# ON THE SOLUTIONS OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) = F(t) WHEN THE FUNCTION FSATISFIES SPECIAL CONDITIONS

### M. Malenica

**Abstract.** The results of this paper are concerned with the solution x(t) of the functional equation x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) = F(t). Using regular summability methods T, we derive some necessary and also some sufficient conditions for the T-sum x(t) of the series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i F(f^i(t))$  to be a solution of the above mentioned equation under the specific conditions for F(t).

### 1. Introduction

We consider here the linear functional equation

$$x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) = F(t)$$

$$(1.1)$$

on a given set  $S \subset \mathbf{R}$ , where  $f: S \to S$ ,  $F: S \to \mathbf{R}$  and  $A: S \to \mathbf{R}$  are given functions,  $x: S \to \mathbf{R}$  denotes the unknown function and  $\mathbf{R}$  is the set of real numbers. Throughout the paper we assume that the functions A and f satisfy the condition A(t) = A(f(t)). We define

$$f^{0}(t) = t,$$
  $f^{i+1}(t) = f(f^{i}(t)),$   $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Equations of this type have been considered by many mathematicians. H. Steinhaus [10] discussed the equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(x^2) = x$  and G. H. Hardy [4] considered the equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(x^\alpha) = x$  ( $\alpha > 0$ ), where  $\varphi$  denotes the unknown function. R. Raclis [9] discusses the equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$  for complex x and finds meromorphic solutions. Under certain hypothesis in regard to the function f, N. M. Gersevanoff [2] solves the equation x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) = F(t) and Ghermanescu [3] solves the equation

$$A_0\varphi + A_1\varphi(f) + A_2\varphi(f(f)) + \dots + A_n\varphi(f(\dots)) = F(x),$$

where  $\varphi$  denotes the unknown function, and f and F are given functions. Euqation (1.1) is a direct generalization of the equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$  considered by M. Kuczma in [6]. The basic method used in that paper is the iteration of the function f, and solutions are given in the form of the sums of a convergent series

2 M. Malenica

of functions. M. Bajraktarević [1] has also considered solutions of the equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$  under assumption that the series of functions given by M. Kuczma is divergent, but summable by some regular method of summability. M. Malenica [7], [8], using method of M. Bajraktarević has examined solutions of the same equation. Papers by M. Kuczma [6], M. Bajraktarević [1] and M. Malenica [8] are of special interest to us.

In this paper, considering the series

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{i} F(f^{i}(t)) \tag{1.2}$$

and using regular summability methods T we derive some sufficient and also some necessary conditions for T-sum x(t) of the series (1.2) to be a solution of equation (1.1). The function F(t) has a special form. Whenever we say that (1.2) is T-summable with sum x(t) we assume that  $T = (a_{kn})$  is a regular sequence matrix transformation, i.e. its elements  $a_{kn}$  satisfy the following conditions

- (i)  $a_{kn} \to 0 \ (k \to \infty, n \geqslant 0)$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^{n} |a_{ki}| \leq K \ (n \geq 0, k \geq 0, K \text{ fixed}),$

(iii) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{kn} = A_k \to 1 \ (k \to \infty).$$

#### 2. Results

Iti is interesting to consider the equation (1.1) in the case when the form of F causes the series (1.2) to diverge and to look for the conclusions on  $T = (a_{kn})$  that assure that the series (1.2) is T-summable to the function x(t) so that the relations

$$Ts_n(t) \to x(t), \qquad x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) = F(t),$$
 (2.1)

are valid. The sum  $s_n(t)$  is a partial sum of order n of the series (1.2). If we take F to be a function satisfying

$$F(f(t)) = F(t), \tag{2.2}$$

and for  $T = (a_{kn})$  regular transformation with the property

$$\label{eq:ak2m} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} \to \frac{1}{1+A(t)}, \quad k \to \infty \qquad (A(t) \neq -1),$$

we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose F(t) satisfies (2.2) and suppose  $Ts_n(t) \to x(t)$ , where T is a regular transformation.

(a) For x(t) to be a solution of the equation (1.1), it is sufficient that T satisfies condition (2.3). In this case the solution has the form  $x(t) = \frac{F(t)}{1 + A(t)}$ .

- (b) For x(t) to be a solution of the equation (1.1), it is necessary that T satisfies condition (2.3) with  $F(t) \not\equiv 0$ .
  - (c) If  $F(t) \equiv 0$ , then  $x(t) \equiv 0$  is always a solution of the equation (1.1).

*Proof.* For the function F satisfying (2.2) we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i F(f^i(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i F(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, & \text{if $n$ is odd,} \\ F(t), & \text{if $n$ is even;} \end{array} \right. \\ &s_k'(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_{kn} s_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^\infty a_{k,2m+1} 0 + \sum_{m=0}^\infty a_{k,2m} F(t), \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$s'_{k}(t) = F(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m}.$$
 (2.4)

(a) Let  $T=(a_{kn})$  be the transformation satisfying (2.3). If we let  $k\to\infty$  in (2.4) we get

$$x(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} s'_k(t) = \frac{F(t)}{1 + A(t)}.$$
 (2.5)

It is easy to verify that if x(t) has the form given in (2.5), then it is a solution of the equation (1.1).

(b) Let x(t) be a solution of the equation (1.1). Then by (2.4), the following relations hold.

$$\begin{split} G_k(t) &= s_k'(t) + A(t)s_k'(f(t)) - F(t) \\ &= F(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} + A(t)F(t) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} - F(t) \to 0 \quad (k \to \infty), \\ F(t)(1+A(t)) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} - F(t) &= G_k(t) \to 0 \quad (k \to \infty), \\ F(t)(1+F(t)) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} &= F(t) + G_k(t). \end{split}$$

If  $F(t) \not\equiv 0$ , when  $k \to \infty$ , we get  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{k,2m} = \frac{1}{1 + A(t)}$ , i.e.  $T = (a_{kn})$  is a regular transformation with the property (2.3).

(c) If  $F(t) \not\equiv 0$ , then  $x(t) \equiv 0$  is always a solution of the equation (1.1).

It is easy to verify that  $C_1$ -method, E-method and  $E_r$ -method,  $r \ge 1$ , given in [5] are examples of methods satisfying property (2.3).

REMARK 2.1. Obviously, if A(t) = -1, the equation (1.1) has the solution  $x(t) = \frac{1}{2}F(t)$ , under the condition F(f(t)) = -F(t).

At the end, let F(t) has the following properties

$$F(f^{p}(t)) = 0, F(f^{i}(t)) \neq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1.$$
 (2.6)

We look for the solution x(t) of the equation (1.1) in the form

$$x(t) = a + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} b_i F(f^i(t)).$$
 (2.7)

In connection with that, the following theorem is valid.

Theorem 2.2. In order that the equation (1.1), in which the function F(t) has properties (2.6), has a solution x(t) of the form (2.7), it is sufficient that

$$a = 0,$$
  $b_i = (-1)^i (A(t))^i,$   $i = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1,$  (2.8)

i.e. that the function x(t) has the form

$$x(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i (A(t))^i F(f^i(t)).$$
 (2.9)

*Proof.* From (2.9) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{split} x(t) + A(t)x(f(t)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i (A(t))^i F(f^i(t)) + A(t) \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (-1)^i (A(f(t))^i F(f^{i+1}(t))) \\ &= F(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i (A(t))^i F(f^i(t)) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i (A(t))^i F(f^i(t)) - (-1)^p (A(t))^p F(f^p(t)) = F(t) \end{split}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bajraktarević, Sur une solution de l'equation fonctionele  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$ , Glasnik mat. fiz. i astr., Zagreb 15 (1960), 91-98.
- [2] N. Gercevanoff, Quelques procèdès de la rèsolution des èquations fonctionelles linèaire par la mèthode d'iteration, Compte Rendus (Doklady) de l'Academie de Sciences de l'URSS 29 (1953), 207-209.
- [3] M. Ghermanescu, Equations fonctionelles linéaires à argument fonctionel n-periodique, Compte Rendue de l'Acad. Sci. Paris 243 (1956), 1593-1596.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, Oxford 1949.
- [5] K. Knopp, Theorie und Anwendungen der unendlichen Reihen, Berlin 1931.
- [6] M. Kuczma, On the functional equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$ , Ann. Pol. Math. 6 (1959).
- [7] M. Malenica, O jednom rješenju jednačine  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$  kada funkcija zadovoljava uslov F(f(x)) = F(x), Akademija nauka i umjetnosti BiH, Odjeljenje prirodnih i matematičkih nauka, **LXIX/20**, Sarajevo, 1982, 17–21.
- [8] M. Malenica, On some solutions of equation  $\varphi(x) + \varphi(f(x)) = F(x)$  under the condition that F satisfies  $F(f^p(x)) = F(x)$ , Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **29(43)** (1981).
- [9] F. Raclis, Sur la solution mèromorphe d'une èquation fonctionelle, Bull. Math. de la Soc. Roum. de Sciences 30 (1927), 101-105.
- [10] H. Steinhaus, O pewnym szeregu potegowym, Prace Mathematyczne I (1955), 276–284.

(received 03.04.1992)

 ${\bf M}.$  Malenica, Sarajevo, YUGOSLAVIA