J. of Inequal. & Appl., 1997, Vol. 1, pp. 293–299 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only © 1997 OPA (Overseas Publishers Association) Amsterdam B.V. Published in The Netherlands under license by Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Printed in Malaysia

Inequalities for Laguerre Functions

E.R. LOVE

Department of Mathematics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3052, Australia

(Received 19 June 1996; in final form 25 October 1996)

The main published inequality for Laguerre functions $L_{\nu}^{\mu}(z)$ seems to be for Laguerre polynomials $L_{n}^{0}(x)$ only; it is [2: 10.18(3)]:

$$|L_n(x)| \le e^{x/2}$$
 for $x > 0$.

This paper presents several inequalities for Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{\mu}(x)$ and Laguerre functions $L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)$, most of which do not seem to be in the existing literature. The corresponding inequalities for confluent hypergeometric functions are noted.

For our work on expansions in series of Laguerre functions, M.N. Hunter and I needed an inequality for $L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)$ when re $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and re ν is large. The only extensions of the above inequality that we could obtain had multiples of e^{x} on the right hand side instead of $e^{x/2}$. This paper goes on to show that this is inevitable for non-integral ν , in that $|L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)|$ can exceed a multiple of $e^{\lambda x}$ for every fixed $\lambda < 1$ if x is sufficiently large.

Keywords: Laguerre polynomial; Laguerre function; confluent hypergeometric function; fractional integration.

AMS Classification Numbers: 26D07, 26A33, 33C15, 33C45.

Laguerre functions are the entire functions of z defined by

$$L^{\mu}_{\nu}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \nu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \Phi(-\nu, 1 + \mu; z), \qquad (1)$$

where Φ is the confluent hypergeometric function

$$\Phi(a,c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!},$$

Correspondence: Tel: +61 3 9344 5550; Fax: +61 3 9344 4599.

E.R. LOVE

$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)},$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu + \nu \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1)\Gamma(\nu + 1)}.$$

Laguerre polynomials are the functions $L_n^{\mu}(z)$, *n* being any non-negative integer; they are polynomials in *z* because $(-n)_r = 0$ if r > n.

Inequalities The main (almost only) published inequality for Laguerre functions is for Laguerre polynomials; it is

$$\left|L_{n}^{\mu}(x)\right| \leq {\binom{\mu+n}{\mu}}e^{x/2}$$
 for $\mu \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$. (2)

[2: 10.18(3), (14) and (15)]; see also [3: Theorems 7.6.2 and 4].

To extend this to complex μ we start with the case $\mu = 0$, namely

$$|L_n(x)| \le e^{x/2}$$
 for $x > 0$, (3)

and apply the fractional integration formula [2: 10.12(30) with $\alpha = 0$]

$$\frac{x^{\mu}L_{n}^{\mu}(x)}{\Gamma(\mu+n+1)} = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{\mu-1}}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{L_{n}(t)}{\Gamma(n+1)} dt$$

for x > 0 and re $\mu > 0$. With (3) this gives, writing $\mu' = re \mu$,

$$\left| \frac{x^{\mu} L_{n}^{\mu}(x)}{\Gamma(\mu+n+1)} \right| \leq \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(x-t)^{\mu'-1}}{|\Gamma(\mu)|} \frac{e^{t/2}}{\Gamma(n+1)} dt$$
$$= \frac{e^{x/2}}{|\Gamma(\mu)| \Gamma(n+1)} \int_{0}^{x} (x-t)^{\mu'-1} e^{(t-x)/2} dt$$
$$= \frac{e^{x/2}}{|\Gamma(\mu)| \Gamma(n+1)} \int_{0}^{x} s^{\mu'-1} e^{-s/2} ds.$$
(4)

Increasing $e^{-s/2}$ up to 1,

$$x^{\mu'} \left| L_n^{\mu}(x) \right| < \left| \frac{\Gamma(\mu + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\mu)\Gamma(n + 1)} \right| e^{x/2} \frac{x^{\mu'}}{\mu'},$$
$$\left| L_n^{\mu}(x) \right| < \left| \frac{\mu}{\mu'} \left(\frac{\mu + n}{n} \right) \right| e^{x/2}.$$
(5)

294

Alternatively, putting s = 2t in (4), the right side of (4) becomes

$$\frac{2^{\mu'} e^{x/2}}{|\Gamma(\mu)|\,\Gamma(n+1)} \int_0^{x/2} t^{\mu'-1} e^{-t} \, \mathrm{d} t < \frac{2^{\mu'} e^{x/2} \Gamma(\mu')}{|\Gamma(\mu)|\,\Gamma(n+1)},$$

so that

$$\left|L_{n}^{\mu}(x)\right| < \left|\frac{\Gamma(\mu+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)}\right| \left|\frac{\Gamma(\mu')}{\Gamma(\mu)}\right| \frac{e^{x/2}}{(x/2)^{\mu'}}.$$
(6)

The above arguments establish

THEOREM 1 If x > 0, re $\mu > 0$ and n is a non-negative integer, then

$$\left|L_n^{\mu}(x)\right| < \left|\frac{\mu}{re\,\mu} \left(\frac{\mu+n}{n}\right)\right| e^{x/2}.\tag{7}$$

and

$$\left|L_{n}^{\mu}(x)\right| < \left|\frac{\Gamma(\mu+n+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)}\right| \left|\frac{\Gamma(re\ \mu)}{\Gamma(\mu)}\right| \frac{e^{x/2}}{(x/2)^{\operatorname{re}\mu}}.$$
(8)

COROLLARY 1 If x > 0, re c > 1 and n is a non-negative integer, then

$$|\Phi(-n,c;x)| < \left|\frac{c-1}{re\,c-1}\right|e^{x/2}$$
 (9)

and

$$|\Phi(-n,c;x)| < |(c-1)\Gamma(re\ c-1)| \frac{e^{x/2}}{(x/2)^{re\ c-1}}.$$
 (10)

For some work on expansions in series of Laguerre functions, M.N. Hunter and I needed estimates of $L^{\mu}_{\nu}(x)$ for re $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and ν complex. Theorem 1 is clearly not adequate for this.

THEOREM 2 If x > 0, re $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and re $(\mu + \nu) > -1$, then

$$\left|L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\Gamma\left(\operatorname{re}\left(\nu+\mu+1\right)\right)}{\left|\Gamma(\nu+1)\right|\Gamma(\operatorname{re}\mu+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{re}\mu+\frac{1}{2})}{\left|\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})\right|} e^{x}.$$

Proof By Poisson's Integral for Bessel functions, since re $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$J_{\mu}(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^{\mu}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{iz\cos\theta} \sin^{2\mu}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

$$\left|J_{\mu}(x)\right| < \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{\mu'}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\left|\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})\right|} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{2\mu'}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}x\right)^{\mu'}}{\Gamma(\mu' + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(\mu' + \frac{1}{2})}{\left|\Gamma(\mu + \frac{1}{2})\right|},$$

(11)

where $\mu' = \text{re } \mu$ again. By [1: 6.11(17)], Whittaker's confluent hypergeometric function $M_{\lambda, \frac{1}{2}\mu}(x)$ is expressible, if re $(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 1)) > 0$, by

$$M_{\lambda,\frac{1}{2}\mu}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma\left(\lambda+\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)\right)} e^{\frac{1}{2}x} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} J_\mu\left(2(xt)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) dt.$$

By (1) and [1: 6.9(3)], with $\lambda = \nu + \frac{1}{2}(\mu + 1)$,

$$L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)\Gamma(\nu+1)} \Phi(-\nu,\mu+1;x)$$

= $\frac{\Gamma(\mu+\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)\Gamma(\nu+1)} e^{\frac{1}{2}x} x^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)} M_{\lambda,\frac{1}{2}\mu}(x)$
= $\frac{e^{x}x^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\frac{1}{2}\mu+\nu} J_{\mu}\left(2(xt)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) dt,$ (12)

whence, using (11),

$$\left|L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)\right| < \frac{e^{x}}{\left|\Gamma(\nu+1)\right|\Gamma(\mu'+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\mu'+\frac{1}{2})}{\left|\Gamma(\mu+\frac{1}{2})\right|} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\mu'+\nu'} dt.$$

The result follows from this, the integral being a gamma function. \Box

COROLLARY 2 If x > 0, re c > re a and re $c > \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|\Phi(a,c;x)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{re} c - \operatorname{re} a)}{|\Gamma(1-a)| \,\Gamma(\operatorname{re} c)} \frac{\Gamma(\operatorname{re} c - \frac{1}{2})}{\left|\Gamma(c - \frac{1}{2})\right|} e^x;$$

and if also a and c are real, then

$$|\Phi(a,c;x)| \le \frac{\Gamma(c-a)}{|\Gamma(1-a)|\,\Gamma(c)}e^x.$$

Contrast There is a marked contrast between the right hand sides of the inequalities (7) and (8) in Theorem 1 and that in Theorem 2. The former are $O(e^{x/2})$ as $x \to \infty$, while the latter is $O(e^x)$. In Theorem 3 it will be shown that this contrast is not illusory.

THEOREM 3 If $re \mu > -1$, $re \nu > 0$, $\mu + \nu + 1$ is real and positive, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then for all sufficiently large positive x

$$\left|L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x)\right| > \Gamma(\mu+\nu+1)\frac{|\sin\nu\pi|}{\pi}(1-\delta)e^{\lambda x}.$$

Proof If v is an integer there is nothing to prove. Suppose that v is not an integer and that x > 0. Write

$$a = -v,$$
 $b = \mu + v + 1,$ $c = \mu + 1,$

so that

$$c - a = b > 0 \tag{13}$$

Then

$$L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a,c;x)$$

= $\frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(c)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}$
= $\frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(a)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}.$ (14)

By [1: 1.18(4)] and (13),

$$\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} = n^{-b} \left\{ 1 + O(1/n) \right\} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

so there is a positive integer M such that

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} - n^{-b}\right| < n^{-b} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\delta \quad \text{for all } n \ge M.$$
 (15)

Also there is an integer $N \ge M$ such that $n^{-b} > \lambda^n$ for all $n \ge N$.

$$\left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| \leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \left|\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} - n^{-b}\right| \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
$$< \frac{1}{2} \delta \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!} \tag{16}$$

by (15). By the triangle inequality,

$$\left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| \le \left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| + \left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right|;$$
whence using (16)

whence, using (10),

$$\left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| > \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!} - \frac{1}{2} \delta \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\right) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} n^{-b} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
$$> \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\right) \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \lambda^n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\right) \left(e^{\lambda x} - \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!}\right)$$
$$= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta\right) e^{\lambda x} - P(x)$$
(17)

where P is a polynomial of degree less than N.

By the triangle inequality again,

$$\left|\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| \le \left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| + \left|-\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right|.$$

With (17) this gives

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| > \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta\right) e^{\lambda x} - P(x) - |Q(x)|, \qquad (18)$$

where Q is a polynomial of degree less than N. Now (18) persists if the coefficients in P and Q are replaced by their absolute values; let $\frac{1}{2}\delta \cdot R(x)$ be the polynomial so replacing P(x) + |Q(x)|. Thus

$$\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}\right| > (1-\delta)e^{\lambda x} + \frac{1}{2}\delta\left(e^{\lambda x} - R(x)\right),$$
$$> (1-\delta)e^{\lambda x}$$

for x sufficiently large. With (14) this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left| L_{\nu}^{\mu}(x) \right| &> \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\left| \Gamma(a) \Gamma(1-a) \right|} (1-\delta) e^{\lambda x} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(b) \left| \sin a\pi \right|}{\pi} (1-\delta) e^{\lambda x}, \end{aligned}$$

and using (13) the theorem follows.

298

COROLLARY 3 If re a < 0 < re c, c - a is real, $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then

$$|\Phi(a,c;x)| > \left|\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)}\right| (1-\delta)e^{\lambda x}$$

for all sufficiently large positive x.

Conclusion

Theorem 3 shows that, in general, e^x in Theorem 2 cannot be replaced by any lower order exponential.

References

- A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F.G. Tricomi, "Higher Transcendental Functions", vol. 1, Bateman Manuscript Project (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953).
- [2] "Higher Transcendental Functions" (as above), vol. 2.
- [3] G. Szegö, "Orthogonal Polynomials" (American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. XXIII, 1939).