

**OSCILLATION OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS WITH
VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS**

OZKAN OÇALAN

Abstract: In this study, under some appropriate conditions over the real sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ we give some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the difference equation

$$x_{n+1} - x_n + \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} x_{n-k_i} + q_n x_{n-m} = 0, \quad m \in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}$$

where $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$), respectively.

1 – Introduction

For the oscillation of every solution of the difference equation

$$(1.1) \quad x_{n+1} - x_n + p x_{n-k} + q x_{n-m} = 0, \quad m = -1, 0,$$

necessary and sufficient conditions were given in [9]. The case $q = 0$ was examined in [4] and [7]. In the present paper, under some appropriate conditions, taking the real sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ instead of p and q in equation (1.1) we investigate the oscillatory behaviour of the following difference equation

$$(1.2) \quad x_{n+1} - x_n + \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} x_{n-k_i} + q_n x_{n-m} = 0, \quad m \in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}$$

in cases of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, respectively.

Received: August 19, 2005; *Revised:* March 1, 2006.

AMS Subject Classification: 39A10.

Keywords: difference equation; oscillation.

Note that the case $r = 1$, $q_n = 0$ (for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$) of equation (1.2) has been investigated in [3], [5] and [10]. Furthermore, recently for the oscillatory properties of constant coefficients form of (1.2) has been obtained in [11].

Let $\rho = \max\{k_i, m\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then we recall that a sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is defined for $n \geq -\rho$ and satisfies (1.2) for $n \geq 0$. A solution $\{x_n\}$ of equation (1.2) is called oscillatory if the terms x_n of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ are neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. Otherwise, the solution is called nonoscillatory (see, for details, [1], and also [2], [6]).

2 – Oscillation properties of equation (1.2)

In this section we obtain sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of the difference equation (1.2) when $m \in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}$, $p_{in}, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{-1, 0\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

We first have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_{in} \geq 0$ and $m = -1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ in equation (1.2), and let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = q > 0$. Assume further $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{(1+q)^{k_i} (k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{k_i^{k_i}} > 1,$$

then every solution of (1.2) oscillates.

Proof: Assume that $\{x_n\}$ be an eventually positive solution of equation (1.2). Since $p_{in} \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and $q > 0$, we get from (1.2) that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} x_{n-k_i} - q_n x_{n+1} < 0.$$

This yields that $\{x_n\}$ eventually decreasing. Now dividing (1.2) by $\{x_n\}$ we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} \frac{x_{n-k_i}}{x_n} - q_n \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}.$$

Let $z_n = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}$. So, we have from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{z_n} = \frac{1}{1+q_n} \left\{ 1 - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} (z_{n-k_i} z_{n-k_i+1} \dots z_{n-1}) \right\}.$$

Let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z \geq 1$. Therefore, taking limit superior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the both sides (2.3) and using the fact that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{z_n} = \frac{1}{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n} = \frac{1}{z}$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{z} \leq \frac{1}{1+q} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^r p_i z^{k_i} \right),$$

which implies that $z \neq q + 1$ and that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{z^{k_i+1}}{z-q-1} \leq 1.$$

Define the function f by $f(z) = \frac{z^{k_i+1}}{z-q-1}$. So, by (2.4) it is clear that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{(1+q)^{k_i} (k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{k_i^{k_i}} \leq 1$$

which contradicts (2.1) and completes the proof. ■

Since $\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$, the following result follows from Theorem 2.1 immediately.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = -1$, $q_n > 0$ and $p_{in} \geq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_{in} \right) \frac{(1 + \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n)^{k_i} (k_i + 1)^{k_i + 1}}{k_i^{k_i}} > 1,$$

then every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates. ■

Theorem 2.3. *Let $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $p_{in} \leq 0$ and $m = -1$ in equation (1.2), and let $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = q \in (-1, 0)$. Assume further $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If condition (2.1) holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates.*

Proof: Assume that $\{x_n\}$ be an eventually positive solution of equation (1.2). Since $p_{in} \leq 0$ and $q \in (-1, 0)$, by (1.2) we have

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} x_{n-k_i} - q_n x_{n+1} > 0 .$$

This yields that $\{x_n\}$ eventually increasing. Now dividing (1.2) by $\{x_n\}$ we get

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} = 1 - q_n \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n} - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} \frac{x_{n-k_i}}{x_n} .$$

Let $z_n = \frac{x_{n+1}}{x_n}$. Then, we have from (2.6) that

$$(2.7) \quad z_n = 1 - q_n z_n - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in} z_{n-k_i-1} z_{n-k_i-2} \dots z_n .$$

Now let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z \geq 1$. Taking limit inferior as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on the both sides (2.7), we get

$$z \geq 1 - qz - \sum_{i=1}^r p_i z^{-k_i} ,$$

which implies that $z \neq \frac{1}{q+1}$ and that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{z^{-k_i}}{1 - (q+1)z} \leq 1 .$$

Then, it is obvious that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{(1+q)^{k_i} (k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{k_i^{k_i}} \leq 1 ,$$

which contradicts condition (2.1). ■

Since $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_n$, the following result follows from Theorem 2.3 immediately.

Corollary 2.4. Let $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $m = -1$, $-1 < q_n < 0$ and $p_{in} \leq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). If

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_{in} \right) \frac{(1 + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n)^{k_i} (k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{k_i^{k_i}} > 1 ,$$

then every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates. ■

Now, taking into consideration the methods of the proofs of preceding theorems one can easily obtain the following results. Hence, we merely state these results without their proofs.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_{in} \geq 0$ and $m = 0$ in equation (1.2), and let $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = q \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If the condition*

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{(k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{(1-q)^{k_i+1} k_i^{k_i}} > 1$$

holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.6. *Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = 0$, $0 < q_n < 1$ and $p_{in} \geq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_{in} \right) \frac{(k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{\left(1 - \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n \right)^{k_i+1} k_i^{k_i}} > 1,$$

then every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates. ■

Theorem 2.7. *Let $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $p_{in} \leq 0$ and $m = 0$ in equation (1.2), and let $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = q < 0$. Assume that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If condition (2.8) holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■*

Corollary 2.8. *Let $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $m = 0$, $q_n < 0$ and $p_{in} \leq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$). If the condition*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \left(\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} p_{in} \right) \frac{(k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{\left(1 - \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n \right)^{k_i+1} k_i^{k_i}} > 1$$

holds, then every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates. ■

Theorem 2.9. *Let $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $q_n > 0$. Assume that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If the condition*

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{(k_i+1)^{k_i+1}}{k_i^{k_i}} > 1$$

holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Theorem 2.10. Let $k_i \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $p_{in} \leq 0$, $m \in \{\dots, -3, -2\}$, $q_n < 0$. Assume that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{in} = p_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. If the condition (2.9) holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.11. Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.1. If the condition

$$(2.10) \quad r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > \frac{k^k}{(1+q)^k (k+1)^{k+1}},$$

holds, where $k = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r k_i$, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates.

Proof: Assume that $m = -1$ and that $\{x_n\}$ is eventually positive solution of equation (1.2). Let $z_n = \frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = z$. Then by using (2.4) and applying the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{z^{k_i+1}}{z-q-1} \\ &\geq r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \frac{z^{k_i+1}}{z-q-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{z^{k+1}}{z-q-1} \\ &\geq r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{(1+q)^k (k+1)^{k+1}}{k^k} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts (2.10). ■

Using the similar methods in the proof of Corollary 2.11 we have the next results.

Corollary 2.12. Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.3. If the condition

$$r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r |p_i| \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > \frac{1}{(1+q)^k} \left| \frac{k^k}{(k+1)^{k+1}} \right|$$

holds, then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.13. *Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.5. If*

$$r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > \frac{(1-q)^{k+1} k^k}{(k+1)^{k+1}},$$

then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.14. *Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.7. If*

$$r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r |p_i| \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > (1-q)^{k+1} \left| \frac{k^k}{(k+1)^{k+1}} \right|,$$

then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.15. *Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.9. If*

$$r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > \frac{k^k}{(k+1)^{k+1}},$$

then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

Corollary 2.16. *Let k_i , m , $\{p_{in}\}$, p_i , $\{q_n\}$ and q be the same as in Theorem 2.10. If*

$$r \left(\prod_{i=1}^r |p_i| \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} > \left| \frac{k^k}{(k+1)^{k+1}} \right|,$$

then every solution of (1.2) oscillates. ■

We should finally remark that every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates provided that $1 - \sum_{i=1}^r p_{in}$ is eventually nonpositive and that $q_n \geq 0$, $k_i = 0$, $m = -1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) in (1.2). If $1 + q_n$ is eventually nonpositive and that $p_{in} \leq 0$, $k_i = 0$, $m = -1$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) in (1.3), then every solution of equation (1.2) oscillates.

REFERENCES

- [1] AGARWAL, R.P. – *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] ELAYDI, S. – *An Introduction to Difference Equation*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [3] ERBE, L.H. and ZHANG, B.G. – Oscillation of discrete analogues of delay equations, *Differential Integral Equations*, 2(3) (1989), 300–309.
- [4] GOPALSAMY, K.; GYÖRI, I. and LADAS, G. – Oscillations of a class of delay equations with continuous and piecewise constant arguments, *Funkcial Ekvac.*, 32 (1989), 395–406.
- [5] GYÖRI, I. and LADAS, G. – Linearized oscillations for equations with piecewise constant arguments, *Differential Integral Equations*, 2 (1989), 123–131.
- [6] GYÖRI, I. and LADAS, G. – *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [7] LADAS, G. – *Oscillations of equations with piecewise constant mixed arguments*, Proceedings, International Conference on Differential Equations and Population Biology, Ohio University, March 21–25, New York, 1988.
- [8] LADAS, G.; PHILOS, CH.G. and SFICAS, Y.G. – Sharp conditions for the oscillation of delay difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2 (1989), 101–112.
- [9] LADAS, G. – Explicit conditions for the oscillation of difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 153 (1990), 276–287.
- [10] YAN, J. and QIAN, C. – Oscillation and comparison results for delay difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 165 (1992), 346–360.
- [11] OCALAN, O. – Oscillations of difference equations with several terms, *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, (in press).

Ozkan Ocalan,
Afyon Kocatepe University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Mathematics,
ANS Campus, 03200, Afyon – TURKEY
E-mail: ozkan@aku.edu.tr