



## OSTROWSKI TYPE INEQUALITIES FROM A LINEAR FUNCTIONAL POINT OF VIEW

B. GAVREA AND I. GAVREA

UNIVERSITY BABEȘ-BOLYAI CLUJ-NAPOCA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTERS, STR.  
MIHAIL KOGĂLNICEANU 1, 3400 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
gavreab@yahoo.com

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY CLUJ-NAPOCA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STR. C. DAICOVICIU 15, 3400  
CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
Ioan.Gavrea@math.utcluj.ro

*Received 13 Septemer, 1999; accepted 31 January, 2000*

*Communicated by P. Cerone*

---

ABSTRACT. Inequalities are obtained using  $P_0$ -simple functionals. Applications to Lipschitzian mappings are given.

---

*Key words and phrases:*  $P_0$ -simple functionals, inequalities, Lipschitz mappings.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $I$  be a bounded interval of the real axis. We denote by  $B(I)$  the set of all functions which are bounded on  $[a, b]$ .

Let  $A$  be a positive linear functional  $A : B(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $A(e_0) = 1$ , where  $e_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e_i(x) = x^i$ ,  $\forall x \in I$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following inequality is known in literature as the Grüss inequality for the functional  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two bounded functions such that  $m_1 \leq f(x) \leq M_1$  and  $m_2 \leq g(x) \leq M_2$  for all  $x \in I$ ,  $m_1, M_1, m_2$  and  $M_2$  are constants. Then the inequality:*

$$(1.1) \quad |A(fg) - A(f)A(g)| \leq \frac{1}{4}(M_1 - m_1)(M_2 - m_2)$$

*holds.*

In 1938 Ostrowski (cf. for example [7, p. 468]) proved the following result:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $(a, b)$  whose derivative  $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded on  $(a, b)$ , i.e.*

$$\|f'\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in (a, b)} |f'(t)| < \infty.$$

Then

$$(1.2) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})^2}{(b-a)^2} \right] (b-a) \|f'\|_\infty$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . The constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  is best.

In the recent paper [4] S.S. Dragomir and S. Wang proved the following version of Ostrowski's inequality.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable mapping in the interior of  $I$  and  $a, b \in \text{int}(I)$  with  $a < b$ . If  $f' \in L_1[a, b]$  and  $\gamma \leq f'(x) \leq \Gamma$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  then we have the following inequality:

$$(1.3) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt - \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b-a} \left( x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (b-a) (\Gamma - \gamma)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

The following inequality for mappings with bounded variation can be found in [1]:

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping of bounded variation. Then for all  $x \in [a, b]$  we have the inequality

$$(1.4) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2}(b-a) + \left| x - \frac{a+b}{2} \right| \right] \bigvee_a^b f,$$

where  $\bigvee_a^b f$  denotes the total variation of  $f$ .

The constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the best possible one.

In [2] S.S. Dragomir gave the following result for Lipschitzian mappings:

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an  $L$ -Lipschitzian mapping on  $[a, b]$ , i.e.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x - y|, \text{ for all } x, y \in [a, b].$$

Then we have the inequality

$$(1.5) \quad \left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - f(x)(b-a) \right| \leq L(b-a)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})^2}{(b-a)^2} \right]$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

The constant  $\frac{1}{4}$  is the best possible one.

S.S. Dragomir, P. Cerone, J. Roumeliotis and S. Wang in [3] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $f, w : (a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be so that  $w(s) \geq 0$  on  $(a, b)$ ,  $w$  is integrable on  $(a, b)$  and  $\int_a^b w(s) ds > 0$ ,  $f$  is of  $r$ -Hölder type, i.e.

$$(1.6) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq H|x - y|^r, \text{ for all } x, y \in (a, b)$$

where  $H > 0$  and  $r \in (0, 1]$  are given. If  $w, f \in L_1(a, b)$ , then we have the inequality:

$$(1.7) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{1}{\int_a^b w(s) ds} \int_a^b w(s) f(s) ds \right| \leq H \frac{1}{\int_a^b w(s) ds} \int_a^b |x - s|^r w(s) ds$$

for all  $x \in (a, b)$ .

The constant factor 1 in the right hand side cannot be replaced by a smaller one.

The aim of this paper is to improve the results from Theorems 1.1 – 1.6 using an unitary method.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let  $X = (X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $C(X)$  the Banach lattice of real-valued continuous functions on the compact metric space  $X = (X, d)$ , endowed with the max norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ .

For a function  $f \in C(X)$ , the modulus of continuity (with respect to the metric  $d$ ) is defined by:

$$\omega(f; t) = \omega_d(f; t) = \sup_{d(x,y) \leq t} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The least concave majorant of this modulus with respect to the variable  $t$  is given by

$$\tilde{\omega}(f; t) = \begin{cases} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq t \leq y \\ x \neq y}} \frac{(t-x)\omega(f; y) + (y-t)\omega(f; x)}{y-x} & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq d(X); \\ \omega(f; d(X)) & \text{for } t > d(X), \end{cases}$$

where  $d(X) < \infty$  is the diameter of the compact space  $X$ .

We denote by  $Lip_M \alpha = Lip_M(\alpha; X)$  the set of all Lipschitzian functions of order  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  having the same Lipschitz constant  $M$ . That is  $f \in Lip_M \alpha$  iff for all  $x, y \in X$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M d^\alpha(x, y).$$

We see that

$$Lip_M(\alpha; X) = \{g \in C(X) : \omega(g; t) \leq M t^\alpha\}.$$

Let  $I = [a, b]$  be a compact interval of the real axis,  $S$  a subspace of  $C(I)$ , and  $A$  a linear functional defined on  $S$ . The following definition was given by T. Popoviciu in [8].

**Definition 2.1.** The linear functional  $A$  defined on the subspace  $S$  which contains all polynomials is  $P_n$ -simple ( $n \geq -1$ ) if

- (i)  $A(e_{n+1}) \neq 0$
- (ii) for every  $f \in S$  there are the distinct points  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2}$  in  $[a, b]$  such that

$$A(f) = A(e_{n+1})[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2}; f],$$

where  $[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2}; f]$  is the divided difference of the function  $f$  on the points  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2}$ .

In [5] the following result is proved. The proof is reproduced here for completeness.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $A$  be a bounded linear functional,  $A : C(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $A$  is  $P_0$ -simple then for all  $f \in C(I)$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad |A(f)| \leq \frac{\|A\|}{2} \tilde{\omega} \left( f; \frac{2|A(e_1)|}{\|A\|} \right).$$

*Proof.* For  $g \in C^1(I)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A(f)| &= |A(f - g) + A(g)| \leq \|A\| \|f - g\| + |A(g)| \\ &\leq \|A\| \|f - g\| + |A(e_1)| \|g'\|. \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality we obtain

$$|A(f)| \leq \inf_{g \in C^1(I)} (\|A\| \|f - g\| + |A(e_1)| \|g'\|)$$

and using the following result (see [10])

$$\inf_{g \in C^1(I)} \left( \|f - g\| + \frac{t}{2} \|g'\| \right) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega}(f; t), \quad t \geq 0$$

we obtain the relation (2.1). □

The following result was proved by I. Raşa [9].

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $k$  be a natural number such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and  $A : C^{(k)}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a bounded linear functional,  $A \neq 0$ ,  $A(e_i) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  such that for every  $f \in C^{(k)}[a, b]$   $P_n$ -nonconcave  $A(f) \geq 0$ . Then  $A$  is  $P_n$ -simple.*

A function  $f \in C^{(k)}[a, b]$  is called  $P_0$ -nonconcave if for any  $n + 2$  points  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2} \in [a, b]$  the inequality

$$[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+2}; f] \geq 0$$

holds.

Another criterion for  $P_n$ -simple functionals was given by A. Lupaş in [6]. He proved that a bounded linear functional  $A : C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $A(e_k) = 0$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and  $A(e_{n+1}) \neq 0$  is  $P_n$ -simple if and only if  $A$  is  $P_n$ -simple on  $C^{(n+1)}[a, b]$ .

Now we can prove the following result (see also [5]):

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded linear functional,  $A : C(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $A(e_1) \neq 0$  and the inequality (2.1) holds for any  $f \in C(I)$  then  $A$  is  $P_0$ -simple.*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $A(e_1) > 0$ . Combining the results of I. Raşa and A. Lupaş, it is sufficient, for the proof of the theorem, to show that

$$(2.2) \quad A(f) \geq 0$$

for every nondecreasing differentiable function  $f$  defined on  $I$ .

For such a function we have

$$|A(f)| \leq A(e_1) \|f'\|.$$

Let  $B$  be the linear functional defined by

$$B(f) = \frac{A(F)}{A(e_1)},$$

where

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(u) du, \quad f \in C[0, 1].$$

The functional  $B$  is bounded and for any  $f \in C(I)$  we have

$$|B(f)| \leq \|f\|$$

with  $B(e_0) = 1$ .

Let  $f$  be a continuous function such that  $f \geq 0$ ,  $f \neq 0$ .

From the inequalities

$$0 \leq e_0 - \frac{f}{\|f\|} \leq 1$$

we obtain

$$1 - \frac{B(f)}{\|f\|} \leq \left| B \left( e_0 - \frac{f}{\|f\|} \right) \right| \leq 1.$$

These inequalities imply that

$$(2.3) \quad B(f) \geq 0.$$

Further, let  $f$  be a differentiable function on  $I$  such that  $f' \geq 0$ , then, from (2.3) we obtain

$$B(f') \geq 0.$$

Since  $B(f') = A(f)$ , the inequality (2.2) is thus proved.  $\square$

### 3. AN INTEGRAL INEQUALITY OF OSTROWSKI TYPE

The following inequality of Ostrowski type holds.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  and  $w : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  an integrable function on  $(a, b)$  such that  $\int_a^b \omega(s)ds = 1$ . Then for any continuous function  $f$  the following inequality:*

$$(3.1) \quad \left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq \left( \int_a^x w(t)dt \right) \tilde{\omega}_{[a,x]} \left( f; \frac{\int_a^x w(t)(x-t)dt}{\int_a^x w(t)} \right) \\ + \left( \int_x^b w(t)dt \right) \tilde{\omega}_{[x,b]} \left( f; \frac{\int_x^b w(t)(t-x)dt}{\int_x^b w(t)dt} \right)$$

holds, where  $x$  is a fixed point in  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.3 we get that the linear functionals

$$A_1 : C[a, x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad A_2 : C[x, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$A_1(f) = f(x) \int_a^x w(t)dt - \int_a^x f(t)w(t)dt$$

and

$$A_2(f) = f(x) \int_x^b w(t)dt - \int_x^b f(t)w(t)dt$$

are  $P_0$ -simple.

It is easy to see that:

$$\|A_1\| = 2 \int_a^x w(t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad \|A_2\| = 2 \int_x^b w(t)dt.$$

From the inequality:

$$\left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq \left( \int_a^x w(t)dt \right) \tilde{\omega} \left( f; \frac{|A_1(e_1)|}{\int_a^x w(t)dt} \right) + \left( \int_x^b w(t)dt \right) \tilde{\omega} \left( f; \frac{|A_2(e_1)|}{\int_x^b w(t)dt} \right)$$

and from the results

$$|A_1(e_1)| = \int_a^x w(t)(x-t)dt \quad \text{and} \quad |A_2(e_1)| = \int_x^b w(t)(t-x)dt,$$

(3.1) follows. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[a, b]$ , such that  $f \in Lip_{M_1}(\alpha, [a, x])$  and  $f \in Lip_{M_2}(\beta; [x, b])$ . Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq M_1 \left( \int_a^x w(t)dt \right)^{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_a^x w(t)(x-t)dt \right]^\alpha \\ + M_2 \left( \int_x^b w(t)dt \right)^{1-\beta} \left[ \int_x^b w(t)(t-x)dt \right]^\beta.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the inequality (3.1) and the fact that

$$\tilde{\omega}_1(g; t) \leq Mt^r$$

for any function  $g$ ,  $g \in Lip_M(\alpha, [c, d])$ , where  $\tilde{\omega}_1$  is taken on the interval  $[c, d]$ . □

Corollary 3.2 is an improvement of the result of Theorem 1.6.

**Remark 3.1.** In the particular case when  $w(t) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  the inequality (3.2) becomes:

$$(3.3) \quad \left| f(x) - \frac{\int_a^b f(s)ds}{b-a} \right| \leq \left[ M_1 \frac{(x-a)^{\alpha+1}}{2^\alpha} + M_2 \frac{(b-x)^{\beta+1}}{2^\beta} \right] \frac{1}{b-a}$$

$$\leq \max(M_1, M_2) \left[ \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})^2}{(b-a)^2} \right] (b-a).$$

Inequality (3.3) improves the inequality (1.5).

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $(a, b)$ , whose derivative  $f' : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded on  $(a, b)$  and  $w$  a function as in Theorem 3.1. Then we have the following inequality:

$$(3.4) \quad \left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq \left[ \int_a^x w(t)(x-t)dt + \int_x^b w(t)(t-x)dt \right] \|f'\|_\infty.$$

*Proof.* The above inequality is a consequence of the inequality (3.1) and the fact that

$$\tilde{\omega}(f; t) \leq \|f'\|_\infty t.$$

The inequality of Ostrowski follows from (3.4) if we consider

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{b-a}, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

□

**Corollary 3.4.** Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a mapping with bounded variation and  $w$  a function as in Theorem 3.1. Then for all  $x \in [a, b]$  we have the inequalities

$$(3.5) \quad \left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq \bigvee_a^x f \int_a^x w(t)dt + \bigvee_x^b f \int_x^b w(t)dt$$

$$(3.6) \quad \left| f(x) - \int_a^b w(s)f(s)ds \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\left| \int_a^x w(t)dt - \int_x^b w(t)dt \right|}{2} \right) \bigvee_a^b f.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{\omega}[a, x](f; t) \leq \bigvee_a^x f \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\omega}[x, b](f, t) \leq \bigvee_x^b f$$

for every positive number  $t$ .

Thus, inequality (3.5) follows from (3.7).

For the proof of the inequality (3.6) we note that, if we suppose  $\int_a^x w(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{2}$  then  $\int_x^b w(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and vice versa.

For definiteness we assume that

$$\int_a^x w(t)dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_x^b w(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_a^x f \int_a^x w(t)dt + \bigvee_x^b f \int_x^b w(t)dt &\leq \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^x f + \bigvee_x^b f \int_x^b w(t)dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bigvee_a^b f + \bigvee_x^b f \left( \int_x^b w(t)dt - \frac{1}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(3.8) \quad \bigvee_a^x f \int_a^x w(t)dt + \bigvee_x^b f \int_x^b w(t)dt \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\int_x^b w(t)dt - \int_a^x w(t)dt}{2} \right) \bigvee_a^b f.$$

From the inequalities (3.5) and (3.8), the inequality (3.6) follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The inequality from Theorem 1.4 follows if we take in (3.6)

$$w(t) = \frac{1}{b-a}.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $g$  be a continuous differentiable function on  $[a, b]$  such that  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ . Then the inequality

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \frac{g(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t)dt \right| \leq \frac{(x-a)^2 + (b-x)^2}{8(b-a)} \tilde{\omega} \left( g'; \frac{2(x-a)^3 + (b-x)^3}{3(x-a)^2 + (b-x)^2} \right)$$

holds, where  $x$  is an arbitrary point in  $(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* The following functional  $A$  defined on  $C[a, b]$  by

$$A(f) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) f(t)dt$$

is a linear bounded functional having its norm equal to  $\frac{b-a}{4}$ . For every increasing function  $f$  we have:

$$A(f) \geq 0.$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we deduce that the functional  $A$  is  $P_0$ -simple with

$$A(e_1) = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}.$$

From Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following inequality:

$$(3.10) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \left( t - \frac{a+b}{2} \right) f(t)dt \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} \tilde{\omega} \left( f; \frac{2}{3}(b-a) \right).$$

Inequality (3.10) holds for every continuous function  $f$ .

Let us suppose that  $f$  is differentiable on  $[a, b]$ . From the inequality (3.10) (written for  $f'$ ) we obtain the following inequality:

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t)dt - \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{2} \right| \leq \frac{b-a}{8} \tilde{\omega} \left( f'; \frac{2}{3}(b-a) \right).$$

Now, we can prove the inequality (3.9). We have the following identity:

$$(3.12) \quad -\frac{g(x)}{2} + \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t)dt = \frac{x-a}{b-a} \left( \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x g(t)dt - \frac{g(a) + g(x)}{2} \right) + \frac{b-x}{b-a} \left( \frac{1}{b-x} \int_x^b g(t)dt - \frac{g(b) + g(x)}{2} \right).$$

Using the relations (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \frac{g(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t)dt \right| \leq \frac{(x-a)^2}{8(b-a)} \tilde{\omega} \left( g'; \frac{2}{3}(x-a) \right) + \frac{(b-x)^2}{8(b-a)} \tilde{\omega} \left( g'; \frac{2}{3}(b-x) \right).$$

As the function  $\tilde{\omega}(g'; \cdot)$ , is concave, then from (3.13) and using Jensen's inequality, we obtain the inequality (3.9).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $g$  be a continuous differentiable function on  $[a, b]$  such that  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ , then the following inequality*

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{g(x)}{2} - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(t) dt \right| \leq \left[ \frac{1}{8} + \frac{(x - \frac{a+b}{2})^2}{2(b-a)} \right] (b-a) \|g'\|_\infty$$

is valid for all  $x \in [a, b]$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that

$$(3.15) \quad \tilde{\omega}(g'; t) \leq 2 \|g'\|_\infty,$$

for every positive number  $t$ .

The inequality (3.15) then readily follows from the inequality (3.14).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** The result from the Theorem 1.3 can be written in terms of  $\tilde{\omega}$  using the inequality (3.13) for the function

$$g(x) = f(x) - \frac{x-a}{b-a} f(b) - \frac{b-x}{b-a} f(a).$$

In [5] the following result was proved:

Let  $A$  be a linear positive functional  $A : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A(e_0) = 1$  and  $\varphi, \psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous increasing function such that  $A(e_1\varphi) - A(e_1)A(\varphi) > 0$ . Then the following Grüss type inequality

$$(3.16) \quad |A(\varphi\psi) - A(\varphi)A(\psi)| \leq \frac{A(|\varphi - A(\varphi)|)}{2} \tilde{\omega} \left( \psi; \frac{2(A(e_1\varphi) - A(e_1)A(\varphi))}{A(|\varphi - A(\varphi)|)} \right)$$

holds.

We are interested in the following open problem:

**Open problem.** Let  $A$  be a linear positive functional defined on  $C[0, 1]$  and  $f, g$  be two continuous functions. Do positive numbers  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(f) < 1$  and  $\delta_2 = \delta_2(f) < 1$  exist such that

$$|A(fg) - A(f)A(g)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\omega}(f; \delta_1) \tilde{\omega}(g, \delta_2)?$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. DRAGOMIR, On Ostrowski's integral inequality for mappings with bounded variation and applications, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* (in press).
- [2] S.S. DRAGOMIR, On the Ostrowski integral inequality for Lipschitzian mappings and applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **38** (1999), 33–37.
- [3] S.S. DRAGOMIR, P. CERONE, J. ROUMELIOTIS AND S. WANG, A weighted version of Ostrowski inequality for mappings of Hölder type and applications in numerical analysis, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie*, **42(90)**(4), (1999), 301–314.
- [4] S.S. DRAGOMIR AND S. WANG, An Inequality of Ostrowski-Grüss' type and its applications to the estimation of error bounds for some special means and for some numerical quadrature rules, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **33**(11) (1997), 15–20.
- [5] I. GAVREA, Preservation of Lipschitz constants by linear transformations and global smoothness preservation (submitted).
- [6] A. LUPAȘ, *Contribuții la teoria aproximării prin operatori liniari*, Ph. D. Thesis, Cluj-Napoca, Babeș-Bolyai University, 1975.

- [7] D.S. MITRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ AND A.M. FINK, *Inequalities for Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- [8] T. POPOVICIU, Sur le reste dans certains formules lineaires d'approximation de l'analyse, *Mathematica, Cluj.*, **1**(24), 95–142.
- [9] I. RAŞA, Sur les fonctionnelles de la forme simple au sens de T. Popoviciu, *L'Anal. Num. et la Theorie de l'Approx.*, **9** (1980), 261–268.
- [10] E.M. SEMENOV AND B.S. MITJAGIN, Lack of interpolation of linear operators in spaces of smooth functions, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **11** (1977), 1229–1266.