# The Group of Quasisymmetric Homeomorphisms of the Circle and Quantization of the Universal Teichmüller Space<sup>\*</sup>

Armen G. SERGEEV

Steklov Mathematical Institute, 8 Gubkina Str., 119991 Moscow, Russia E-mail: sergeev@mi.ras.ru

Received July 29, 2008, in final form February 05, 2009; Published online February 08, 2009 doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2009.015

Abstract. In the first part of the paper we describe the complex geometry of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , which may be realized as an open subset in the complex Banach space of holomorphic quadratic differentials in the unit disc. The quotient  $\mathcal{S}$  of the diffeomorphism group of the circle modulo Möbius transformations may be treated as a smooth part of  $\mathcal{T}$ . In the second part we consider the quantization of universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . We explain first how to quantize the smooth part  $\mathcal{S}$  by embedding it into a Hilbert–Schmidt Siegel disc. This quantization method, however, does not apply to the whole universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , for its quantization we use an approach, due to Connes.

 $Key\ words:$  universal Teichmüller space; quasisymmetric homeomorphisms; Connes quantization

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58E20; 53C28; 32L25

## 1 Introduction

The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , introduced by Ahlfors and Bers, plays a key role in the theory of quasiconformal maps and Riemann surfaces. It can be defined as the space of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of the unit circle  $S^1$  (i.e. homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , extending to quasiconformal maps of the unit disc  $\Delta$ ) modulo Möbius transformations. The space  $\mathcal{T}$  has a natural complex structure, induced by its realization as an open subset in the complex Banach space  $B_2(\Delta)$ of holomorphic quadratic differentials in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . The space  $\mathcal{T}$  contains all classical Teichmüller spaces T(G), where G is a Fuchsian group, as complex submanifolds. The space  $\mathcal{S} := \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{Möb}(S^1)$  of normalized diffeomorphisms of the circle may be considered as a "smooth" part of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Our motivation to study  $\mathcal{T}$  comes from the string theory. Physicists have noticed (cf. [15, 3]) that the space  $\Omega_d := C_0^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  of smooth loops in the *d*-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  may be identified with the phase space of bosonic closed string theory. By looking at a natural symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $\Omega_d$ , induced by the standard symplectic form (of type " $dp \wedge dq$ ") on the phase space, one sees that this form can be, in fact, extended to the Sobolev completion of  $\Omega_d$ , coinciding with the space  $V_d := H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  of half-differentiable vector-functions. Moreover, the latter space is the largest in the scale of Sobolev spaces  $H_0^s(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$ , on which  $\omega$  is correctly defined. So the form  $\omega$  itself chooses the "right" space to be defined on. From that point of view, it seems more natural to consider  $V_d$  as the phase space of bosonic string theory, rather than  $\Omega_d$ . In this paper we set d = 1 to simplify the formulas and study the space  $V := V_1 = H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ .

<sup>\*</sup>This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Kac–Moody Algebras and Applications. The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/Kac-Moody\_algebras.html

According to Nag–Sullivan [12], there is a natural group, attached to the space  $V = H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ , and this is precisely the group  $QS(S^1)$  of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of the circle. Again one can say that the space V itself chooses the "right" group to be acted on. The group  $QS(S^1)$ acts on V by reparametrization of loops and this action is symplectic with respect to the form  $\omega$ . The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = QS(S^1)/M\"ob(S^1)$  can be identified by this action with a space of complex structures on V, compatible with  $\omega$ .

The second half of the paper is devoted to the quantization of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . We start from the Dirac quantization of the smooth part  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{M\"ob}(S^1)$ . This is achieved by embedding of  $\mathcal{S}$  into the Hilbert–Schmidt Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ . Under this embedding the diffeomorphism group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  is realized as a subgroup of the Hilbert–Schmidt symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$ , acting on the Siegel disc by operator fractional-linear transformations. There is a holomorphic Fock bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ , provided with a projective action of  $\text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$ , covering its action on  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ . The infinitesimal version of this action is a projective representation of the Hilbert–Schmidt symplectic Lie algebra  $\text{sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  in a fibre  $F_0$  of the Fock bundle  $\mathcal{F}$ . This defines the Dirac quantization of the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ . Its restriction to  $\mathcal{S}$  gives a projective representation of the Lie algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  of the group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  in the Fock space  $F_0$ , which defines the Dirac quantization of the space  $\mathcal{S}$ .

However, the described quantization procedure does not apply to the whole universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . By this reason we choose another approach to this problem, based on Connes quantization. (We are grateful to Alain Connes for drawing our attention to this approach, presented in [5].) Briefly, the idea is the following. The  $QS(S^1)$ -action on  $\mathcal{T}$ , mentioned above, cannot be differentiated in classical sense (in particular, there is no Lie algebra, associated to  $QS(S^1)$ ). However, one can define a quantized infinitesimal version of this action by associating with any quasisymmetric homeomorphism  $f \in QS(S^1)$  a quantum differential  $d^q f$ , being an integral operator on V with kernel, given essentially by the finite-difference derivative of f. In these terms the quantization of  $\mathcal{T}$  is given by a representation of the algebra of derivations of V, generated by quantum differentials  $d^q f$ , in the Fock space  $F_0$ .

## I. Universal Teichmüller space

## 2 Group of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of $S^1$

#### 2.1 Definition of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms

**Definition 1.** A homeomorphism  $h: S^1 \to S^1$  is called *quasisymmetric* if it can be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism w of the unit disc  $\Delta$ .

Recall that a homeomorphism  $w : \Delta \to w(\Delta)$ , having locally  $L^1$ -integrable derivatives (in generalized sense), is called *quasiconformal* if there exists a measurable complex-valued function  $\mu \in L^{\infty}(\Delta)$  with  $\|\mu\|_{\infty} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z \in \Delta} |\mu(z)| =: k < 1$  such that the following *Beltrami equation* 

$$w_{\bar{z}} = \mu w_z \tag{1}$$

holds for almost all  $z \in \Delta$ . The function  $\mu$  is called a *Beltrami differential* or *Beltrami potential* of w and the constant k is often indicated in the name of the k-quasiconformal maps.

In the case when k = 0 the homeomorphism w, satisfying (1), coincides with a conformal map from D onto w(D). For a diffeomorphism w its quasiconformality means that w transforms infinitesimal circles into infinitesimal ellipses, whose eccentricities (the ratio of the large axis to the small one) are bounded by a common constant  $K < \infty$ , related to the above constant  $k = \|\mu\|_{\infty}$  by the formula

$$K = \frac{1+k}{1-k}.$$

The least possible constant K is called the *maximal dilatation* of w and is also sometimes indicated in the name of K-quasiconformal maps.

The inverse of a quasiconformal map is again quasiconformal and the same is true for the composition of quasiconformal maps. This implies that orientation-preserving quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$  form a group of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of the circle  $QS(S^1)$  with respect to composition.

Any orientation-preserving diffeomorphism  $h \in \text{Diff}_+(S^1)$  extends to a diffeomorphism of the closed unit disc  $\overline{\Delta}$ , which is evidently quasiconformal, according to the above criterion. So  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1) \subset QS(S^1)$ , and we have the following chain of embeddings

$$\operatorname{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1) \subset \operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1) \subset \operatorname{QS}(S^1) \subset \operatorname{Homeo}_+(S^1).$$

Here,  $M\ddot{o}b(S^1)$  denotes the Möbius group of fractional-linear automorphisms of the unit disc  $\Delta$ , restricted to  $S^1$ .

#### 2.2 Beurling–Ahlfors criterion

There is an intrinsic description of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$  in terms of cross ratios. Recall that the *cross ratio* of four different points  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$  on the complex plane is given by the quantity

$$\rho = \rho(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) := \frac{z_4 - z_1}{z_4 - z_2} : \frac{z_3 - z_1}{z_3 - z_2}$$

The equality of two cross ratios  $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \rho(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4)$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a fractional-linear map of the complex plane, transforming the quadruple  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$ ,  $z_3$ ,  $z_4$  into the quadruple  $\zeta_1$ ,  $\zeta_2$ ,  $\zeta_3$ ,  $\zeta_4$ . In the case of quasiconformal maps the cross ratios of quadruples may change but in a controlled way. This property, reformulated in the right way for orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , yields a criterion of quasisymmetricity, due to Ahlfors and Beurling.

The required property reads as follows: for an orientation-preserving homeomorphism  $h : S^1 \to S^1$  it should exist a constant  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  such that the following inequality holds

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon) \le \rho(h(z_1), h(z_2), h(z_3), h(z_4)) \le \frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)$$
(2)

for any quadruple  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \in S^1$  with cross ratio  $\rho(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 1 (Beurling–Ahlfors, cf. [1, 9]).** Suppose that  $h : S^1 \to S^1$  is an orientationpreserving homeomorphism of  $S^1$ . Then it can be extended to a quasiconformal homeomorphism  $w : \Delta \to \Delta$  if and only if it satisfies condition (2).

Douady and Earle (cf. [6]) have found an explicit extension operator E, assigning to a quasisymmetric homeomorphism h its extension to a quasiconformal homeomorphism w of  $\Delta$ , which is conformally invariant in the sense that  $g(w \circ h) = w \circ g(h)$  for any fractional-linear automorphism of  $\Delta$ .

Though quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , in general, are not smooth, they enjoy certain Hölder continuity, provided by the following

**Theorem 2 (Mori, cf. [1]).** Let  $w : \Delta \to \Delta$  be a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the unit disc onto itself, normalized by the condition: w(0) = 0. Then the following sharp estimate

$$|w(z_1) - w(z_2)| < 16|z_1 - z_2|^{1/K}$$

holds for any  $z_1 \neq z_2 \in \Delta$ . In other words, the homeomorphism w satisfies the Hölder condition of order 1/K in the disc  $\Delta$ .

## 3 Universal Teichmüller space

#### 3.1 Definition of universal Teichmüller space

**Definition 2.** The quotient space

 $\mathcal{T} := \mathrm{QS}(S^1) / \mathrm{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1)$ 

is called the *universal Teichmüller space*. It can be identified with the space of *normalized* quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$ , fixing the points  $\pm 1$  and -i.

As we have pointed out earlier, there is an inclusion

$$\operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1)/\operatorname{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T} = \operatorname{QS}(S^1)/\operatorname{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1).$$

We consider the homogeneous space

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1)$$

as a "smooth" part of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $\mathcal{T}$  can be provided with the *Teichmüller distance function*, defined by

$$\operatorname{dist}(g,h) = \frac{1}{2}\log K(h \circ g^{-1})$$

for any quasisymmetric homeomorphisms  $g, h \in \mathcal{T}$ , extended to quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the disc  $\Delta$ . Here,  $K(h \circ g^{-1})$  denotes the maximal dilatation of the quasiconformal map  $h \circ g^{-1}$ . This definition does not depend on the extensions of g, h to  $\Delta$  and defines a metric on  $\mathcal{T}$ . The universal Teichmüller space is a complete connected contractible metric space with respect to the introduced distance function (cf. [9]). Unfortunately, this metric is not compatible with the group structure on  $\mathcal{T}$ , given by composition of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms (cf. [9, Theorem 3.3]).

The term "universal" in the name of the universal Teichmüller space is due to the fact that  $\mathcal{T}$  contains, as complex submanifolds, all classical Teichmüller spaces T(G), where G is a Fuchsian group (cf. [10]). If a Riemann surface X is uniformized by the unit disc  $\Delta$ , so that  $X = \Delta/G$ , then the corresponding Techmüller space T(G) may be identified with the quotient

$$T(G) = \operatorname{QS}(S^1)^G / \operatorname{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1),$$

where  $QS(S^1)^G$  is the subset of *G*-invariant quasisymmetric homeomorphisms in  $QS(S^1)$ . The universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  itself corresponds to the Fuchsian group  $G = \{1\}$ .

Since quasisymmetric homeomorphisms of  $S^1$  are defined in terms of quasiconformal maps of  $\Delta$ , i.e. in terms of solutions of Beltrami equation in  $\Delta$ , one can expect that there is a definition of  $\mathcal{T}$  directly in terms of Beltrami differentials. Denote by  $B(\Delta)$  the set of Beltrami differentials in the unit disc  $\Delta$ . It follows from above that it can be identified (as a set) with the unit ball in the complex Banach space  $L^{\infty}(\Delta)$ .

Given a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)$ , we can extend it to a Beltrami differential  $\check{\mu}$  on the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  by setting  $\check{\mu}$  equal to zero outside the unit disc  $\Delta$ . Then, applying the existence theorem for quasiconformal maps on the extended complex plane  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  (cf. [1]), we get a normalized quasiconformal homeomorphism  $w^{\mu}$ , satisfying Beltrami equation (1) on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  with potential  $\check{\mu}$ . This homeomorphism is conformal on the exterior  $\Delta_{-}$  of the closed unit disc  $\overline{\Delta}$ on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  and fixes the points  $\pm 1$ , -i. The image  $\Delta^{\mu} := w^{\mu}(\Delta)$  of  $\Delta$  under the quasiconformal map  $w^{\mu}$  is called a *quasidisc*. We associate with Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)$  the normalized quasidisc  $\Delta^{\mu}$ . Introduce an equivalence relation between Beltrami differentials in  $\Delta$  by saying that two Beltrami differentials  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are equivalent if  $w^{\mu}|_{\Delta_{-}} \equiv w^{\nu}|_{\Delta_{-}}$ . Then the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  will coincide with the quotient

$$\mathcal{T} = B(\Delta)/\sim$$

of the space  $B(\Delta)$  of Beltrami differentials modulo introduced equivalence relation. In other words, it coincides with the space of normalized quasidiscs in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .

#### 3.2 Complex structure of the universal Teichmüller space

We introduce a complex structure on the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ , using its embedding into the space of quadratic differentials.

Given an arbitrary point  $[\mu]$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , represented by a normalized quasidisc  $w^{\mu}(\Delta)$ , consider a map

$$\mu\longmapsto S(w^{\mu}|_{\Delta_{-}}),$$

assigning to a Beltrami differential  $\mu \in [\mu]$  the Schwarz derivative of the conformal map  $w^{\mu}$ on  $\Delta$ . Due to the invariance of Schwarzian under Möbius transformations, the image of  $\mu$  under the above map depends only on the class  $[\mu]$  of  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Moreover, it is a holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $\Delta_{-}$ . The latter fact follows from the transformation properties of Beltrami differentials, prescribed by Beltrami equation (according to (1), Beltrami differential behaves as a (-1, 1)-differential with respect to conformal changes of variable). Composing the above map with a fractional-linear biholomorphism of  $\Delta_{-}$  onto the unit disc  $\Delta$ , we obtain a map

$$\Psi: \ \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta), \qquad [\mu] \longmapsto \psi(\mu),$$

associating a holomorphic quadratic differential  $\psi(\mu)$  in  $\Delta$  with a point  $[\mu]$  of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $B_2(\Delta)$  of holomorphic quadratic differentials in  $\Delta$  is a complex Banach space, provided with a natural hyperbolic norm, given by

$$\|\psi\|_2 := \sup_{z \in \Delta} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |\psi(z)|$$

for a quadratic differential  $\psi$ . It can be proved (cf. [9]) that  $\|\psi[\mu]\|_2 \leq 6$  for any Beltrami differential  $\mu \in B(\Delta)$ .

The constructed map  $\Psi : \mathcal{T} \to B_2(\Delta)$ , called a *Bers embedding*, is a homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{T}$  onto an open bounded connected contractible subset in  $B_2(\Delta)$ , containing the ball of radius 1/2, centered at the origin (cf. [9]).

Using the constructed embedding, we can introduce a complex structure on the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  by pulling it back from the complex Banach space  $B_2(\Delta)$ . It provides  $\mathcal{T}$  with the structure of a complex Banach manifold. (Note that the topology on  $\mathcal{T}$ , induced by the map  $\Psi$ , is equivalent to the one, determined by the Teichmüller distance function.)

Moreover, the composition of the natural projection

$$B(\Delta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} = B(\Delta)/\sim$$

with the constructed map  $\Psi$  yields a holomorphic map

$$F: B(\Delta) \longrightarrow B_2(\Delta)$$

with respect to the natural complex structure on  $B(\Delta)$  (cf. [10]).

# II. QS-action on the Sobolev space of half-differentiable functions

## 4 Sobolev space of half-differentiable functions on $S^1$

#### 4.1 Definition

The Sobolev space of half-differentiable functions on  $S^1$  is a Hilbert space  $V := H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ , consisting of functions  $f \in L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  with zero average over the circle, having generalized derivatives of order 1/2 again in  $L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ . In terms of Fourier series, a function  $f \in L^2(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ with Fourier series

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} f_k z^k, \qquad f_k = \overline{f}_{-k}, \qquad z = e^{i\theta},$$

belongs to  $H_0^{1/2}(S^1,\mathbb{R})$  if and only if it has a finite Sobolev norm of order 1/2:

$$||f||_{1/2}^2 = \sum_{k \neq 0} |k| |f_k|^2 = 2 \sum_{k>0} k |f_k|^2 < \infty.$$
(3)

The space  $H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  is well known and widely used in classical function theory (cf. [18]). However, our motivation to employ this space comes from its relation to string theory (cf. below).

#### 4.2 Kähler structure

A symplectic form on V is given by a 2-form  $\omega : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined in terms of Fourier coefficients of  $\xi, \eta \in V$  by

$$\omega(\xi,\eta) = 2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{k>0} k \xi_k \bar{\eta}_k.$$
(4)

Because of (3), this form is correctly defined on V. Moreover,  $H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  is the largest Hilbert space in the scale of Sobolev spaces  $H_0^s(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , on which this form is defined. It should be also underlined that the form  $\omega$  is the only natural symplectic form on V (we shall make this point clear in Section 5.1).

We return to our motivation for studying the space V. It is well known to physicists (cf., e.g., [15, 3]) that the space  $\Omega_d = C_0^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  of smooth loops in the d-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be identified with the phase space of bosonic closed string theory. The space  $\Omega_d$ has a natural symplectic form, which coincides with the image of the standard symplectic form (of type " $dp \wedge dq$ ") on the phase space of closed string theory under the above identification. This form, computed in terms of Fourier decompositions, coincides precisely with the form  $\omega$ , given by (4). As we have remarked, the latter form may be extended to the Sobolev space  $V_d :=$  $H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and this space is the largest in the scale  $H_0^s(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  of Sobolev spaces, on which  $\omega$ is correctly defined. One can say that symplectic form  $\omega$  "chooses" the Sobolev space  $V_d$ . This is in contrast to  $\Omega_d$ , which was taken for the phase space of string theory simply because it's easier to work with smooth loops. By this reason, we find it more natural to consider  $V_d$  as the phase space of string theory, which motivates the study of  $V_d$  in more detail. In our analysis we set d = 1 for simplicity.

Apart from symplectic form, the Sobolev space V has a complex structure  $J^0$ , which can be given in terms of Fourier decompositions by the formula

$$\xi(z) = \sum_{k \neq 0} \xi_k z^k \longmapsto (J^0 \xi)(z) = -i \sum_{k > 0} \xi_k z^k + i \sum_{k < 0} \xi_k z^k.$$

This complex structure is compatible with symplectic form  $\omega$  and, in particular, defines a Kähler metric  $g^0$  on V by  $g^0(\xi, \eta) := \omega(\xi, J^0\eta)$  or, in terms of Fourier decompositions,

$$g^0(\xi,\eta) = 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k>0} k \xi_k \bar{\eta}_k.$$

In other words, V has the structure of a Kähler Hilbert space.

The complexification  $V^{\mathbb{C}} = H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{C})$  of V is a complex Hilbert space and the Kähler metric  $g^0$  on V extends to a Hermitian inner product on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , given by

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{k \neq 0} |k| \xi_k \bar{\eta}_k. \tag{5}$$

We extend the symplectic form  $\omega$  and complex structure operator  $J^0$  complex linearly to  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

The space  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  is decomposed into the direct sum of the form

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W_+ \oplus W_-,$$

where  $W_{\pm}$  is the  $(\mp i)$ -eigenspace of the operator  $J^0 \in \text{End } V^{\mathbb{C}}$ . In other words,

$$W_{+} = \Big\{ f \in V^{\mathbb{C}} : \ f(z) = \sum_{k>0} f_{k} z^{k} \Big\}, \qquad W_{-} = \overline{W}_{+} = \Big\{ f \in V^{\mathbb{C}} : \ f(z) = \sum_{k<0} f_{k} z^{k} \Big\}.$$

The subspaces  $W_{\pm}$  are isotropic with respect to symplectic form  $\omega$  and the splitting  $V^{\mathbb{C}} = W_{\pm} \oplus W_{-}$  is an orthogonal direct sum with respect to the Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , given by (5).

## 5 Grassmann realization of $\mathcal{T}$

#### 5.1 QS-action on the Sobolev space

Note that any homeomorphism h of  $S^1$ , preserving the orientation, acts on  $L^2_0(S^1, \mathbb{R})$  by change of variable. In other words, there is an operator  $T_h: L^2_0(S^1, \mathbb{R}) \to L^2_0(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ , acting by

$$T_h(\xi) := \xi \circ h - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \xi(h(\theta)) \, d\theta$$

This operator has the following remarkable property.

**Proposition 1 (Nag–Sullivan [12]).** The operator  $T_h$  acts on V, i.e.  $T_h : V \to V$ , if and only if  $h \in QS(S^1)$ . Moreover, if h extends to a K-quasiconformal homeomorphism of the unit disc  $\Delta$ , then the operator norm of  $T_h$  does not exceed  $\sqrt{K + K^{-1}}$ , where K = K(h) is the maximal dilatation of h.

Moreover, transformations  $T_h$  with  $h \in QS(S^1)$  generate symplectic transformations of V.

**Proposition 2 (Nag–Sullivan [12]).** For any  $h \in QS(S^1)$  we have

$$\omega(h^*(\xi), h^*(\eta)) = \omega(\xi, \eta)$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in V$ . Moreover, the complex-linear extension of QS-action to the complexification  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves the holomorphic subspace  $W_+$  if and only if  $h \in \text{M\"ob}(S^1)$ . In the latter case,  $T_h$  acts as a unitary operator on  $W_+$ . We have pointed out in Section 4.2 that the Sobolev space V is "chosen" by the symplectic form  $\omega$ . In the same way, one can say that the space V chooses the reparametrization group  $QS(S^1)$ . Indeed, this is the biggest reparametrization group, leaving V invariant, according to Proposition 1. On the other hand, it is a group of "canonical transformations", preserving the symplectic form  $\omega$ , according to Proposition 2. So we have a natural phase space  $(V, \omega)$  together with a natural group  $QS(S^1)$  of its canonical transformations.

Here is an assertion, making clear in what sense  $\omega$  is a unique natural symplectic form on V.

**Proposition 3 (Nag–Sullivan [12]).** Suppose that  $\tilde{\omega} : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous bilinear form on V such that

$$\tilde{\omega}(h^*(\xi), h^*(\eta)) = \tilde{\omega}(\xi, \eta)$$

for all  $\xi, \eta \in V$  and all  $h \in M\"{ob}(S^1)$ . Then  $\tilde{\omega} = \lambda \omega$  for some real constant  $\lambda$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\omega}$  is non-degenerate (if it is not identically zero) and invariant under the whole group  $QS(S^1)$ .

#### 5.2 Embedding of the universal Teichmüller space into an infinite-dimensional Siegel disc

The Propositions 1 and 2 imply that quasisymmetric homeomorphisms act on the Hilbert space V by bounded symplectic operators. Hence, we have a map

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathrm{QS}(S^1) / \mathrm{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(V) / \mathrm{U}(W_+).$$
(6)

Here,  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$  is the symplectic group of V, consisting of linear bounded symplectic operators on V, and  $\operatorname{U}(W_+)$  is its subgroup, consisting of unitary operators (i.e. the operators, whose complex-linear extensions to  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  preserve the subspace  $W_+$ ).

In terms of the decomposition

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W_+ \oplus W_-$$

any linear operator  $A: V^{\mathbb{C}} \to V^{\mathbb{C}}$  is written in the block form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

Such an operator belongs to symplectic group Sp(V), if it has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b\\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

with components, satisfying the relations

$$\bar{a}^t a - b^t \bar{b} = 1, \qquad \bar{a}^t b = b^t \bar{a},$$

where  $a^t, b^t$  denote the transposed operators  $a^t : W_- \to W_-, b^t : W_- \to W_+$ . The unitary group  $U(W_+)$  is embedded into Sp(V) as a subgroup, consisting of diagonal block matrices of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$$

The space

$$\operatorname{Sp}(V)/\operatorname{U}(W_+)$$

standing on the right hand side of (6), can be regarded as an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Siegel disc, since it may be identified with the space of complex structures on V, compatible with  $\omega$ . Indeed, any such structure J determines a decomposition

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus \overline{W} \tag{7}$$

of  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  into the direct sum of subspaces, isotropic with respect to  $\omega$ . This decomposition is orthogonal with respect to the Kähler metric  $g_J$  on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , determined by J and  $\omega$ . The subspaces Wand  $\overline{W}$  are identified with the (-i)- and (+i)-eigenspaces of the operator J on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  respectively. Conversely, any decomposition (7) of the space  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  into the direct sum of isotropic subspaces determines a complex structure J on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is equal to -iI on W and +iI on  $\overline{W}$  and is compatible with  $\omega$ . This argument shows that symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$  acts transitively on the space  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  of complex structures J on V, compatible with  $\omega$ . Moreover, a complex structure J, obtained from a reference complex structure  $J^0$  by the action of an element A of  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$ , is equivalent to  $J^0$  if and only if  $A \in U(W_+)$ . Hence,

$$\operatorname{Sp}(V)/\operatorname{U}(W_+) = \mathcal{J}(V).$$

The space on the right can be, in its turn, identified with the *Siegel disc*  $\mathcal{D}$ , defined as the set

 $\mathcal{D} = \{ Z : W_+ \to W_- \text{ is a symmetric bounded linear operator with } \overline{Z}Z < I \}.$ 

The symmetricity of Z means that  $Z^t = Z$  and the condition  $\overline{Z}Z < I$  means that symmetric operator  $I - \overline{Z}Z$  is positive definite. In order to identify  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  with  $\mathcal{D}$ , consider the action of the group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ , given by fractional-linear transformations  $A : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$  of the form

$$Z \longmapsto (\bar{a}Z + \bar{b})(bZ + a)^{-1},$$

where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{Sp}(V)$ . The isotropy subgroup at Z = 0 coincides with the set of operators  $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(V)$  such that b = 0, i.e. with  $U(W_+)$ .

So the space

$$\mathcal{J}(V) = \operatorname{Sp}(V) / \operatorname{U}(W_+)$$

can be identified with the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}$ , and we have the following

**Proposition 4 (Nag–Sullivan [12]).** The map

$$\mathcal{T} = QS(S^1) / \text{M\"ob}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}(V) = \operatorname{Sp}(V) / U(W_+) = \mathcal{D}$$

is an equivariant holomorphic embedding of Banach manifolds.

For the smooth part S of the universal Teichmüller space we can obtain a stronger version of this assertion by replacing symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$  with its *Hilbert–Schmidt subgroup*  $\operatorname{Sp}_{HS}(V)$ . By definition, this subgroup consists of bounded linear operators  $A \in \operatorname{Sp}(V)$  with block representations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

in which the operator b is Hilbert–Schmidt.

The map  $f \mapsto T_f$  defines an embedding

 $\mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+).$ 

We identify, as above, the right hand side with a subspace  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  of the space  $\mathcal{J}(V)$  of compatible complex structures on V. We call complex structures  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  Hilbert-Schmidt. As before, the space  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  of Hilbert-Schmidt complex structures on V can be realized as a Hilbert-Schmidt Siegel disc

 $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}} = \{ Z : W_+ \to W_- \text{ is a symmetric Hilbert-Schmidt operator with } \bar{Z}Z < I \}.$ 

We have

Proposition 5 (Nag [11]). The map

 $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{Diff}_+(S^1)/\mathrm{M\ddot{o}b}(S^1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V) = \mathrm{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}}$ 

is an equivariant holomorphic embedding.

## III. Quantization of $\mathcal{S}$

#### 6 Statement of the problem

#### 6.1 Dirac quantization

We start by recalling a general definition of quantization of finite-dimensional classical systems, due to Dirac. A *classical system* is given by a pair  $(M, \mathcal{A})$ , where M is the phase space and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra of observables.

The phase space M is a smooth symplectic manifold of even dimension 2n, provided with a symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ . Locally, it is equivalent to the standard model, given by symplectic vector space  $M_0 := \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  together with standard symplectic form  $\omega_0$ , given in canonical coordinates  $(p_i, q_i), i = 1, \ldots, n$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  by

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n dp_i \wedge dq_i.$$

The algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra  $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$  of smooth real-valued functions on the phase space M, provided with the Poisson bracket, determined by symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ . In particular, in the case of standard model  $M_0 = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$  one can take for  $\mathcal{A}$  the *Heisenberg algebra* heis $(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , which is the Lie algebra, generated by coordinate functions  $p_i, q_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ , and 1, satisfying the commutation relations

$$\{p_i, p_j\} = \{q_i, q_j\} = 0, \{p_i, q_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n$$

**Definition 3.** The *Dirac quantization* of a classical system  $(M, \mathcal{A})$  is an irreducible Lie-algebra representation

$$r: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}^* H$$

of the algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$  in the algebra of linear self-adjoint operators, acting on a complex Hilbert space H, called the *quantization space*. The algebra End<sup>\*</sup>H is provided with the Lie bracket, given by the commutator of linear operators of the form  $\frac{1}{i}[A, B]$ . In other words, it is required that

$$r(\{f,g\}) = \frac{1}{i} (r(f)r(g) - r(g)r(f))$$

for any  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ . We also assume the following normalization condition: r(1) = I.

For complexified algebras of observables  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$  or, more generally, complex involutive Lie algebras of observables (i.e. Lie algebras with conjugation) their Dirac quantization is given by an irreducible Lie-algebra representation

$$r: \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{C}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{End} H$$

satisfying the normalization condition and the conjugation law:  $r(\bar{f}) = r(f)^*$  for any  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We are going to apply this definition of quantization to infinite-dimensional classical systems, in which both the phase space and algebra of observables are infinite-dimensional. For infinitedimensional algebras of observables it is more natural to look for their projective Lie-algebra representations. The above definition of quantization will apply also to this case if one replaces the original algebra of observables with its suitable central extension.

#### 6.2 Statement of the problem

We start from the Dirac quantization of an infinite-dimensional system  $(V, \mathcal{A})$  with the phase space, given by the Sobolev space of half-differentiable functions  $V := H_0^{1/2}(S^1, \mathbb{R})$ . The role of algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$  will be played by the semi-direct product

$$\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{heis}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V),$$

being the Lie algebra of the Lie group  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Heis}(V) \rtimes \text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$ . The symplectic Hilbert–Schmidt group  $\text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  was introduced in Section 4.2, while the Heisenberg algebra heis(V) and the corresponding Heisenberg group Heis(V) are defined, as in finite-dimensional situation. Namely, the *Heisenberg algebra* heis(V) of V is a central extension of the Abelian Lie algebra V, generated by coordinate functions. In other words, it coincides, as a vector space, with heis(V) =  $V \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , provided with the Lie bracket

$$\left[(x,s),(y,t)\right] := \left(0,\omega(x,y)\right), \qquad x,y \in V, \quad s,t,\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Respectively, the Heisenberg group Heis(V) is a central extension of the Abelian group V, i.e. the direct product  $\text{Heis}(V) = V \times S^1$ , provided with the group operation, given by

$$(x,\lambda) \cdot (y,\mu) := (x+y,\lambda\mu e^{i\omega(x,y)})$$

The choice of the introduced Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  for the algebra of observables is motivated by the following physical considerations. As we have pointed put, the space  $V_d$  is a natural Sobolev completion of the space  $\Omega_d := C_0^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^d)$  of smooth loops in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In the same way, the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \text{heis}(V) \rtimes \text{sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  is a natural extension of the Lie algebra  $\text{heis}(\Omega_d) \rtimes \text{Vect}(S^1)$ , where  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is the Lie algebra of the diffeomorphism group  $\text{Diff}_+(S^1)$ . The algebra  $\text{heis}(\Omega_d)$ can be identified with the Lie algebra of coordinate functions on  $\Omega_d$ , while the algebra  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$ is generated by certain quadratic functions on  $\Omega_d$  (cf. [3]). One can say that the Lie algebra  $\text{heis}(\Omega_d) \rtimes \text{Vect}(S^1)$  is an infinite-dimensional analogue of the Poincarè algebra of the *d*dimensional Minkowski space  $M^d$ , where  $\text{heis}(\Omega_d)$  plays the role of the Lie algebra of translations of  $M^d$ , while  $\text{Vect}(S^1)$  is an analogue of the Lie algebra of hyperbolic rotations of  $M^d$ .

## 7 Heisenberg representation

In this Section we recall the well known Heisenberg representation of the first component heis(V) of algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$ . A detailed exposition of this subject may be found in [13, 8, 2].

#### 7.1 Fock space

Fix an admissible complex structure  $J \in \mathcal{J}(V)$ . It defines a polarization of V, i.e. a decomposition of  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  into the direct sum

$$V^{\mathbb{C}} = W \oplus \overline{W},\tag{8}$$

where W (resp.  $\overline{W}$ ) is the (-i)-eigenspace (resp. (+i)-eigenspace) of the complex structure operator J. The splitting (8) is the orthogonal direct sum with respect to the Hermitian inner product  $\langle z, w \rangle_J := \omega(z, Jw)$ , determined by J and sympletic form  $\omega$ .

The Fock space  $F(V^{\mathbb{C}}, J)$  is the completion of the algebra of symmetric polynomials on Wwith respect to a natural norm, generated by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_J$ . In more detail, denote by S(W) the algebra of symmetric polynomials in variables  $z \in W$  and introduce an inner product on S(W), defined in the following way. It is given on monomials of the same degree by the formula

$$\langle z_1 \cdots z_n, z'_1 \cdots z'_n \rangle_J = \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}} \langle z_1, z'_{i_1} \rangle_J \cdots \langle z_n, z'_{i_n} \rangle_J,$$

where the summation is taken over all permutations  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$  of the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  (the inner product of monomials of different degrees is set to zero), and extended to the whole algebra S(W)by linearity. The completion  $\widehat{S(W)}$  of S(W) with respect to the introduced norm is called the *Fock space* of  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to complex structure J:

$$F_J = F(V^{\mathbb{C}}, J) := \widehat{S(W)}.$$

If  $\{w_n\}$ , n = 1, 2, ..., is an orthonormal basis of W, then an orthonormal basis of  $F_J$  can be given by the family of polynomials

$$P_K(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} \langle z, w_1 \rangle_J^{k_1} \cdots \langle z, w_n \rangle_J^{k_n}, \qquad z \in W,$$
(9)

where  $K = (k_1, ..., k_n, 0, ...), k_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup 0$ , and  $k! = k_1! \cdots k_n!$ .

#### 7.2 Heisenberg representation

There is an irreducible representation of the Heisenberg algebra heis(V) in the Fock space  $F_J = F(V^{\mathbb{C}}, J)$ , defined in the following way. Elements of S(W) may be considered as holomorphic functions on  $\overline{W}$ , if we identify  $z \in W$  with a holomorphic function  $\overline{w} \mapsto \langle w, z \rangle$  on  $\overline{W}$ . Accordingly,  $F_J$  may be considered as a subspace of the space  $\mathcal{O}(\overline{W})$  of functions, holomorphic on  $\overline{W}$ . With this convention the Heisenberg representation

$$r_J: \operatorname{heis}(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^* F_J$$

of the Heisenberg algebra heis(V) in the Fock space  $F_J = F(V^{\mathbb{C}}, J)$  is defined by the formula

$$r_J(v)f(\bar{w}) := -\partial_v f(\bar{w}) + \langle w, v \rangle_J f(\bar{w}), \tag{10}$$

where  $\partial_v$  is the derivative in direction of  $v \in V$ . Extending  $r_J$  to the complexified algebra heis<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup>(V), we obtain

$$r_J(\bar{z})f(\bar{w}) := -\partial_{\bar{z}}f(\bar{w})$$

for  $v = \overline{z} \in \overline{W}$  and

$$r_J(z)f(\bar{w}) := \langle w, z \rangle_J f(\bar{w})$$

for  $z \in W$ . We set also  $r_J(c) := \lambda \cdot I$  for the central element  $c \in \text{heis}(V)$ , where  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary fixed non-zero constant.

Introduce the creation and annihilation operators on  $F_J$ , defined for  $v \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$a_J^*(v) := \frac{r_J(v) - ir_J(Jv)}{2}, \qquad a_J(v) := \frac{r_J(v) + ir_J(Jv)}{2}.$$

In particular, for  $z \in W$ 

$$a_J^*(z)f(\bar{w}) = \langle w, z \rangle_J f(\bar{w}),$$

and for  $\bar{z} \in \overline{W}$ 

$$a_J(\bar{z})f(\bar{w}) = -\partial_{\bar{z}}f(\bar{w}).$$

For an orthonormal basis  $\{w_n\}$  of W, we define the operators

$$a_n^* := a^*(w_n), \qquad a_n := a(\bar{w}_n), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and  $a_0 := \lambda \cdot I$ .

A vector  $f_J \in F_J \setminus \{0\}$  is called the *vacuum*, if  $a_n f_J = 0$  for n = 1, 2, ... In other words, it is a non-zero vector, annihilated by operators  $a_n$ . It is uniquely defined by  $r_J$  (up to a multiplicative constant) and in the case of the initial Fock space  $F_0 = F(V, J^0)$  we set  $f_0 \equiv 1$ . Acting on vacuum  $f_J$  by creation operators  $a_n^*$ , we can define the action of representation  $r_J$  on any polynomial, which implies the irreducubility of  $r_J$ .

So we have the following

**Proposition 6 (cf.** [13, 8, 2]). There is an irredicible Lie algebra representation

$$r_J: \operatorname{heis}(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^* F_J$$

of the Heisenberg algebra heis(V) in the Fock space  $F_J = F(V^{\mathbb{C}}, J)$ , given by the formula (10).

We shall see in the next Section that this representation is essentially unique.

## 8 Symplectic group action on the Fock bundle

#### 8.1 Shale theorem

To construct an irreducible representation of the second component  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  of the algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$ , we study an action of the Hilbert–Schmidt symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  on the Fock spaces  $F_J$ . This action is provided by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3 (Shale).** The representations  $r_0$  in  $F_0$  and  $r_J$  in  $F_J$  are unitary equivalent if and only if  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{HS}(V)$ . In other words, for  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{HS}(V)$  there exists a unitary intertwining operator  $U_J : F_0 \to F_J$  such that

$$r_J(v) = U_J \circ r_0(v) \circ U_J^{-1}.$$

This theorem was proved by Shale [17] in 1962, an independent proof was given in Berezin's book [2], published in Russian in 1965 (Berezin obtained also an explicit formula for the intertwining operator  $U_J$ ).

The following Proposition gives a description of  $U_J$  in terms of the Hilbert–Schmidt Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ , based on the identification of  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  with  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ .

**Proposition 7 (Segal [16]).** There is a projective unitary action of the group  $Sp_{HS}(V)$  on Fock spaces, defined by the unitary operator  $U_J$ , given by the formula (11) below.

Here is an idea of Segal's construction, details may be found in [16]. Given an admissible complex structure  $J \in \mathcal{J}_{HS}(V)$ , we identify it with a point Z in the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ . Regarding Z as an element of the symmetric square  $\widehat{S}^2(W)$ , we can associate with it an element  $e^{Z/2}$  of  $\widehat{S(W)}$ . The inner product of two such elements has a simple expression

$$\langle e^{Z_1/2}, e^{Z_2/2} \rangle = \det(1 - \bar{Z}_1 Z_2)^{-1/2}.$$

The normalized elements

$$\epsilon_Z := \det(1 - \bar{Z}Z)^{1/4} e^{Z/2}$$

play the role of *coherent states* (cf., e.g., [2]). In terms of these states the action of the group  $Sp_{HS}(V)$  on Fock spaces, defined by

$$\operatorname{Sp}_{\operatorname{HS}}(V) \ni A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto U_J : F_0 \to F_J \quad \text{for} \quad J = A \cdot J^0$$

is given by the formula

$$U_J: \ \epsilon_Z \longmapsto \mu \det(1 + a^{-1}\bar{b}Z)^{1/2} \epsilon_{A\cdot Z}, \tag{11}$$

where  $\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \to S^1$  is the radial projection.

#### 8.2 Dirac quantization of V and S

We can unite Fock spaces  $F_J$  into a Fock bundle over  $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ , having the following properties.

**Proposition 8.** The Fock bundle

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{J}(V)} F_J \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(V) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}}$$

is a Hermitian holomorphic Hilbert space bundle over  $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ . It can be provided with a projective unitary action of the group  $Sp_{HS}(V)$ , covering the natural  $Sp_{HS}(V)$ -action on the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ .

The proof of holomorphicity of the Fock bundle  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$  is analogous to the proof of holomorphicity of the determinant bundle over the Hilbert–Schmidt Grassmannian, given in [13]. Note that the Fock bundle is trivial, since the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$  is contractible (even convex), so the statement follows from the Hilbert space version of the Oka principle (cf. [4]). An explicit trivialization of  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$  is provided by the action (11). This action defines a projective unitary action of the group  $\text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ , covering the  $\text{Sp}_{\text{HS}}(V)$ -action on Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{HS}}$ .

The infinitesimal version of this action yields a projective representation of the symplectic algebra  $sp_{HS}(V)$  in the Fock space  $F_0$ . We present an explicit description of this representation, due to Segal.

Recall that symplectic algebra  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  is the Lie algebra of symplectic Hilbert–Schmidt group  $\operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ , which consists of linear operators A in  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ , having the following block representations

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here,  $\alpha$  is a bounded skew-Hermitian operator and  $\beta$  is a symmetric Hilbert–Schmidt operator on  $F_0$ . The complexified Lie algebra  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)^{\mathbb{C}}$  consists of operators of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\gamma} & -\alpha^t \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha$  is a bounded operator, while  $\beta$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  are symmetric Hilbert–Schmidt operators on  $F_0$ .

The projective representation of complexified symplectic algebra  $\mathrm{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)^{\mathbb{C}}$  is given by the formula

$$\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)^{\mathbb{C}} \ni A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\gamma} & -\alpha^t \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \rho(A) = D_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}M_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}M_{\gamma}^*.$$
(12)

Here,  $D_{\alpha}$  is the derivation of  $F_0$  in  $\alpha$ -direction, defined by

$$D_{\alpha}f(\bar{w}) = \langle \alpha w, \partial_{\bar{w}} \rangle f(\bar{w}).$$

The operator  $M_{\beta}$  is the multiplication operator on  $F_0$ , defined by

$$M_{\beta}f(\bar{w}) = \langle \bar{\beta}w, \bar{w} \rangle f(\bar{w}),$$

and the operator  $M^*_{\gamma}$  is the adjoint of  $M_{\gamma}$ :  $M^*_{\gamma}f(\bar{w}) = \langle \gamma \partial_w, \partial_{\bar{w}} \rangle f(\bar{w})$ .

This is a projective representation with cocycle

$$[\rho(A_1), \rho(A_2)] - \rho([A_1, A_2]) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{\gamma}_2 \beta_1 - \bar{\gamma}_1 \beta_2) I, \qquad (13)$$

intertwined with the Heisenberg representation  $r_0$  of heis(V) in  $F_0$ .

Thus we have the following

**Proposition 9 (Segal** [16]). There is a projective unitary representation

 $\rho: \operatorname{sp}_{\operatorname{HS}}(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}^* F_0,$ 

given by formula (12) with cocycle (13). This representation intertwines with the Heisenberg representation  $r_0$  of heis(V) in  $F_0$ .

The Heisenberg representation  $r_0$  in the Fock space  $F_0$ , described in Proposition 6, and symplectic representation  $\rho$ , constructed in Proposition 9, define together Dirac quantization of the system  $(V, \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is the central extension of  $\mathcal{A}$ , determined by (13).

The constructed Lie-algebra representation of  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  in the Fock space  $F_0$  may be also considered as Dirac quantization of a classical system, consisting of the phase space  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{HS}} = \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)/\mathrm{U}(W_+)$  and the algebra of observables, given by the central extension of Lie algebra  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$ .

The restriction of this construction to the smooth part  $S = \text{Diff}_+(S^1)/\text{M\"ob}(S^1)$  of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = \text{QS}(S^1)/\text{M\"ob}(S^1)$  yields the Dirac quantization of S. Namely, we have the following

**Proposition 10.** The restriction of the Fock bundle  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{D}_{HS}$  to  $\mathcal{S}$  is a Hermitian holomorphic Hilbert space bundle

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{S}} F_J \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

over S. This bundle is provided with a projective unitary action of the diffeomorphism group  $\operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1)$ , covering the natural  $\operatorname{Diff}_+(S^1)$ -action on S.

The Diff<sub>+</sub>( $S^1$ )-action on the Fock bundle, mentioned in Proposition, was explicitly constructed in [7]. The infinitesimal version of this action yields a unitary projective representation of the Lie algebra Vect( $S^1$ ) in the Fock space  $F_0$ . We can consider this construction as Dirac quantization of the phase space S, provided with the algebra of observables, given by the central extension of the Lie algebra Vect( $S^1$ ), called the Virasoro algebra.

## IV. Quantization of $\mathcal{T}$

## 9 Dirac versus Connes quantization

Unfortunately, the method, used in previous Chapter for the quantization of  $\mathcal{S}$ , does not apply to the whole space  $\mathcal{T}$ . Though we still can embed  $\mathcal{T}$  into the Siegel disc  $\mathcal{D}$ , we are not able to construct a projective action of symplectic group  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$  on Fock spaces. According to theorem of Shale, it is possible only for the Hilbert–Schmidt subgroup  $\operatorname{Sp}_{HS}(V)$  of  $\operatorname{Sp}(V)$ . So one should look for another way of quantizing the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . We are going to use for that the "quantized calculus" of Connes and Sullivan, presented in Chapter IV of the Connes' book [5] and [12].

Recall that in Dirac's approach we quantize a classical system  $(M, \mathcal{A})$ , consisting of the phase space M and the algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$ , which is a Lie algebra, consisting of smooth functions on M. The quantization of this system is given by a representation r of  $\mathcal{A}$  in a Hilbert space H, sending the Poisson bracket  $\{f, g\}$  of functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$  into the commutator  $\frac{1}{i}[r(f), r(g)]$  of the corresponding operators. In Connes' approach the algebra of observables  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an associative involutive algebra, provided with an exterior differential d. Its quantization is, by definition, a representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in a Hilbert space H, sending the differential df of a function  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  into the commutator  $[S, \pi(f)]$  of the operator  $\pi(f)$  with a self-adjoint symmetry operator S with  $S^2 = I$ . The differential here is understood in the sense of non-commutative geometry, i.e. as a linear map  $d : \mathfrak{A} \to \Omega^1(\mathfrak{A})$ , satisfying the Leibnitz rule (cf. [5]).

In the following table we compare Connes and Dirac approaches to quantization.

	Dirac approach	Connes approach
Classical system	$(M, \mathcal{A})$ where: M – phase space $\mathcal{A}$ – involutive Lie algebra of observables	$(M, \mathfrak{A})$ where: M – phase space $\mathfrak{A}$ – involutive associative algebra of observables with differential $d: \mathfrak{A} \to \Omega^1(\mathfrak{A})$
Quantization	Lie-algebra representation $r: \mathcal{A} \to \operatorname{End} H,$ sending $\{f,g\} \mapsto \frac{1}{i}[r(f), r(g)]$	representation $\pi: \mathfrak{A} \to \operatorname{End} H,$ sending $df \mapsto [S, \pi(f)],$ where $S = S^*, S^2 = I$

Reformulating the notion of Connes quantization of algebra of observables  $\mathfrak{A}$ , one can say that it is a representation of the algebra  $\operatorname{Der}(\mathfrak{A})$  of derivations of  $\mathfrak{A}$  in the Lie algebra  $\operatorname{End} H$ . Recall that a *derivation* of an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a linear map:  $\mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$ , satisfying the Leibnitz rule. Clearly, derivations of an algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  form a Lie algebra, since the commutator of two derivations is again a derivation.

If all observables are smooth real-valued functions on M, the two approaches are equivalent to each other. Indeed, the differential df of a smooth function f is symplectically dual to the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$  and this establishes a relation between the associative algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of functions f on M and the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of Hamiltonian vector fields on M. (This Lie algebra is isomorphic for a simply connected M to a Lie algebra of Hamiltonians, associated with  $\mathcal{A}$ .) A symmetry operator S is determined by a polarization  $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$  of the quantization space H. Evidently, S = iJ, where J is the complex structure operator, defining the polarization  $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ . (By this reason we do not make distinction between symmetry and complex structure operators.) In the case when the algebra of observables  $\mathcal{A}$  contains non-smooth functions, its Dirac quantization is not defined in the classical sense. In Connes approach the differential df of a non-smooth observable  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  is also not defined classically, but its quantum counterpart  $d^q f$ , given by

$$d^q f := [S, \pi(f)],$$

may still be defined, as it is demonstrated by the following example, borrowed from [5].

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the algebra  $L^{\infty}(S^1, \mathbb{C})$  of bounded functions on the circle  $S^1$ . Any function  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  defines a bounded multiplication operator in the Hilbert space  $H = L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$M_f: v \in H \longmapsto fv \in H.$$

The operator S is given by the Hilbert transform  $S: L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C}) \to L^2(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ :

$$(Sf)(e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} V.P. \int_0^{2\pi} K(\varphi, \psi) f(e^{i\psi}) d\psi,$$

where the integral is taken in the principal value sense and  $K(\varphi, \psi)$  is the Hilbert kernel

$$K(\varphi,\psi) = 1 + i\cot\frac{\varphi - \psi}{2}.$$
(14)

The differential df of a general observable  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  is not defined in the classical sense, but its quantum analogue

$$d^q f := [S, M_f]$$

is correctly defined as an operator in H for functions  $f \in V$ . Namely, we have the following

**Proposition 11 (Nag–Sullivan [12]).** A function  $f \in V$  if and only if the corresponding quantum differential  $d^q f$  is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator on H (and on V). Moreover, the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of  $d^q f$  coincides with the V-norm of f.

Indeed, the commutator  $d^q f := [S, M_f]$  is an integral operator on H with the kernel, given by  $K(\varphi, \psi)(f(\varphi) - f(\psi))$ . This operator is Hilbert–Schmidt if and only if its kernel is square integrable on  $S^1 \times S^1$ , i.e.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(\varphi) - f(\psi)|^2}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(\varphi - \psi)} \, d\varphi \, d\psi < \infty.$$

This inequality is equivalent to the condition  $f \in V$  (cf. [12]).

The quantum differential  $d^q f = [S, M_f]$  of a function  $f \in V$  is an integral operator on V, given by

$$d^q f(h)(e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(\varphi, \psi) h(e^{i\psi}) d\psi$$

with the kernel, given by

$$k(\varphi,\psi) := K(\varphi,\psi)(f(\varphi) - f(\psi)),$$

where  $K(\varphi, \psi)$  is defined by (14).

Note that the quasiclassical limit of this operator, defined by taking the value of the kernel on the diagonal (i.e. by taking the limit for  $s \to t$ ), coincides (up to a constant) with the multiplication operator  $h \mapsto f'h$ , so the quantization means in this case essentially the replacement of the derivative by its finite-difference analogue. This finite-difference analogue is an integral operator, given by

$$\delta f(v)(e^{i\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(\varphi) - f(\psi)}{\varphi - \psi} v(e^{i\psi}) d\psi.$$
(15)

The correspondence between functions  $f \in \mathfrak{A}$  and operators  $M_f$  on H has the following remarkable properties (cf. [14]):

- 1. The differential  $d^q f$  is a finite rank operator if and only if f is a rational function.
- 2. The differential  $d^q f$  is a compact operator if and only if the function f belongs to the class  $VMO(S^1)$ .
- 3. The differential  $d^q f$  is a bounded operator if and only if the function f belongs to the class BMO( $S^1$ ).

This list may be supplemented by further function-theoretic properties of elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , having curious operator-theoretic characterizations (cf. [5]).

## 10 Quantization of the universal Teichmüller space

We apply these ideas to the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$ . In Section 5.1 we have defined a natural action of quasisymmetric homeomorphisms on V. As we have remarked, this action does not admit the differentiation, so classically there is no Lie algebra, associated with  $QS(S^1)$ or, in other words, there is no classical algebra of observables, associated to  $\mathcal{T}$ . (The situation is similar to that in the example above.) We would like to define a quantum algebra of observables, associated to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

First of all, extend the  $QS(S^1)$ -action on V to symmetry operators by setting

$$S^h := h \circ S \circ h^{-1} \tag{16}$$

for  $h \in QS(S^1)$ . This action agrees with a natural action of  $QS(S^1)$  on the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T} = QS(S^1)/M\"ob(S^1)$ , considered as a space of compatible complex structures on V. The quantized infinitesimal version of the action (16) is given by the integral operator  $d^q h : V \to V$ , equal to  $d^q h = [S, \delta h]$  with  $\delta h$  given by (15).

Let us recall the steps of the Dirac quantization of Sobolev space V:

- 1) we start from  $Sp_{HS}(V)$ -action on V;
- 2) extend it to  $Sp_{HS}(V)$ -action on complex structure operators J;
- 3) this action generates a projective unitary action of  $Sp_{HS}(V)$  on Fock spaces F(V, J);
- 4) the infinitesimal version of this action yields a projective unitary representation of the Lie algebra  $\operatorname{sp}_{\mathrm{HS}}(V)$  in Fock space  $F_0$ , described in Section 8.2.

In the case of  $\mathcal{T}$  we have:

- 1)  $QS(S^1)$ -action on V;
- 2) this action extends to  $QS(S^1)$ -action on symmetry operators S, given by  $h \mapsto S^h$ .

However, compared to Dirac quantization of V, the next step in the quantization scheme is absent. Because of the Shale theorem, we cannot extend the  $QS(S^1)$ -action on symmetry operators S to Fock spaces F(V, S). Also we cannot differentiate the  $QS(S^1)$ -action on V. But we have a quantized infinitesimal version of  $h: S \mapsto S^h$ , given by quantum differential  $d^q h = [S, \delta h]$ . We extend this operator to  $F_0$  by defining it first on the basis elements (9) of the Fock space  $F_0$ with the help of Leibnitz rule, and then by linearity to all finite elements of  $F_0$ . The completion of the obtained operator yields an operator  $d^q h$  on  $F_0$ . These extended operators  $d^q h$  with  $h \in QS(S^1)$  generate a quantum derivation algebra  $Der^q(QS)$ , associated to  $\mathcal{T}$ . This algebra should be considered as a quantum Lie algebra of observables, associated to  $\mathcal{T}$ . So, instead of steps (3), (4) in the Dirac quantization of V, we construct directly a quantum Lie algebra of observables  $Der^q(QS)$ , corresponding to the non-existing classical Lie algebra of observables on  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Moreover, we can use the quantum Lie algebra  $\text{Der}^q(\text{QS})$  as a substitution of a classical Lie algebra of  $\text{QS}(S^1)$ .

**Conclusion.** The Connes quantization of the universal Teichmüller space  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of two stages:

- 1. The first stage ("first quantization") is a construction of quantized infinitesimal version of  $QS(S^1)$ -action on V, given by quantum differentials  $d^q h = [S, \delta h]$  with  $h \in QS(S^1)$ .
- 2. The second step ("second quantization") is an extension of quantum differentials  $d^q h$  to the Fock space  $F_0$ . The extended operators  $d^q h$  with  $h \in QS(S^1)$  generate the quantum algebra of observables  $Der^q(QS)$ , associated to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We note also that the correspondence principle for the constructed Connes quantization of  $\mathcal{T}$  means that this quantization reduces to the Dirac quantization while restricted to  $\mathcal{S}$ .

#### Acknowledgements

While preparing this paper, the author was partly supported by the RFBR grants 06-02-04012, 08-01-00014, by the program of Support of Scientific Schools (grant NSH-3224.2008.1), and by the Scientific Program of RAS "Nonlinear Dynamics".

### References

- Ahlfors L., Lectures on quaiconformal mappings, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies, No. 10, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Toronto – New York – London, 1966.
- [2] Berezin F.A., Method of second quantization, Pure and Applied Physics, Vol. 24, Academic Press, New York – London, 1966.
- Bowick M.J., Rajeev S.G., The holomorphic geometry of closed bosonic string theory and Diff S<sup>1</sup>/S<sup>1</sup>, Nuclear Phys. B 293 (1987), 348–384.
- Bungart L., On analytic fiber bundles. I. Holomorphic fiber bundles with infinite-dimensional fibers, *Topology* 7 (1967), 55–68.
- [5] Connes A., Géométrie non commutative, InterEditions, Paris, 1990.
- [6] Douady A., Earle C.J., Conformally natural extension of homeomorphisms of the circle, Acta Math. 157 (1986), 23–48.
- [7] Goodman R., Wallach N.R., Projective unitary positive-energy representations of Diff(S<sup>1</sup>), J. Funct. Anal.
   63 (1985), 299–321.
- [8] Kac V.G., Raina A.K., Highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics, Vol. 2, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.
- [9] Lehto O., Univalent functions and Teichmüller spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 109, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [10] Nag S., The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [11] Nag S., A period mapping in universal Teichmüller space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 26 (1992), 280–287, math.CA/9204237.

- [12] Nag S., Sullivan D., Teichmüller theory and the universal period mapping via quantum calculus and the H<sup>1/2</sup> space on the circle, Osaka J. Math. **32** (1995), 1–34, alg-geom/9310005.
- [13] Pressley A., Segal G., Loop groups, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [14] Power S., Hankel operators on Hilbert space, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 64, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston – London, 1982.
- [15] Scherk J., An introduction to the theory ofdual models and strings, Rev. Modern Phys. 47 (1975), 123–164.
- [16] Segal G., Unitary representations of some infinite-dimensional groups, Comm. Math. Phys. 80 (1981), 301– 342.
- [17] Shale D., Linear symmetries of free boson field, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 103 (1962), 149–167.
- [18] Zygmund A., Trigonometric series, Vol. I, II, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.