously up to some fixed planning horizon $0 \le T < \infty$. We shall denote by X_t the wealth of this

 $^{^{1}{\}rm This}$ work was supported by the National Science Foundation of Bulgaria, Grant No. MM-440/94.

Printed in the U.S.A. ©1996 by North Atlantic Science Publishing Company

agent at time t. Let the process $\mathbf{M} = (M_t, F_t, 0 \le t \le T)$ be a Gaussian martingale on a fixed probability space (Ω, F, \mathbf{P}) and the filtration $\mathbf{F} = \{F_t, 0 \le t \le T\}$ be the augmentation under \mathbf{P} of a natural filtration $F_t^M = \sigma(M_s, 0 \le s \le t), 0 \le t < \infty$. F_0 contains the null sets of \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{F} is right continuous. $\langle M \rangle_t = EM_t^2, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ is the square characteristic of \mathbf{M} .

Let us suppose that the agent invests in two assets (or "securities"). One of the assets, called *bond*, has a finite variation on [0, T], and its price model is

$$P_0(t) = \int_{(0,t]} P_0(s-)r(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s, \ P_0(0) = p_0, \ 0 \le t \le T.$$

The other one, called *stock*, is "risky". Its price is modeled by the linear stochastic equation

$$P(t) = \int_{(0,t]} P(s-)A(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s + \int_{(0,t]} P(s-)\sigma(s-)dM_s, \quad P(0) = p.$$

Here the interest rate process r(t) > 0, $0 \le t < \infty$ of the bond, the appreciation rate process A(t) of the stock, and volatility process $\sigma(t) > 0$, $0 \le t < \infty$ will all be nonrandom, *F*-predictable processes such that

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} r^2(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \quad \int_{(0,\infty)} A^2(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty,$$

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} \sigma^2(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \quad \text{P-a.s.}$$
(1)

In addition, $A(t-)\Delta \langle M \rangle_t + \sigma(t-)\Delta M_t > -1$, $t \in (0,T]$, to ensure a limited liability of the stock.

Let m(t) denote the number of stocks held at time t. Then the amount invested in the stocks is

$$\Pi(t) = m(t)P(t).$$

The process $(\Pi(t), F_t)$, $0 \le t \le T$ describes the investment policy and will be called a *portfolio* process. It is assumed to be measurable, F_t -predictable and

$$\int_{(0,T]} \Pi^2(s-) d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \quad \text{P-a.s.}$$
(2)

for every finite number T > 0. Note that II(t) can be negative, which amounts to selling the stock short.

On the other hand, C(t), $0 \le t \le T$ is a non-negative consumption process, assumed to be nondecreasing and F_t -predictable, such that

$$\int_{(0,T]} C(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \quad \text{P-a.s.}$$
(3)

for every finite number T > 0.

The quantity

$$\Pi_0(t) = X_t - \Pi(t),$$

is invested in the bond at any particular time and may also become negative. This is to be interpreted as borrowing at the interest rate r(t).

We assume now that the investor starts with some initial wealth $x \ge 0$, and the wealth at time t satisfies the linear stochastic equation

$$\begin{split} X_t &= \int_{(0,t]} \Pi(s-)\sigma(s-)dM_s + \int_{(0,t]} \Pi(s-)[A(s-)-r(s-)]d\langle M \rangle_s \\ &+ \int_{(0,t]} [X_{s-}r(s-)-C(s-)]d\langle M \rangle_s, \ 0 < t \leq T; \\ X(0) &= x. \end{split}$$
(4)

Conditions (1), (2), and (3) ensure that the stochastic equation (4) has a unique solution in the class of cadlag adapted processes (see Section 5 and Theorem 3).

3. Characterization of the Portfolio Process

If A(t) = r(t) for every $t \in [0, \infty)$, the drift

$$\int_{(0,t]} \Pi(s-)[A(s-)-r(s-)]d\langle M\rangle_s$$

vanishes from the right-hand side of (4). When $A(t) \neq r(t)$ we introduce a new probability measure $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ which removes this drift.

Let us denote by ϕ_t the solution of the equation

$$\begin{split} \phi_t &= 1 - \int\limits_{(0,\,t]} \phi_{s\,-}\,\theta(s\,-\,)dM_s, \ \ 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ \theta(t) &= \frac{A(t) - r(t)}{\sigma(t)}. \end{split}$$

where

From our assumptions on A, r, and σ , it follows that $\theta(t)$ is bounded, measurable and adapted to $\{F_t - \}$. Then the exponential supermartingale

$$\phi_t = \exp\left[-\int\limits_{(0,t]} \theta(s-)dM_s^c - \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{(0,t]} \theta^2(s-)d\langle M^c\rangle_s\right] \cdot \prod_{s \le t} [1-\theta(s-)\Delta M_s],$$

is actually a martingale, where

$$\Delta M_{t} = M_{t} - M_{t} - \neq \frac{\sigma(t-)}{A(t-) - r(t-)} \text{ for } 0 < t \le T.$$

Here M_t^c and $\langle M^c \rangle_t$ are the continuous parts of the processes M_t and $\langle M \rangle_t$, respectively, for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

We define the new probability measure $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$:

$$\overline{\mathbf{P}}(A) = \mathbf{E}(\phi_T \mathbf{I}_A), \ A \in F_T \text{ on } (\Omega, F).$$

The probability measures **P** and $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ are mutually absolutely continuous on F_T .

The process

$$\bar{M}_t = M_t + \int_{(0,t]} \theta(s-) d\langle M \rangle_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
(6)

is a $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -Gaussian martingale [8], and

$$(\langle \bar{M} \rangle_t, \bar{\mathbf{P}}) \equiv (\langle M \rangle_t, \mathbf{P}), \ 0 \le t \le T$$

With respect to a new probability measure, equation (4) can be rewritten as

$$X_{t} = \int_{(0,t]} \Pi(s-)\sigma(s-)d\bar{M}_{s} + \int_{(0,t]} [X_{s-}r(s-) - C(s-)]d\langle \bar{M} \rangle_{s}, 0 < t \le T,$$

$$X(0) = x$$
(7)

and the solution (see Section 5) for $0 \le t \le T$, leads to

where
$$\frac{X_t}{\Phi(t)} + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\langle \bar{M} \rangle_s = x + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{\Pi(s-)\sigma(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\bar{M}_s, \qquad (8)$$

$$\Phi(t) = \exp\left[\int_{(0,t]} r(s-)d\langle M^c \rangle_s\right] \cdot \prod_{s \leq t} [1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]$$

is a unique strong solution of the homogeneous equation corresponding to (7):

$$\Phi(t) = 1 + \int_{(0,t]} \Phi(s-)r(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s.$$

If we suppose that $1 + r(s -)\Delta \langle M \rangle_s \leq 0$ for some $s \in S$, then $\Delta \langle M \rangle_s \leq -\frac{1}{r(s -)}$. But this is impossible if r(s) is nonnegative. Consequently, $1 + r(s -)\Delta \langle M \rangle_s > 0$ for every $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Let us notice also that

$$\inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} |\Phi(t)| > 0.$$

The right-hand side of (8) is a $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -local martingale. If (Π, C) is an admissible pair (i.e., $X_t \ge 0, 0 \le t \le T$ a.s.), the left-hand side is nonnegative, consequently it is a nonnegative supermartingale under $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$. From the supermartingale property we obtain that

$$\bar{\mathbf{E}} \quad \left[\frac{X_T}{\Phi(T)} + \int_{(0,T]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\langle \bar{M} \rangle_s \right] \le x,$$
(10)

where $\overline{\mathbf{E}}$ denotes the expectation operator under measure $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$.

This condition is also sufficient for the admissibility in the sense of the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Suppose that $x \ge 0$ and B_T is a nonnegative F_T -measurable random variable, such that

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B_T}{\Phi(T)} + \int_{(0,T]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\langle \overline{M} \rangle_s \right] \le x.$$
(11)

Then there exists a portfolio process Π such that the pair (Π, C) is admissible for the initial endowment x and the terminal wealth X_T is at least B_T .

Proof: It is obvious that we can assume equality to hold in (11).

Let us define the nonnegative process

$$\overline{\mu}_{t} = \overline{\mathbf{E}} \Biggl[\frac{B_{T}}{\Phi(T)} + \int_{(0,T]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M \rangle_{s}]} d\langle \overline{M} \rangle_{s} \Biggl| F_{t} \Biggr],$$

$$\overline{\mu}_{0} = x,$$
(12)

which is a $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -martingale and has "cadlag" paths.

Define the process μ_t , $0 \le t \le T$ by

$$\mu_{t} = \bar{\mu}_{t} + \int_{(0,t]} \phi_{s-}^{-1} d\langle \phi, \bar{\mu} \rangle_{s},$$
(13)

where ϕ_t is the density (5). It is well known that the process μ_t is a P-martingale [5], $\mu_0 = \overline{\mu}_0$, and $\langle \mu \rangle = \langle \overline{\mu} \rangle$.

Now by the martingale representation theorem [8], if (\mathbf{M}, μ) is a Gaussian process, there exists an F_t -predictable measurable process h(s), such that

$$\int_{(0,T]} h^2(s-) d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \quad \text{P-a.s}$$

for every finite T > 0 and

$$\mu_t = \mu_0 + \int_{(0,t]} h(s-) dM_s, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(14)

The process (13) can be represented as

$$\mu_t = \overline{\mu}_t - \int_{(0,t]} \theta(s-)h(s-)d\langle M \rangle_s, \ 0 \le t \le T.$$
(15)

From equalities (6), (14), and (15) it follows that

$$\overline{\mu}_{t} = \mu_{t} + \int_{(0,t]} \theta(s-)h(s-)d\langle M \rangle_{s}$$

$$= \mu_{0} + \int_{(0,t]} h(s-)[d\overline{M}_{s} - \theta(s-)d\langle M \rangle_{s}] + \int_{(0,t]} \theta(s-)h(s-)d\langle M \rangle_{s} \qquad (16)$$

$$= \mu_{0} + \int_{(0,t]} h(s-)d\overline{M}_{s}.$$

Now,

$$\Pi(t-) = \frac{h(t-)\Phi(t-)[1+r(t-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_t]}{\sigma(t-)}, \ 0 < t \le T$$
(17)

is a well-defined portfolio process.

From (12), (16), and (17), we get

$$\overline{\mu}_{t} = \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B_{T}}{\Phi(T)} + \int_{(0,T]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_{s}]} d\langle \overline{M} \rangle_{s} \middle| F_{t} \right]$$

$$= x + \int_{(0,t]} h(s-)d\overline{M}_{s}.$$
(18)

By using (18) and (8), we obtain

$$\overline{\mu}_t = \frac{X_t^{\Pi,C,x}}{\Phi(t)} + \int_{(0,t]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\langle \overline{M} \rangle_s,$$
(19)

where $X_t^{\Pi,C,x}$ is a solution of equation (7) for the pair (II, C) and the initial capital $x \ge 0$.

Now, from (18) and (19), it follows that

$$\frac{X_t^{\Pi,C,x}}{\Phi(t)} = \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B_T}{\Phi(T)} + \int_{(t,T]} \frac{C(s-)}{\Phi(s-)[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M \rangle_s} d\langle \overline{M} \rangle_s \middle| F_t \right].$$
(20)
, $X_t^{\Pi,C,x}$ is nonnegative and (Π,C) is an admissible strategy.

Consequently, $X_t^{\Pi,C,x}$ is nonnegative and (Π,C) is an admissible strategy.

4. Valuation of Contingent Claim

Definition: A contingent claim is a nonnegative F_T -measurable random variable B that satisfies

$$0 < \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \right] \le x.$$

The hedging price of this contingent claim is defined by

$$U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \left\{ x > 0, \exists (\Pi, C) - \text{admissible, such that } X_T^{\Pi, C, x} \ge B \text{ P-a.s.} \right\}.$$

Theorem 2: The value of the contingent claim is attained and

$$U = \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \right].$$

Proof: Let us suppose that $X_T^{\Pi,C,x} \ge B$ a.s. for some value of x > 0 and a suitable pair (Π, C) . Then from (10) it follows that

$$\overline{\mathbf{E}}\left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)}\right] \leq \overline{\mathbf{E}}\left[\frac{X_T^{\Pi,C,x}}{\Phi(T)}\right] \leq x$$

Consequently, $z = \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \right] \leq U$.

Let us define the nonnegative random process

$$X_0(t) = \Phi(t) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \middle| F_t \right], \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$

where $\bar{m}_t = \bar{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \middle| F_t \right]$ is a $\bar{\mathbf{P}}$ -Gaussian martingale, such that $\bar{m}_0 = \bar{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{B}{\Phi(T)} \right]$.

Analogously to the proof of the Theorem 1, we can apply the generalized Girsanov's theorem and the martingale representation theorem.

By comparing the processes

and
$$\frac{X_t^{\Pi,0,x}}{\Phi(t)}$$
 we obtain that
$$\frac{X_0(t)}{\Phi(t)} = z + \int_{(0,t]} h(s-)d\bar{M}_s$$
$$X_0(t) \equiv X_t^{\Pi,0,z}, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$
(21)

Consequently, $z \geq U$.

Remark 1: Let us note that (21) yields

$$X_0(T) \equiv X_T^{\prod, 0, z} = B, \text{ a.s.},$$

i.e., the contingent claim is attained with the initial capital U, portfolio Π , and zero consumption. This fact could be used as a starting point for solving appropriate optimal problems.

Remark 2: If $\langle M \rangle_t \equiv t$, we have (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{P}) and $(\mathbf{\overline{M}}, \mathbf{\overline{P}})$ (standard) Wiener processes, and \mathbb{S} empty. Then Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 reduce to the results of Karatzas and Shreve [7] and Cvitanić and Karatzas [2].

Corollary: Let $C(t) \equiv 0$ and let the agent invest in one stock asset. Then, the following representations hold: $X_{t} = \Phi(t) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{P(T)}{\overline{\mathbf{E}}(T)} \middle| F_{t} \right], \quad 0 < t < T; \quad (i)$

$$X_t = \Phi(t) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{E}} \left[\frac{P(T)}{\Phi(T)} \middle| F_t \right], \quad 0 \le t \le T;$$
 (i)

$$\begin{split} X_t &= p \cdot \exp\left[\int\limits_{(0,t]} \sigma(s-)d\bar{M}_s^c - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{(0,t]} \sigma^2(s-)d\langle \bar{M}^c \rangle_s + \int\limits_{(0,t]} r(s-)d\langle \bar{M}^c \rangle_s\right] \\ & \cdot \prod\limits_{s \leq t} [1 + r(s-)\Delta\langle \bar{M} \rangle_s + \sigma(s-)\Delta\bar{M}_s], \ 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{split}$$
(ii)

Proof: Representation (i) follows from (20) when $C(t) \equiv 0$.

By Ito's rule it can be proved that $\frac{P(T)}{\Phi(T)}$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -Gaussian martingale and is a unique solution of the following stochastic equation:

$$\frac{P(T)}{\Phi(T)} = p + \int_{(0,T]} \frac{P(s-)}{\Phi(s-)} \cdot \frac{\sigma(s-)}{[1+r(s-)\Delta\langle M\rangle_s]} d\bar{M}_s, \quad T > 0.$$

Consequently, from representation (i) it follows that $\frac{\Lambda_t}{\Phi(t)}$, $0 \le t \le T$ is a $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ -Gaussian martingale and it yields representation (ii).

5. A Linear Stochastic Integral Equation

In this section we will obtain a solution of the stochastic equation

$$X_{t} = X_{0} + \int_{(0,t]} [S(s)X_{s-} + \sigma(s)]dM_{s} + \int_{(0,t]} [A(s)X_{s-} + a(s)]db(s),$$
(22)

 $0 \le t < \infty$, which is a more general than we anticipate.

Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_t, F_t)$, $M_0 = 0$, $F_t = \sigma(M_s, s \le t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, be a cadlag Gaussian martingale, b = b(t), $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ -nonrandom, right-continuous function with finite variation on each finite interval. Suppose the function b(t) be a real-valued deterministic function, absolutely continuous with respect to $\langle M \rangle_t = \mathbf{E}M_t^2$ and

$$b(t) = \int_{(0,t]} \gamma_s d\langle M \rangle_s, \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_t, F_{t-})$, $F_{t-} = \sigma(M_s, 0 < s < t)$ is a *F*-predictable function, $X_0 = (X_0, F_0)$ be a Gaussian random variable, independent of **M**. A(t), $\sigma(t)$, a(t), and S(t) are nonrandom *F*-predictable functions, such that

$$\int_{(0,\infty)} \sigma^2(s) d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty, \qquad \int_{(0,\infty)} A^2(s) db(s) < \infty,$$
$$\int_{(0,\infty)} a^2(s) db(s) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(0,\infty)} S^2(s) db(s) < \infty, \quad \text{P-a.s}$$

We will find a solution of equation (22) in the class of cadlag adapted processes (i.e., pro-

LEDA D. MINKOVA

cesses with right-continuous paths and finite left limits) and it provides a fairly explicit representation. According to [4], such a solution exists and it is unique in the sense of \mathbf{P} -indistinguishability.

Recall [6] that the random process **M** and the deterministic nondecreasing function $\langle M \rangle$ have their jumps at the same nonrandom moments of time which form a countable set $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$. Let us notice now that the function b(t) and the process X_t have their jumps at the same moments.

Let us suppose that the function b = b(t), $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ has no more than a countable subset of jumps $\{0 \le s_0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_k < \ldots < \infty\} \subseteq \mathbb{S}$ with

$$\Delta b(s_k) = -\frac{1 + S(s_k) \Delta M_{s_k}}{A(s_k)}, \ k \ge 1.$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{split} \Delta \boldsymbol{X}_{s_k} &= [\boldsymbol{A}(s_k)\boldsymbol{X}_{s_{\overline{k}^*}} + \boldsymbol{a}(s_k)]\Delta \boldsymbol{b}(s_k) + [\boldsymbol{S}(s_k)\boldsymbol{X}_{s_{\overline{k}^*}} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s_k)]\Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{s_k} \\ &= -\boldsymbol{X}_{s_{\overline{k}^*}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{a}(s_k)}{\boldsymbol{A}(s_k)} [1 + \boldsymbol{S}(s_k)\Delta \boldsymbol{M}_{s_k}] + \boldsymbol{\sigma}(s_k)\Delta \boldsymbol{M}(s_k). \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$X_{s_{k}} = \sigma(s_{k})\Delta M_{s_{k}} - \frac{a(s_{k})}{A(s_{k})} [1 + S(s_{k})\Delta M_{s_{k}}].$$
(23)

We will find a solution of equation (22) on the interval $[s_k, s_{k+1}), k \ge 0$, with an initial condition X_{s_k} , independent of increments $M_t - M_{s_k}, s_k \le t < s_{k+1}, k \ge 0$, according to (23) and the conditions imposed on X_0 .

The homogeneous equation corresponding to (22) is

$$\begin{split} \Phi(t,s_k) &= 1 + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \Phi(s-,s_k) A(s) db(s) + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \Phi(s-,k) S(s) dM_s, \\ \Phi(s_k,s_k) &= 1, \ k \geq 0 \end{split}$$

and has a unique solution [3]:

$$\begin{split} \Phi(t,s_{k}) &= \exp\left\{ \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} A(s)db(s) + \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} S(s)dM_{s} - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} S^{2}(s)d\langle M^{c}\rangle_{s} \right\} \\ &\cdot \prod\limits_{s_{k} < s \leq t} \left\{ 1 + A(s)\Delta b(s) + S(s)\Delta M_{s} \right\} \cdot \exp\left\{ - A(s)\Delta b(s) - S(s)\Delta M_{s} \right\}; \\ \Phi(t,s_{k}) &= \exp\left\{ \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} A(s)db^{c}(s) + \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} S(s)dM_{s}^{c} - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{(s_{k},t]} S^{2}(s)d\langle M^{c}\rangle_{s} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \prod\limits_{s_{k} < s \leq t} \left\{ 1 + A(s)\Delta b(s) + S(s)\Delta M_{s} \right\}, \end{split}$$

$$(24)$$

where $b^{c}(t)$ is the continuous path of the function b(t), $s_{k} \leq t < s_{k+1}$, $k \geq 0$.

Let us notice that if

$$A(t)\Delta b(t) + S(t)\Delta M_t \not\equiv -1$$

on (s_k, s_{k+1}) , from the solution of (24) it follows

$$\inf_{\substack{s_k \le t < s_{k+1} \land T}} |\Phi(t, s_k)| > 0$$

$$(25)$$

with some $T \in [s_k, \infty), \ k \ge 0.$

Let now define the function $\Phi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where

$$\Phi(t) = \Phi(t, s_k), \ \ s_k \le t < s_{k+1}, \ k \ge 0.$$

It follows from(25) that the function $\Phi^{-1}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is correct defined and bounded on every finite interval [0, T], $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Consequently, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, it holds true that

$$\int_{(0,t]} \Phi^{-2}(s) d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty.$$
⁽²⁶⁾

Theorem 3: The unique solution of the equation (22) is given by

$$\begin{split} X_t^k &= \Phi(t, s_k) \left[X_{s_k} + \int\limits_{(s_k, t]} \frac{\sigma(s)}{\Phi(s)} dM_s + \int\limits_{(s_k, t]} \frac{a(s)}{\Phi(s)} db(s) \right. \\ &\left. - \int\limits_{(s_k, t]} \frac{S(s)\sigma(s)}{\Phi(s)} d\langle M^c \rangle_s \right], \ s_k \leq t < s_{k+1}, \ k \geq 0. \end{split}$$

$$(27)$$

Proof: Observe that (25) ensures that the process X_t^k is well defined. We will show that the process X_t^k from (27) is a solution of equation (22) over the interval $[s_k, s_{k+1}), k \ge 0$.

We apply Ito's rule to (27) on the interval (s_k, s_{k+1}) :

$$\begin{split} X_t^k &= X_{s_k} + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} X_{s-}A(s)db(s) + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} X_{s-}S(s)dM_s \\ &+ \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \frac{1}{1 + A(s)\Delta b(s) + S(s)\Delta M_s} \left[\mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta b(s) = 0\}} + \mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta b(s) \neq 0\}} \right] \\ \cdot \left[\sigma(s)dM_s + a(s)db(s) - S(s)\sigma(s)\mathbf{I}_{\{\Delta b(s) = 0\}}d\langle M^c \rangle_s \right] + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} S(s)\sigma(s)d\langle M^c \rangle_s \\ &+ \sum\limits_{s_k < s \leq t} \left[1 - \frac{1}{1 + A(s)\Delta b(s) + S(s)\Delta M_s} \right] \left[\sigma(s)\Delta M_s + a(s)\Delta b(s) \right]; \\ X_t^k &= X_{s_k} + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} X_{s-}A(s)db(s) + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} X_{s-}S(s)dM_s \\ &+ \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \sigma(s)dM_s + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} a(s)db(s). \end{split}$$

If $s_k < t < s_{k+1}$, then

$$X_{t} = X_{s_{k}} + \int_{(s_{k}, t]} [X_{s} - A(s) + a(s)]db(s) + \int_{(s_{k}, t]} [X_{s} - S(s) + \sigma(s)]dM_{s}.$$

The last representation and (23) lead to (22).

Remark 3: The coefficients A(t), $\sigma(t)$, S(t), and a(t) of equation (22) are *f*-predictable functions. It will be convenient for applications to represent solution (27) in the form

$$\begin{split} X_t^k &= \Phi(t,s_k) \left[X_{s_k} + \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \frac{\sigma(s)}{\Phi(s-)[1+A(s)\Delta b(s)+S(s)\Delta M_s]} dM_s \\ &+ \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \frac{a(s)}{\Phi(s-)[1+A(s)\Delta b(s)+S(s)\Delta M_s]} db(s) \\ &- \int\limits_{(s_k,t]} \frac{S(s)\sigma(s)}{\Phi(s-)[1+A(s)\Delta b(s)+S(s)\Delta M_s]} d\langle M^c \rangle_s \right], \quad t \in [s_k,s_{k+1}), \ k \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Professor Svetlozar Rachev from the UC Santa Barbara for his helpful and stimulating discussions and the referee for constructive comments.

References

- [1] Aase, K.K., Contingent claim valuation when the security price is a combination of an Ito process and random point process, *Stoch. Process. Appl.* 28 (1988), 185-220.
- [2] Cvitanić, J. and Karatzas, I., Hedging contingent claims with constrained portfolios, Ann. Appl. Probab. 3 (1993), 652-681.
- [3] Doleans-Dade, C., Quelques applications de la formule de chagement de variables pour semimartingales, Z.W. 16 (1970), 181-194.
- [4] Doleans-Dade, C., On the existence and unicity of solutions of stochastic integral equations, Z.W. 34 (1976), 93-101.
- [5] Elliott, R.J., Stochastic Calculus and Applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1982.
- [6] Hadžiev, D., On the structure of Gaussian martingales, Serdica 4 (1978), 224-231 (in Russian).
- [7] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S.E., Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, Springer-Verlag, New York 1987.
- [8] Liptser, R.S. and Shiryaev, A.N., Martingale Theory, Nauka, Moscow 1986 (in Russian).
- [9] Picqué, M. and Pontier, M., Optimal portfolio for a small investor in a market model with discontinuous prices, Appl. Math. Optim. 22 (1990), 287-310.