

# PISOT NUMBERS AND CHROMATIC ZEROS

Víctor F. Sirvent<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Caracas, Venezuela vsirvent@usb.ve

Received: 12/5/12, Accepted: 3/24/13, Published: 5/10/13

### Abstract

In this article we show that Pisot numbers of even degree and their powers cannot be roots of chromatic polynomials. We also consider the family of smallest Pisot numbers of odd degree. We show that they cannot be roots of chromatic polynomials of connected graphs with a certain maximum number of vertices.

# 1. Introduction

An algebraic integer is a root of a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. A *Pisot number* is a real algebraic integer greater than 1 such that all its Galois conjugates are of norm smaller than 1. The *degree of the Pisot number* is the degree of its minimal polynomial. The Pisot numbers are also known as *Pisot-Vijayaraghavan numbers* or *PV numbers*. The best well-known is the golden mean, i.e.  $(\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$ . The Pisot numbers form an infinite closed set ([6]). Due to their properties, these numbers play an important role in number theory (*cf.* [6, 7, 18]), harmonic analysis (*cf.* [6, 11, 16]), dynamical systems and ergodic theory (*cf.* [13, 14, 15, 17, 19]) and tilings (*cf.* [4, 12, 21, 22]).

One of the best known family of Pisot numbers is the so-called *n*-bonacci numbers, a generalization of the golden mean, i.e. the real root greater than 1, of the polynomial  $x^n - x^{n-1} - \cdots - x - 1$  (cf. [8]).

The chromatic polynomial of a graph counts the number of its proper vertex colorings. More precisely, let G be a graph and t a positive integer. A *t*-colouring is a map from the set of vertices of G to  $\{1, \ldots, t\}$  such that the images of adjacent vertices are different. Let  $P_G(t)$  be the number of different *t*-colourings of G, it turns out that  $P_G(t)$  is a polynomial in t ([5]), so we call  $P_G(t)$  the chromatic polynomial of G. A root of the chromatic polynomial of G is called chromatic zero or chromatic root. Sokal ([20]) proved that the complex chromatic zeros are dense in the complex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Webpage: http://www.ma.usb.ve/~vsirvent

plane. An important question is what kind of algebraic integers could be chromatic zeros, see [1] and references within, for different partial answers to this question. In particular, studies have been done concerning which algebraic numbers are not chromatic zeros. Alikhani and Peng ([2]) showed the golden mean is not a chromatic zero and in [3] they showed that *n*-bonacci numbers are not chromatic zeros when n is even. In the case of n odd, the authors showed that the *n*-bonacci number cannot be a chromatic zero of a connected graph having at most 4n + 2 vertices. In the present article we generalized those results to Pisot numbers. In particular we show in Theorem 1 that a Pisot number of even degree and its natural powers are not chromatic zeros. In Theorems 3 and 4, we consider some important families of Pisot numbers of odd degree. Using similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 5 in [3], we prove that those Pisot numbers could not be chromatic zeros of connected graphs having certain maximum number of vertices.

It is well-known that the chromatic zeros are contained in the complement of  $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, 32/27]$  ([10]). Since the smallest Pisot number (also known as the *plastic number*), the real root of  $x^3 - x - 1$  (cf. [18]), is approximately 1.32, we ask when a Pisot number is a chromatic zero. In Theorems 3 and 4 we give a negative answer of this question for the smallest isolated Pisot numbers.

We consider the following families of polynomials:

1.  $\Psi_n(x) := x^{2n+1} - x^{2n-1} - \dots - x - 1,$ 2.  $\Phi_n(x) := x^{2n+1} - x^{2n} - x^{2n-2} - \dots - x^2 - 1,$ 3.  $\Xi_n(x) := x^n (x^2 - x - 1) + x^2 - 1,$ 

where *n* is a positive integer. These polynomials are irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  and have a real root greater than 1, which is a Pisot number (cf. [6]). Some of the Pisot numbers associated to these polynomials are well-known:  $\Xi_1(x) = \Psi_1(x) = x^3 - x - 1$  is the minimal polynomial of the smallest Pisot number,  $\xi_1$ . The minimal polynomial of the second smallest Pisot number,  $\xi_2 \approx 1.38$ , is  $\Xi_2(x) = x^4 - x^3 - 1$  (cf. [18]). We denote by  $\psi_n$ ,  $\phi_n$  and  $\xi_n$  the Pisot numbers associated to the polynomial  $\Psi_n(x)$ ,  $\Phi_n(x)$  and  $\Xi_n(x)$ , respectively. They were considered by C.L. Siegel in [18]. They are the smallest Pisot numbers and they are ordered as follows (cf. [6]):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \psi_1 < \xi_2 < \xi_3 < \phi_1 < \xi_4 < \psi_2 < \xi_5 < \phi_2 < \xi_6 < \psi_3 < \dots < \\ &\dots < \phi_n < \xi_{2n+2} < \psi_{n+1} < \xi_{2n+3} < \phi_{n+1} < \dots < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover they are isolated points of the set of Pisot numbers and the golden mean is the smallest limit point of the set of Pisot numbers (cf. [6]).

We do not know if for all positive integers n and l > 1, the numbers  $\psi_n^l$ ,  $\phi_n^l$  are not chromatic zeros. And similarly for  $\xi_n^l$ , with n odd.

# 2. Results

**Theorem 1.** A Pisot number of even degree is not a chromatic zero. Moreover the natural powers of a Pisot number of even degree are not chromatic zeros.

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be a Pisot number of even degree and  $\Theta(x)$  its minimal polynomial. By definition, the degree of  $\Theta(x)$  is even. So it has at least two real roots: one root is  $\theta$ , and the other root  $\theta'$  is inside the unit circle. So  $\theta'$  is in the interval (-1, 1). It cannot be zero due to the minimality of  $\Theta(x)$ . Therefore the root  $\theta'$  is in  $(-1, 0) \cup (0, 1)$  which is not possible for a chromatic polynomial. Hence  $\Theta(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial.

Let C(x) be a polynomial with integer coefficients having  $\theta$  as a root. So  $\Theta(x)$  is a factor of C(x), and hence C(x) has a root in  $(-1,0) \cup (0,1)$ . Therefore C(x) is not a chromatic polynomial and  $\theta$  is not a chromatic zero.

Suppose that  $\theta^n$  is a chromatic zero, for some positive integer n. So there exists a chromatic polynomial P(x) such that  $\theta^n$  is one of its roots. It follows that  $\theta$  is a root of  $Q(x) := P(x^n)$ . Hence  $\Theta(x)$  is a factor of Q(x). We have seen in the first part of the proof that there exists  $\theta' \in (-1,0) \cup (0,1)$ , a root of  $\Theta(x)$ . Therefore  $\theta'$ is a root of Q(x), so  $\theta'^n$  is a root of P(x). Since  $\theta'^n \in (-1,0) \cup (0,1)$ , P(x) could be a chromatic polynomial. We conclude that  $\theta^n$  is not a chromatic zero.

**Theorem 2** ([9]). Let G be a graph with n vertices and k connected components. Then the chromatic polynomial of G is of the form

$$P_G(t) = a_n t^n + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \dots + a_k t^k$$

where  $a_i$  are integers such that  $a_n = 1$  and  $(-1)^{n-i}a_i > 0$ , for  $k \le i \le n$ . Moreover, if G has at least one edge, then 1 is a root of  $P_G(t)$ .

We prove the following theorem using arguments based on the proof of Theorem 5 in [3].

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\psi_n$  be the Pisot number whose minimal polynomial is  $\Psi_n(x)$ . If  $n \geq 2$  then  $\psi_n$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with at most 4n + 1 vertices.

*Proof.* According to Theorem 2, the polynomial  $\Psi_n(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial. If  $\psi_n$  is a root of a chromatic polynomial  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ , we have that  $\Psi_n(x)$  is a proper factor of C(x) and m > 2n + 1. So there exists a polynomial D(x), such that  $C(x) = \Psi_n(x)D(x)$  and

$$D(x) = b_{m-2n-1}x^{m-2n-1} + \dots + b_1x + b_0.$$

So when we develop the product  $\Psi_n(x)D(x)$ , we get the following equations when  $m-2n-1 \leq 2n-1$ :

$$-b_0 = a_0, \quad \cdots \quad -b_{m-2n-1} - \cdots - b_1 - b_0 = a_{m-2n-1},$$

and for m - 2n - 1 = 2n:

$$-b_0 = a_0, \quad \cdots \quad -b_{m-2n-1} - \cdots - b_1 = a_{m-2n-1}$$

Due to Theorem 2,  $b_0 = a_0 = 0$ , since 0 is a root of a chromatic polynomial. And by the same theorem, the number 1 is a root of C(x). Since  $\Psi_n(1) \neq 0$ , we have D(1) = 0, so  $b_{m-2n-1} + \cdots + b_1 + b_0 = 0$ , i.e.  $a_{m-2n-1} = 0$ . This fact contradicts Theorem 2. Therefore  $\psi_n$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with mvertices, where  $m - 2n - 1 \leq 2n$ , i.e.  $m \leq 4n + 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\xi_n$  and  $\phi_n$  the Pisot numbers whose minimal polynomials are  $\Xi_n(x)$  and  $\Phi_n(x)$ , respectively.

- (a) The plastic number, i.e.  $\xi_1$ , is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with at most 7 vertices.
- (b) The Pisot number  $\xi_3$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with at most 10 vertices.
- (c) The Pisot number  $\xi_n$ , with  $n \ge 5$  and odd, is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with at most n + 5 vertices.
- (d) The Pisot number  $\phi_n$ , with  $n \ge 2$ , is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph with at most 2n + 4 vertices.

*Proof.* Due to Theorem 2,  $\Xi_1(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial. We suppose that  $\xi_1$  is a root of the chromatic polynomial  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ , with m > 3, so  $\Xi_1(x)$  is a factor of C(x). Let D(x) be as in the proof of Theorem 3, with  $C(x) = D(x)\Xi_1(x)$ . If  $m - 3 \leq 4$ , then we have the following equations:

 $-b_0 = a_0, \quad -b_1 - b_0 = a_1, \quad -b_1 - b_2 = a_2, \quad b_0 - b_2 - b_3 = a_3, \quad b_1 - b_3 - b_4 = a_4.$ 

As in the proof of Theorem 3,  $b_0 = a_0 = 0$ , and C(1) = D(1) = 0, so

$$a_0 + \dots + a_4 = 0,$$
  

$$-b_0 + (-b_0 - b_1) + (-b_1 - b_2) + (b_0 - b_2 - b_3) + (b_1 - b_3 - b_4) = 0,$$
  

$$-b_2 - b_3 = 0,$$
  

$$a_3 = 0.$$

Hence C(x) could not be a chromatic polynomial, by Theorem 2. Therefore  $\xi_1$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph having m vertices with  $m \leq 7$ . This completes the proof of statement (a).

The polynomial  $\Xi_3(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial, due to Theorem 2. Let  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ , with m > 5, be a polynomial having  $\xi_3$  as a root. We suppose that C(x) is chromatic, so there exists  $D(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-5} b_j x^j$  such that  $C(x) = D(x)\Xi_3(x)$ . If  $m \leq 10$  we have the following equations:

 $\begin{array}{ll} -b_0=a_0, \ -b_1=a_1, & b_0-b_2=a_2, & -b_0+b_1-b_3=a_3, \\ -b_0-b_1+b_2-b_4=a_4, & b_0-b_1-b_2+b_3-b_5=a_5. \end{array}$ 

As in (a)  $b_0 = a_0 = 0$  and C(1) = D(1) = 0, so

$$a_0 + \dots + a_5 = 0,$$
  

$$-b_1 - b_2 + (b_1 - b_3) + (-b_1 + b_2 - b_4) + (-b_1 - b_2 + b_3 - b_5) = 0,$$
  

$$-b_1 + b_3 = 0,$$
  

$$-a_3 = 0.$$

Hence C(x) could not be a chromatic polynomial, by Theorem 2. Therefore  $\xi_3$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph having m vertices with  $m \leq 10$ . This completes the proof of statement (b).

The polynomial  $\Xi_n(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial, due to Theorem 2. Let n be an odd number and  $n \ge 5$ . Let  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i x^i$ , with m > n+2, be a polynomial having  $\xi_n$  as a root. We suppose that C(x) is chromatic, so there exists  $D(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-n-2} b_j x^j$  such that  $C(x) = D(x)\Xi_n(x)$ . If  $m-n-2 \le 3$  we have the following equations:

$$-b_0 = a_0, \quad -b_1 = a_1, \quad b_0 - b_2 = a_2, \quad b_1 - b_3 = a_3.$$

As in (a),  $b_0 = a_0 = 0$  and C(1) = D(1) = 0, so

$$a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0,$$
  

$$-b_0 - b_1 + b_0 - b_2 + b_1 - b_3 = 0,$$
  

$$b_1 = 0,$$
  

$$-a_1 = 0.$$

This contradicts the assumption that C(x) is chromatic. Therefore  $\xi_n$ , with  $n \ge 5$  is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph having m vertices with  $m \le n + 5$ . This completes the proof of statement (c).

Let  $n \ge 2$ . Due to Theorem 2,  $\Phi_n(x)$  is not a chromatic polynomial. We suppose that  $\phi_n$  is a root of the chromatic polynomial  $C(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i$ , with m > 2n+1, so

INTEGERS: 13 (2013)

There exists  $D(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-2n-1} b_j x^j$  such that  $C(x) = D(x) \Phi_n(x)$ . If  $m-2n-1 \leq 3$  we have the following equations:

$$-b_0 = a_0, \quad -b_1 = a_1, \quad -b_0 - b_2 = a_2, \quad -b_1 - b_3 = a_3.$$

As in the previous proofs,  $b_0 = a_0 = 0$  and C(1) = D(1) = 0, so

$$a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0,$$
  

$$-b_0 - b_1 - b_0 - b_2 - b_1 - b_3 = 0,$$
  

$$-b_1 = 0,$$
  

$$a_1 = 0.$$

Therefore  $\phi_n$ , with  $n \ge 2$ , is not a chromatic zero of a connected graph having m vertices with  $m \le 2n + 4$ . This completes the proof of statement (d).

**Open problem.** We do not know if the numbers  $\psi_n^l$ ,  $\phi_n^l$  are chromatic zeros, for integers  $n \ge 1$  and l > 1; and similarly for  $\xi_n^l$ , when n is odd.

#### References

- S. ALIKHANI, R. HASNI: Algebraic integers as chromatic and domination roots, Int. J. Combinatorics 2012 (2012), Article ID 780765, 8 pp.
- [2] S. ALIKHANI, Y. H. PENG: Chromatic zeros and the golden ratio, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 3 (2009), 120-122.
- [3] S. ALIKHANI, Y.H. PENG: Chromatic zeros and generalized Fibonacci numbers, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 3 (2009), 330-335.
- [4] V. BERTHÉ, A. SIEGEL: Tilings associated with beta-numeration and substitutions, Integers 5 (2005), #A02.
- [5] G.D. BIRKHOFF: A Determinant formula for the number of ways of coloring a map, Ann. Math. 14, 42–46, 1912.
- [6] M. J. BERTIN, A. DECOMPS-GUILLOUX, M. GRANDET-HUGOT, M. PATHIAUX-DELEFOSSE, J. P. SCHREIBER: *Pisot and Salem Numbers*, Basel, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [7] D.W. BOYD: Pisot and Salem numbers in intervals of the real line, Math. Comp. 32 (1978), 1244–1260.
- [8] A. BRAUER: On algebraic equations with all but one root in the interior of the unit circle, Math. Nachr. 4 (1951), 250–257.
- [9] F. M. DONG, K. M. KOH, K. L. TEO: Chromatic Polynomials and Chromaticity of Graphs, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd. 2005.

- [10] B. JACKSON: A zero free interval for chromatic polynomials of graphs, Combin. Probab. Comput. 2 (1993), 325–336.
- [11] Y. MEYER: Algebraic Numbers and Harmonic Analysis, North-Holland (1972).
- [12] R.V. MOODY (ED.): The Mathematics of Long-Range Aperiodic Order, Kluwer Acad. Publ. (1997).
- [13] N. PYTHEAS FOGG: Substitutions in Dynamics, Arithmetics and Combinatorics, (edited by V. Berthé, S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, et al.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1794, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- M. QUEFFÉLEC: Substitution Dynamical Systems Spectral Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1294, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [15] G. RAUZY: Nombres algébriques et substitutions, Bull. Soc. Math. France 110 (1982), 147–178.
- [16] R. SALEM: Algebraic Numbers and Fourier Analysis, Heath, 1963.
- [17] K. SCHMIDT: On periodic expansions of Pisot numbers and Salem numbers, Bull. London Math. Soc. 12 (1980), 269-278.
- [18] C.L. SIEGEL: Algebraic numbers whose conjugates lie in the unit circle, Duke Math. J. 11 (1944), 597-602.
- [19] V.F. SIRVENT, Y. WANG: Self-affine tilings via substitution dynamical systems and Rauzy fractals, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 206 (2002), 465–485.
- [20] A. D. SOKAL: Chromatic roots are dense in the whole complex plane, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing 13 (2004), 221–261.
- B. SOLOMYAK: Dynamics of self-similar tilings, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 17 (1997), 695–738.
- [22] W. THURSTON: Groups, Tilings and Finite State Automata, Lecture Notes AMS, 1989.