

# Regular Maximal Monotone Operators and the Sum Theorem

Andrei Verona

*Department of Mathematics, California State University,  
Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA.  
e-mail: averona@calstatela.edu*

Maria Elena Verona

*Department of Mathematics, University of Southern California,  
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113, USA.  
e-mail: verona@math.usc.edu*

Received July 31, 1998

Revised manuscript received July 26, 1999

In this note, which is a continuation of [17], we study two classes of maximal monotone operators on general Banach spaces which we call  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_1$ )-regular. All maximal monotone operators on a reflexive Banach space, all subdifferential operators, and all maximal monotone operators with domain the whole space are  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular and all linear maximal monotone operators are  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular. We prove that the sum of a  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (or  $\mathcal{C}_1$ )-regular maximal monotone operator with a maximal monotone operator which is locally inf bounded and whose domain is closed and convex is again maximal monotone provided that they satisfy a certain “dom–dom” condition. From this result one can obtain most of the known sum theorem type results in general Banach spaces. We also prove a local boundedness type result for pairs of monotone operators.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $X^*$  be its dual, endowed with the dual norm. We shall denote by  $B$  (resp.  $B^*$ ) the unit ball of  $X$  (resp.  $X^*$ ). Let also  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^* \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote the usual evaluation map, i.e.  $\langle x^*, x \rangle = x^*(x)$ .

Recall that a multivalued map  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is called a *monotone operator* if  $\langle x^* - y^*, x - y \rangle \geq 0$  whenever  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x^* \in T(x)$ , and  $y^* \in T(y)$ . The set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $T(x) \neq \emptyset$  is called the *domain* of  $T$  and is denoted  $D_T$ . If  $T$  is monotone and  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , a pair  $(y, y^*) \in A \times X^*$  is called *monotonically related to  $T$  on  $A$*  if  $\langle x^* - y^*, x - y \rangle \geq 0$  whenever  $x \in A$  and  $x^* \in T(x)$ . The monotone operator  $T$  is called *maximal on  $A$*  if  $y^* \in T(y)$  whenever the pair  $(y, y^*)$  is monotonically related to  $T$  on  $A$ . (If  $A = X$ , we shall omit  $X$  from the above terminology.)

Let  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be a monotone operator,  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$ ,  $x \in C$ , and  $x^* \in X^*$ . Consider the following extended numbers (extended means that  $+\infty$  is a possibility)

$$L_C(x, x^*, T) = 0 \vee \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle z^* - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|}; z \in C, z \neq x, z^* \in T(z) \right\}$$

$$M_C(x, x^*, T) = 0 \vee \inf_{u^* \in T(x)} \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle u^* - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|}; z \in C, z \neq x \right\}$$

(here  $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$ ; we use the usual conventions that  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$  and  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ ). When  $C = X$  we shall denote  $L_C(x, x^*, T)$  by  $L(x, x^*, T)$  and  $M_C(x, x^*, T)$  by  $M(x, x^*, T)$ .

In the particular case when  $T$  is the subdifferential of a lower semicontinuous convex function and  $C = X$ , these numbers were introduced by Simons [11] who proved that they are equal to each other. In [17] we proved that these numbers are also equal when  $\text{co}(D_T) - C$  absorbs  $\overline{\text{lin}(D_T - C)}$  and either  $X$  is reflexive and  $T$  is maximal monotone or  $T$  is the subdifferential of a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function on  $X$ . (Here “co” stands for “convex hull of” and “lin” stands for “linear span of”.) As a matter of fact, we proved their equality in a more general setting. Before stating this result we need to introduce some notation. For  $\lambda \geq 0$ , let  $g_{\lambda, x} : X \rightarrow R$  be defined by  $g_{\lambda, x}(u) = \lambda\|u - x\|$ . Let also  $I_C$  denote the indicator function of  $C$  (i.e.  $I_C(z) = 0$  if  $z \in C$  and  $I_C(z) = +\infty$  otherwise). The following theorem was proved in [17] (see Theorem 1):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $C \subseteq X$  be nonempty, closed, convex,  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be monotone, and  $x \in X$ . Assume that  $T + \partial g_{\lambda, x} + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Then  $L(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = M(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$ . If  $x \in C$ , then  $L_C(x, x^*, T) = M_C(x, x^*, T)$ .*

For any monotone operator  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_0(T) &= \{C \subseteq X; C \text{ is closed, convex, and } D_T \cap \text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset\} \\ \mathcal{C}_1(T) &= \{C \subseteq X; C \text{ is closed, convex, and } \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda(\text{co}(D_T) - C) = \overline{\text{lin}(D_T - C)}\} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\mathcal{C}_0(T) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1(T)$ .

**Definition 1.2.**

- (1) A maximal monotone operator  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is called *X-regular* if  $L(x, x^*, T) = M(x, x^*, T)$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ .
- (2) Let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . A maximal monotone operator  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is called  *$\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular* if  $L(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = M(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  for any  $x \in X$ ,  $x^* \in X^*$  and any  $C \in \mathcal{C}_i(T)$ .

**Remark 1.3.** (1) Of course the statement “ $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular” implies that “ $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular” which in turn implies that “ $T$  is  $X$ -regular”.

(2) If  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular and  $C \in \mathcal{C}_1(T)$  then  $D_T \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Indeed, if  $D_T \cap C = \emptyset$ , then  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = 0 \vee \sup \emptyset = 0 \vee \{-\infty\} = 0$  and thus  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = 0$ , implying that  $x \in D_T \cap C$  for any  $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ , which is not true.

In [17], as a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we proved that *maximal monotone operators on reflexive Banach spaces as well as subdifferential operators (on any Banach space) are  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular.*

Here are other results that we proved in [17] and will be used in this paper:

- (i) *If  $C \subseteq X$  is closed and convex and  $x \in C$  then  $L_C(x, x^*, T) = L(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  and  $M_C(x, x^*, T) = M(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$ .*

- (ii)  $M(x, x^*, T) < +\infty$  if and only if  $x \in D_T$ .
- (iii)  $M(x, x^*, T) = 0$  if and only if  $x^* \in \overline{T(x)}$ .
- (iv) If  $T$  is  $X$ -regular then  $\overline{D_T}$  is convex.
- (v) If  $T$  is  $X$ -regular and  $x \in \overline{D_T}$  then  $T$  is locally bounded at  $x$  if and only if  $x \in \text{int}(D_T)$ .
- (vi) A  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular maximal monotone operator is maximal monotone locally (i.e. it is maximal monotone on any open convex subset of  $X$  which intersects its domain).

One of the important open problems in convex analysis is to find conditions under which the sum  $T + S$  of two maximal monotone operators  $T$  and  $S$  is maximal monotone. When  $X$  is reflexive, Rockafellar [10] proved that a sufficient condition for the “sum theorem” to be true is that  $\text{int}(D_T) \cap D_S$  be nonempty. This condition was relaxed by several authors (see [1], [3], [13]), the apparently least restrictive one being due to Simons (Theorem 26 in [13]) who proved that the sum theorem is true whenever  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy a certain  $\chi$  constraint qualification (for example if  $\text{co}(D_T) - \text{co}(D_S)$  absorbs  $\overline{\text{lin}(D_T - D_S)}$ ). Later, in [14], Simons proved that these less restrictive conditions (his and the other ones) are equivalent to each other. The proofs of all these results rely heavily on the assumption that  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space. When  $X$  is a Banach space, not necessarily reflexive, the sum theorem is known to be true only in a few particular cases (see Chapter IX in [15]). Here are some of them:

- (a)  $D_T = X = D_S$  (due to M. Heisler; see Section 3 in [5] or Theorem 40.4 in [15]).
- (b) both  $T$  and  $S$  are linear and  $D_S = X$  (see Theorem 7.2 in [7] or Theorem 37.1 in [15]).
- (c)  $T$  is the subdifferential of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function on  $X$  and  $S$  is linear with  $D_S = X$  (due to H. Bauschke; see Theorem 42.2 in [15]).

It is our aim in this paper to prove the sum theorem (in any Banach space  $X$ ) in the case when  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular ( $i \in \{0, 1\}$ ),  $S$  is locally inf bounded (see the definition in the next section),  $D_S \in \mathcal{C}_i(T)$ , and  $D_T$  and  $D_S$  satisfy an additional condition. Since we shall also prove that a maximal monotone operator whose domain is  $X$  (or which is linear or which is the subdifferential of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function) is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular, and since a maximal monotone operator whose domain is  $X$  is locally inf bounded, our result is a generalization of (a), (b), and (c).

Finally we would like to mention that one can also introduce dual numbers

$$L_V^*(x, x^*, T) = 0 \vee \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle z^* - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x^* - z^*\|}; \quad z^* \in V, \quad z^* \neq x^*, \quad z^* \in T(z) \right\}$$

$$M_V^*(x, x^*, T) = 0 \vee \inf_{(z, x^*) \in \mathcal{G}(T)} \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle u^* - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x^* - z^*\|}; \quad z^* \in V, \quad z^* \neq x^* \right\}$$

for any  $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ ,  $V \subseteq X^*$ . We shall study properties of these numbers in another paper.

## 2. A sum theorem

We begin with a construction and a lemma that will be useful in reducing statements about monotone operators on  $X$  to statements about monotone operators on a closed subspace.

Given  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  and  $Y \subseteq X$  a closed subspace, define  $T|Y : Y \rightrightarrows Y^*$  by

$$(T|Y)(y) = \{y^* \in Y^*; \text{ there exists } x^* \in T(y) \text{ such that } y^* = x^*|Y\}.$$

If  $C$  is a closed convex subset of  $Y$ , in addition to  $I_C : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  we shall also consider  $I_{C,Y} : Y \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ , the indicator function of  $C$  in  $Y$ , and its subdifferential  $\partial I_{C,Y} : Y \rightrightarrows Y^*$ . It is easy to verify that  $\partial I_{C,Y} = (\partial I_C)|Y$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be monotone,  $Y \subseteq X$  be a closed subspace such that  $D_T \subseteq Y$ . Let also  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $Y$  and  $x \in Y$ . Then*

- (a)  $T$  is maximal monotone  $\iff T = T + \partial I_Y$  and  $T|Y : Y \rightrightarrows Y^*$  is maximal monotone.
- (b)  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = L_Y(x, x^*|Y, T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y})$ .
- (c)  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = M_Y(x, x^*|Y, T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y})$ .

**Proof.** (a) See Lemma 25 in [13]. To prove (b) notice that, since  $C \subseteq Y$ ,  $\partial I_C = \partial I_C + \partial I_Y$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) &= L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C + \partial I_Y) \\ &= L_Y(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = L_Y(x, x^*|Y, (T + \partial I_C)|Y) \\ &= L_Y(x, x^*|Y, T|Y + (\partial I_C)|Y) = L_Y(x, x^*|Y, T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y}) \end{aligned}$$

the second equality following from Lemma 3 in [17] and the third one from the definitions. The proof of (c) is similar. □

We recall now a construction and a related result due to Simons [12]. Given a monotone operator  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  define  $\psi_T : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  by

$$\psi_T(u) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle z^*, u - z \rangle}{1 + \|z\|}; z^* \in T(z) \right\}.$$

Being the supremum of affine functions,  $\psi_T$  is convex and lower semicontinuous. If  $u \in D_T$  and  $u^* \in T(u)$ , then for any  $z \in D_T$  and any  $z^* \in T(z)$  we have

$$\frac{\langle z^*, u - z \rangle}{1 + \|z\|} = \frac{\langle z^* - u^*, u - z \rangle}{1 + \|z\|} + \frac{\langle u^*, u - z \rangle}{1 + \|z\|} \leq 0 + \|u^*\| \cdot \frac{\|u - z\|}{1 + \|z\|} \leq \|u^*\|(1 + \|u\|)$$

which shows that  $\psi(u) < +\infty$ . Thus

$$D_T \subseteq \text{dom}(\psi_T). \tag{2.1}$$

In [4] Coodey and Simons used a generalization of  $\psi_T$  to strengthen earlier results of Rockafellar [8] and Borwein and Fitzpatrick [2]. Among other results they proved that  $T$  is locally bounded at each surrounded point of  $\text{co}(D_T)$  ( $x \in X$  is a *surrounded point* of  $A$  if  $X \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda(A - x)$ ). A variant of this result for a pair of monotone operators is presented next.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $T, S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be monotone operators such that  $\text{co}(D_T) - \text{co}(D_S)$  is absorbing. Then there exist  $r_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\|t^*\|, \|s^*\| \leq c(r_0 + \|z\|)(r_0 + \|t^* + s^*\|), \text{ whenever } z \in D_T \cap D_S, t^* \in T(z), \text{ and } s^* \in S(z).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\psi_T$  and  $\psi_S$  be defined as above. Since  $\text{dom}(\psi_T)$  and  $\text{dom}(\psi_S)$  are convex, it follows from (2.1) that  $\text{co}(D_T) \subseteq \text{dom}(\psi_T)$  and  $\text{co}(D_S) \subseteq \text{dom}(\psi_S)$ . Thus  $\text{co}(D_T) - \text{co}(D_S) \subseteq \text{dom}(\psi_T) - \text{dom}(\psi_S)$  and our assumption implies that  $\text{dom}(\psi_T) - \text{dom}(\psi_S)$  is absorbing. From Corollary 4 in [13] it follows that there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $r \geq 1$  such that

$$\varepsilon B \subseteq \{x \in X; \psi_T(x) \leq r, \|x\| \leq r\} - \{x \in X; \psi_S(x) \leq r, \|x\| \leq r\}.$$

Let  $x \in \varepsilon B$ ,  $z \in D_T \cap D_S$ ,  $t^* \in T(z)$ , and  $s^* \in S(z)$ . Then  $x = a - b$  with  $\psi_T(a) \leq r$ ,  $\|a\| \leq r$ ,  $\psi_S(b) \leq r$ , and  $\|b\| \leq r$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle t^*, x \rangle &= \langle t^*, a - z \rangle + \langle s^*, b - z \rangle + \langle t^* + s^*, z - b \rangle \\ &\leq \psi_T(a)(1 + \|z\|) + \psi_S(b)(1 + \|z\|) + \|t^* + s^*\|(\|z\| + r) \\ &\leq (r + \|z\|)(2r + \|t^* + s^*\|) \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\|t^*\| \leq \frac{(r + \|z\|)(2r + \|t^* + s^*\|)}{\varepsilon}.$$

A similar estimate can be obtained for  $\|s^*\|$ . □

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $T, S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be maximal monotone operators such that  $\text{co}(D_T) - \text{co}(D_S)$  is absorbing. Then  $T(z) + S(z)$  is a  $w^*$ -closed subset of  $X^*$  for any  $z \in D_T \cap D_S$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  and  $S$  are maximal monotone,  $T(z)$  and  $S(z)$  are convex and therefore  $T(z) + S(z)$  is also convex. In view of the Krein-Šmulian theorem it is enough to prove that  $T(z) + S(z)$  is  $\text{bw}^*$ -closed, that is every bounded  $w^*$ -convergent net in  $T(z) + S(z)$  has its limit in  $T(z) + S(z)$ .

Let  $\{t_i^*\} \subseteq T(z)$  and  $\{s_i^*\} \subseteq S(z)$ , be nets such that the net  $\{t_i^* + s_i^*\}$  is bounded and  $w^*$ -convergent to  $z^*$ . By the previous proposition, the nets  $\{t_i^*\}$  and  $\{s_i^*\}$  are also bounded, so they are relatively  $w^*$ -compact. By replacing them with subnets we may assume that  $w^*\text{-lim } t_i^* = t^*$  and  $w^*\text{-lim } s_i^* = s^*$ . Since  $T$  and  $S$  are maximal monotone,  $T(z)$  and  $S(z)$  are  $w^*$ -closed and therefore  $t^* \in T(z)$  and  $s^* \in S(z)$ . Then  $z^* = t^* + s^* \in T(z) + S(z)$ . □

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be a maximal monotone operator,  $C \in \mathcal{C}_1(T)$ , and assume that  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  for any  $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ . Then  $T + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone. In particular, if  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_1$ )-regular maximal monotone operator and  $C \in \mathcal{C}_0(T)$  (resp.  $C \in \mathcal{C}_1(T)$ ), then  $T + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone.*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $\overline{\text{lin}(D_T - C)} = X$ , i.e.  $\text{co}(D_T) - C$  is absorbing. Let  $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$  be monotonically related to  $T + \partial I_C$ . Then  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = 0$  and therefore  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = 0$  too. This means that  $x^* \in \overline{(T + \partial I_C)(x)}$ . By Corollary 2.3,  $(T + \partial I_C)(x)$  is  $w^*$ -closed and therefore norm-closed too. Thus  $x^* \in (T + \partial I_C)(x)$ , implying that  $T + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone.

The general case can be reduced to the particular one considered above as follows. First we shall show that there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $0 \in D_T \cap C$ . To this end, let  $c \in D_T \cap C$  (this is possible because of Remark 1.3(2) in the introduction). Define  $\tilde{T} : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  by  $\tilde{T}(x) = T(x + c)$  and let  $\tilde{C} = C - c$ . It is easy to see that  $\tilde{T}$  is maximal

monotone,  $\tilde{C} \in \mathcal{C}_1(\tilde{T})$ ,  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = L_X(x - c, x^*, \tilde{T} + \partial I_{\tilde{C}})$ ,  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) = M_X(x - c, x^*, \tilde{T} + \partial I_{\tilde{C}})$ , and that  $T + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone if and only if  $\tilde{T} + \partial I_{\tilde{C}}$  is maximal monotone. Since  $0 \in D_{\tilde{T}} \cap \tilde{C}$ , our assertion is proved.

Let  $Y = \overline{\text{lin}(D_T - C)}$ . Since  $0 \in D_T \cap C$ , it follows that  $D_T \subseteq Y$  and  $C \subseteq Y$ . Then  $\text{co}(D_{(T|Y)}) - C$  is absorbing (as a subset of  $Y$ ) and, from Lemma 2.1,  $T|Y$  is maximal monotone and  $L_X(x, x^*, T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y}) = M_X(x, x^*, T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y})$  for any  $(x, x^*) \in Y \times Y^*$ . From the particular case proved at the beginning of the proof it follows that  $T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y}$  is maximal monotone. Finally, since  $(T + \partial I_C)|Y = T|Y + \partial I_{C,Y}$ , from Lemma 2.1 we obtain that  $T + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone.  $\square$

Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  and let  $x \in C$ . Recall that the *tangent cone* to  $C$  at  $x$ , denoted  $C_x$ , and the *normal cone* to  $C$  at  $x$ , denoted  $N_C(x)$ , are defined as follows

$$C_x = \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq 0} t(C - x)}$$

$$N_C(x) = \{x^* \in X^*; \langle x^*, z - x \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for any } z \in C\}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$N_C(x) = \{x^* \in X^*; \langle x^*, v \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for any } v \in C_x\}$$

and (by using a separation argument) that

$$C_x = \{v \in X; \langle x^*, v \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for any } x^* \in N_C(x)\}.$$

As a matter of fact,  $N_C(x) = \partial I_C(x)$  whenever  $C$  is closed.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be a maximal monotone operator, let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  such that  $D_T \subseteq C$ , and let  $x \in D_T$ . Then  $T(x) + N_C(x) = T(x)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $z \in D_T$ ,  $z^* \in T(z)$ ,  $x^* \in T(x)$ , and  $v^* \in N_C(x)$ . Then, from the monotonicity of  $T$  and the definition of  $N_C(x)$ , we get

$$\langle x^* + v^* - z^*, x - z \rangle = \langle x^* - z^*, x - z \rangle + \langle v^*, x - z \rangle \geq 0$$

and, since  $T$  is maximal monotone, it follows that  $x^* + v^* \in T(x)$ . Thus  $T(x) + N_C(x) \subseteq T(x)$ . Since  $0 \in N_C(x)$ , the other inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

Before stating our main result, we need one more definition.

**Definition 2.6.** A multivalued map  $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is called *locally inf bounded* if for every  $z \in D_T$  there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that for any  $u \in D_T$  with  $\|u - z\| \leq \varepsilon$  there exists  $u^* \in T(u)$  with  $\|u^*\| \leq M$ .

**Example 2.7.** (1) Any monotone operator  $T$  whose domain is open is locally inf bounded (because it is locally bounded, see for example Theorem 2.28 in [6]).

(2) If  $f : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$  is a proper convex function which is locally Lipschitzian on its domain then  $D_{\partial f} = \text{dom}(f)$  and  $\partial f$  is locally inf bounded (see for example [16], where locally inf bounded monotone operators were called locally efficient).

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be maximal monotone operators on  $X$  and let  $i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Assume that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular,  $S$  is locally inf bounded, and  $D_S \in \mathcal{C}_i(T)$ . If  $i = 1$  assume also that  $\overline{\text{co}(D_T)} \cap D_S = \overline{D_T} \cap D_S$  (for example,  $S$  satisfies all these conditions if  $D_S = X$ ). Then  $T + S$  is maximal monotone.*

**Proof.** Exactly as in the proof of Corollary 2.4, we can assume, without any loss of generality, that  $\overline{\text{lin}(D_T - D_S)} = X$ . Thus the fact that  $D_S \in \mathcal{C}_i(T)$  implies that

$$\text{co}(D_T) - D_S \text{ is absorbing.} \tag{2.2}$$

Being maximal monotone, both  $S$  and  $T$  are  $w^*$ -closed and convex valued. Thus, for any  $z \in D_T \cap D_S$ ,  $T(z) + S(z)$  is convex and, by (2.2) and Corollary 2.3,  $w^*$ -closed. Assume that  $T + S$  is not maximal monotone. Then there exists a pair  $(x, y^*) \in X \times X^*$  such that

$$\langle t^* + s^* - y^*, z - x \rangle \geq 0, \text{ whenever } z \in D_T \cap D_S, t^* \in T(z), \text{ and } s^* \in S(z) \tag{2.3}$$

but  $y^* \notin (T + S)(x)$ .

Claim I.  $x \in D_T \cap D_S$  (to be proved later).<sup>1</sup>

Thus  $T(x) + S(x) \neq \emptyset$  and, as noticed above,  $T(x) + S(x)$  is  $w^*$ -closed and convex. Since  $y^* \notin T(x) + S(x)$ , by the separation theorem there exist  $u \in X$ , with  $\|u\| = 1$ , and a real number  $\beta$  such that

$$\langle t^* + s^*, u \rangle < \beta < \langle y^*, u \rangle, \text{ for any } t^* \in T(x), s^* \in S(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Since  $T$  is  $X$ -regular,  $\overline{D_T}$  is a closed convex set, (Theorem 7 in [17]), so  $D = \overline{D_T} \cap D_S$  is also closed and convex. It is not difficult to see that

$$D = \overline{D_T \cap \text{int}(D_S)} \text{ if } i = 0. \tag{2.5_0}$$

The last hypothesis of the theorem implies that

$$D = \overline{\text{co}(D_T) \cap D_S} \text{ if } i = 1. \tag{2.5_1}$$

Let  $D_x$  be the tangent cone to  $D$  at  $x$ .

Claim II.  $u \in D_x$  (to be proved later).

We shall now choose some constants. First, since  $S$  is locally inf bounded, there exist  $r > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$S(z) \cap rB^* \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever } z \in D_S \text{ and } \|z - x\| \leq \alpha. \tag{2.6}$$

Choose also  $0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  such that  $r\delta \leq \varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is defined next, independently of  $\delta$ . Let

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}(\langle y^*, u \rangle - \beta),$$

$$\mu = \sup_{x^* \in T(x)} \langle x^*, u \rangle,$$

<sup>1</sup>In order to make the proof easier to follow, we shall claim several results and verify them later.

$$W = \{x^* \in X^*; \langle x^*, u \rangle < \beta + \varepsilon - \mu\}.$$

(It follows from (2.4) that  $\mu < +\infty$ .) Then  $W$  is a  $w^*$ -open subset of  $X^*$  and (2.4) implies that  $S(x) \subseteq W$ . Finally, since  $S$  is maximal, we may also assume that  $\alpha$  is small enough that

Claim III.  $S(z) \cap rB^* \subseteq W$  whenever  $z \in D_S$  and  $\|z - x\| \leq \alpha$  (to be proved later).

We shall next use the fact that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular to derive a contradiction from (2.4). To this end consider the closed convex set

$$C = \{z \in X; \|z - x\| \leq \alpha, z = x + tv \text{ for some } t > 0 \text{ and some } v \in X \text{ with } \|v - u\| < \frac{\delta}{4}\}.$$

Claim IV.  $\|\frac{1}{\|z-x\|}(z-x) - u\| \leq \delta$  for any  $z \in C$  (to be proved later).

Since  $x + \frac{\alpha}{2}u \in \text{int}(C)$  and  $u \in D_x$ , from the definition of  $D_x$  it follows that

$$D \cap \text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset. \tag{2.7}$$

Let  $K = D_S \cap C$ . If  $i = 0$ , then (2.7) and (2.5<sub>0</sub>) imply that

$$D_T \cap \text{int}(K) = D_T \cap \text{int}(D_S) \cap \text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$$

and therefore  $K \in \mathcal{C}_0(T)$ . If  $i = 1$ , then (2.7), and (2.5<sub>1</sub>) imply that  $\text{co}(D_T) \cap D_S \cap \text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$ . From (2.2) one can deduce now that  $\text{co}(D_T) - K$  is absorbing and therefore  $K \in \mathcal{C}_1(T)$ .

Since  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular,  $L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K) = M(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K) < +\infty$  (the inequality because  $x \in D_T \cap K$ ). From the definition of  $M(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K)$  there exists  $t_0^* \in T(x)$  such that

$$\frac{\langle y^* - t_0^*, z - x \rangle}{\|x - z\|} < L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K) + \varepsilon, \text{ for any } z \in K.$$

Since  $u \in D_x$ , there exist  $w \in X$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\langle y^* - t_0^*, u \rangle \leq \langle y^* - t_0^*, w \rangle + \varepsilon$  and  $x + \gamma w \in K$ . Then, from the above inequality, we obtain

$$\langle y^* - t_0^*, u \rangle \leq \langle y^* - t_0^*, w \rangle + \varepsilon = \frac{\langle y^* - t_0^*, (x + \gamma w) - x \rangle}{\|x - (x + \gamma w)\|} < L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K) + 2\varepsilon.$$

From the definition of  $L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_K)$ , there exist  $z \in K \cap D_T$  and  $t^* \in T(z)$  such that

$$\langle y^* - t_0^*, u \rangle < \frac{\langle t^* - y^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + 2\varepsilon.$$

This inequality can be rewritten as

$$\langle y^* - t_0^*, u \rangle < \langle y^* - t^*, v \rangle + 2\varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $v = (1/\|z - x\|)(z - x)$ ; since  $z \in C$ , by Claim IV,

$$\|v - u\| < \delta. \tag{2.9}$$



Choose now  $s^* \in S(z) \cap rB^*$  (this is possible by (2.6)). From (2.2) we obtain

$$\langle t^* + s^* - y^*, v \rangle \geq 0$$

or

$$\langle y^* - t^*, v \rangle \leq \langle s^*, v \rangle.$$

Using (2.8) and this last inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y^*, u \rangle &< \langle t_0^*, u \rangle + \langle y^* - t^*, v \rangle + 2\varepsilon \leq \langle t_0^*, u \rangle + \langle s^*, v \rangle + 2\varepsilon \\ &= \langle t_0^*, u \rangle + \langle s^*, u \rangle + \langle s^*, v - u \rangle + 2\varepsilon \\ &\quad \text{(by Claim III)} \\ &\leq \langle t_0^*, u \rangle + \beta + \varepsilon - \mu + \|s^*\| \|v - u\| + 2\varepsilon \\ &\quad \text{(by (2.9) and then by the choice of } \delta) \\ &\leq \langle t_0^*, u \rangle - \mu + \beta + 3\varepsilon + r\delta \leq 0 + \beta + 4\varepsilon = \langle y^*, u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Thus  $T + S$  must be maximal monotone.

**Proof of Claim I.** Let  $x_0 \in D_T \cap \text{int}(D_S)$  if  $i = 0$  (resp.  $x_0 \in D_T \cap D_S$  if  $i = 1$ ) and let  $[x, x_0]$  be the segment joining  $x$  and  $x_0$ . Since  $D_S$  is closed and convex there exists  $x_1 \in [x, x_0] \cap D_S$  such that  $[x, x_0] \cap D_S = [x_1, x_0]$ . Since  $S$  is locally inf bounded and  $[x_1, x_0]$  is compact, there exists  $m > 0$  and an open neighborhood  $A$  of  $[x_1, x_0]$  such that for any  $z \in A \cap D_S$  there exists  $s^* \in S(z)$  with  $\|s^*\| \leq m$ . For any  $\rho > 0$  let  $K_\rho = \{(1-t)x + tu; 0 \leq t \leq 1, \|u - x_0\| \leq \rho\}$ . We claim that if  $\rho$  is small enough, then  $K_\rho \cap D_S \subseteq A$ . If this was not true, then there would exist a sequence  $u_n \rightarrow x_0$  in  $X$  and a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow t$  in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $z_n = (1-t_n)x + tu_n \in D_S$  and  $z_n \notin A$ . Then  $z = (1-t)x + tx_0 = \lim z_n \notin A$  (since  $A$  is open), but  $z \in [x, x_0] \cap D_S$  (since  $D_S$  is closed). Since  $[x, x_0] \cap D_S = [x_1, x_0] \subseteq A$  it follows that  $z \in A$ , which is a contradiction. Thus there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $K_\rho \cap D_S \subseteq A$ . Let  $K = K_\rho$ .

Let  $z \in D_T \cap K \cap D_S$ ,  $t^* \in T(z)$ , and  $u^* \in \partial I_{K \cap D_S}(z) = \partial(I_K + I_{D_S})(z)$ . Since  $\text{int}(K) \cap D_S \neq \emptyset$ , from the sum formula for subdifferentials, there exist  $j^* \in \partial I_K(z)$  and  $i^* \in \partial I_{D_S}(z)$  such that  $u^* = j^* + i^*$ . From the previous discussion, we can also choose  $s^* \in S(z)$  with  $\|s^*\| \leq m$ . Then  $\langle j^*, x - z \rangle \leq 0$  and, by Lemma 2.5,  $s^* + i^* \in S(z)$ . From (2.3) we obtain

$$\frac{\langle t^* + u^* - y^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} = \frac{\langle t^* + s^* + i^* - y^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + \frac{\langle j^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} - \frac{\langle s^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} \leq 0 + \|s^*\| \leq m.$$

Thus  $L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_{K \cap D_S}) < +\infty$ . Since  $x_0 \in \text{int}(K)$ , then either  $x_0 \in D_T \cap \text{int}(D_S \cap K)$  if  $i = 0$  or, using (2.2),  $\text{co}(D_T) - D_S \cap K$  absorbs  $X$  if  $i = 1$ . In both cases  $D_S \cap K \in \mathcal{C}_i(T)$ . Since  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_i$ -regular, it follows that  $M(x, y^*, T + \partial I_{K \cap D_S}) = L(x, y^*, T + \partial I_{K \cap D_S}) < +\infty$ , hence  $x \in D_T \cap D_S \cap K$  (by (ii) in the Introduction). This proves Claim I.

**Proof of Claim II.** Assume that  $u \notin D_x$ . Then, by the separation theorem, there exists  $u^* \in X^*$  such that

$$\langle u^*, z \rangle < \langle u^*, u \rangle \text{ for all } z \in D_x. \tag{2.10}$$

Since  $0 \in D_x$ ,  $\langle u^*, u \rangle > 0$ ; since  $tz \in D_x$  for any  $t > 0$  and any  $z \in D_x$ , it follows from (2.10) that  $\langle u^*, z \rangle \leq 0$  for any  $z \in D_x$ , which means that  $u^* \in \partial I_D(x) = \partial(I_{\overline{D_T}} + I_{D_S})(x)$ . Since  $D_T - D_S$  is absorbing, the sum formula for subdifferentials implies that  $u^* = v^* + w^*$ ,  $v^* \in \partial I_{\overline{D_T}}(x)$ ,  $w^* \in \partial I_{D_S}(x)$ . Choose  $t^* \in T(x)$  and  $s^* \in S(x)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.5,  $t^* + \lambda v^* \in T(x)$  and  $s^* + \lambda w^* \in S(x)$  for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ . It follows from (2.4) that  $\langle u^*, u \rangle = \langle v^*, u \rangle + \langle w^*, u \rangle \leq 0$  which contradicts our earlier finding that  $\langle u^*, u \rangle > 0$ . This contradiction proves Claim II.

**Proof of Claim III.** If the claim was not true, (2.9) would imply that there exist a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x$  and a sequence  $\{w_n^*\}$  with  $w_n^* \in S(x_n) \cap rB^*$  but

$$\langle w_n^*, u \rangle \geq \beta + \varepsilon - \mu. \tag{2.11}$$

If  $w^*$  is a limit point of  $\{w_n^*\}$  (there are such points because  $\{w_n^*\} \subset rB^*$ ), then  $\langle w^* - s^*, x - s \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $s \in D_S$  and any  $s^* \in S(s)$  (because  $\langle w_n^* - s^*, x_n - s \rangle \geq 0$ ). The maximal monotonicity of  $S$  implies that  $w^* \in S(z)$ . From (2.11) we obtain that  $\langle w^*, u \rangle \geq \beta + \varepsilon - \mu$  which contradicts the fact that  $S(x) \subseteq W$ .

**Proof of Claim IV.** Given  $z = x + tv \in C$ , clearly  $\frac{1}{\|z-x\|}(z-x) = \frac{1}{\|v\|}v$ . We have

$$1 = \|u\| \leq \|v\| + \|u - v\| \leq \|v\| + \frac{\delta}{4}$$

and thus

$$\|v\| \geq 1 - \frac{\delta}{4} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{since } \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}).$$

Similarly

$$\|v\| \leq \|v - u\| + \|u\| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + 1.$$

Combining the last inequalities we obtain

$$|1 - \|v\|| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v\| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\|v\|}v - u \right\| = \frac{\|v - \|v\|u\|}{\|v\|} \leq \frac{\|v - u\| + \|u - \|v\|u\|}{\|v\|} \leq \frac{\frac{\delta}{4} + |1 - \|v\||}{\|v\|} \leq \frac{\frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \delta$$

and thus  $\|\frac{1}{\|z-x\|}(z-x) - u\| \leq \delta$  for any  $z \in C$ . This proves Claim IV and completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be a maximal monotone operator and  $f$  be a proper, convex, lower semicontinuous function on  $X$ . Assume that one of the following conditions is satisfied*

- (a)  $D_S = X$ .
- (b)  $S$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_1$ )-regular,  $\text{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{C}_0(S)$  (resp.  $\text{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{C}_1(S)$ ) and  $\overline{\text{co}(D_S) \cap \text{dom}(f)} = \overline{D_S} \cap \text{dom}(f)$ , and  $f$  is locally Lipschitz on  $\text{dom}(f)$ .

Then  $\partial f + S$  is maximal monotone.

**Proof.** As mentioned in the introduction,  $\partial f$  is a  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular maximal monotone operator. The maximal monotonicity of  $\partial f + S$  when (a) is satisfied follows from Theorem 2.8. If (b) is satisfied, then  $\partial f$  is locally inf bounded and the assertion follows again from Theorem 2.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  be a maximal monotone operator.*

- (i)  *$S$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular if and only if  $S + \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ , any  $x \in X$ , and any  $C \in \mathcal{C}_0(S)$ .*
- (ii) *If  $S$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular and  $C \in \mathcal{C}_0(S)$  then  $S + \partial I_C$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular.*

**Proof.** (i) The “if” part is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 in the introduction, while the “only if” part follows from Corollary 2.9 because  $f = \partial(g_{\lambda,x} + I_C)$  satisfies condition (b) and  $\partial(g_{\lambda,x} + I_C) = \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C$ .

(ii) It is enough to show that  $S + \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C + \partial I_K$  is maximal monotone for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ , any  $x \in X$ , and any  $K \in \mathcal{C}_0(S + \partial I_C)$ . Since  $D_{S+\partial I_C} = D_S \cap C$  and  $K \in \mathcal{C}_0(S + \partial I_C)$ , it follows that  $D_S \cap C \cap \text{int}(K) \neq \emptyset$  and therefore  $S + \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C + \partial I_K = S + \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_{C \cap K}$ . If we can show that,  $D_S \cap \text{int}(C \cap K) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $C \cap K \in \mathcal{C}_0(S)$  and (ii) follows from (i).

Choose  $u \in D_S \cap \text{int}(C)$  (possible since  $C \in \mathcal{C}_0(S)$ ) and  $v \in D_{S+\partial I_C} \cap \text{int}(K) = D_S \cap C \cap \text{int}(K)$ . If  $u = v$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $[u, v] \subseteq \text{int}(C)$ ,  $[u, v] \subseteq \overline{D_S}$  (because  $S$  is  $X$ -regular and therefore  $\overline{D_S}$  is convex), and  $v \in \text{int}(K)$ . Thus there exists  $w$  on the open segment  $(u, v)$  such that  $w \in \overline{D_S} \cap \text{int}(C) \cap \text{int}(K)$ . It is now obvious that  $D_S \cap \text{int}(C \cap K) \neq \emptyset$  and, as mentioned above, this proves (ii).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.**

- (i) *If  $S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  is maximal monotone, locally inf bounded, and  $D_S$  is closed and convex then  $S$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular. In particular, a maximal monotone operator  $S$  with  $D_S = X$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular.*
- (ii) *If  $T, S : X \rightrightarrows X^*$  are maximal monotone, locally inf bounded,  $D_T, D_S$  are closed and convex, and  $\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda(D_T - D_S) = \overline{\text{lin}(D_T - D_S)}$ , then  $T + S$  is maximal monotone.*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}_1(S)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ .  $T = \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C = \partial(g_{\lambda,x} + I_C)$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular and  $D_T = C$ . Since  $\text{co}(D_T) = C = \text{co}(C)$  and  $\text{co}(D_S) = D_S$ , we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} (\text{co}(D_T) - \text{co}(D_S)) = \bigcup_{\lambda>0} (C - D_S) = \overline{\text{lin}(C - D_S)} = \overline{\text{lin}(D_T - D_S)}$$

hence  $D_S \in \mathcal{C}_1(T)$ . Also, since  $D_T = C$  and  $D_S$  are closed,

$$\overline{D_T \cap D_S} = \overline{C \cap D_S} = C \cap D_S = \overline{D_T} \cap D_S.$$

By Theorem 2.8,  $T + S = S + \partial g_{\lambda,x} + \partial I_C$  is maximal monotone. Thus, by Theorem 1.1 in the introduction,  $S$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular.

(ii) By (i),  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_1$ -regular. Since the conditions of Theorem 2.8 are satisfied,  $T + S$  is maximal monotone.  $\square$

### 3. Linear maximal monotone operators

A single valued monotone operator  $T$  is called *linear* if  $D_T$  is a linear subspace of  $X$  and  $T : D_T \rightarrow X^*$  is linear. We begin by recalling a result proved in [7] and [15] (we shall reformulate it so that we can use our notation). Assume that  $T : D_T \rightarrow X^*$  is linear and monotone. Then

$T$  is maximal monotone

$$\iff D_T \text{ is dense in } X \text{ and } D_T = \{x \in X; L_X(x, 0, T) < +\infty\}. \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $T : D_T \rightarrow X^*$  be linear and maximal monotone. Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{int}(C) \cap D_T \neq \emptyset$ , let  $x \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . If  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) < +\infty$  then  $x \in D_T$ .*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $0 \in \text{int}(C) \cap D_T$ . In view of (3.1) and the maximal monotonicity of  $T$ , it is enough to show that  $L_X(x, 0, T) < +\infty$ . To this end let  $g : X \rightarrow R$  denote the gauge function associated to  $C$ , i.e.  $g(z) = \inf\{t \geq 0; z \in tC\}$ . Since  $\text{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $g$  is a continuous semi-norm on  $X$  and therefore it is Lipschitzian. Let  $\alpha$  denote the Lipschitz constant of  $g$ . Set  $M = (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|)(\alpha\|x\| + 1)$  and let  $z \in D_T$ .

Case I:  $z \in C$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle T(z), x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} &= \frac{\langle T(z) - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + \frac{\langle x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\langle T(z) - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + \|x^*\| \\ &\leq L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\| \leq M \quad (\text{since } 0 \in \partial I_C(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Case II:  $z \notin C$ . Let  $t = g(z)$  and  $u = \frac{1}{t}z$ . Since  $C$  is closed,  $t > 1$  and  $u \in C$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle T(z), x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} &= \frac{\langle T(tu), x - tu \rangle}{\|x - tu\|} = \frac{t\langle T(u), x - u \rangle + t\langle T(u), (1-t)u \rangle}{\|x - tu\|} \\ &\quad (\text{use the facts that } \langle T(u), u \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and } t > 1) \\ &\leq \frac{t\langle T(u), x - u \rangle}{\|x - tu\|} = \frac{t\langle T(u), x - u \rangle}{t\|x - u\|} \cdot \frac{\|tx - tu\|}{\|x - tu\|} \\ &= \frac{\langle T(u), x - u \rangle}{\|x - u\|} \cdot \frac{\|tx - tu\|}{\|x - tu\|} \\ &\quad (\text{use a computation similar to that in Case I}) \\ &\leq (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|) \frac{\|tx - tu\|}{\|x - tu\|} \\ &\leq (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|) \frac{\|tx - x\| + \|x - tu\|}{\|x - tu\|} \\ &= (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|) \left( \frac{(t-1)\|x\|}{\|x - tu\|} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|) \left( \frac{(g(z) - g(x))\|x\|}{\|x - z\|} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq (L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) + \|x^*\|)(\alpha\|x\| + 1) \leq M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $L_X(x, 0, T) < +\infty$  and, as mentioned above, this proves the lemma in the case when  $0 \in D_T \cap \text{int}(C)$ .

To prove the general case, let  $c \in \text{int}(C) \cap D_T$ . A fairly trivial verification shows that

$$L_X(x - c, x^* - T(c), T + \partial I_{C-c}) = L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) < +\infty.$$

Since  $0 \in \text{int}(C - c)$ , it follows that  $x - c \in D_T$ . Since  $c \in D_T$  and since  $D_T$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ , it follows that  $x \in D_T$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $T : D_T \rightarrow X^*$  be linear and maximal monotone. Then  $T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular.*

**Proof.** Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{int}(C) \cap D_T \neq \emptyset$  and let  $x \in C$ . Since always  $0 \leq L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) \leq M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  (Lemma 1 in [17]), it is enough to assume that  $L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  is finite and that  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) > 0$  and show that  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) \leq L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$ .

First notice that, by Lemma 3.1,  $x \in D_T$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M < M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$ . In view of (3.1),  $D_T$  is dense in  $X$ . Therefore we can find  $z \in C \cap D_T$  such that

$$M \leq \frac{\langle T(x) - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|}$$

(notice that, since  $T$  is single valued, the “inf” from the definition of  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  disappears).

Let  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and let  $z_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda z \in C \cap D_T$ . Clearly  $x - z_\lambda = \lambda(x - z)$ . Choose  $\lambda$  so small that

$$\frac{\lambda \langle T(x - z), x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} \leq \varepsilon.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\leq \frac{\langle T(x) - x^*, x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} = \frac{\langle T(x) - x^*, x - z_\lambda \rangle}{\|x - z_\lambda\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\langle T(x) - T(z_\lambda), x - z_\lambda \rangle}{\|x - z_\lambda\|} + \frac{\langle T(z_\lambda) - x^*, x - z_\lambda \rangle}{\|x - z_\lambda\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\langle T(x - z_\lambda), x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda \langle T(x - z), x - z \rangle}{\|x - z\|} + L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) \leq \varepsilon + L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C). \end{aligned}$$

Since this is true for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $M < M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$ , it follows that  $M_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C) \leq L_X(x, x^*, T + \partial I_C)$  and the theorem is completely proved.  $\square$

Combining Proposition 3.2 with Theorem 2.8 we obtain the next corollary which was proved by different methods in both [7] and [15].

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $T$  and  $S$  are linear maximal monotone operators on  $X$  and  $D_S = X$  then  $T + S$  is (linear) maximal monotone.*

Since a  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -regular maximal monotone operator satisfies the conditions of Theorem 10 in [17], we obtain another proof of the following result due to Phelps and Simons [7] and Simons [15].

**Corollary 3.4.** *A linear maximal monotone operator is maximal monotone locally (i.e. it is maximal monotone on any open convex subset of  $X$  which intersects its domain).*

## References

- [1] H. Attouch, H. Théra, M. Théra: Somme ponctuelle d'opérateurs maximaux monotones, *Serdica* 22 (1996) 165–190.
- [2] J. M. Borwein, S. P. Fitzpatrick: Local boundedness of monotone operators under minimal hypotheses, *Bull. Australian Math. Soc.* 39 (1989) 439–441.
- [3] L.-J. Chu: On the sum of monotone operators, *Michigan Math. J.* 43 (1996) 273–289.
- [4] M. Coodey, S. Simons: The convex function determined by a multifunction, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 54 (1996) 87–97.
- [5] S. P. Fitzpatrick, R. R. Phelps: Some properties of maximal monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces, *Set-Valued Analysis* 3 (1995) 51–69.
- [6] R. R. Phelps: *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability* (2nd Edition), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] R. R. Phelps, S. Simons: Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces, *J. Convex Analysis* 5 (1998) 303–328.
- [8] R. T. Rockafellar: Local boundedness of nonlinear monotone operators, *Mich. Math. J.* 16 (1969) 397–407.
- [9] R. T. Rockafellar: On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* 33 (1970) 209–216.
- [10] R. T. Rockafellar: On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 149 (1970) 75–88.
- [11] S. Simons: The least slope of a convex function and the maximal monotonicity of its subdifferential, *J. Optim. Theory* 71 (1991) 127–136.
- [12] S. Simons: The range of a monotone operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 199 (1996) 176–201.
- [13] S. Simons: Sum theorems for monotone operators and convex functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998) 2953–2972.
- [14] S. Simons: Pairs of monotone operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998) 2973–2980.
- [15] S. Simons: *Minimax and Monotonicity*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1693, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [16] A. Verona, M. E. Verona: Locally efficient monotone operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 109 (1990) 195–204.
- [17] A. Verona, M. E. Verona: Regular maximal monotone operators, *Set-Valued Analysis* 6 (1998) 302–312.