New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. 18 (2012) 551–554.

Errata and corrigenda: Ergodic and chaotic properties of Lipschitz maps on smooth surfaces

Sue Goodman and Jane Hawkins

ABSTRACT. Errata and corrigenda are given for *Ergodic and chaotic properties of Lipschitz maps on smooth surfaces*, New York J. Math. 18 (2012), 95–120.

Contents

1.	Erratum		551
2.	Corrigenda		552
	2.1.	A correction for Theorem 6.3	552
	2.2.	Section 4 corrections	552
References			554

1. Erratum

There is an error in the remarks that occur between Equations (4.2) and (4.3) in [1]. Checking Equation (3.6) for the map g^* is necessary but not sufficient for (4.2) to hold as is implied by the remarks. The sentence

Therefore $G(x) = \varphi_{i+1} \circ g^* \circ \varphi_i^{-1}(x) = \varphi_i \circ g^* \circ \varphi_{i-1}^{-1}(x)$ is well-defined for every point $x \in X$.

does not hold. In fact G is not always well-defined when (3.6) holds. We remove the specific form of f from (3.6), and then we add condition (3.7); all equalities hold (mod 1):

(3.6)
$$g^*(\{\theta\}) = -g^*(\{-\theta\}),$$

(3.7)
$$\theta \in [0, 1/2] \Rightarrow g^*(\{\theta\}) \in [0, 1/2].$$

We note that (3.6) and (3.7) in turn imply (3.8) and (3.9):

- (3.8) $\theta \in (1/2, 1) \Rightarrow g^*(\{\theta\}) \in (1/2, 1),$
- (3.9) $g^*(\{1/2\}) = 0$ or $g^*(\{1/2\}) = 1/2$.

Received July 17, 2012.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A, 37E, 57M.

Key words and phrases. Dynamics on surfaces, one-sided Bernoulli, measure preserving systems, chaotic dynamics.



FIGURE 1. The graph of g_s from Remark 5.2

Conditions (3.6) and (3.7) are sufficient for (4.2) to hold. Only (3.6) appears in [1]; this omission led to errors in the statements of Theorem 4.1 and 6.3, for which we offer corrections in the next section. The particular map g^* defined just before (4.1) does not satisfy (3.7) and in fact G is not well-defined in Theorem 4.1; Theorem 6.3 contains a similar error. While Theorem 6.3 has a simple correction using an alternative map discussed in [1], we can only prove a modified version of Theorem 4.1. A similar modification to Theorem 4.2 is also required.

2. Corrigenda

We start with the simple correction needed in Section 6.

2.1. A correction for Theorem 6.3. If we use the map described in Remark 5.2 instead of the map f_s used (i.e., use the degree one circle map whose graph is the reflection about x = 1/2 of the map f_s used), then Theorem 5.3 remains unchanged, and in Theorem 6.3, statement (2) is replaced by "(2) The points A and B are repelling fixed points of G_s ." The graph of the degree one map is shown in Figure 1.

2.2. Section 4 corrections. We cannot recover the statements of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 as given in [1]. However we state revised versions here. Instead of $f_d(x) = dx \pmod{1}$ given in [1] (also written as $f(z) = z^d$), we use closely related maps denoted F_d , each one a *d*-to-one map with the property $|F'_d(x)| = d$ and which maps [0, 1/2] onto [0, 1/2]. The formula for F_2 is:

$$F_2(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0, \frac{1}{4}), \\ 1 - 2x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}), \\ 2 - 2x & \text{if } x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}), \\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } x \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1). \end{cases}$$



FIGURE 2. The graphs of F_2 and F_3



FIGURE 3. The ergodic decomposition and Bernoulli partitions of F_2^{*2} on $\mathbb M$

There is an analogous formula for each $d \ge 3$, and we describe the graph here. For $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$, reflect any segment of the graph of $f_d(x) = dx \pmod{1}$ which lies above the y = 1/2 line across that line, and for $x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, reflect any segment below the line y = 1/2 across that line. The graphs for d = 2and d = 3 are illustrated in Figure 2. Evidently F_d satisfies the properties in Equations (3.6)–(3.9), making G well-defined on nP.

The map F_d has two ergodic components (the intervals $[0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $[\frac{1}{2}, 1)$), and the map F_d^{*2} on the symmetric product $I^{*2} \cong \mathbb{M}$ (the Mobius band) has three ergodic components as shown in Figure 3; each color represents an ergodic component and a generating Bernoulli partition is shown within each ergodic component. The revised Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are as follows; the proofs are as in [1], but instead we use the maps F_d given here and make obvious minor modifications.

Theorem 4.1 (Revised). Given any nonorientable compact surface X of genus $n \ge 2$, there exists a map $G : X \to X$ which is locally Lipschitz on X (Lipschitz in each coordinate chart), continuous, and smooth except on a finite number of curves, and satisfying:

- (i) G preserves a smooth probability measure m_n on X.
- (ii) G has three ergodic components with respect to m_n .
- (iii) The restriction of G to each ergodic component is isomorphic to an n-point extension of a one-sided Bernoulli shift.
- (iv) On each ergodic component G is transitive and chaotic, but not topologically exact.
- (v) $h_{top}(G) = 2 \log d$.

Theorem 4.2 (Revised). Suppose $(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathcal{B}, m, f)$ is any nonsingular d-to-one dynamical system satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) f is continuous on \mathbb{S}^1 and differentiable except at finitely many points.
- (2) f is topologically exact.
- (3) f is weak mixing.
- (4) In additive coordinates, f(1-x) = 1 f(x) for all $x \in [0,1]$ and f([0,1/2]) = [0,1/2].

Then for any nonorientable compact surface X of genus > 1, f defines a d^2 -to-one nonsingular map G on X with respect to a smooth measure μ , has at most three ergodic and chaotic components and G is continuous and differentiable μ -a.e.

At the end of Section 4 in [1] we show how the measure theoretic entropy of the examples we construct can be reduced; this still holds with a slight variation on the examples T_p given. By reflecting the inner two line segments of the graph shown in Figure 8 of [1] about the line y = 1/2, we can still obtain G_p of arbitrarily small entropy as claimed.

References

 GOODMAN, SUE; HAWKINS, JANE. Ergodic and chaotic properties of Lipschitz maps on smooth surfaces. New York J. Math. 18 (2012), 95–120. Zbl 06032704.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, CB #3250, CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA, 27599-3250 seg@email.unc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL, CB #3250, CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA, 27599-3250 jmh@math.unc.edu

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2012/18-30.html.

554