A Family of Optimal Packings in Grassmannian Manifolds

P.W. SHOR N.J.A. SLOANE Information Sciences Research, AT&T Labs-Research, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0971

njas@research.att.com

Received April 3, 1996; Revised December 31, 1996

Abstract. A remarkable coincidence has led to the discovery of a family of packings of $m^2 + m - 2 m/2$ dimensional subspaces of *m*-dimensional space, whenever *m* is a power of 2. These packings meet the "orthoplex bound" and are therefore optimal.

Keywords: Grassmannian manifold, packing, separating subspace, Barnes-Wall lattice, quantum coding theory, Clifford group

1. Introduction

Let G(m, n) denote the Grassmannian space of all *n*-dimensional subspaces of real Euclidean *m*-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^m . The principal angles $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \in [0, \pi/2]$ between two subspaces $P, Q \in G(m, n)$ are defined by

$$\cos \theta_i = \max_{u \in P} \max_{v \in Q} u \cdot v = u_i \cdot v_i,$$

for i = 1, ..., n, subject to $u \cdot u = v \cdot v = 1$, $u \cdot u_j = 0$, $v \cdot v_j = 0$ $(1 \le j \le i - 1)$. We define the distance¹ between *P* and *Q* to be

$$d(P, Q) = \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta_1 + \dots + \sin^2 \theta_n}.$$

In [11] we discussed the problem of finding good packings in G(m, n), that is, for given N = 1, 2, ..., of choosing $P_1, ..., P_N \in G(m, n)$ so that $\min_{i \neq j} d(P_i, P_j)$ is maximized. It was shown that for N > m(m+1)/2 the highest achievable distance, $d_N(m, n)$, satisfies

$$d_N^2(m,n) \le \frac{n(m-n)}{m} \,. \tag{1}$$

A necessary condition for equality to hold in (1) is that $N \leq (m-1)(m+2)$. An especially interesting case occurs when *m* is even, n = m/2, and N = (m-1)(m+2), where we found optimal packings for m = 2, 4 and 8; that is, packings of 4 lines in \mathbb{R}^2 , 18 2-spaces in \mathbb{R}^4 and 70 4-spaces in \mathbb{R}^8 . The first is the familiar configuration seen

on the British flag (the Union Jack), the second is the "double-nine", a classic configuration from nineteenth-century geometry (see (3) below and also the reference in [11]), but the third was discovered only after a very considerable computer-assisted search. At the time [11] was written we believed that there would be no further examples in this series.

It came as a considerable surprise therefore when we discovered that such packings exist whenever m is a power of 2.

These packings were discovered by a remarkable coincidence. One of us (P.W.S.) had discovered a family of groups in connection with quantum coding theory [10], and asked the other (N.J.A.S.) for the best way to determine their orders. N.J.A.S. explained to P.W.S. that the Magma computer system [6–8] was ideal for this, and gave as an example the symmetry group of above-mentioned set of 70 4-spaces in \mathbb{R}^8 , an eight-dimensional group of order $2^78! = 5160960$. To our astonishment, the first of his groups that P.W.S. tested turned out to be (almost) exactly the same group.

The version of the group that arises from quantum coding in fact has the coordinates in a slightly nicer order, and produces the 70 planes as the orbit of the plane spanned by the first four coordinate vectors. With the help of our colleague R.H. Hardin we verified that the next three groups in the series produced packings meeting the bound in 16, 32 and 64 dimensions. Further investigation then produced the general construction given in Section 3. The groups are described in Section 2.

2. The group

The group \mathcal{G}_i that arises from quantum coding theory is a subgroup of the real orthogonal group $O(V, \mathbb{R})$, where V denotes \mathbb{R}^m , $m = 2^i$, $i \ge 1$, with coordinates indexed by binary *i*-tuples $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_i) \in \mathbb{F}^i$, and \mathbb{F} is the field of order 2. \mathcal{G}_i is generated by the following $2^i \times 2^i$ orthogonal matrices:

- (i) all permutation matrices $\pi_{A,b}$ corresponding to affine transformations $x \mapsto Ax + b$ of \mathbb{F}^i , where A is any invertible $i \times i$ matrix over \mathbb{F} and $b \in \mathbb{F}^i$, and
- (ii) the matrix $H = \text{diag}\{H_2, H_2, ..., H_2\}$, where $H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \binom{++}{+-}$ (and + denotes +1, denotes -1).

By multiplying these generators it is easy to see that, for $i \ge 2$, G_i contains the matrix $H' = \text{diag}\{H_4, H_4, \dots, H_4\}$, where

$$H_4 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} + & + & + & + \\ + & - & + & - \\ + & + & - & - \\ + & - & - & + \end{pmatrix}$$

Let \mathcal{H}_i be the group generated by the permutations and H'. Then $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{H}_i \bigcup H\mathcal{H}_i$.

The packings described in Section 3 can be obtained by writing the coordinates in the natural lexicographic order and taking the orbit under G_i of the subspace spanned by the



Figure 1. The first pair of Barnes-Wall lattices, BW_1 (small circles) and BW'_1 (large circles).

first 2^{i-1} coordinate vectors (i.e., those in which $x_1 = 0$). However, the construction now given in Section 3 is a recursive one that no longer explicitly mentions the group. The group is only needed in the analysis, where we make use of the fact that it acts transitively on the subspaces. In the rest of this section we shall therefore give only a brief discussion of these groups, in order to show their connection with the Barnes-Wall lattices.

It turns out that \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{G}_i are well-known groups. \mathcal{H}_i is the Clifford group $\mathcal{CT}_1^+(2^i)$ studied in [4, 5, 14], which in recent years has been used in the classification of finite simple groups (see the references in [9]). \mathcal{H}_i is relevant for the present work because of its connection with the Barnes-Wall lattices.

Although the original paper of Barnes and Wall [3] describes a family of lattices in each dimension $m = 2^i$ ($i \ge 1$), the most interesting lattices are the pair with the highest number of minimal vectors (this number is given by the formula displayed in (4)). We denote this pair of 2^i -dimensional lattices by BW_i and BW'_i . A construction of these lattices using Reed-Muller codes is given in [2] and in [12], p. 234, example (f) (see also [13]).

 BW_i and BW'_i are geometrically similar lattices, differing only by a rotation and change of scale. When i = 1, for example, we can take BW_1 to be the square lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 (figure 1, solid circles), and BW'_1 to be its sublattice of index 2 (figure 1, double circles). In this case the matrix $D = \sqrt{2}H_2$ acts as an endomorphism sending BW_1 to BW'_1 . In exactly the same way, the matrix $\sqrt{2}H$ sends BW_i to BW'_i , a geometrically similar sublattice of index $2^{m/2}$ (cf. [12], pp. 240–241). Applying $\sqrt{2}H$ twice sends BW_i to $2 \cdot BW_i$.

Wall [14] showed that for $i \neq 3$, \mathcal{H}_i is the full automorphism group of the lattices BW_i and BW'_i . (The case i = 3 is special, since BW_3 and BW'_3 are copies of the root lattice E_8 .) The group \mathcal{H}_i has a normal subgroup E which is an extra-special 2-group of order 2^{1+2i} , and \mathcal{H}_i/E is isomorphic to the orthogonal group $O_{2i}^+(2) \cong D_i(2)$. The order of \mathcal{H}_i is

$$2^{2i+1} \cdot 2^{i(i-1)}(2^i - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (4^j - 1).$$
⁽²⁾

By adjoining the irrational matrix H we obtain the full group G_i , twice the size of \mathcal{H}_i . The group G_i also appears in an apparently totally different context in [9] (see the group L defined in Eq. (2.13)).

The way the group \mathcal{G}_i arises in quantum coding theory is as follows. The quantum state space of *i* 2-state quantum systems is the complex space \mathbb{C}^m , $m = 2^i$. Quantum computation involves making unitary transformations in this space (see [1, 10]). Some transformations may be much easier to realize than others, and it is therefore important to know which sets of transformations are sufficient for quantum computation, that is, which sets generate a group dense in $SU(2^i)$. An interesting set of transformations which generate a finite group are the linear Boolean functions on quantum bits (the permutation matrices in our group \mathcal{G}_i), and certain rotations of quantum bits by $\pi/2$. To obtain the corresponding subgroup of the orthogonal group $SO(2^i)$, only one rotation is required, which can be taken to be the matrix H.

3. The construction

We specify a subspace $P \in G(m, n)$ by giving a generator matrix, that is, an $n \times m$ matrix whose rows span P. We will use the same symbol for the subspace and the generator matrix, and P^{\perp} will denote the subspace orthogonal to P (or a generator matrix thereof). I denotes an identity matrix.

The construction is recursive. We define a set Q_i containing 2^{2i-1} monomial matrices of size $2^{i-1} \times 2^{i-1}$ by $Q_1 = \{(+), (-)\},$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{i} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} + & 0 \\ 0 & + \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathcal{Q}, \ \begin{pmatrix} + & 0 \\ 0 & - \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathcal{Q}, \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & + \\ + & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathcal{Q}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & + \\ - & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \mathcal{Q}; \ \mathcal{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{i-1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \geq 2$. Then C_i is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{1} &= \{ (+0), (0+), (++), (+-) \}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{i} &= \left\{ (I0), (0I), \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P^{\perp} \end{pmatrix}, (IQ); \ P \in \mathcal{C}_{i-1}, \ Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{i} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $i \ge 2$. For example, C_2 consists of the 18 matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} +000\\ 0+00 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 00+0\\ 000+ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +000\\ 00+0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +000\\ 00+0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0+00\\ 000+ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ++00\\ 00++ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ++00\\ 00+- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +-00\\ 00+- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +-00\\ 00+- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +-00\\ 00++ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +0-0\\ 0+0+ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +0-0\\ 0+0+ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +0-0\\ 0+0+ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +0-0\\ 0+0- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +00-\\ 0+0- \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} +00-\\ 0+-0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(3)$$

(The last two rows of matrices in (3) are the matrices (IQ).) These are generator matrices for 18 2-spaces in \mathbb{R}^4 .

Theorem Let $m = 2^i$, $i \ge 1$. The generator matrices C_i define a set of $(m-1)(m+2) = 2^{2i} + 2^i - 2\frac{1}{2}m$ -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^m . The distance between any two subspaces is either $\sqrt{m/4}$ or $\sqrt{m/2}$.

Proof: The number of subspaces is, by induction,

$$2 + 2(2^{2i-2} + 2^{i-1} - 2) + 2^{2i-1} = 2^{2i} + 2^{i} - 2,$$

as claimed.

Since the recursive definition of the C_i mentions the matrices (10) and (01), the coordinate positions of C_i can be labeled from left to right with binary *i*-tuples in the natural order, and the group G_i then acts by multiplication on the right. It is now easy to find matrices in G_i that permute the subspaces transitively. We leave the details to the reader. Therefore, to determine the distances between the planes, we may assume that one of the planes has generator matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & 0 & & \\ 1 & & 0 & & \\ & 1 & & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We recall (cf. [11]) that if a second plane has generator matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & & s_1 & & \\ & c_2 & & s_2 & \\ & & c_3 & & s_3 & \\ & & \cdots & & & \cdots & \\ & & & c_n & & & s_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where $c_1^2 + s_1^2 = \cdots = c_n^2 + s_n^2 = 1$, $n = 2^{i-1}$, then the principal angles between A and B are $arccos c_1$, $arccos c_2$, ..., $arccos c_n$.

The principal angles between A and (01) are $\pi/2$ (n times). Between A and the subspaces

$$\begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P^{\perp} \end{pmatrix}$$

they are 0 (n/2 times), $\pi/2$ (n/2 times); and between A and (IQ) they are $\pi/4$ (n times). The distance from A to any other plane is therefore either $\sqrt{n/2}$ or \sqrt{n} .

Since the bound (1) is achieved, this is an optimal packing.

Together with R.H. Hardin, we are also investigating other families of subspaces that can be obtained from the same group. If the initial subspace is taken to be that spanned by the first coordinate vector, the orbit consists of the minimal vectors of the Barnes-Wall lattice BW_i , together with their images under the transformation H, giving a total of

$$(2+2)(2^2+2)\cdots(2^i+2)$$
(4)

lines, with minimal angle $\pi/4$. Taking the plane spanned by the first two coordinates as the initial plane, we appear to obtain packings in G(m, 2) containing

$$\frac{1}{12}(2^i - 1)\prod_{r=0}^i (2^r + 2)$$

planes, with minimal distance 1, for $m = 2^i$, $i \ge 1$.

On the other hand, if the initial subspace is that generated by the first m/4 coordinate vectors, we appear to obtain packings in G(m, m/4) containing

$$\frac{1}{12}(m-2)(m-1)(m+2)(m+4)$$

subspaces, with minimal distance $\sqrt{m/8}$, for $m = 2^i$, $i \ge 2$. The first member of this sequence is the packing of 24 lines in \mathbb{R}^4 formed from the diameters of a pair of dual 24-cells.

We hope to discuss these packings (which appear to be a kind of Grassmannian analogue of Reed-Muller codes and Barnes-Wall lattices) in a subsequent paper.²

Acknowledgments

We thank J.H. Conway and W.M. Kantor for helpful discussions about the groups and R.H. Hardin for assistance with experiments on the computer.

Notes

- 1. It is shown in [11] that this is a metric, and in fact is essentially the L_2 distance between the matrices that describe the orthogonal projections onto P and Q.
- The above conjectures have been confirmed. See A.R. Calderbank, R.H. Harden, E.M. Rains, P.W. Shor, and N.J.A. Sloane, "A group-theoretic framework for the construction of packings in Grassmannian spaces", to appear in this journal.

References

- A. Barenco, C.H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D.P. DiVincenzo, N. Margolus, P.W. Shor, T. Sleator, J. Smolin, and H. Weinfurter, "Elementary gates for quantum computation," *Phys. Rev. A*. 52 (1995), 3457–3467.
- 2. E.S. Barnes and N.J.A. Sloane, "New lattice packings of spheres," Canad. J. Math. 35 (1983), 117-130.

- 3. E.S. Barnes and G.E. Wall, "Some extreme forms defined in terms of Abelian groups," J. Australian Math. Soc. 1 (1959), 47–63.
- 4. B. Bolt, T.G. Room, and G.E. Wall, "On Clifford collineation, transform and similarity groups I," *J. Australian Math. Soc.* **2** (1961), 60–79.
- B. Bolt, T.G. Room, and G.E. Wall, "On Clifford collineation, transform and similarity groups II," J. Australian Math. Soc. 2 (1961), 80–96.
- 6. W. Bosma and J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Sydney, 1995.
- W. Bosma, J.J. Cannon, and G. Mathews, "Programming with algebraic structures: Design of the Magma language," in *Proceedings of the 1994 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, M. Giesbrecht (Ed.), Association for Computing Machinery, Oxford, 1994, pp. 52–57.
- W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, "The Magma algebra system I: The user language," J. Symb. Comp. 1996, 24 (1997), 235–265.
- 9. A.R. Calderbank, P.J. Cameron, W.M. Kantor, and J.J. Seidel, "ℤ₄-Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean line-sets," *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **75** (1997), 436–480.
- A.R. Calderbank and P.W. Shor, "Good quantum error-correcting codes exist," *Phys. Rev. A*, 54 (1996), 1098–1105.
- J.H. Conway, R.H. Hardin, and N.J.A. Sloane, "Packing lines, planes, etc.: Packings in Grassmannian space," Experimental Math. 5 (1996), 139–159.
- J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, NY, 1993.
- G.D. Forney, Jr., "Coset codes—Part II: Binary lattices and related codes," *IEEE Trans. Information Theory* 34 (1988), 1152–1187.
- G.E. Wall, "On Clifford collineation, transform and similarity groups IV," Nagoya Math. J. 21 (1962), 199– 222.