Isodual Codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} and Isodual Lattices

CHRISTINE BACHOC Laboratoire d'Algorithmique Arithmétique, 351, Cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence, France

T. AARON GULLIVER*

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand

MASAAKI HARADA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan

Received June 30, 1999; Revised November 4, 1999

Abstract. A code is called isodual if it is equivalent to its dual code, and a lattice is called isodual if it is isometric to its dual lattice. In this note, we investigate isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} . These codes give rise to isodual lattices; in particular, we construct a 22-dimensional isodual lattice with minimum norm 3 and kissing number 2464.

Keywords: isodual lattices, isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_k and double circulant codes

1. Introduction

A code is called isodual if it is equivalent to its dual code, and a lattice is called isodual if it is isometric to its dual lattice. Conway and Sloane [5] introduced the concept of isodual lattices, which is a generalization of unimodular lattices. A lot of known dense lattices are isodual [5], such as the rescaled Barnes-Wall lattice and the Coxeter-Todd lattice. In the coding theory context, isodual codes play a similar role with respect to the extensively studied family of self-dual codes (cf. [10]). In this note, we investigate a remarkable class of isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} , namely double circulant codes, and use them to construct isodual lattices. In particular, we construct a 22-dimensional isodual lattice of minimum norm 3.

In Section 2, we study the properties of isodual codes and present double circulant codes. We investigate the symmetrized weight enumerators of isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} , in particular, for small k, we give a basis for the space of invariants to which the symmetrized weight enumerators belong. In Section 3, we describe how isodual lattices can be constructed from isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} . In Section 4, we construct double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 and \mathbb{Z}_6 with the highest minimum Euclidean weight among all double circulant codes of length up to 24. These examples show that there are isodual codes which have a higher minimum Euclidean weight than any self-dual code of the same length. We then consider the lattices

*Present address: Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Victoria, P.O. Box 3055, STN CSC, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 3P6.

obtained from these codes. The most interesting are the 22-dimensional isodual lattices with minimum norm 3 and kissing number 2464 constructed from the double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 of length 22 and minimum Euclidean weight 12. In Section 5, we show that there are up to equivalence exactly six of these double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . We show that an extremal binary Type II code of length 24 can be constructed from each of these codes, pointing out a close connection between the 22-dimensional isodual lattices and the Leech lattice. Finally, in Section 6 we show that these lattices are all isometric to a single lattice L_{22} constructed from the binary self-dual [22, 11, 6] code. In particular its automorphism group is proven to be isomorphic to $\{\pm 1\}^{11}.M_{22}.2$, and it is characterized by the following properties: it is the unique up to isometry isodual 22-dimensional lattice of minimum norm 3 and containing an integral sublattice of index 2.

2. Isodual codes

2.1. Codes

A *linear* code *C* of length *n* over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} is a \mathbb{Z}_{2k} -submodule of \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n where \mathbb{Z}_{2k} is the ring of integers modulo 2*k*. We shall take for a representative set of the elements of \mathbb{Z}_{2k} either {0, 1, 2, ..., 2*k* - 1} or {0, ±1, ±2, ±3, ..., ±(*k* - 1), *k*}, using whichever set is convenient. An element of *C* is called a codeword of *C*. A *generator matrix* of *C* is a matrix whose rows generate *C*. The *Hamming weight* wt_H(*x*) of a vector *x* in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n is just the number of non-zero components. The *Euclidean weight* wt_E(*x*) of a vector *x* = $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} is $\sum_{i=1}^n \min\{x_i^2, (2k - x_i)^2\}$ where $\mathbb{Z}_{2k} = \{0, 1, 2, ..., 2k - 1\}$. The minimum Hamming and Euclidean weights, *d*_H and *d*_E, of *C* are the smallest Hamming and Euclidean weights among all non-zero codewords of *C*, respectively. Define the inner product of *x* and *y* in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n by $x \cdot y := x_1y_1 + \cdots + x_ny_n$. The *dual code* C^{\perp} of *C* is then $C^{\perp} := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n | x \cdot y = 0$ for all $y \in C\}$.

In view of some applications, there is no need to distinguish between codeword components which differ in sign, i.e., +1 and -1. Hence, two codes are said to be *equivalent* if one can be obtained from the other by permuting and changing signs on the coordinates. *C* is called *isodual* if *C* is equivalent to C^{\perp} , *C* is called *self-dual* if $C = C^{\perp}$, and *C* is called *self-orthogonal* if $C \subset C^{\perp}$. Clearly a self-dual code is isodual. We define the *symmetrized weight enumerator* (swe) of *C* by

$$\operatorname{swe}_{C}(x_{0}, x_{1}, \dots, x_{k}) := \sum_{c \in C} x_{0}^{n_{0}(c)} x_{1}^{n_{1}(c)} \cdots x_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}(c)} x_{k}^{n_{k}(c)}$$

where $n_0(c), n_1(c), \ldots, n_{k-1}(c), n_k(c)$ are the numbers of $0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm (k-1), k$ components of c, respectively. Equivalent codes have identical symmetrized weight enumerators. The *Hamming weight enumerator* of C is defined as $W_C(x, y) := \sum_{c \in C} x^{n-\text{wt}_H(c)} y^{\text{wt}_H(c)}$. An isodual code and its dual code have several identical weight enumerators (e.g., symmetrized weight enumerators, Hamming weight enumerators and biweight enumerators).

2.2. Constructions

Lemma 2.1 If 2k is a square, then an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} exists for all lengths. If 2k is not a square, then an isodual code exists for length n if and only if n is even.

Proof: If 2k is a square (say, α^2) then a code with generator matrix (α) is isodual. For a code *C* of length *n* over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} , it is known that $|C||C^{\perp}| = (2k)^n$. If *C* is an isodual code then $|C| = |C^{\perp}| = (2k)^{n/2}$. Thus *n* must be even if 2k is not a square. Moreover a code with generator matrix (1, β) is isodual where $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$.

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that C and D are isodual codes of lengths n and m with minimum Euclidean weights d_E and d'_E , respectively. Then the direct sum $C \oplus D := \{(c, d) | c \in C, d \in D\}$ is an isodual code of length n + m with minimum Euclidean weight min $\{d_E, d'_E\}$.

Proof: Let σ and σ' be equivalent maps such that $C^{\sigma} = C^{\perp}$ and $D^{\sigma'} = D^{\perp}$. Then $C^{\sigma} \oplus D^{\sigma'} = C^{\perp} \oplus D^{\perp}$. It is easy to see that $(C \oplus D)^{\perp} = C^{\perp} \oplus D^{\perp}$. Therefore $(C \oplus D)$ is equivalent to $(C \oplus D)^{\perp}$. The minimum Euclidean weight follows from the construction.

From the above lemma, when searching for codes with high minimum Euclidean weight, it is sufficient to consider only codes which are not the direct sum of codes.

Lemma 2.3 Let C be a code over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} with generator matrix (I, A) where I is the identity matrix. If there are (0, 1, -1)-monomial matrices P and Q such that $A^T = PAQ$ then C is isodual where A^T denotes the transpose of A.

Proof: The matrix $(-A^T, I)$ is a generator matrix of the dual code C^{\perp} . Since $A^T = PAQ$, *C* and the code with generator matrix $(I, -A^T)$ are equivalent. \Box

If A is symmetric or skew-symmetric (that is, $A^T = A$ or $A^T = -A$) then A satisfies the assumption of the above lemma.

Double circulant codes are a remarkable class of isodual codes. A *pure double circulant* code of length 2n has a generator matrix of the form (I, R) where R is an n by n circulant matrix. A code with a generator matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \cdots & \beta \\ \gamma & & & \\ I & \vdots & R' \\ \gamma & & & \end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

where R' is an (n-1) by (n-1) circulant matrix, is called a *bordered double circulant* code of length 2n. These two families of codes are collectively called *double circulant* codes.

Lemma 2.4 A double circulant code is isodual.

Proof: Follows from Lemma 2.3.

2.3. Symmetrized weight enumerators

We now investigate the symmetrized weight enumerators of isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} . We obtain invariants to which the symmetrized weight enumerators belongs. First define the following matrix:

$$M_{2k} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & \cdots & 1\\ 1 & \eta + \eta^{2k-1} & \eta^2 + \eta^{2k-2} & \cdots & \eta^k\\ 1 & \eta^2 + \eta^{2(2k-1)} & \eta^4 + \eta^{2(2k-2)} & \cdots & \eta^{2k}\\ 1 & \eta^3 + \eta^{3(2k-1)} & \eta^6 + \eta^{3(2k-2)} & \cdots & \eta^{3k}\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\\ 1 & \eta^k + \eta^{k(2k-1)} & \eta^{2k} + \eta^{k(2k-2)} & \cdots & \eta^{k^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where η is a primitive 2*k*-th root of unity. This matrix corresponds to the MacWilliams identities for codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} [1]. In other words,

$$swe_{C^{\perp}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = M_{2k}swe_C(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Thus the symmetrized weight enumerator of an isodual code is invariant under transformation by M_{2k} . By Lemma 2.1, if 2k is not a square then the symmetrized weight enumerator is also invariant under transformation by the diagonal matrix N := diag(-1, -1, ..., -1)derived from the restriction on the length. Therefore we have the following:

Proposition 2.5 The symmetrized weight enumerator of an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} is invariant under the group generated by M_{2k} , which has order 2. Moreover if 2k is not a square then the symmetrized weight enumerator of an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} is invariant under the group generated by M_{2k} and N, which has order 4.

Magma can easily be used to compute a basis for the invariant ring of small matrix groups. As examples, we give a basis for the invariant rings corresponding to the symmetrized weight enumerators of isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 and \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Corollary 2.6 If *C* is an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_4 , then the symmetrized weight enumerator swe_{*C*}(*a*, *b*, *c*) of *C* is an element of the ring

$$\mathbb{C}[a+c, b-c, a^2+4bc-c^2],$$

226

with Molien series

$$\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)^2(1-\lambda^2)} = 1 + 2\lambda + 4\lambda^2 + 6\lambda^3 + 9\lambda^4 + \cdots$$

Remark The set of symmetrized weight enumerators of all isodual lattices over \mathbb{Z}_4 cannot generate the above ring since there is a unique isodual lattice of length 1.

Corollary 2.7 If *C* is an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_6 , then the symmetrized weight enumerator swe_{*C*}(*a*, *b*, *c*, *d*) of *C* is an element of the ring

$$\mathbb{C}[\phi_{6,1},\phi_{6,2},\phi_{6,3},\phi_{6,4}] \oplus \phi_{6,5}\mathbb{C}[\phi_{6,1},\phi_{6,2},\phi_{6,3},\phi_{6,4}] \oplus \phi_{6,6}\mathbb{C}[\phi_{6,1},\phi_{6,2},\phi_{6,3},\phi_{6,4}] \\ \oplus \phi_{6,7}\mathbb{C}[\phi_{6,1},\phi_{6,2},\phi_{6,3},\phi_{6,4}]$$

with Molien series

$$\frac{1+2\lambda^2+\lambda^4}{(1-\lambda^2)^4} = 1 + 6\lambda^2 + 19\lambda^4 + 44\lambda^6 + 85\lambda^8 + \cdots,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_{6,1} &= a^2 + 4bd + 8c^2 - 12cd + 5d^2, \\ \phi_{6,2} &= ab - cd, \\ \phi_{6,3} &= ac - bd - 4c^2 + 6cd - 2d^2, \\ \phi_{6,4} &= ad + b^2 - 4bd - 3c^2 + 8cd - 3d^2, \\ \phi_{6,5} &= ad - 2bd + 2cd - d^2, \\ \phi_{6,6} &= bc - bd - 3c^2 + 5cd - 2d^2, \\ \phi_{6,7} &= abcd - abd^2 - 3ac^2d + 5acd^2 - 2ad^3 - 2b^2cd + 2b^2d^2 + 8bc^2d \\ &- 13bcd^2 + 5bd^3 - 6c^3d + 13c^2d^2 - 9cd^3 + 2d^4. \end{split}$$

3. Construction of isodual lattices

In this section we recall some basic notions on lattices and the basic construction of lattices from codes. For details, we refer to [1] and [3].

An *n*-dimensional lattice Λ in \mathbb{R}^n is the set of integral linear combinations of *n* linearly independent vectors v_1, \ldots, v_n . An *n* by *n* matrix whose rows generate Λ is called a generator matrix *G* of Λ . The determinant of Λ is the determinant of the Gram matrix GG^T of a generator matrix *G* of Λ . The *dual* lattice Λ^* of Λ is given by $\Lambda^* := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid [x, a] \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } a \in \Lambda\}$ where [x, a] is the standard inner product of *x* and *a*. The norm of *x* is [x, x]. A lattice Λ is *integral* if $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^*$. An integral lattice with $\Lambda = \Lambda^*$ is called *unimodular*. The minimum norm of Λ is the smallest norm among all nonzero vectors of Λ. The theta series $\Theta_{\Lambda}(q)$ of Λ is the formal power series

$$\Theta_{\Lambda}(q) := \sum_{x \in \Lambda} q^{[x,x]} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} N_m q^m,$$

where N_m is the number of vectors of norm *m*. The kissing number is the second coefficient of the theta series.

A lattice is said to be *isodual* if it is isometric to its dual lattice. This is a natural generalization of unimodular lattices, introduced in [5] where isodual lattices in small dimensions are studied.

In [9], H.-G. Quebbemann introduced the notion of *modular lattice of level l*. Such a lattice *L* is characterized by the following property: both *L* and $\sqrt{lL^*}$ are even lattices, and are isometric. Famous examples are the Coxeter-Todd lattice K_{12} of level 3 and dimension 12, and the Barnes-Wall lattice BW_{16} of level 2 and dimension 16. The rescaled lattice $l^{-1/4}L$ is then isodual.

Here we use a generalized "Construction A" to construct isodual lattices from our isodual codes. Construction A was first defined for binary codes [3] (see also [1] for the case of \mathbb{Z}_{2k} -codes).

First define the reduction modulo $2k \ \rho : \mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_{2k}^n$ by

$$\rho(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (x_1 \pmod{2k}, \ldots, x_n \pmod{2k}).$$

We set

$$A_{2k}(C) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid \rho(x) \in C \}.$$

Lemma 3.1 If C is an isodual code over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} with minimum Euclidean weight d_{E} then $A_{2k}(C)$ is an isodual lattice with minimum norm $\min\{d_{\mathrm{E}}/2k, 2k\}$.

Proof: It is not difficult to show that $A_{2k}(C^{\perp}) = A_{2k}(C)^*$ for a code *C* over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} . A code-equivalent map from *C* to C^{\perp} induces an isometry map from $A_{2k}(C)$ to $A_{2k}(C^{\perp})$. Thus $A_{2k}(C)$ is isodual. The assertion about the minimum norm follows from [1].

4. Double circulant codes and their lattices

In this section, we investigate the highest minimum norm of isodual lattices constructed from double circulant codes of length up to 24 over \mathbb{Z}_4 and \mathbb{Z}_6 . For example, consider the double circulant code $D_{4,6}$ of length 6 over \mathbb{Z}_4 with 210 as the first row of R. This code has minimum Euclidean weight 6. Thus the isodual lattice $A_4(D_{4,6})$ constructed from $D_{4,6}$ by Construction A has minimum norm $\frac{3}{2}$. The highest minimum norm among all known six-dimensional isodual lattices is $1 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}}$ (=1.5773...) [5].

In Table 1, we present the first row of *R* or *R'* for double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 with the highest minimum Euclidean weight among all double circulant codes for each length

228

Code	Length n	First row	$d_{\rm E}$
$D_{4,10}$	10	22100	8
$D_{4,12}$	12	221000	8
D _{4,14}	14	2210000	8
$D_{4,16}$	16	2312100 $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1, 2, 2)$	9
D _{4,18}	18	211200000	9
$D_{4,20}$	20	2112000000	10
$D_{4,22}$	22	31321121000	12
D _{4,24}	24	31321121000 (α , β , γ) = (1, 2, 2) (self-dual)	16

Table 1. Double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 .

up to 24. This was done by constructing all double circulant codes for these lengths. If the code is bordered, the values of (α, β, γ) are also given. Codes are given only for length $10 \le 2n \le 24$ because densest isodual lattices in dimensions up to 4 and 8 have been given in [5]. The fourth column of the table gives the minimum Euclidean weight $d_{\rm E}$ of the code.

It is known in [10] that the highest minimum Euclidean weight among all self-dual codes of lengths 10 and 16 over \mathbb{Z}_4 are 4 and 8, respectively. $D_{4,10}$ is an isodual code of length 10 with minimum Euclidean weight 8 and $D_{4,16}$ is an isodual code of length 16 with minimum Euclidean weight 9. Thus we have the following:

Proposition 4.1 There exist isodual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 which have a higher minimum *Euclidean weight than any self-dual code of the same length.*

Double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_6 are given in Table 2. The first row of *R* or *R'* for codes with the highest minimum Euclidean weight are given for each length up to 24. If the code is bordered, the values of (α, β, γ) are also given. The fourth column of this table gives the minimum Euclidean weight d_E of the code.

Code	Length n	First row	$d_{\rm E}$
$D_{6,10}$	10	42100	10
$D_{6,12}$	12	513010	12
$D_{6,14}$	14	3321000	12
$D_{6,16}$	16	41431000	14
$D_{6,18}$	18	134010000	14
$D_{6,20}$	20	3013101000	16
$D_{6,22}$	22	35530010000	16
$D_{6,24}$	24	24313412010 (α , β , γ) = (3, 2, 2) (self-dual)	18

Table 2. Double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Dimension 2n	$\mu(2n)$	Code	$\mu_K(2n)$	$\mu_U(2n)$	
10	2	$D_{4,10}$	2	1	
12	2	$D_{6,12}$	$\sqrt{16/3}$	2	
14	2	$D_{4,14}, D_{6,14}$	$\sqrt{16/3}$	2	
16	$\frac{7}{3}$	$D_{6,16}$	$\sqrt{8}$	2	
18	$\frac{7}{3}$	$D_{6,18}$	$\sqrt{16/3}$	2	
20	$\frac{7}{3}$	$D_{6,20}$	$8/\sqrt{7}$	2	
22	3	$D_{4,22}$	$\sqrt{16/3}$	2	
24	4	$D_{4,24}$	4	4	

Table 3. The minimum norm for isodual lattices from double circulant codes.

We next use these double circulant codes to construct dense isodual lattices by Construction A. Let $\mu(D_{2k,2n})$ be the minimum norm of the isodual lattice $A_{2k}(D_{2k,2n})$ constructed from the double circulant code $D_{2k,2n}$. Let $\mu(2n) := \max\{\mu(D_{2k,2n}) \mid k = 2, 3\}$, that is, $\mu(2n)$ is the maximal number among the minimum norms of the lattices $A_{2k}(D_{2k,2n})$ where k = 2, 3 for each dimension 2n.

In Table 3, we list $\mu(2n)$ for $10 \le 2n \le 24$. The third column in this table gives the double circulant code which provides $\mu(2n)$, while the fourth and fifth columns list the highest minimum norms $\mu_K(2n)$ and $\mu_U(2n)$ among known isodual lattices and unimodular lattices, from [11, 5, 3], respectively. Note that information on the highest minimum norm among isodual lattices in dimensions 17 to 22 is lacking in [5]. In this range of dimensions, the best known isodual lattices are modular lattices of level *l*. If such a lattice has minimum norm μ , then the corresponding isodual lattice has minimum norm μ/\sqrt{l} . We refer to the survey [11] for information on lattices with parameters: $(n, l, \mu) =$ (12, 3, 4), (14, 3, 4), (16, 2, 4), (18, 3, 4) and (20, 7, 8).

From Table 3, note the following:

Proposition 4.2 There is a 22-dimensional isodual lattice with minimum norm 3.

It appears that $A_4(D_{4,22})$ is the first example of an isodual lattice with minimum norm 3 in dimension 22. Isodual lattices in dimensions 23 and 24 with minimum norm 3 are known, namely the shorter Leech lattice and the odd Leech lattice. Thus $A_4(D_{4,22})$ is the smallest known isodual lattice with minimum norm 3.

The theta series $\Theta_{A_4(C)}(q)$ of the lattice constructed from a code *C* over \mathbb{Z}_4 can be obtained from the symmetrized weight enumerator swe_{*C*}(*a*, *b*, *c*) of *C* by replacing *a*, *b* and *c*, respectively, by $\sum_{x \in 4\mathbb{Z}} q^{x^2/4}$, $\sum_{x \in 4\mathbb{Z}+1} q^{x^2/4}$ and $\sum_{x \in 4\mathbb{Z}+2} q^{x^2/4}$. The symmetrized weight enumerator swe_{*D*_{4,22}} and the theta series $\Theta_{A_4(D_{4,22})}(q)$ of $A_4(D_{4,22})$ are given below.

$$swe_{D_{4,22}} = a^{22} + 1232a^{10}b^{12} + 5632a^7b^{15} + 2464a^6b^{16} + 616a^{13}b^8c + 14784a^{10}b^{11}c + 12320a^9b^{12}c + 14784a^5b^{16}c + 2464a^{13}b^7c^2 + 4004a^{12}b^8c^2 + 55440a^8b^{12}c^2 + 118272a^5b^{15}c^2 + 36960a^4b^{16}c^2 + 14784a^{11}b^8c^3 + 221760a^8b^{11}c^3 + 147840a^7b^{12}c^3$$

$$\begin{split} &+49280a^{3}b^{16}c^{3}+29568a^{11}b^{7}c^{4}+40656a^{10}b^{8}c^{4}+258720a^{6}b^{12}c^{4}\\ &+197120a^{3}b^{15}c^{4}+36960a^{2}b^{16}c^{4}+83160a^{9}b^{8}c^{5}\\ &+620928a^{6}b^{11}c^{5}+310464a^{5}b^{12}c^{5}+14784ab^{16}c^{5}\\ &+110880a^{9}b^{7}c^{6}+124740a^{8}b^{8}c^{6}+258720a^{4}b^{12}c^{6}+39424ab^{15}c^{6}\\ &+2464b^{16}c^{6}+176a^{15}c^{7}+140800a^{7}b^{8}c^{7}+443520a^{4}b^{11}c^{7}\\ &+147840a^{3}b^{12}c^{7}+330a^{14}c^{8}+140800a^{7}b^{7}c^{8}+123200a^{6}b^{8}c^{8}\\ &+55440a^{2}b^{12}c^{8}+83160a^{5}b^{8}c^{9}+73920a^{2}b^{11}c^{9}+12320ab^{12}c^{9}\\ &+66528a^{5}b^{7}c^{10}+41580a^{4}b^{8}c^{10}+1232b^{12}c^{10}+672a^{11}c^{11}\\ &+14784a^{3}b^{8}c^{11}+1344b^{11}c^{11}+616a^{10}c^{12}+9856a^{3}b^{7}c^{12}\\ &+3696a^{2}b^{8}c^{12}+616ab^{8}c^{13}+352ab^{7}c^{14}+44b^{8}c^{14}\\ &+176a^{7}c^{15}+77a^{6}c^{16},\\ \\ \Theta_{A_{4}(D_{4,22})}(q) = 1+2464q^{3}+45056q^{15/4}+43164q^{4}+394240q^{5}\\ &+3198976q^{23/4}+2444288q^{6}+11470272q^{7}+63393792q^{31/4}\\ &+43584860q^{8}+141182976q^{9}+629342208q^{39/4}+404963328q^{10}\\ &+1052468320q^{11}+4066979840q^{47/4}+2512336288q^{12}\\ &+5583148032q^{13}+\cdots. \end{split}$$

Define the *Euclidean weight enumerator* $E_C(s)$ of a code *C* as $E_C(s) := \sum_{c \in C} s^{wt_E(c)}$. To save space, we only list the first few terms in the Euclidean weight enumerators of $D_{6,18}$ and $D_{6,20}$. Note that $A_6(D_{6,18})$ and $A_4(D_{4,22})$ have higher minimum norms than $\mu_K(18)$ and $\mu_K(22)$, respectively.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{D_{6,18}}(s) &= 1+288s^{14}+792s^{16}+1338s^{18}+3618s^{20}+7380s^{22}+13134s^{24}\\ &+23094s^{26}+37188s^{28}+61116s^{30}+84636s^{32}+126846s^{34}\\ &+174719s^{36}+214380s^{38}+287478s^{40}+354702s^{42}\\ &+394758s^{44}+468576s^{46}+536778s^{48}+548208s^{50}\\ &+603450s^{52}+620793s^{54}+607104s^{56}+626058s^{58}\\ &+581064s^{60}+549414s^{62}+519462s^{64}+456912s^{66}\\ &+408510s^{68}+357174s^{70}+293511s^{72}+\cdots, \end{split}$$

$$\Theta_{A_6(D_{6,18})}(q) &= 1+288q^{7/3}+810q^{8/3}+1356q^3+4032q^{10/3}+8298q^{11/3}\\ &+15762q^4+30870q^{13/3}+54216q^{14/3}+95604q^5+160218q^{16/3}\\ &+266112q^{17/3}+414794q^6+627786q^{19/3}+980604q^{20/3}+\cdots. \end{split}$$

As described in Section 2, the supplemented quadratic residue code QR_{17} over \mathbb{Z}_4 of length 17 has minimum Euclidean weight 8. Thus this code gives an isodual lattice with minimum norm 2 in dimension 17.

5. Classification of double circulant codes of length 22 and some 3-designs

In this section, we classify the double circulant codes of length 22 over \mathbb{Z}_4 with minimum Euclidean weight 12. We also show that some of these codes contain 3-designs with parameters (22, 7, 4), (22, 8, 12), (22, 9, 84) and (22, 10, 156).

The following lemma is useful in classifying double circulant codes.

Lemma 5.1 If the matrix (I, A) generates an isodual code C over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} , then the matrices $(I, -A), (I, A^T)$ and $(I, -A^T)$ generate isodual codes which are equivalent to C.

Proof: Since *C* is isodual, the matrices (I, A) and $(I, -A^T)$ generate equivalent codes. Obviously (I, A) and (I, -A) also generate equivalent codes.

By exhaustive search, we have found all distinct double circulant codes of length 22 over \mathbb{Z}_4 with minimum Euclidean weight 12. This was done by considering all 11 by 11 (resp. 10 by 10) circulant matrices over \mathbb{Z}_4 for pure (resp. bordered) double circulant codes. Lemma 5.1 establishes the equivalence of a large number of these codes. To save space, Table 4 lists only those codes which must be checked further for equivalence to complete the classification. The symmetrized weight enumerators (column SWE) are also identified in the table, and these are listed at the end of this section. Note that $C_{1,1}$ is the same as $D_{4,22}$.

Let *R* and *R'* be two square matrices of the same order. If there are (0, 1, -1)-monomial matrices *P* and *Q* such that R = PR'Q, then (I, R) and (I, R') generate equivalent codes over \mathbb{Z}_{2k} . For the codes in Table 4, let $R_{i,j}$ be *R* in the generator matrix of $C_{i,j}$. Permutation matrices P_j and Q_j can be found such that $R_{1,j} = P_j R_{1,j+1} Q_j$ for j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14. Thus the codes $C_{1,i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are equivalent, the codes $C_{1,i}$ (i = 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) are equivalent, and the codes $C_{1,i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are equivalent. Similarly, it can be shown that the codes $C_{2,i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are equivalent and the codes $C_{3,i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are equivalent. Note that $C_{4,1}$ is the unique double circulant code with swe_{$C_{4,1}$} (a, b, c).

It is now shown that $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$ and $C_{1,11}$ are inequivalent by the methods used in [7, 6]. Let *C* be a code of length 2*n*. Let $M_t := (m_{ij})$ be the A_t by 2*n* matrix with rows composed of the codewords of Hamming weight *t* in *C*, where A_i denotes the number of codewords of Hamming weight *i* in *C*. For an integer k $(1 \le k \le 2n)$, let $n_t(j_1, \ldots, j_k)$ be the number of r $(1 \le r \le A_t)$ such that $m_{rj_1} \cdots m_{rj_k} \ne 0$ over \mathbb{Z} for $1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_k \le 2n$. We consider the set

 $S_t := \{n_t(j_1, \ldots, j_k) \mid \text{for any } k \text{ distinct columns } j_1, \ldots, j_k\}.$

Let $M_t(k)$ and $m_t(k)$ be the maximal and minimal numbers in S_t , respectively. Since two equivalent codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 have the same values for S_t , these numbers are invariant under the equivalence of codes. Table 5 gives some values of $M_t(k)$ and $m_t(k)$ for codes $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$ and $C_{1,11}$.

Table 4.	Double	circulant	codes	of	length	22.
----------	--------	-----------	-------	----	--------	-----

Code	First row of R	SWE
<i>C</i> _{1,1}	31321121000	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,2}$	21330112100	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,3}$	20311231010	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,4}$	32021310110	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,5}$	31032201110	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,6}$	23312110100	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,7}$	23211031100	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,8}$	23011211300	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,9}$	22131031010	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,10}$	20121303110	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,11}$	13212223110	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,12}$	22333231210	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,13}$	31231122210	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,14}$	22123121310	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{1,15}$	21233211120	$swe_{D_{4,22}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{2,1}$	31333321111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{2,2}$	33113332111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{2,3}$	31313133211	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{2,4}$	31133133211	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{2,5}$	33131231311	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{3,1}$	33331231111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{3,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{3,2}$	31313332111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{3,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{3,3}$	32133313111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{3,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{3,4}$	33131133211	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{3,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{3,5}$	32133131311	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{3,1}}(a, b, c)$
$C_{4,1}$	33313213111	$\operatorname{swe}_{C_{4,1}}(a, b, c)$

Now let $c_{i,1}, c_{i,2}, \ldots, c_{i,A_i}$ be the codewords of Hamming weight *i* in *C*. Let

 $d_i(j) := \#\{ \operatorname{wt}_{\operatorname{H}}(c_{i,k_1} - c_{i,k_2}) = j \mid 1 \le k_1 < k_2 \le A_i \}.$

The numbers $d_i(j)$ are also invariant under the equivalence of codes for any *i* and *j*. Table 6 gives some values of $d_i(j)$ for codes $C_{1,1}$ and $C_{1,6}$.

From Tables 5 and 6, $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$ and $C_{1,11}$ are inequivalent, and this completes the classification.

Proposition 5.2 There are exactly six inequivalent double circulant codes of length 22 over \mathbb{Z}_4 with minimum Euclidean weight 12.

Та	bl	le :	5. 1	Inequiva	lence	value	s for	$C_{1,1}$	and	$C_{1,11}$.	
----	----	------	------	----------	-------	-------	-------	-----------	-----	--------------	--

Code	$M_{9}(3)$	$m_{9}(3)$	$M_{9}(4)$	$m_{9}(4)$	$M_{10}(3)$	$m_{10}(3)$	$M_{10}(4)$	$m_{10}(4)$
$C_{1,1}$	168	168	60	44	312	312	124	108
$C_{1,6}$	168	168	60	44	312	312	124	108
$C_{1,11}$	168	168	60	42	312	312	126	108

Table 6. Inequivalence values for $C_{1,1}$ and $C_{1,6}$.

	Co	Code			
	$C_{1,1}$	$C_{1,6}$			
$d_{9}(0)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(1)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(2)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(3)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(4)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(5)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(6)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(7)$	8624	8624			
$d_{9}(8)$	7700	7700			
$d_{9}(9)$	143616	144672			
$d_{9}(10)$	219824	219384			
$d_{9}(11)$	657712	655952			
$d_{9}(12)$	837760	837320			
$d_{9}(13)$	761376	762432			
$d_{9}(14)$	1215896	1217480			
$d_{9}(15)$	509168	508640			
$d_{9}(16)$	292688	292072			
$d_{9}(17)$	85888	86064			
$d_{9}(18)$	1408	1320			
$d_{9}(19)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(20)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(21)$	0	0			
$d_{9}(22)$	0	0			

Remark We denote the six inequivalent double circulant codes $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$, $C_{1,11}$, $C_{2,1}$, $C_{3,1}$ and $C_{4,1}$ by C_{22}^1 , ..., C_{22}^5 and C_{22}^6 , respectively.

A t- (v, k, λ) design D is a set of v points with a collection of k-subsets called blocks, such that any t-points are contained in exactly λ blocks. The incidence matrix of D is the

matrix $M = (m_{ij})$ with $m_{ij} = 1$ if the *j*-th point is contained in the *i*-th block and $m_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. A design may be identified by its incidence matrix.

Corollary 5.3 The supports of Hamming weights 7, 8, 9 and 10 in $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$ and $C_{1,11}$ form 3-designs with parameters (22, 7, 4), (22, 8, 12), (22, 9, 84) and (22, 10, 156), respectively.

Proof: Let *C* be one of $C_{1,1}$, $C_{1,6}$ and $C_{1,11}$. The residue code $C^{(1)}$ and the torsion code $C^{(2)}$ of *C* are { $c \pmod{2} | c \in C$ } and { $c/2 | c \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $c \in C$ }, respectively. It is easy to see that $C^{(1)} = C^{(2)}$ and $C^{(1)}$ is the binary isodual [22, 11, 7] code *B* which has the Mathieu group M_{22} as its automorphism group. From swe_{*C*}(a, b, c), the codewords of Hamming weights 7 and 8 are in $C^{(2)}$. It is known that the codewords of Hamming weights 7 and 8 in *B* form a 3-(22, 7, 4) design and a 3-(22, 7, 4) design, respectively. Thus the supports of Hamming weights 7 and 8 in *C* form a 3-(22, 7, 4) design and a 3-(22, 8, 12) design, respectively.

Let *x* be a codeword in *C* of Hamming weight 9 (resp. 10). Then it follows from $swe_C(a, b, c)$ that 3x is a codeword of Hamming weight 9 (resp. 10), but 2x is not. Thus Table 5 shows that the supports of Hamming weight 9 and 10 in *C* form a 3-(22, 9, 84) design and a 3-(22, 10, 156) design without repeated blocks, respectively.

Now we prove that the codes C_{22}^i (i = 1, ..., 6) are closely related to extremal Type II codes of length 24 and that the lattices $A_4(C_{22}^i)$ are closely related to the Leech lattice. Let C_{22} be any of C_{22}^i (i = 1, ..., 6).

Lemma 5.4 Let G_{22} be the generator matrix (I, R_{22}) of C_{22} . Then $R_{22}R_{22}^T = 3J - I$ where J is the all-ones matrix.

The following matrix

$$G_{23} := \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & G_{22} & \vdots \\ & & 1 \\ 2 & \cdots & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

generates a self-orthogonal code C_{23} of length 23. Since C_{22} does not contain the all-2's vector (2, 2, 2, ..., 2), C_{23} is self-dual. The symmetrized weight enumerator of the self-orthogonal code C'_{23} generated by the first eleven rows in G_{23} can be obtained from the symmetrized weight enumerator of C_{22} , since the Euclidean weight of the codewords in C'_{23} must be divisible by 4. For any vector x over \mathbb{Z}_4 , $n_0(x + 2\mathbf{j}) = n_2(x)$, $n_1(x + 2\mathbf{j}) = n_3(x)$, $n_2(x + 2\mathbf{j}) = n_0(x)$ and $n_3(x + 2\mathbf{j}) = n_1(x)$ where $2\mathbf{j}$ is the all-2's vector. Hence the symmetrized weight enumerator of C_{23} can be obtained directly from swe_{$C_{22}}(a, b, c)$. The</sub>

minimum Euclidean weight of C_{23} is 12. The following matrix

$$G_{24} := \begin{pmatrix} & & 10 \\ & & & \\ & & G_{22} & \vdots \\ & & & 10 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 11 \end{pmatrix},$$

generates a Type II code C_{24} of length 24, i.e., a self-dual code with all Euclidean weights divisible by 8.

Proposition 5.5 C_{24} is a Type II code of length 24 with minimum Euclidean weight 16, and so is extremal.

Proof: Let C'_{24} be the bordered double circulant code with $R' = R_{22}$ and borders $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, 3, 1)$. It is easy to see that C'_{24} is a Type II code. All extremal Type II double circulant codes of length 24 have been classified in [6], and the list in [6] shows that C'_{24} is an extremal Type II double circulant code. The proposition follows from the fact that C_{24} and C'_{24} are equivalent.

Remark For codes C_{22}^1 , C_{22}^2 and C_{22}^3 of length 22, the supports of Hamming weight 10 in the corresponding bordered double circulant codes of length 24 form 5-(24, 10, 36) designs [6]. The 3-(22, 9, 84) and 3-(22, 10, 156) designs found in Corollary 5.3 are the derived and residual designs, respectively, of the 4-(23, 10, 84) designs which are the residual designs of the above 5-designs.

By the above proposition, $A_4(C_{23})$ (resp. $A_4(C_{24})$) is the unique extremal unimodular lattice in dimension 23 (resp. 24), which is called the shorter Leech lattice (resp. the Leech lattice). Thus the 22-dimensional isodual lattices $A_4(C_{22})$ are related to the Leech lattice.

We shall show in the next section the uniqueness of the isodual lattices constructed from the double circulant codes C_{22}^i . We end this section by listing the swe's of $C_{2,1}$, $C_{3,1}$ and $C_{4,1}$.

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{swe}_{C_{2,1}} &= a^{22} + 1408a^{10}b^{12} + 7040a^6b^{16} + 5632a^2b^{20} + 176a^{13}b^8c \\ &+ 22528a^{10}b^{11}c + 8448a^9b^{12}c + 28160a^5b^{16}c + 11264ab^{20}c \\ &+ 176a^{16}b^4c^2 + 3872a^{12}b^8c^2 + 43648a^8b^{12}c^2 + 77440a^4b^{16}c^2 \\ &+ 5632b^{20}c^2 + 352a^{15}b^4c^3 + 13728a^{11}b^8c^3 + 337920a^8b^{11}c^3 \\ &+ 107008a^7b^{12}c^3 + 112640a^3b^{16}c^3 + 55a^{18}c^4 + 1584a^{14}b^4c^4 \\ &+ 44704a^{10}b^8c^4 + 191488a^6b^{12}c^4 + 77440a^2b^{16}c^4 \\ &+ 2816a^{13}b^4c^5 + 84304a^9b^8c^5 + 946176a^6b^{11}c^5 + 242176a^5b^{12}c^5 \\ &+ 28160ab^{16}c^5 + 8272a^{12}b^4c^6 + 120384a^8b^8c^6 + 191488a^4b^{12}c^6 \\ &+ 7040b^{16}c^6 + 13728a^{11}b^4c^7 + 141504a^7b^8c^7 + 675840a^4b^{11}c^7 \\ &+ 107008a^3b^{12}c^7 + 330a^{14}c^8 + 18128a^{10}b^4c^8 + 120384a^6b^8c^8 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+43648a^2b^{12}c^8+22528a^9b^4c^9+84304a^5b^8c^9+112640a^2b^{11}c^9\\ &+8448ab^{12}c^9+18128a^8b^4c^{10}+44704a^4b^8c^{10}+1408b^{12}c^{10}\\ &+1024a^{11}c^{11}+13728a^7b^4c^{11}+13728a^3b^8c^{11}+2048b^{11}c^{11}\\ &+462a^{10}c^{12}+8272a^6b^4c^{12}+3872a^2b^8c^{12}+2816a^5b^4c^{13}\\ &+176ab^8c^{13}+1584a^4b^4c^{14}+352a^3b^4c^{15}+165a^6c^{16}\\ &+176a^2b^4c^{16}+11a^2c^{20}\\ \\ \text{swe}_{C_{3,1}}=a^{22}+1056a^{10}b^{12}+7040a^6b^{16}+5632a^2b^{20}+528a^{13}b^8c+22528a^{10}b^{11}c\\ &+7744a^9b^{12}c+28160a^5b^{16}c+11264ab^{20}c+88a^{16}b^4c^2+4576a^{12}b^8c^2\\ &+44704a^8b^{12}c^2+77440a^4b^{16}c^2+5632b^{20}c^2+176a^{15}b^4c^3\\ &+13024a^{11}b^8c^3+337920a^8b^{11}c^3+109824a^7b^{12}c^3+112640a^3b^{16}c^3\\ &+55a^{18}c^4+1672a^{14}b^4c^4+42592a^{10}b^8c^4+100784a^6b^{12}c^4\\ &+7744a^2b^{16}c^4+3168a^{13}b^4c^5+83952a^9b^8c^5+946176a^{6}b^{11}c^5\\ &+237952a^5b^{12}c^5+28160ab^{16}c^5+8536a^{12}b^4c^6+121792a^8b^8c^6\\ &+190784a^4b^{12}c^5+7040b^{16}c^6+13904a^{11}b^4c^7+142912a^7b^8c^7\\ &+675840a^4b^{11}c^7+109824a^3b^{12}c^7+330a^{14}c^8+17864a^{10}b^4c^8\\ &+121792a^6b^8c^8+44704a^2b^{12}c^8+21824a^9b^4c^9+83952a^5b^8c^9\\ &+112640a^7b^{11}c^9+7744ab^{12}c^9+17864a^8b^4c^{10}+42592a^4b^8c^{10}\\ &+1056b^{12}c^{10}+1024a^{11}c^{11}+13904a^7b^4c^{11}+13024a^3b^8c^{11}\\ &+2048b^{11}c^{11}+462a^{10}c^{12}+8536a^6b^4c^{12}+4576a^2b^8c^{12}+3168a^5b^4c^{13}\\ &+528ab^8c^{13}+1672a^4b^4c^{14}+176a^3b^4c^{15}+165a^6c^{16}+88a^2b^4c^{16}\\ &+11a^2c^{20}\\ \\ \text{swe}_{C_{3,1}}=a^{22}+704a^{10}b^{12}+7040a^6b^{16}+5632a^2b^{20}+880a^{13}b^8c\\ &+22528a^{10}b^{11}c^7+1040a^5b^{16}+5632a^2b^{20}+800a^{15}b^{6}c\\ &+12640a^3b^{12}c^7+27440a^3b^{12}c^7+1440a^4b^{16}c^2+5632b^{20}c^2\\ &+5280a^{12}b^8c^2+45760a^8b^{12}c^2+77440a^4b^{16}c^2+5632b^{20}c^2\\ &+5280a^{12}b^8c^2+45760a^8b^{12}c^2+77440a^4b^{16}c^2+5632b^{20}c^2\\ &+12320a^{13}b^8c^{1}+12040a^{2b}c^{1}+3112640a^{2b}b^{1}c^{5}+8360a^{2}b^{6}c^{1}+12320a^3b^8c^{6}\\ &+190080a^4b^{12}c^7+12640a^{2b}c^5+8800a^{12}b^4c^6+123200a^8b^8c^6\\ &+190080a^4b^{12}c^6+7040b^{16}c^5+880a^{12}b^{16}$$

6. Uniqueness of the six lattices $A_4(C_{22}^i)$

Let C_{22}^i (i = 1, ..., 6) be the six inequivalent double circulant codes in Proposition 5.2. In this section, we show that the lattices $A_4(C_{22}^i)$ are all isometric to some lattice L_{22} constructed below. L_{22} is constructed from the unique binary self-dual [22, 11, 6] code (also called the shorter Golay code [10]) in a very similar way as the Leech lattice is constructed from the binary Golay code. L_{22} is not unimodular, but has a higher minimum norm than the unimodular lattices, and its automorphism group is not larger than the group arising from the automorphism group of the code.

Let *C* be the unique binary self-dual [22, 11, 6] code, and let $U_{22} := A_2(C)$ be the unimodular lattice constructed from *C* by Construction A. Recall that the automorphism group of the code *C* is the group M_{22} .2. Now consider the sublattice

$$N_{22} := B_2(C) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{22}) \in U_{22} \, \middle| \, \sum_{i=1}^{22} x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \right\},\$$

of index 2 in U_{22} obtained by Construction B (see [3] for Constructions A and B). N_{22} no longer contains roots and has minimum norm 3. Set

$$L_{22} := N_{22} + \mathbb{Z}x,$$

where x = (1/2, ..., 1/2, 5/2) - s, the coordinates of *s* are 0 or 1, and *s* (mod 2) belongs to the shadow of *C* (see [4] for the shadows of binary self-dual codes).

Theorem 6.1 Let L_{22} and U_{22} be as above. Then we have:

- (1) L_{22} is an isodual lattice with minimum norm 3, and $Aut(L_{22}) \simeq {\pm 1}^{11}.M_{22}.2$ is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the lattice U_{22} .
- (2) Any 22-dimensional isodual lattice of minimum norm 3 containing an integral lattice of determinant 4 is isometric to L₂₂.

Proof: Let $e_i := (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0)$ for all *i*, where the 1 stands at coordinate *i*. Clearly, Aut $(U_{22}) = \{\pm 1\}^{22}$.Aut(C) since the only roots of U_{22} are $\pm 2e_i$. Let $e := (1, ..., 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{22} e_i$. Then $N_{22} = (U_{22})_e := \{x \in U_{22} \mid [x, e] \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}$ and $N_{22}^* = U_{22} + \mathbb{Z}e/2$. Since e/2 has norm 11/42, the minimum norm of N_{22}^* is 2 and its norm 2 vectors are the ones in U_{22} . Hence Aut (N_{22}) induces a permutation of them and Aut $(N_{22}) \simeq \{\pm 1\}^{11}$.Aut(C) since the sign changes preserving N_{22} are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of *C*.

We consider lattices of the form $L := N_{22} + \mathbb{Z}w/2$, where $w \in N_{22}$ is defined modulo $2N_{22}$. We search for lattices L such that L and L* both have minimum norm 3.

Lemma 6.2 There is a unique class $\bar{w} \in N_{22}/2N_{22}$ such that L and L* have minimum norm 3.

Proof: Since $\pm 4e_i \pm 4e_j$ and $\pm 8e_i$ belong to $2N_{22}$, the 21 first coordinates of w can be taken in $\{0, \pm 1, 2\}$ while $w_{22} \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, 4\}$. If one coordinate w_i of w is even, since

238

 $[2e_i, w/2] = w_i/2 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2e_i \in L^*$, which contradicts the condition that the minimum norm of L^* is 3. Hence we can assume $w_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ for $1 \le i \le 21$ and $w_{22} \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$. Moreover, if $w_{22} = \pm 1$, $w^2 = 11$ and the minimum norm of L is smaller than 3. Hence $w_{22} = \pm 3$, and the minimum norm of L is 3 if and only if w is minimal in its class $w + 2N_{22}$. If this is so, we notice that since $w^2/4 = 15/42$, the minimal vectors of L will be the ones of N_{22} , and hence that Aut $(L) \subset Aut(N_{22})$. For convenience, we assume now that $w_{22} \in \{3, 5\}$. Hence we can write $w = w(u) := e - 2 \sum_{i \in u} e_i + 4e_{22}$ where $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^{22}$ is identified with its set of non-zero coordinates. It is worth noting here that $w(u) \in N_{22}$ if and only if $2wt_H(u) \equiv 22 \pmod{4}$ and $w(u) \equiv w(u_1) \pmod{2N_{22}}$ if and only if $u \equiv u_1 \pmod{C}$ and $wt_H(u) \equiv wt_H(u_1) \pmod{4}$.

Lemma 6.3 Let w be as above. The class $w + 2N_{22}$ has minimum norm 15 if and only if u belongs to the shadow of C.

Proof: As mentioned previously, the minimum norm of the class $w + 2N_{22}$ is lower than 15 if and only if it contains an element with coordinates ± 1 , i.e. of the type $w' = e - 2 \sum_{i \in u'} e_i$ where $u' \in \mathbb{F}_2^{22}$. Then $w' \in N_{22}$ if and only if $wt_H(u') \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $w' \in w + 2N_{22}$ if and only if $\sum_{i \in u'} e_i - \sum_{i \in u} e_i + 2e_{22} \in N_{22}$. This last condition is equivalent to the two conditions: $u' + u \in C$ and $wt_H(u') + wt_H(u) + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. By setting c := u' + u, we get $c \in C$ and $wt_H(c) + 2c \cdot u \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Hence, such a codeword does not exist if and only if u is in the shadow of C. Note that in this case, $2wt_H(u) \equiv 22 \pmod{8}$, which ensures that $w \in N_{22}$. Now two elements of the shadow are congruent modulo C and define a single class modulo $2N_{22}$ from the previous remarks.

We have proved the two lemmas, and the fact that the minimum norm of L_{22} is 3. We have already seen that $Aut(L_{22})$ is a subgroup of $Aut(N_{22})$. Since the class of $N_{22}/2N_{22}$ is the unique one such that L and L^* have minimum norm 3, it is preserved by $Aut(N_{22})$ and hence we have equality.

Now we prove that L_{22}^* has minimum norm 3. Since $L_{22} = N_{22} + \mathbb{Z}w/2$, (w = w(u), u in the shadow of *C*), $L_{22}^* = (N_{22}^*)_w = (U_{22})_w \cup (U_{22} + e/2)_w$. Elements of norm lower than 3 in this lattice can only have the form $x = e/2 - \sum_{i \in u'} e_i$ with $u' \in C$ and the same computation shows that $[x, w] \equiv wt_H(u)/2 + u' \cdot u + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and hence that *x* does not belong to L_{22}^* .

Let *P* be a 22-dimensional lattice of determinant 1 such that *P* and *P*^{*} have minimum norm 3, and containing an integral sublattice *N* with index 2. We shall prove that *P* is isometric to L_{22} . Since *N* is integral, the quotient group N^*/N has order 4 and contains a subgroup of order 2 corresponding to an integral lattice *U*. Hence $N \subset U \subset N^*$ and *U* is unimodular. The lattice *U* contains at most one norm 1 vector and can contain norm 2 vectors only if they are pairwise orthogonal (because, if $x_1, x_2 \in U, x_1 \pm x_2 \in N$ which has minimum norm 3). A look at the classification of 22-dimensional unimodular lattices (cf. [3, Chapter 16]) shows that the only possibility is $U \simeq U_{22}$. Hence $N \simeq N_{22}$ which is the only sublattice (up to isometry) of index 2 of U_{22} not containing roots. The previous discussion shows then that $P \simeq L_{22}$.

The last assertion to prove is that L_{22} is isodual. Since L_{22}^* contains U_w which is an integral sublattice of index 2, we can take $P = L_{22}^*$ and conclude that $L_{22}^* \simeq L_{22}$. Therefore the theorem follows.

Remark More precisely, the lattices U_w and N_{22} are exchanged by an automorphism of U of type $(x_1, \ldots, x_{22}) \rightarrow (\epsilon_1 x_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{22} x_{22})$ where $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$, and the -1 defines the support of an element of the shadow of C. Such an automorphism also exchanges L_{22} and its dual lattice. Moreover, the lattice N_{22} is isometric to the orthogonal in the lattice O_{23} (cf. [3]) of any vector of norm 4.

Corollary 6.4 For all i = 1, ..., 6, $A_4(C_{22}^i)$ is isometric to L_{22} .

Proof: We only have to prove that these lattices contain integral sublattices of index 2. These lattices $A_4(C_{22}^i)$ are generated by the rows of matrices of the form

$$G := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I & R \\ O & 4I \end{pmatrix},$$

where *R* are circulant matrices and the first rows are listed in Table 4. $R = (R_{i,j})$ has integral coefficients and by Lemma 5.4 $RR^T = 3J - I$.

Let r_1, \ldots, r_{11} be the first eleven rows of *G* and let s_1, \ldots, s_{11} be the last eleven rows of *G*. We have $[s_i, s_j] = 4\delta_{i,j}, [s_i, r_j] = R_{j,i}$ and $[r_i, r_j] = 3/4$. Hence, one can verify that the sublattice of index 2 spanned by $\{r_i \pm r_j, s_i, \}_{1 \le i, j \le 11}$ is integral.

Acknowledgment

The third author would like to thank Manabu Oura for helpful conversations.

References

- E. Bannai, S.T. Dougherty, M. Harada, and M. Oura, "Type II codes, even unimodular lattices and invariant rings," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 45 (1999), 257–269.
- A. Bonnecaze, A.R. Calderbank, and P. Solé, "Quaternary quadratic residue codes and unimodular lattices," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 41 (1995), 366–377.
- J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packing, Lattices and Groups (2nd ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, "A new upper bound on the minimal distance of self-dual codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 36 (1990), 1319–1333.
- 5. J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, "On lattices equivalent to their duals," J. Number. Theory 48 (1994), 373-382.
- T.A. Gulliver and M. Harada, "Extremal Type II double circulant codes over Z₄ and construction of 5-(24, 10, 36) designs," *Discrete Math.* 194 (1999), 129–137.
- T.A. Gulliver and M. Harada, "Double circulant self-dual codes over Z_{2k}," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 44 (1998), 3105–3123.
- G.T. Kennedy and V. Pless, "On designs and formally self-dual codes," *Des. Codes and Cryptogr.* 4 (1994), 43–55.
- 9. H.-G. Quebbemann, "Modular lattices in Euclidean spaces," J. Number. Theory 54 (1995), 190–202.
- E. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, "Self-dual codes," in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, V. Pless et al. (Ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.
- 11. R. Scharlau and R. Schulze-Pillot, "Extremal lattices," in *Algorithmic Algebra and Number Theory*, B.H. Matzat, G.-M. Greuel, and G. Hiss (Ed.), Springer Verlag, 1999.