Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Inequalities and Applications Volume 2008, Article ID 548627, 10 pages doi:10.1155/2008/548627

## Research Article

# A New One-Step Iterative Process for Common Fixed Points in Banach Spaces

### Mujahid Abbas, 1 Safeer Hussain Khan, 2 and Jong Kyu Kim3

Correspondence should be addressed to Jong Kyu Kim, jongkyuk@kyungnam.ac.kr

Received 26 September 2008; Accepted 22 October 2008

Recommended by Ram U. Verma

We introduce a new one-step iterative process and use it to approximate the common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings through some weak and strong convergence theorems. Our process is computationally simpler than the processes currently being used in literature for the purpose.

Copyright © 2008 Mujahid Abbas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of positive integers. Let E be a real Banach space, C a nonempty convex subset of E. A mapping  $T:C\to C$  is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there is a sequence  $\{k_n\}\subset [1,\infty)$  such that

$$||T^n x - T^n y|| \le k_n ||x - y|| \quad \forall x, y \in C, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$ . A point  $x \in C$  is a fixed point of T, provided that Tx = x.

To approximate the common fixed points of two mappings, the following Ishikawatype two-step iterative process is widely used (see, e.g., [1–9], and references cited therein):

$$x_{1} = x \in C,$$

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - a_{n})x_{n} + a_{n}S^{n}y_{n},$$

$$y_{n} = (1 - b_{n})x_{n} + b_{n}T^{n}x_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.2)

where  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  are in [0,1] satisfying certain conditions. Note that approximating fixed points of two mappings has a direct link with the minimization problem (see, e.g., [10]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mathematics Department, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore 54792, Pakistan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics and Physics, Qatar University, P.O. Box 2713, Doha, Qatar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics Education, Kyungnam University, Masan, Kyungnam 631-701, South Korea

In this paper, we introduce a new one-step iterative process to compute the common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Let  $S,T:C\to C$  be two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Then, our process reads as follows:

$$x_{1} = x \in C,$$

$$x_{n+1} = a_{n}S^{n}x_{n} + (1 - a_{n})T^{n}x_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(1.3)

where  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence in [0,1].

This process is computationally simpler than (1.2) to approximate common fixed points of two mappings. It is worth noting that our process is of independent interest. Neither (1.2) implies (1.3) nor conversely. However, both (1.2) and (1.3) reduce to Mann-type iterative process when T = I, that is, the identity mapping is as follows:

$$x_1 = x \in C,$$
  
 $x_{n+1} = a_n S^n x_n + (1 - a_n) x_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$  (1.4)

*Remark* 1.1. The question may arise that one needs two different sequences  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  for the mappings S and T used in (1.3), but it is readily answered when one takes  $k_n = \sup\{s_n, t_n\}$ . Henceforth, we will take only one sequence  $\{k_n\}$  which works equally good for both mappings S and T.

Let us recall the following definitions.

A Banach space E is said to satisfy Opial's condition [11], if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - x|| < \limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - y|| \quad \forall y \in E \text{ with } y \neq x.$$
 (1.5)

Examples of Banach spaces satisfying this condition are Hilbert spaces and all spaces  $l^p$   $(1 . On the other hand, <math>L^p[0, 2\pi]$  with 1 fails to satisfy Opial's condition.

A mapping  $T: C \to E$  is called demiclosed with respect to  $y \in E$  if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in C and each  $x \in E$ ,  $x_n \to x$  and  $Tx_n \to y$  imply that  $x \in C$  and Tx = y.

A Banach space E is said to satisfy the Kadec Klee property if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E converging weakly to x together with  $\|x_n\|$  converging strongly to  $\|x\|$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to x. Uniformly convex Banach spaces, Banach spaces of finite dimension, and reflexive locally uniform convex Banach spaces are some of the examples which satisfy the Kadec Klee property.

Next, we state the following useful lemmas.

**Lemma 1.2** (see [12]). Let  $\{\delta_n\}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}$ , and  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be three sequences of nonnegative numbers such that  $\beta_n \ge 1$  and

$$\delta_{n+1} \le \beta_n \delta_n + \gamma_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{1.6}$$

If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta_n - 1) < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta_n$  exists.

**Lemma 1.3** (see [13]). Suppose that E is a uniformly convex Banach space and 0 for all positive integers <math>n. Also, suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are two sequences of E such that  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n\| \le r$ ,  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|y_n\| \le r$ , and  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|t_nx_n + (1-t_n)y_n\| = r$  hold for some  $r \ge 0$ . Then,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.4** (see [14, 15]). Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E. Let T be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping of C into itself. Then, (I - T) is demiclosed with respect to zero.

**Lemma 1.5** (see [16]). Let C be a convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E. Then, there is a strictly increasing and continuous convex function  $g:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  with g(0)=0 such that for every Lipschitzian map  $U:C\to C$  with Lipschitz constant  $L\geq 1$ , the following inequality holds:

$$||U(tx + (1-t)y) - (tUx + (1-t)Uy)||$$

$$\leq Lg^{-1}(||x-y|| - L^{-1}||Ux - Uy||) \quad \forall x, y \in C, \ t \in [0,1].$$
(1.7)

Let  $\omega_w(\{x_n\})$  denote the set of all weak subsequential limits of a bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E. Then, the following is actually Lemma 3.2 of Falset et al. [16].

**Lemma 1.6.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space with its dual  $E^*$  satisfying the Kadec Klee property. Assume that  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||$  exists for all  $t \in [0,1]$  and for all  $p_1, p_2 \in \omega_w(\{x_n\})$ . Then,  $\omega_w(\{x_n\})$  is a singleton.

#### 2. Some preparatory lemmas

In this section, we will prove the following important lemmas. In the sequel, we will write  $F = F(S) \cap F(T)$  for the set of all common fixed points of the mappings S and T.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed space E. Let  $S,T:C\to C$  be asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the process as defined in (1.3), where  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence in  $[\delta, 1-\delta]$  for some  $\delta \in (0,1)$ . If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n-x^*||$  exists for all  $x^*\in F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x^* \in F$ , then

$$||x_{n+1} - x^*|| = ||a_n S^n x_n + (1 - a_n) T^n x_n - x^*||$$

$$= ||a_n (S^n x_n - x^*) + (1 - a_n) (T^n x_n - x^*)||$$

$$\leq a_n ||S^n x_n - x^*|| + (1 - a_n) ||(T^n x_n - x^*)||$$

$$\leq a_n k_n ||x_n - x^*|| + (1 - a_n) k_n ||x_n - x^*||$$

$$= k_n ||x_n - x^*||.$$
(2.1)

Thus, by Lemma 1.2,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x^*||$  exists for each  $x^* \in F$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E. Let  $S,T:C\to C$  be asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be the process as defined in

(1.3) satisfying

$$||x_n - S^n x_n|| \le ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n||, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.2)

If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||Sx_n - x_n|| = 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} ||Tx_n - x_n||$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x^*||$  exists. Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - x^*|| = c \tag{2.3}$$

for some  $c \ge 0$ . Then,  $||S^n x_n - x^*|| \le k_n ||x_n - x^*||$  implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||S^n x_n - x^*|| \le c. \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||T^n x_n - x^*|| \le c. \tag{2.5}$$

Further,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| = c$ gives that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||a_n(S^n x_n - x^*) + (1 - a_n)(T^n x_n - x^*)|| = c.$$
 (2.6)

Applying Lemma 1.3, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.7)

But then by the condition  $||x_n - S^n x_n|| \le ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n||$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - S^n x_n|| \le 0.$$
 (2.8)

That is,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - S^n x_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.9)

Also, then  $||x_n - T^n x_n|| \le ||x_n - S^n x_n|| + ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n||$  implies that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - T^n x_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.10)

Now, by definition of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\|x_{n+1} - T^n x_n\| \le a_n \|S^n x_n - T^n x_n\|$  so that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - T^n x_n|| = 0. {(2.11)}$$

Mujahid Abbas et al. 5

Then,  $||x_{n+1} - S^n x_n|| \le ||x_{n+1} - T^n x_n|| + ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n||$  implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - S^n x_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.12)

Similarly, by  $||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le ||x_{n+1} - T^n x_n|| + ||x_n - T^n x_n||$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Next,

$$||x_{n+1} - Sx_{n+1}|| \le ||x_{n+1} - S^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + ||S^{n+1}x_{n+1} - S^{n+1}x_n|| + ||S^{n+1}x_n - Sx_{n+1}||$$

$$\le ||x_{n+1} - S^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + k_{n+1}||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + k_1||S^nx_n - x_{n+1}||$$
(2.14)

yields

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Sx_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.15)

Moreover,

$$||Sx_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}|| \le ||Sx_{n+1} - S^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + ||S^{n+1}x_{n+1} - T^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + ||T^{n+1}x_{n+1} - T^{n+1}x_{n}|| + ||T^{n+1}x_{n} - Tx_{n+1}|| \le k_{1}||x_{n+1} - S^{n}x_{n+1}|| + ||S^{n+1}x_{n+1} - T^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + k_{n+1}||x_{n+1} - x_{n}|| + k_{1}||T^{n}x_{n} - x_{n+1}|| \le k_{1}(||x_{n+1} - S^{n}x_{n}|| + ||S^{n}x_{n} - S^{n}x_{n+1}||) + ||S^{n+1}x_{n+1} - T^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + k_{n+1}||x_{n+1} - x_{n}|| + k_{1}||T^{n}x_{n} - x_{n+1}|| \le k_{1}(||x_{n+1} - S^{n}x_{n}|| + k_{n}||x_{n} - x_{n+1}||) + ||S^{n+1}x_{n+1} - T^{n+1}x_{n+1}|| + k_{n+1}||x_{n+1} - x_{n}|| + k_{1}||T^{n}x_{n} - x_{n+1}||$$

$$(2.16)$$

gives by (2.7), (2.11), (2.12), and (2.13) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||Sx_n - Tx_n|| = 0.$$
 (2.17)

In turn, by (2.15) and (2.17), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Tx_n|| = 0. (2.18)$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E. Let  $S,T:C\to C$  be asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and  $\{x_n\}$  as defined in (1.3). Then, for any  $p_1,p_2\in F$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}||tx_n+(1-t)p_1-p_2||$  exists for all  $t\in [0,1]$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - p||$  exists for all  $p \in F$  and so  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Thus, there exists a real number r > 0 such that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq D \equiv \overline{B_r(0)} \cap C$ , so that D is a closed convex bounded nonempty subset of C. Put

$$u_n(t) = ||tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||. (2.19)$$

Notice that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(0) = \|p_1 - p_2\|$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(1) = \|x_n - p_2\|$  exist as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Define  $W_n: D \to D$  by

$$W_n x = a_n S^n x + (1 - a_n) T^n x. (2.20)$$

It is easy to verify that  $W_n x_n = x_{n+1}$ ,  $W_n p = p$  for all  $p \in F$  and

$$||W_n x - W_n y|| \le k_n ||x - y|| \quad \forall x, y \in C, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.21)

Set

$$R_{n,m} = W_{n+m-1}W_{n+m-2}\cdots W_n, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$v_{n,m} = \|R_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - (tR_{n,m}x_n + (1-t)p_1)\|.$$
(2.22)

Then,  $\|R_{n,m}x - R_{n,m}y\| \le \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} k_j \|x - y\|$ ,  $R_{n,m}x_n = x_{n+m}$ , and  $R_{n,m}p = p$  for all  $p \in F$ . Applying Lemma 1.5 with  $x = x_n$ ,  $y = p_1$ ,  $U = R_{n,m}$ , and using the facts that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exist for all  $p \in F$ , we obtain  $v_{n,m} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  and for all  $m \ge 1$ . Finally, from the inequality,

$$u_{n+m}(t) = ||tx_{n+m} + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||$$

$$= ||tR_{n,m}x_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||$$

$$\leq v_{n,m} + ||R_{n,m}(tx_n + (1-t)p_1) - p_2||$$

$$\leq v_{n,m} + \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} k_j ||tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||$$

$$= v_{n,m} + \prod_{j=n}^{n+m-1} k_j u_n(t),$$
(2.23)

Mujahid Abbas et al.

7

it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} u_n(t) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} u_n(t).$$
(2.24)

Hence,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||tx_n + (1-t)p_1 - p_2||$  exists for all  $t\in[0,1]$ .

#### 3. Common fixed point approximations by weak convergence

Here, we will approximate common fixed points of the mappings S and T through the weak convergence of the process  $\{x_n\}$  defined in (1.3). Our first result in this direction uses the Opial's condition and the second one the Kadec Klee property.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying the Opial's condition and C, S, T, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be as in Lemma 2.2. If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of S and T.

*Proof.* Let  $x^* \in F$ , then as proved in Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x^*\|$  exists. Now, we prove that  $\{x_n\}$  has a unique weak subsequential limit in F. To prove this, let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be weak limits of the subsequences  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ , respectively. By Lemma 2.2,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$  and (I-S) are demiclosed with respect to zero from Lemma 1.4. Therefore, we obtain  $Sz_1 = z_1$ . Similarly,  $Tz_1 = z_1$ . Again, in the same way, we can prove that  $z_2 \in F$ . Next, we prove the uniqueness. For this, suppose that  $z_1 \neq z_2$ , then by the Opial's condition

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - z_1|| = \lim_{n_i \to \infty} ||x_{n_i} - z_1|| < \lim_{n_i \to \infty} ||x_{n_i} - z_2|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - z_2||$$

$$= \lim_{n_j \to \infty} ||x_{n_j} - z_2|| < \lim_{n_j \to \infty} ||x_{n_j} - z_1|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - z_1||.$$
(3.1)

This is a contradiction. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a point in F.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space with its dual  $E^*$  satisfying the Kadec Klee property. Let C, S, T, and  $\{x_n\}$  be as in Lemma 2.2. If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of S and T.

*Proof.* By the boundedness of  $\{x_n\}$  and reflexivity of E, we have a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  that converges weakly to some p in E. By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\lim_{i\to\infty}\|x_{n_i}-Sx_{n_i}\|=0=\lim_{i\to\infty}\|x_{n_i}-Tx_{n_i}\|$ . This gives  $p\in F$ . To prove that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to P, suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is another subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  that converges weakly to some P in P. Then, by Lemmas 2.2 and 1.4, P, P0 is P1, where P2 is P3. Since P3. Since P4 is P5 is P5 and this converges weakly to P6 and this completes the proof.

By putting T=I, the identity mapping, in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following corollaries. Note that the condition  $||x_n - S^n x_n|| \le ||S^n x_n - T^n x_n||$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , becomes trivially true in this case.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying the Opial's condition and let C, S be as in Lemma 2.1 and  $\{x_n\}$  as in (1.4). If  $F(S) \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a fixed point of S.

**Corollary 3.4.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space with dual E\* satisfying the Kadec Klee property. Let C, S be as in Lemma 2.1 and  $\{x_n\}$  as in (1.4). If  $F(S) \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a fixed point of S.

#### 4. Common fixed point approximations by strong convergence

We first prove a strong convergence theorem in general real Banach spaces as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let E be a real Banach space and C,  $\{x_n\}$ , and let S, T be as in Lemma 2.1. If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of S and T if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf D(x_n, F) = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $D(x, F) = \inf\{||x - p|| : p \in F\}.$ 

*Proof.* Necessity is obvious. Conversely, suppose that

$$\lim \inf_{n \to \infty} D(x_n, F) = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$||x_{n+1} - p|| \le k_n ||x_n - p||. \tag{4.3}$$

This gives

$$D(x_{n+1}, F) \le k_n D(x_n, F), \tag{4.4}$$

so that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F)$  exists; but by hypothesis

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf D(x_n, F) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

we have  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ .

Next, we show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in C. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ , there exists a constant  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we have

$$D(x_n, F) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}. \tag{4.6}$$

In particular,  $\inf\{\|x_{n_0} - p\| : p \in F\} < \epsilon/4$ . Hence, there exists  $p^* \in F$  such that

$$||x_{n_0} - p^*|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$
 (4.7)

Now, for  $m, n \ge n_0$ , we have

$$||x_{n+m} - x_n|| \le ||x_{n+m} - p^*|| + ||x_n - p^*|| \le 2||x_{n_0} - p^*|| < 2\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = \epsilon.$$

$$(4.8)$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in a closed subset C of a Banach space E, therefore, it must converge in C. Let  $\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=q$ . Now,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}D(x_n,F)=0$  gives that D(q,F)=0; but as being well known, F is closed, therefore,  $q\in F$ .

Fukhar-ud-din and Khan gave the following so-called condition (A') in [17].

Two mappings  $S,T:C\to C$ , where C is a subset of E, are said to satisfy condition (A') if there exists a nondecreasing function  $f:[0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$  with f(0)=0, f(r)>0 for all  $r\in (0,\infty)$  such that either  $\|x-Tx\|\geq f(D(x,F))$  or  $\|x-Sx\|\geq f(D(x,F))$  for all  $x\in C$  where  $D(x,F)=\inf\{\|x-x^*\|:x^*\in F\}$ .

Our next theorem is an application of Theorem 4.1 and makes use of condition (A').

**Theorem 4.2.** Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, and let C,  $\{x_n\}$  be as in Lemma 2.2. Let  $S, T : C \to C$  be two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings satisfying condition (A'). If  $F \neq \phi$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of S and T.

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x^*||$  exists for all  $x^* \in F$ . Let it be c for some  $c \ge 0$ . If c = 0, there is nothing to prove. Suppose c > 0. Now,  $||x_{n+1} - x^*|| \le k_n ||x_n - x^*||$  gives that  $D(x_{n+1}, F) \le k_n D(x_n, F)$  and so  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F)$  exists by Lemma 1.2. By using condition (A'), either

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(D(x_n, F)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Tx_n|| = 0$$
(4.9)

or

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(D(x_n, F)) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - Sx_n|| = 0.$$
 (4.10)

In both the cases,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(D(x_n, F)) = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Since f is a nondecreasing function and f(0) = 0,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D(x_n, F) = 0$ . Now, applying Theorem 4.2, we get the result.

Remark 4.3. When T = I, both of the above theorems remain valid for the Mann iterative process (1.4).

Remark 4.4. Above theorems can also be proved using our process with error terms:

$$x_{1} = x \in C,$$
  

$$x_{n+1} = a_{n}S^{n}x_{n} + b_{n}T^{n}x_{n} + c_{n}u_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
(4.12)

where  $a_n + b_n + c_n = 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$  and  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in C.

*Remark 4.5.* Non-self-asymptotically nonexpansive mappings case can also be dealt with similarly using above iterative process even with error terms.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Kyungnam University Research Fund, 2008.

#### References

- [1] S. S. Chang, J. K. Kim, and S. M. Kang, "Approximating fixed points of asymptotically quasinonexpansive type mappings by the Ishikawa iterative sequences with mixed errors," *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 179–186, 2004.
- [2] S. H. Khan and W. Takahashi, "Approximating common fixed points of two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 143–148, 2001.
- [3] J. K. Kim, "Convergence of Ishikawa iterative sequences for accretive Lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 553–561, 2006.
- [4] J. K. Kim, K. H. Kim, and K. S. Kim, "Convergence theorems of modified three-step iterative sequences with mixed errors for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Panamerican Mathematical Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 45–54, 2004.
- [5] J. K. Kim, K. S. Kim, and Y. M. Nam, "Convergence and stability of iterative processes for a pair of simultaneously asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive type mappings in convex metric spaces," *Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 159–172, 2007.
- [6] J. K. Kim, Z. Liu, and S. M. Kang, "Almost stability of Ishikawa iterative schemes with errors for  $\phi$ -strongly quasi-accretive and  $\phi$ -hemicontractive operators," *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 267–281, 2004.
- [7] J. Li, J. K. Kim, and N. J. Huang, "Iteration scheme for a pair of simultaneously asymptotically quasinonexpansive type mappings in Banach spaces," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1419–1429, 2006.
- [8] S. Plubtieng and K. Ungchittrakool, "Strong convergence of modified Ishikawa iteration for two asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 2306–2315, 2007.
- [9] B. Xu and M. A. Noor, "Fixed-point iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 267, no. 2, pp. 444–453, 2002.
- [10] W. Takahashi, "Iterative methods for approximation of fixed points and their applications," *Journal of the Operations Research Society of Japan*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 87–108, 2000.
- [11] Z. Opial, "Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 73, pp. 591–597, 1967.
- [12] M. O. Osilike and S. C. Aniagbosor, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1181–1191, 2000.
- [13] J. Schu, "Weak and strong convergence to fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 153–159, 1991.
- [14] Y. J. Cho, H. Zhou, and G. Guo, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for three-step iterations with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 47, no. 4-5, pp. 707–717, 2004.
- [15] G. Li and J. K. Kim, "Demiclosedness principle and asymptotic behavior for nonexpansive mappings in metric spaces," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 645–649, 2001.
- [16] J. G. Falset, W. Kaczor, T. Kuczumow, and S. Reich, "Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 377–401, 2001.
- [17] H. Fukhar-ud-din and S. H. Khan, "Convergence of iterates with errors of asymptotically quasinonexpansive mappings and applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 328, no. 2, pp. 821–829, 2007.