CORRECTIONS

to the paper [M. A. GREKOV AND N. F. MOROZOV, Solution of the Kirsch Problem in View of Surface Stresses. *Mem. Differential Equations Math. Phys.* **52** (2011), 123–129]

The corresponding formulae from the published paper should be replaced by the following ones:

$$\sigma_{rr} + i\sigma_{r\theta} = \sigma^s_{\theta\theta} - i \frac{\partial \sigma^s_{\theta\theta}}{\partial \theta} \equiv t^s, \quad r = 1,$$
(3)

$$I^{\pm}(\zeta) = \pm \frac{\tau(\zeta)}{2} \pm \frac{\zeta \tau'(\zeta)}{2} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\tau(\eta) + \eta \tau'(\eta)}{\eta - \zeta} \eta,$$
(20)

$$[2r - M(\varkappa - 1)]\tau(\zeta) - M(\varkappa + 1) \times \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\tau(\eta) + \eta\tau'(\eta)}{\eta - \zeta} d\eta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\overline{\tau(\eta)} + \overline{\eta\tau'(\eta)}}{\overline{\eta} - \overline{\zeta}} d\overline{\eta}\right] = \\ = \frac{Mr(\varkappa + 1)}{2} \sigma \left(1 - \zeta^2 - \zeta^{-2}\right).$$
(21)

$$[2r - M(\varkappa - 1)]\tau(\zeta) - M(\varkappa + 1) \times \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\tau(\eta) + \eta\tau'(\eta)}{\eta - \zeta} d\eta - \frac{\zeta}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{|\eta|=1} \frac{\eta^{-1}\tau(\eta) - \tau'(\eta)}{\eta - \zeta} d\eta\right] = \\ = \frac{Mr(\varkappa + 1)}{2} \sigma \left(1 - \zeta^2 - \zeta^{-2}\right).$$
(22)

$$d_0 = \frac{Mr(1+\varkappa)}{4(r+M)}\sigma, \quad d_2 = \overline{d_{-2}} = -\frac{Mr(1+\varkappa)}{2[2r+M(3+\varkappa)]}\sigma, \quad d_k = 0, \quad (24)$$
$$k \neq 0, -2, 2.$$

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = -\frac{d_0}{r} - \frac{6d_2}{r} \sigma \cos 2\theta + (1 - 2\cos 2\theta)\sigma.$$
⁽²⁶⁾

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}|_{\theta=\pi/2} = 3\sigma - \frac{M(1+\varkappa)[14r + M(15+\varkappa)]}{4(r+M)[2r+M(3+\varkappa)]}\sigma.$$
 (27)

From (27) it follows that in case M > 0 for $r/M \sim 1$ or r/M < 1, where r is the radius of a hole, the surface stresses $\sigma_{\theta\theta}^s$ reduce concentration of the hoop stresses $\sigma_{\theta\theta}$. For a big value of the ratio r/M, this effect disappears.

The item $\left[6\right]$ in the References of the corrected paper reads as follows:

 R. V. GOLDSTEIN, V. A. GORODTSOV, AND K. B. USTINOV, Effect of residual surface stress and surface elasticity on deformation of nanometer spherical inclusions in an elastic matrix. (Russian) *Phys. Mesomechanics.* 13 (2010), No. 5, 127–138.