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#### Abstract

We consider a class of binary sequences that generalize the Thue-Morse sequence. In particular, we investigate the occurrences of palindromes in such sequences. We also introduce the notion of the first difference of a binary sequence and characterize first differences of our class of Thue-Morse type sequences. Finally, we define the concept of a "change sequence" of a given binary sequence, a sequence which encodes the positions at which a binary sequence changes values. We characterize the change sequences corresponding to our class of Thue-Morse type sequences.


## 1 Introduction

The Thue-Morse sequence

$$
\{t(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=0,1,1,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0, \ldots
$$

is defined by $t(n)=0$ if $n$ has an even sum of binary digits, and $t(n)=1$ otherwise. This sequence has attracted much attention since its discovery by Axel Thue in the early 1900's, and is still the focus of much study. The Thue-Morse sequence can be constructed in a surprising variety of ways and has numerous applications in diverse fields such as differential geometry, algebra, number theory, and physics; see, for example, [3].

The Thue-Morse sequence can be generalized as follows: For $k \geq 2$, define $s_{k}(n)$ as the sum of digits in the base- $k$ representation of a nonnegative integer $n$, and let $t_{k}(n)=$

[^0]$s_{k}(n) \bmod 2$. The sequence $\left\{t_{k}(n)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is thus a binary sequence, and the special case $k=2$ yields the Thue-Morse sequence $t_{2}(n)=t(n)$.

In a recent paper, Allouche and Shallit [0] investigated palindromes in the sequences $\left\{t_{k}(n)\right\}$. Here a palindrome is defined in the usual sense: a sequence digits is a palindrome if it reads the same forward and backward. For example, in the Thue-Morse sequence, the first four terms $0,1,1,0$ form a palindrome, as do the first 16 terms. Allouche and Shallit proved the following result:
Theorem A (Allouche and Shallit [4]). For all $k \geq 2$, the sequence $\left\{t_{k}(n)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ contains palindromes of arbitrary length.

In our main result, Theorem 5.1, we generalize this result to a larger class of binary sequences (which contains the sequences $\left\{t_{k}(n)\right\}$ ). These sequences also can be defined as fixed points of a class of mappings on binary words.

In the course of proving this result, we introduce the concept of the first difference of a binary sequence. In Theorem 4.1 we characterize the first differences of our class of ThueMorse type sequences. The characterization involves another class of maps, so-called Toeplitz maps, which we study in Section 2.

We relate the first difference to another concept, that of a change sequence of a binary sequence. This is a sequence which encodes the positions at which the sequence changes values. In a recent paper, Allouche et al. (1) determined the change sequence of the ThueMorse sequence and proved the following result:
Theorem B (Allouche et al. [1]). Let $S$ be the set of integers $n$ such that $t_{2}(n-1) \neq t_{2}(n)$, i.e.,

$$
S=\{1,3,4,5,7,9,11,12,13,15, \ldots\}
$$

Then $S$ is the set of integers $n$ such that an even power of 2 exactly divides $n$.
In Theorem 3.1 we generalize this result to our class of Thue-Morse type sequences.

## 2 Notation and Preliminaries

We consider the set $\Sigma^{*}$ of finite words over the alphabet $\Sigma=\{0,1\}$. We also consider the set $\Sigma^{\infty}$ of infinite and finite words over $\Sigma$. For a finite word $w$ we let $|w|$ denote the length of $w$, and we set $|w|=\infty$ if $w$ is an infinite word. We denote the $i$ th letter of $w$ by $w(i)$, i.e., $w(i)=t_{i}$ if $w=t_{1} t_{2} \ldots t_{b}$, where $t_{k} \in \Sigma$ for all $k$. Given two words $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, we let $w_{1} w_{2}$ denote the word obtained by the concatenation of $w_{2}$ to the right of $w_{1}$. The concatenation of an arbitrary finite or infinite sequence of words is denoted analogously. We define the complement of $w$ to be the word obtained by interchanging the letters 0 and 1 in $w$, or equivalently, by adding 1 modulo 2 to each letter of $w$. We denote the complement of $w$ by $\bar{w}$.

We next define two morphisms, $\phi_{w}$ and $\psi_{w}$, on $\Sigma^{\infty}$.
Let $w$ be a word with $|w| \geq 2$. We let $\phi_{w}: \Sigma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}$ be the morphism defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{w}(0) & =w, \\
\phi_{w}(1) & =\bar{w} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is not hard to see that if $|w| \geq 2$ and $w(1)=0$, then there exists a unique fixed point beginning with 0 of the morphism $\phi_{w}$; i.e., there is a unique infinite word $\mathbf{w}$ beginning with 0 such that

$$
\mathbf{w}=\phi_{w}(\mathbf{w}) .
$$

Furthermore, $\mathbf{w}$ can be obtained by iterating $\phi_{w}$ :

$$
\mathbf{w}=\phi_{w}^{\infty}(0):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{w}^{n}(0)
$$

For example, if $w=01$, then $\mathbf{w}$ is the Thue-Morse sequence mentioned in the introduction. The morphisms $\phi_{w}$ are special cases of so-called symmetric morphisms; see 7 .

We also consider a second morphism $\psi_{w}: \Sigma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Sigma^{\infty}$ defined by

$$
\psi_{w}(a)=w a,
$$

where $a \in \Sigma$. Such a morphism is a special case of the Toeplitz morphisms (see [目, [4]). It is not hard to see that there is a unique fixed point of $\psi_{w}$ beginning with $w(1)$; i.e., there is a unique infinite word $w_{*}$ with $w_{*}(1)=w(1)$ such that

$$
w_{*}=\psi_{w}\left(w_{*}\right),
$$

and we can obtain $w_{*}$ by iterating $\psi_{w}$ :

$$
w_{*}=\psi_{w}^{\infty}(w(1)):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{w}^{n}(w(1)) .
$$

The fixed point $w_{*}$ is of the form

$$
w_{*}=w w_{*}(1) w w_{*}(2) w w_{*}(3) \cdots .
$$

This allows one to construct $w_{*}$ by starting with a sequence of the form

$$
w_{-} w_{-} w_{\_} \cdots
$$

and successively filling in the "holes" with the terms of this sequence.
Allouche et al. [1], pp. 456-458] showed that if $w=101$, then $w_{*}$ encodes the places at which the Thue-Morse sequence changes values, i.e., $w_{*}(n)=1$ if and only if $t(n) \neq t(n-1)$.

In Sections 围 and we shall need an operation $\oplus$ on the set $\Sigma^{*}$ that is defined as follows: Let $w$ and $v$ be binary words of the same length $b$. Then

$$
w_{1} \oplus w_{2}=\left(w_{1}(1)+w_{2}(1)\right)\left(w_{1}(2)+w_{2}(2)\right) \cdots\left(w_{1}(b)+w_{2}(b)\right)
$$

where addition is taken modulo 2 .
To conclude this section, we define a product operation $\times$ on $\Sigma^{*}$ as follows: For any words $w, v \in \Sigma^{*}$ with $|v|=c$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \times 0=w, \quad w \times 1=\bar{w}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \times v=(w \times v(1))(w \times v(2)) \cdots(w \times v(c)) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operation was introduced by Jacobs in [11] and was generalized by Hoit [9, [0] to words over alphabets $\{0,1, \ldots, m\}$. This product is closely related to the morphisms $\phi_{w}$ defined above; indeed, it is clear that $w \times v=\phi_{w}(v)$.

## 3 Change Sequences

Let $C$ be the set of indices $n$ such that $t_{2}(n-1) \neq t_{2}(n)$, where

$$
\left\{t_{2}(n)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}=\{0,1,1,0,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,1,0,1,1,0, \ldots\}
$$

is the Thue-Morse sequence. As stated in the Introduction (see Theorem B), the set $C$ is characterized by the property that $n \in C$ if and only if an even power of 2 exactly divides $n$. In this section we generalize this result to the class of all fixed points of the morphisms $\phi_{w}$. To this end, we introduce the concept of a change sequence of a word as follows:

Definition 3.1. Let $w$ be a finite or infinite word with $|w| \geq 2$. We define the change sequence $C_{w}$ of $w$ as the sequence of $n \in\{1,2, \ldots,|w|-1\}$ such that $w(n) \neq w(n+1)$.

For example, if $w=0010$ and $\mathbf{w}$ is the associated infinite word, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{w}=0010001011010010 \cdots,
$$

then $C_{w}=\{2,3\}$ and $C_{\mathbf{w}}=\{2,3,6,7,8,10,11,12, \ldots\}$.
In the following theorem we give a general method for determining $C_{\mathbf{w}}$ for an arbitrary fixed points $\mathbf{w}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $w$ be a word with $|w|=b \geq 2$ such that $w(1)=w(b)=0$, let $\mathbf{w}=\phi_{w}^{\infty}(0)$, and let $C_{w}$ be the change sequence of $w$. Then $C_{\mathbf{w}}=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: d_{b}(n) \in C_{w}\right\}$, where $d_{b}(n)$ is the last non-zero digit in the base-b representation of $n$.

Proof. Let $w=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{b}$. Since for $1 \leq r \leq b-1$ we have $d_{b}(n)=r$ if and only if $n=b^{i}(b k+r)$ for some $i, k \geq 0$, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathbf{w}}=\left\{b^{i}(b k+r): i, k \geq 0, \quad r \in C_{w}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this, it suffices to show the following two equivalences:

$$
\begin{align*}
r \in C_{w} & \Longleftrightarrow b k+r \in C_{\mathbf{w}} \quad(k \geq 0,1 \leq r \leq b-1) .  \tag{3.2}\\
m \in C_{\mathbf{w}} & \Longleftrightarrow b m \in C_{\mathbf{w}} \quad(m \geq 1) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (3.2), notice that $\mathbf{w}=w_{0} w_{1} w_{2} w_{3} \ldots$ with $w_{k} \in\{w, \bar{w}\}$ for all $k$. Clearly we have $C_{w}=C_{w_{k}}$ for all $k$. Since the words $w_{k}$ all have length $b$, for $1 \leq r \leq b$ the $(b k+r)$ th letter in $\mathbf{w}$ is equal to the $r$ th letter in $w_{k}$. Hence, for $1 \leq r \leq b-1$ and all $k$, we have $\mathbf{w}(b k+r) \neq \mathbf{w}(b k+r+1)$ if and only if $w_{k}(r) \neq w_{k}(r+1)$; i.e., $b k+r \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$ if and only if $r \in C_{w_{k}}=C_{w}$. This proves (3.2).

To show that (3.3) holds, fix $m \geq 1$ and choose $j \geq 1$ such that $1 \leq m \leq b^{j}$. We set

$$
v=\phi_{w}^{j}\left(t_{1}\right)=t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{b^{j}}
$$

and note that $m \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$ if and only if $m \in C_{v}$. By definition, $m \in C_{v}$ if and only if $t_{m} \neq t_{m+1}$, which is equivalent to

$$
\phi_{w}\left(t_{m}\right)=\overline{\phi_{w}\left(t_{m+1}\right)} .
$$

Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{w}(v) & =\phi_{w}^{j+1}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& =\phi_{w}\left(t_{1}\right) \phi_{w}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \phi_{w}\left(t_{m}\right) \phi_{w}\left(t_{m+1}\right) \cdots \phi_{w}\left(t_{b^{j}}\right) \\
& =\phi_{w}\left(t_{1}\right) \phi_{w}\left(t_{2}\right) \cdots \phi_{w}\left(t_{m}\right) \overline{\phi_{w}\left(t_{m}\right)} \cdots \phi_{w}\left(t_{b^{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\phi_{w}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|=b$ for all $i$ and $\phi_{w}\left(t_{m}\right)$ begins and ends with the same letter, it follows that $m \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$ is equivalent to $b m \in C_{\phi_{w}(v)} \subset C_{\mathbf{w}}$.

Remark. Theorem 3.1 requires that $w$ begins and ends with a 0 . However, it is easy to check that for any word $w$ with $w(1)=0$, the word $v=\phi_{w}(w)=\phi_{w}\left(\phi_{w}(0)\right)$ both begins and ends with a 0 . Thus we can find the change sequence of $\mathbf{w}$ by applying Theorem 0.1 to the word $v$ and noting that $\mathbf{v}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{v}^{n}(0)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{w}^{n}(0)=\mathbf{w}$.

By the result of Allouche et al. quoted in the Introduction (Theorem B), the change sequence of $\mathbf{0 1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathbf{0 1}}=\left\{n=2^{2 i} m: i \geq 0, \quad m \text { odd }\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now verify this with Theorem 3.1. Since $w=01$ ends in a 1 , we must apply the theorem to $v=\phi_{01}(01)=0110$. We have $|v|=4$ and $C_{v}=\{1,3\}$, and so by Theorem 3.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{\mathbf{0 1}} & =\left\{n=4^{i}(4 k+r): i, k \geq 0, \quad r \in\{1,3\}\right\} \\
& =\left\{n=4^{i} m: i \geq 0, \quad m \text { odd }\right\} \\
& =\left\{n=2^{2 i} m: i \geq 0, \quad m \text { odd }\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (3.4).

## 4 First Differences

In this section we define the concept of the first difference of a word, and we determine the first differences of words $\phi_{w}^{\infty}(0)$ for $w(1)=0$. First Differences of words over a general alphabet have been used implicitly in a recent paper of Frid [7, pp. 359-360]. For first differences of infinite integer sequences in a different context, see Chalice [6].

Definition 4.1. We define the first difference of a finite or infinite word $w$ to be the word

$$
\Delta w=\left(w_{1}(2)-w_{1}(1)\right)\left(w_{1}(3)-w_{1}(2)\right) \cdots
$$

where subtraction is interpreted modulo 2 .
Note that $|\Delta w|=|w|-1$ for $w$ of finite length. The following lemma relates the concept of a first difference to that of the change sequence introduced in Section 3. The proof is trivial.

Lemma 4.1. Let $w$ be a word. Then $\Delta w(i)=1$ if and only if $i \in C_{w}$.
Recall the sum operator $\oplus$, defined in Section $\cap$ as the term-wise addition of two words modulo 2. The next lemma states the relationship between the first difference of a sum and the sum of the first differences:

Lemma 4.2. Let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be finite words. Then $\Delta\left(w_{1} \oplus w_{2}\right)=\Delta w_{1} \oplus \Delta w_{2}$.
We now turn to the first differences of fixed points w. Notice, for example, that if $w=011010$, then $\Delta w=10111$. Now consider

$$
\phi_{w}(w)=011010100101100101011010100101011010
$$

Computing the first difference of $\phi_{w}(w)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\phi_{w}(w)\right) & =10111 \underline{1} 10111 \underline{0} 10111 \underline{1} 10111 \underline{1} 10111 \underline{1} 10111 \\
& =\Delta w \underline{\Delta w(1)} \Delta w \underline{\Delta w(2)} \Delta w \underline{\Delta w(3)} \Delta w \underline{\Delta w(4)} \Delta w \underline{\Delta w(5)} \Delta w \\
& =\psi_{\Delta w}(\Delta w) \Delta w
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{\Delta w}$ is the map introduced in Section 8 . It is not hard to see that the latter word $\psi_{\Delta w}(\Delta w) \Delta w$ agrees with the word $\psi_{\Delta w}\left(\psi_{\Delta w}(\Delta w(1))\right)$ in all but the rightmost position. Since $\phi_{w}^{\infty}(0)=\mathbf{w}$ and $\psi_{\Delta w}^{\infty}(\Delta w(1))=(\Delta w)_{*}$, this suggests the following connection between $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ and $(\Delta w)_{*}$ :
Theorem 4.1. Let $w$ be a word with $|w|=b \geq 2$ and $w(1)=w(b)=0$. Then the first difference of the fixed point of the morphism $\phi_{w}$ is exactly the fixed point of $\psi_{\Delta w}$, i.e., $\Delta \mathbf{w}=(\Delta w)_{*}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\Delta \mathbf{w}(i)=1$ if and only if $(\Delta w)_{*}(i)=1$.
Case 1. $i \not \equiv 0(\bmod b)$. Then we have $i=b k+r$, where $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq r \leq b-1$. By the construction of $(\Delta w)_{*}$ we have $(\Delta w)_{*}(i)=1$ if and only if $\Delta w(r)=1$. By Lemma 4.1 this holds if and only if $r \in C_{w}$. As in the proof of Theorem B.1, we see that $r \in C_{w}$ holds if and only if $i=b k+r \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$. Hence, $(\Delta w)_{*}(i)=1$ holds if and only if $i \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$, which by Lemma 4.1 is equivalent to $\Delta \mathbf{w}(i)=1$.

Case 2. $i \equiv 0(\bmod b)$. Then $i=b^{j} m$ for some $j \geq 0$, with $m \not \equiv 0(\bmod b)$. Now as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we see that $b^{j} m \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$ (i.e., $\Delta \mathbf{w}\left(b^{j} m\right)=1$ ) if and only if $m \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$. Since $m \not \equiv 0(\bmod b)$, by Case 1 we have $m \in C_{\mathbf{w}}$ if and only if $(\Delta w)_{*}(m)=1$. By the construction of $(\Delta w)_{*}$ we see that the latter is equivalent to $(\Delta w)_{*}(i)=1$.

## 5 Palindromes

We now turn our attention to palindromes. Recall that the complement $\bar{w}$ is the word obtained by interchanging 0 and 1 in $w$. If $|w|$ is finite, then we define the reversal $w^{R}$ to be the word $w$ written "backwards"; that is, for $|w|=b$,

$$
w^{R}=w(b) w(b-1) \cdots w(2) w(1)
$$

The complement and reversal operations have the following properties, whose proofs are immediate from the definitions.

## Proposition 5.1.

(i) $(\bar{w})^{R}=\overline{w^{R}}$.
(ii) $\left(w^{R}\right)^{R}=\overline{\bar{w}}=w$.
(iii) $(\Delta w)^{R}=\Delta\left(w^{R}\right)$.
(iv) $\left(w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{n}\right)^{R}=w_{n}^{R} w_{n-1}^{R} \cdots w_{1}^{R}$.

Definition 5.1. A palindrome is a word $w$ such that $w^{R}=w$. A skew-palindrome is a word $v$ such that $v^{R}=\bar{v}$. If a word is either a palindrome or a skew-palindrome, then it is said to be a quasi-palindrome. We denote the sets of palindromes, skew-palindromes, and quasi-palindromes by $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}$, and $\mathcal{Q}$, respectively.

For example, the words 0110110 and 011001 are both quasi-palindromes. Specifically, the word 0110110 is a palindrome and the word 011001 is a skew-palindrome.

Proposition 5.2. Let $w$ and $v$ be words of lengths $b$ and $c$, respectively. Then:
(i) $w \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $w(i)=w(b-i+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq b$.
(ii) $v \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $v(j) \neq v(c-j+1)$ for $1 \leq j \leq c$.
(iii) $v \in \mathcal{S}$ implies that $|v|$ is even.
(iv) $w \in \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $w \oplus w^{R}=00 \cdots 0 ; v \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $w \oplus w^{R}=11 \cdots 1$.
(v) $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{S}=\emptyset$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from Definition 5.1. To prove (iii), observe that if $|v|=c$ were odd, then $v(j)=v(c-j+1)$ for $j=\lceil c / 2\rceil$, which violates (ii). The last two properties follow from (i) and (ii).

Recall that the product of two words $w$ and $v$ is defined by

$$
w \times v=\phi_{w}(v) .
$$

Given two sets of words $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, we let $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V}$ denote the set of all words $u \times v$, with $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$. We show that quasi-palindromes are closed with respect to this product operation.

Proposition 5.3. Let $w$ and $v$ be finite words. Then $w, v \in \mathcal{Q}$ if and only if $w \times v \in \mathcal{Q}$. Moreover, we have the following containment relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}  \tag{5.1}\\
& \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}  \tag{5.2}\\
& \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{S}  \tag{5.3}\\
& \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{P} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose first that $w, v \in \mathcal{P}$ with $|w|=b$ and $|v|=c$. Then

$$
w \times v=\phi_{w}(v)=w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{c},
$$

with $w_{i}=\phi_{w}(v(i))$ for $1 \leq i \leq c$. Notice for each $i$ that we have $w_{i} \in\{w, \bar{w}\} \subset \mathcal{P}$. Applying Proposition 5.1 (iv), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right) \oplus\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right)^{R} & =w_{1} w_{2} \cdots w_{c} \oplus w_{c}^{R} w_{c-1}^{R} \cdots w_{1}^{R} \\
& =\left(w_{1} \oplus w_{c}^{R}\right)\left(w_{2} \oplus w_{c-1}^{R}\right) \cdots\left(w_{c} \oplus w_{1}^{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $v \in \mathcal{P}$, Proposition 5.2 (i) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{i} & =\phi_{w}(v(i)) \\
& =\phi_{w}(v(c-i+1)) \\
& =w_{c-i+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $w_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$, it follows from this and Proposition 5.2 (iv) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(w_{i} \oplus w_{c-i+1}^{R}\right) & =\left(w_{i} \oplus w_{i}^{R}\right) \\
& =00 \cdots 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right) \oplus\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right)^{R}=00 \cdots 0
$$

which implies that $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{P}$. This proves (5.1). The relations (5.2)-(5.4) can be proved by similar arguments. It follows from (10.1)-(10.4) that $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{Q}$ whenever $w \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $v \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Conversely, suppose $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\phi_{w}(v)$ is given by

$$
\phi_{w}(v)=\phi_{w}(v(1)) \phi_{w}(v(2)) \cdots \phi_{w}(v(c)) .
$$

By definition $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{Q}$ implies that either

$$
\phi_{w}(v)=\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right)^{R}
$$

or

$$
\overline{\phi_{w}(v)}=\left(\phi_{w}(v)\right)^{R} .
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\phi_{w}(v(1))=\left(\phi_{w}(v(c))\right)^{R}
$$

or

$$
\overline{\phi_{w}(v(1))}=\left(\phi_{w}(v(c))\right)^{R} .
$$

It follows that either $w=w^{R}$ or $\bar{w}=w^{R}$, and in any case we have $w \in \mathcal{Q}$. It remains to be shown that $v \in \mathcal{Q}$, and to do this we again distinguish several cases. Suppose first that $w \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{S}$. Now $\phi_{w}(v)$ is of the form

$$
\phi_{w}(v)=\phi_{w}(v(1)) \phi_{w}(v(2)) \cdots \phi_{w}(v(c)) .
$$

By our assumption that $\phi_{w}(v) \in \mathcal{S}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\phi_{w}(v(i))}=\left(\phi_{w}(v(c-i+1))\right)^{R} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq c$. If $v(i)=0$, then (5.5) and our assumption $w \in \mathcal{P}$ implies that $v(c-i+1)=1$. Likewise, $v(i)=1$ implies that $v(c-i+1)=0$. Hence we have $v(i) \neq v(c-i+1)$ for all $i$, so $v \in \mathcal{S}$ by Proposition 5.2 (ii). The proofs of the remaining cases are similar.

We now characterize first differences of palindromes.
Lemma 5.1. Given a finite word $w \in \Sigma^{*}$ with $|w| \geq 2$, we have $w \in \mathcal{Q}$ if and only if $\Delta w \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 5.2 (iv), it follows that $\Delta w \in \mathcal{P}$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
00 \cdots 0 & =\Delta w \oplus(\Delta w)^{R} & \\
& =\Delta w \oplus \Delta\left(w^{R}\right) & \text { (Prop. 5.1](iii)) } \\
& =\Delta\left(w \oplus w^{R}\right) & & \text { (Lemma 4.2). }
\end{array}
$$

Hence $w \oplus w^{R}$ is either $00 \cdots 0$ or $11 \cdots 1$, and by Proposition 5.2 (iv) this is equivalent to $w \in \mathcal{Q}$.

We now consider palindromes in infinite words of the form

$$
w_{*}=\psi_{w}^{\infty}(w(1))
$$

introduced in Section 2 .
Lemma 5.2. Let $w$ be a finite word. If $w_{*}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes, then $w$ itself is a palindrome.

Proof. Let $v$ be a subword of $w_{*}$ that is a palindrome. Then $v$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=x\left[u_{1}\right] w\left[u_{2}\right] w \cdots w\left[u_{n}\right] y \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[u_{i}\right]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are the letters filling the "holes" arising in the construction of $w_{*}$, and $x$ and $y$ are a suffix and prefix of $w$, respectively. (We allow the possibility that $x$ or $y$ or both are empty.)

Suppose first that $|x|=|y|$. If we subtract $|x|+1$ letters from each side of (5.6), then the remaining word

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=w\left[u_{2}\right] w\left[u_{3}\right] \cdots\left[u_{n-1}\right] w \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is still a palindrome. Notice that $v_{0}$ both begins and ends with $w$. Since $v_{0} \in \mathcal{P}$, it follows that $w=w^{R}$, and hence $w \in \mathcal{P}$.

Now assume without loss of generality that $|x|>|y|$. Let $|w|=b$, and let $|x|=b-m$ for some $m$ with $0 \leq m<b$. Then $|y|=b-m-r$ for some $r$ with $1 \leq r \leq b-m$ (since $|x|>|y|)$. Now let $x_{1}$ be the suffix of $x$ of length $|x|-|y|-1$, so that $\left|x_{1}\right|=r-1$. If we remove $|y|+1$ letters from each side of $v$, then the resulting word

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=x_{1}\left[u_{1}\right] w\left[u_{2}\right] \cdots\left[u_{n-1}\right] w \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

must still be a palindrome. Since $x_{1}$ is a suffix of $w$, we can write $w$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=a w(b-r+1) x_{1}, \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the prefix of $w$ of length $b-r$, and $w(b-r+1)$ is the $(b-r+1)$ st letter of $w$. Substituting (5.9) into (5.8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=x_{1}\left[u_{1}\right] \operatorname{aw}(b-r+1) x_{1}\left[u_{2}\right] \cdots\left[u_{n-1}\right] \operatorname{aw}(b-r+1) x_{1} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting $r-1$ letters from each side of (5.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{2}=\left[u_{1}\right] \operatorname{aw}(b-r+1) x_{1}\left[u_{2}\right] \cdots\left[u_{n-1}\right] a w(b-r+1), \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which again is a palindrome. Since $v_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[u_{1}\right] a w(b-r+1) } & =\left(\left[u_{n-1}\right] a w(b-r+1)\right)^{R} \\
& =w(b-r+1) a^{R}\left[u_{n-1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left[u_{1}\right]=w(b-r+1)=\left[u_{n-1}\right]$. Proceeding inductively (by removing at each step $b+1$ letters from each side) we see that $\left[u_{1}\right]=\left[u_{2}\right]=\cdots=\left[u_{n-1}\right]$. Since, by assumption, $w_{*}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes, we can take $n$ in (5.6) arbitrarily large. In particular, the "holes" arising in the construction of $w_{*}$ must include arbitrarily long strings of consecutive 0 's or consecutive 1 's. This is only possible if $w=00 \cdots 0$ or $w=11 \cdots 1$. Thus $w$ is a palindrome.

Remark. The converse of the lemma is also true (though we will not need this fact here): If $w$ is a palindrome, then $w_{*}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes.

We are now ready to state and prove our main result, giving us a necessary and sufficient condition for a fixed point $\mathbf{w}$ to contain palindromes of arbitrary length. For similar subject matter, see Hof, Knill, and Simon [8].

Theorem 5.1. Let $w$ be a finite word with $|w|=b \geq 2$, and $w(1)=0$. Then $\mathbf{w}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes if and only if $w$ is a quasi-palindrome.

Proof. Suppose first that $w \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then by Proposition 5.3 we have $\phi_{w}(w) \in \mathcal{P}$, and hence $\phi_{w}^{2 n+1}(w) \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $n$. Thus $\phi_{w}^{\infty}(w)=\mathbf{w}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{w}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes, then by Lemma 5.1 its first difference $\Delta \mathbf{w}$ also contains arbitrarily long palindromes. If $w(b)=0$, then we can apply Theorem 4.1 to conclude that $(\Delta w)_{*}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes. By Lemma 5.2 it follows that $\Delta w \in \mathcal{P}$, which by Lemma 5.1 implies that $w \in \mathcal{Q}$. If $w(b)=1$, then we cannot apply Theorem 4.1 directly to $w$. However, we may apply the theorem to the word $u=\phi_{w}(w)$ to obtain $\Delta \mathbf{w}=\Delta \mathbf{u}=(\Delta u)_{*}$. It follows that $(\Delta u)_{*}$ contains arbitrarily long palindromes. As before, this implies that $\phi_{w}(w)=u \in \mathcal{Q}$. By Proposition 5.3 it follows that $w \in \mathcal{Q}$.
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