

# A Landau–Kolmogorov Inequality for Orlicz Spaces

HA HUY BANG\* and MAI THI THU

Institute of Mathematics, National Center for Sciences and Technologies, P.O. Box 631, Bo Ho, 10000 Hanoi, Vietnam

(Received on 12 December 2000; In final form: 27 February 2001)

In this paper we prove that the Landau-Kolmogorov inequality for functions on the half line holds for any Orlicz space with the constants, which are best possible for  $L_{\infty}$ -space.

Keywords: Landau-Kolmogorov inequality; Inequality for derivatives; Orlicz spaces.

Classification: 2000 AMS Subject Classification. 26D10.

### 1 INTRODUCTION

The Landau-Kolmogorov inequality

$$||f^{(k)}||_{\infty}^{n} \le K(k,n)||f||_{\infty}^{n-k}||f^{(n)}||_{\infty}^{k},\tag{1}$$

where 0 < k < n, is well known and has many interesting applications and generalizations (see [1–6, 15, 18–21]). Its study was initiated by Landau [11] and Hadamard [7] (the case n = 2). For functions on the whole real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , Kolmogorov [9] succeeded in finding in explicit form the best possible constants  $K(k, n) = C_{k,n}$  in (1), and Stein proved in [20] that inequality (1) still holds for  $L_p$ -norm,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , with these constants (the same situation also happens for an arbitrary Orlicz

ISSN 1025-5834 print; ISSN 1029-242X. © 2002 Taylor & Francis Ltd DOI: 10.1080/1025583021000022441

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: hhbang@thevinh.ncst.ac.vn This work was supported by the Natural Science Council of Vietnam.

norm [1]). The best constants  $C_{k,n}^+$  for the half line  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  are not known in explicit form except for n = 2, 3, 4 (see [11, 13]), but an algorithm exists for their computation (Schoenberg and Cavaretta [17]). In this paper, essentially developing the Stein method [20], we prove that, for the half line, inequality (1) still holds for an arbitrary Orlicz norm with the constants  $C_{k,n}^+$ .

#### 2 RESULTS

Let  $G = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  or [a, b],  $\Phi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty]$  be an arbitrary Young function [10, 12–14], i.e.,  $\Phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\Phi(t) \ge 0$ ,  $\Phi(t) \ne 0$  and  $\Phi$  is convex. Denote by

$$\overline{\Phi}(t) = \sup_{s>0} \left\{ ts - \Phi(s) \right\}$$

the Young function conjugate to  $\Phi$  and  $L_{\Phi}(G)$ -the space of measurable functions u such that

$$|\langle u, v \rangle| = \left| \int_G u(x)v(x)dx \right| < \infty$$

for all v with  $\rho(v, \overline{\Phi}) < \infty$ , where

$$\rho(v, \overline{\Phi}) = \int_{G} \overline{\Phi}(|v(x)|) dx.$$

Then  $L_{\Phi}(G)$  is a Banach space with respect to the Orlicz norm

$$||u||_{\Phi,G} = \sup_{\rho(v,\overline{\Phi})<1} \left| \int_G u(x)v(x)dx \right|,$$

which is equivalent to the Luxemburg norm

$$||f||_{(\Phi,G)} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_G \Phi(|f(x)|/\lambda) dx \le 1\right\} < \infty.$$

Recall that  $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi,G)} = \|\cdot\|_{L_p(G)}$  where  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  with  $1 \le p < \infty$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi,G)} = \|\cdot\|_{L_\infty(G)}$  when  $\Phi(t) = 0$  for  $0 \le t \le 1$  and  $\Phi(t) = \infty$  for t > 1.

We have the following results [13-14]:

LEMMA 1 Let  $u \in L_{\Phi}(G)$  and  $v \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(G)$ . Then

$$\int_{G} |u(x)v(x)|dx \leq ||u||_{\Phi,G} ||v||_{(\overline{\Phi},G)}.$$

LEMMA 2 Let  $u \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $v \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$||u * v||_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}} \le ||u||_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}} ||v||_1.$$

LEMMA 3 [5, p. 37] Let  $n \ge 1$ . If  $f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  has a generalized n-th derivative  $g \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then f can be redefined on a set of measure zero so that  $f^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous and  $f^{(n)} = g$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

THEOREM 1 Let  $\Phi$  be an arbitrary Young function, f and its generalized derivative  $f^{(n)}$  be in  $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then  $f^{(k)} \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  for all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  and

$$\|f^{(k)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{\perp}}^{n} \le C_{k,n}^{+} \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{\perp}}^{n-k} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{\perp}}^{k}. \tag{2}$$

Proof We divide our proof into two steps.

Step 1 We begin to prove (2) with the assumption that  $f^{(k)} \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+), k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ .

Fix 0 < k < n. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We choose a function  $v_{\varepsilon} \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\rho(v_{\varepsilon}, \overline{\Phi}) \le 1$  such that

$$\left| \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(x) v_{\varepsilon}(x) dx \right| \ge \| f^{(k)} \|_{\Phi, \mathbb{R}_{+}} - \varepsilon. \tag{3}$$

Put

$$F_{\varepsilon}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x+y)v_{\varepsilon}(y)dy.$$

Then  $F_{\varepsilon}(x) \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$  by virtue of Lemma 1, and it is easy to check that

$$F_{\varepsilon}^{(r)}(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{(r)}(x+y)v_{\varepsilon}(y)dy, r = 0, 1, \dots, n$$
 (4)

in the  $\mathcal{D}'(0, \infty)$  sense.

Since  $\rho(v_{\varepsilon}, \overline{\Phi}) \leq 1$ ,  $||v_{\varepsilon}||_{(\overline{\Phi}, \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 1$ . So, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , clearly,

$$|F_{\varepsilon}^{(r)}(x)| \leq \|f^{(r)}(x+\cdot)\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+} \|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{(\overline{\Phi},\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \|f^{(r)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_+}.$$

Now we prove the continuity of  $F_{\varepsilon}^{(r)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . We show this for r=0 by contradiction: Assume that for some  $\delta>0$ , a point  $x^0$  and a sequence  $\{t_m\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $x^0+t_m\geq 0$  and  $t_m\to 0$  we have

$$\left| \int_0^\infty (f(x^0 + t_m + y) - f(x^0 + y))v_\varepsilon(y)dy \right| \ge \delta, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (5)

Since  $f \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we easily get  $f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then  $f(x^0 + t_m + \cdot) \to f(x^0 + \cdot)$  in  $L_1[0,j]$  for any  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Therefore, there exists a subsequence, denoted again by  $\{t_m\}$ , such that  $f(x^0 + t_m + y) \to f(x^0 + y)$  a.e. in [0,j]. So, there exists a subsequence (for simplicity of notation we assume that it coincides with  $\{t_m\}$ ) such that  $f(x^0 + t_m + y) \to f(x^0 + y)$  a.e. in  $[0,\infty)$ .

For simplicity of notations we consider only the case when  $x^0 = 0$ . Because inequality (2) holds for f if and only if it holds for f/C, where C is an arbitrary positive number, without loss of generality we may assume that  $\rho(2f, \Phi) < \infty$ . By the Young inequality we get

$$|f(t_{m}+y)-f(y)||v_{\varepsilon}(y)|$$

$$\leq \Phi(|f(t_{m}+y)-f(y)|) + \overline{\Phi}(|v_{\varepsilon}(y)|)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\Phi(2|f(y)|) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi(2|f(t_{m}+y)|) + \overline{\Phi}(|v_{\varepsilon}(y)|).$$
(6)

Since  $\Phi(2|f|)$ ,  $\overline{\Phi}(|v_{\varepsilon}|) \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $t_m \to 0$ , there are positive numbers M and h such that for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\int_{y>M} \left( \Phi(2|f(y)|) + \Phi(2|f(t_m+y)|) + \overline{\Phi}(|v_{\varepsilon}(y)|) \right) dy < \frac{\delta}{2}$$
 (7)

and

$$\int_{B} \Phi(2|f(y)|)dy < \frac{\delta}{6}, \int_{B} \Phi(2|f(t_{m}+y)|)dy < \frac{\delta}{6}, \int_{B} \overline{\Phi}(|v_{\varepsilon}(y)|)]dy < \frac{\delta}{6}$$
(8)

if  $B \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\operatorname{mes}(B) < h$ . On the other hand, by the Egorov theorem, there is a set  $A \subset [0, M]$ ,  $\operatorname{mes}(A) < h$  such that  $f(t_m + y)v_{\varepsilon}(y)$  uniformly converges to  $f(y)v_{\varepsilon}(y)$  on  $[0, M] \setminus A$ . Therefore, applying (6) and (8), we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \to \infty} \int_{0}^{M} |f(t_{m} + y) - f(y)| |v_{\varepsilon}(y)| dy$$

$$\leq \overline{\lim}_{m \to \infty} \int_{[0,M] \setminus A} |f(t_{m} + y) - f(y)| |v_{\varepsilon}(y)| dy$$

$$+ \overline{\lim}_{m \to \infty} \int_{A} |f(t_{m} + y) - f(y)| |v_{\varepsilon}(y)| dy$$

$$= \overline{\lim}_{m \to \infty} \int_{A} |f(t_{m} + y) - f(y)| |v_{\varepsilon}(y)| dy \leq \frac{\delta}{12} + \frac{\delta}{12} + \frac{\delta}{6} = \frac{\delta}{3}.$$
(9)

Combining (7), (9) and using (6), we get for sufficiently large m

$$\int_0^\infty |(f(t_m+y)-f(y))v_{\varepsilon}(y)|dy<\delta,$$

which contradicts (5). The cases  $1 \le r \le n$  are proved similarly. The continuity of  $F_{\varepsilon}^{(r)}$  has been proved.

The functions  $F_{\varepsilon}^{(r)}$  are continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Therefore, it follows from the Landau–Kolmogorov inequality and (3)-(4) that

$$(\|f^{(k)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}} - \varepsilon)^{n} \leq |F_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}(0)|^{n} \leq \|F_{\varepsilon}^{(k)}\|_{\infty}^{n}$$

$$\leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|F_{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}^{n-k} \|F_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}\|_{\infty}^{k}. \tag{10}$$

On the other hand,

$$||F_{\varepsilon}||_{\infty} \le ||f(x+\cdot)||_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}} ||v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)||_{(\overline{\Phi},\mathbb{R}_{+})} \le ||f||_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}}, \tag{11}$$

$$\|F_{\varepsilon}^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \le \|f^{(n)}(x+\cdot)\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}} \|\nu_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)\|_{(\overline{\Phi},\mathbb{R}_{+})} \le \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}}. \tag{12}$$

Combining (10)–(12), we get

$$(\|f^{(k)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}} - \varepsilon)^{n} \leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}}^{n-k} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}}^{k}.$$

By letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$  we have (2).

Step 2 To complete the proof, it remains to show that  $f^{(k)} \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\forall k \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$  if  $f, f^{(n)} \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . By Lemma 3 we can assume that  $f, f', \ldots, f^{(n-1)}$  are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $f^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

We define for k = 0, 1, ..., n,

$$f_{(k)}(x) = \begin{cases} f^{(k)}(x), & x \in [0, \infty) \\ 0, & x \in (-\infty, 0). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(0, \infty)$ ,  $\psi \ge 0$ ,  $\psi(x) = 0$  for  $x \ge 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) dx = 1$ . We put  $\psi_{\lambda}(x) = 1/\lambda \psi(x/\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f_{\lambda} = f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda}$ .

Fix b > 0. Then  $\forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(b, \infty)$  we have for  $0 < \lambda < b$  and k = 1, ..., n

$$\langle f_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \varphi \rangle = (-1)^{k} \langle f_{\lambda}, \varphi^{(k)} \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{(0)}(x - y) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy \right) \varphi^{(k)}(x) dx$$

$$= (-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \left( \int_{b}^{\infty} f_{(0)}(x - y) \varphi^{(k)}(x) dx \right) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \left( \int_{b}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(x - y) \varphi(x) dx \right) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{b}^{\infty} \left( \int_{0}^{\lambda} f^{(k)}(x - y) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy \right) \varphi(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{b}^{\infty} (f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda})(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

$$= \langle f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda}, \varphi \rangle.$$

So, we have proved that for  $0 < \lambda < b$  and k = 1, ..., n

$$f_{\lambda}^{(k)} = f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda} \tag{13}$$

in the  $\mathcal{D}'(b, \infty)$  sense. Therefore, for  $0 < \lambda < b$  we have

$$\|(f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda})^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)} = \|f_{(n)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}$$

$$\leq \|f_{(n)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}} \leq \|f_{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}}$$

$$= \|f_{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}} = \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}_{+}}.$$
(14)

On the other hand, using  $(f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda})^{(k)} = f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda}^{(k)} \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\forall k = 0, 1, ..., n$  and the proved in Step 1 Landau–Kolmogorov inequality for functions on  $[b, \infty)$ , we get for k = 1, ..., n - 1,

$$\|f_{\lambda}^{(k)}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{n} \leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{n-k} \|f_{\lambda}^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{k}.$$

Hence, combining (13), (14) we obtain for all  $0 < \lambda < b$ , k = 1, ..., n - 1,

$$\|f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{n} \leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{n-k} \|f_{(n)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^{k}$$

$$\leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f_{(0)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}}^{n-k} \|f_{(n)} * \psi_{\lambda}\|_{\Phi,\mathbb{R}}^{k}$$

$$\leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f\|_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{n-k} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{k}.$$
(15)

On the other hand, because  $f_{(k)}$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , we easily get

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda}(x) = f_{(k)}(x) = f^{(k)}(x), \forall x > 0.$$
 (16)

Indeed, for  $\lambda \le x$  we have from the continuity of  $f_{(k)}$  at x that

$$|f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda}(x) - f_{(k)}(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{(k)}(x - y) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_{(k)}(x) \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy \right|$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\lambda} |f_{(k)}(x - y) - f_{(k)}(x)| \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\lambda} |f^{(k)}(x - y) - f^{(k)}(x)| \psi_{\lambda}(y) dy$$

$$\leq \sup_{0 \leq y \leq \lambda} |f^{(k)}(x - y) - f^{(k)}(x)| \to 0 \text{ as } \lambda \to 0.$$

For each function  $v \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}[b, \infty)$ ,  $\rho(v, \overline{\Phi}) \le 1$  and  $0 < \lambda < b$ , by (15) and the definition of the Orlicz norm we get

$$\left(\int_{b}^{\infty} |(f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda})(x)v(x)| dx\right)^{n} \leq C_{k,n}^{+} ||f||_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{n-k} ||f^{(n)}||_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{k}.$$

Therefore, using Fatou's lemma, (15) and (16) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{b}^{\infty} (f^{(k)}(x)v(x)dx \right|^{n} &\leq \left( \int_{b}^{\infty} \underbrace{\lim_{\lambda \to 0}} |(f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda})(x)v(x)|dx \right)^{n} \\ &\leq \left( \underbrace{\lim_{\lambda \to 0}} \int_{b}^{\infty} |(f_{(k)} * \psi_{\lambda})(x)v(x)|dx \right)^{n} \\ &\leq C_{k,n}^{+} \|f\|_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{n-k} \|f^{(n)}\|_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{k} \end{split}$$

So, by the definition of the Orlicz norm we have

$$||f^{(k)}||_{\Phi,[b,\infty)}^n \le C_{k,n}^+ ||f||_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^{n-k} ||f^{(n)}||_{\Phi,[0,\infty)}^k < \infty.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the continuity of  $f^{(k)}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  that  $f^{(k)} \in L_{\Phi}[0, b]$  for any b > 0. Therefore,

$$||f^{(k)}||_{\Phi,[0,\infty)} \le ||f^{(k)}||_{\Phi,[0,b]} + ||f^{(k)}||_{\Phi,[b,\infty)} < \infty.$$

The proof is complete.

Remark 1 To obtain Theorem 1 we have developed the Stein method because, for example, the property  $[g(x+h)-g(x)]/h \to g'(x)$  in the  $L_p$  mean  $(1 \le p < \infty)$ , which is used in [16], holds for  $L_{\Phi}$  only if  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition (see [12, 14]).

REMARK 2 By the representation [14]

$$||u||_{(\Phi,G)} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\overline{\Phi},G} \le 1} \left| \int_{G} u(x)v(x)dx \right|,$$

it is easy to see that Theorem 1 still holds for any Luxemburg norm.

## Acknowledgements

In conclusion the authors would like to thank Professor Dinh Dung for the valuable discussions.

The second author would like to thank Hanoi Institute of Mathematics for a research grant

#### References

- [1] H. H. Bang, A remark on the Kolmogorov-Stein inequality, *J. Math. Analysis Appl.* **203** (1996), 861–867.
- [2] B. Bollobas, The spatial numerical range and powers of an operator, *J. London. Math. Soc.* 7 (1973), 435–440.
- [3] M. W. Certain and T. G. Kurtz, Landau-Kolmogorov inequalities for semigroups and groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2), **63** (1977), 226–230.
- [4] P. R. Chernov, Optimal Landau-Kolmogorov inequalities for dissipative operators in Hilbert and Banach spaces, Adv. in Math. 34 (1979), 137–144.

- [5] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, Constructive Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] Z. Ditzian, Some remarks on inequalities of Landau and Kolmogorov, Aequationes Math. 12 (1975), 145–151.
- [7] J. Hadamard, Sur le module maximum d'une fonction et des ses dérivées, C. R. Soc. Math. France 41 (1914), 68-72.
- [8] L. Hörmander, The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983.
- [9] A. N. Kolmogorov, On inequalities between upper bounds of the successive derivatives of an arbitrary function on an infinite interval, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (1), 2 (1962), 233– 243.
- [10] M. A. Krasnoselsky and Y. B. Rutisky, 'Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces', GITTL, Moscow, 1958, English Transl. Noordhoff, 1961.
- [11] E. Landau, Einige Ungleichungen für zweimal differenzierbare Funktionen, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13 (1913), 43–49.
- [12] W. Luxemburg, 'Banach Function Spaces' (Thesis), Technische Hogeschool te Delft., The Netherlands, 1955.
- [13] A. P. Matorin, Inequalities between the maxima of the absolute values of a function and its derivatives on a half-line, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2), **8** (1958), 13–17.
- [14] R. O'Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz space I., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 300–328.
- [15] J. R. Partington, The resolvent of a Hermitian operator on a Banach space, J. London. Math. Soc. 27 (1983), 507-512.
- [16] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1995.
- [17] I. J. Schoenberg and A. Cavaretta, Solution of Landau's problem concerning higher derivatives on the halfline, *Proc. Inter. Conf. on Constructive Function Theory*, Varna 1970, 297–308.
- [18] S. B. Stechkin, Best approximation of linear operators, *Math. Notes*, 1 (1967), 137–148.
- [19] S. B. Stechkin, On the inequalities between the upper bounds of the derivatives of an arbitrary function on the halfline, *Math. Notes*, 1 (1967), 665-674.
- [20] E. M. Stein, Functions of exponential type, Ann. Math. 65 (1957), 582-592.
- [21] V. M. Tikhomirov, G. G. Magaril-Il'jaev, Inequalities for derivatives, in 'Kolmogorov A. N. Selected Papers', Nauka, Moscow, 1985, 387–390.