G-Invariant Deformations of Almost-Coupling Poisson Structures

José Antonio VALLEJO † and Yury VOROBIEV ‡

† Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, México E-mail: jvallejo@fc.uaslp.mx

URL: http://galia.fc.uaslp.mx/~jvallejo/

† Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Sonora, México E-mail: yurimv@quaymas.uson.mx

Received October 31, 2016, in final form March 28, 2017; Published online April 02, 2017 https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2017.022

Abstract. On a foliated manifold equipped with an action of a compact Lie group G, we study a class of almost-coupling Poisson and Dirac structures, in the context of deformation theory and the method of averaging.

Key words: Poisson geometry; Dirac structures; deformation; averaging

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53D17; 70G45; 58H15

1 Introduction

In this paper, we develop further the results of [18, 19], on the construction of invariant Poisson and Dirac structures via the averaging method on foliated Poisson manifolds with symmetry in the context of deformation theory.

For a Poisson bivector field Π on a foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) , the \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling property [16] means the existence of a normal bundle structure \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} , such that in the \mathbb{H} -dependent bigraded decomposition of Π , the mixed term of bidegree (1,1) vanishes. In particular, such class of Poisson structure contains the \mathcal{F} -coupling Poisson structures which play important roles in some problems of semi-local Poisson geometry [9, 10, 16, 20]. Moreover, the (almost) coupling constructions can be naturally extended to the Dirac category [5, 17, 21].

Now, starting with a foliated Poisson manifold (M, \mathcal{F}, P) , equipped with a leaf preserving action of a compact connected Lie group G, our point is to study, in the context of the averaging method, some deformations $\{\Pi_{\varepsilon}\}_{{\varepsilon}\in[0,1]}$ of the leaf-tangent¹ Poisson bivector field P in the class of the \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poisson structures Π_{ε} . Our approach is based on the averaging technique [18] related to a class of exact gauge transformations for Poisson and Dirac structures. The idea of the construction of G-invariant Poisson structures is to follow the path:

Poisson $\xrightarrow{\text{averaging}} G$ -invariant Dirac $\xrightarrow{\text{non-degeneracy}} G$ -invariant Poisson.

For the case of a G-action which is locally Hamiltonian relative to P, we give some results about the realization of the above scheme for a class of \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poisson deformations of P. Within the framework of perturbation theory, these results can be applied to the study of invariant normal forms for Hamiltonian systems of adiabatic type [1], associated to deformations of Poisson structures [2, 4].

This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue "Gone Fishing". The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/gone-fishing2016.html

¹Following [17], a leaf-tangent (Poisson) bivector field on a foliated manifold is a section of $\bigwedge^2 T\mathcal{F}$.

In a generalized setting, by a Hamiltonian system of adiabatic type on a Poisson foliation (M, \mathcal{F}, P) with G-symmetry, we mean a Hamiltonian system relative to a deformed Poisson structure $\Pi_{\varepsilon} = P + \varepsilon \Lambda$ and a function $F \in C^{\infty}(M)$. The deformation of P is given by a bivector field $\Lambda \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$, where $\mathbb{H} \subset TM$ is a normal bundle of the foliation \mathcal{F} . In the (adiabatic) limit $\varepsilon \to 0$, the unperturbed Hamiltonian system (M, P, F) is G-invariant and describes the fast dynamics along the leaves of \mathcal{F} . The key point is to move the original perturbed system to a G-invariant one by using a near-identity transformation. The construction of the corresponding G-invariant model is related to the averaging procedure for the deformed Poisson structure Π_{ε} . In a particular setting this problem was studied in [4], here we present a general mechanism.

2 Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic facts that will be used later on, related to the averaging procedure with respect to the action of compact Lie groups, for Poisson and Dirac structures [18].

2.1 Gauge transformations of Dirac manifolds

Let (M,D) be a Dirac manifold, that is, a smooth regular distribution $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ which is maximally isotropic with respect to the natural symmetric pairing on $TM \oplus T^*M$, and involutive with respect to the Courant bracket [7, 8]. The Dirac manifold (M,D) carries a (singular) presymplectic foliation (S,ω) : Its leaves are the maximal integral manifolds of the integrable (singular) distribution $C := p_T(D) \subset TM$, and the leafwise presymplectic structure ω is defined by $\omega_q(X,Y) = -\alpha(Y)$, for $(X,Y) \in C_q := C \cap T_qM$ and $(X,\alpha) \in D_q$, $q \in M$. Here $p_T : TM \oplus T^*M \to TM$ is the natural projection.

We can modify the leafwise presymplectic structure ω by the pull back of a closed 2-form on the base $B \in \Omega^2(M)$: For each presymplectic leaf (S, ω_S) , we define the new presymplectic structure as $\omega_S + \iota_S^* B$, where $\iota_S \colon S \hookrightarrow M$ is the inclusion map. Then, the foliation S equipped with the deformed leafwise presymplectic structure gives rise to the new Dirac structure

$$\tau_B(D) = \{ (X, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_X B) \mid (X, \alpha) \in D \}.$$

This transformation τ_B preserves the presymplectic foliation of D, and is called the *gauge transformation* associated to the closed 2-form B [6, 14].

In particular, the foliation (S, ω) is symplectic if and only if D is the graph of a Poisson bivector field Π on M,

$$D = \operatorname{Graph} \Pi = \left\{ \left(\Pi^{\natural} \alpha, \alpha \right) \mid \alpha \in \Omega^{1}(M) \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Pi^{\natural} : T^*M \to TM$ is the induced vector bundle endomorphism given by $\alpha \mapsto \mathbf{i}_{\alpha}\Pi$. Condition (2.1) can be expressed as follows

$$D \cap (TM \oplus \{0\}) = \{(0,0)\}.$$

Notice that, in general, for a given closed 2-form B, the gauge transformation τ_B takes Graph Π to another Dirac structure

$$\tau_B(\operatorname{Graph}\Pi) = \big\{ \big(\Pi^{\natural}\alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_{\Pi^{\natural}\alpha}B\big) \,|\, \alpha \in \Omega^1(M) \big\},$$

which may not necessarily come from a Poisson bivector field. We have the simple, but useful, criterion (see [14, pp. 5–6]).

Lemma 2.1. If the endomorphism

$$(\operatorname{Id} - B^{\flat} \circ \Pi^{\natural}): T^*M \to T^*M$$

is invertible, then the Dirac structure $\tau_B(Graph\Pi)$ is the graph of the Poisson tensor $\tau_B(\Pi)$ whose induced endomorphism is defined by

$$\tau_B(\Pi)^{\sharp} = \Pi^{\sharp} \circ \left(\operatorname{Id} - B^{\flat} \circ \Pi^{\sharp} \right)^{-1}.$$

Here, we denote by $B^{\flat}: TM \to T^*M$ the vector bundle endomorphism given by $X \mapsto \mathbf{i}_X B$.

2.2 G-averaging procedure

Let G be a connected, compact Lie group, and \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. Suppose we are given a smooth (left) action $\Phi \colon G \times M \to M$ on a manifold M. For every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the corresponding infinitesimal generator is denoted by $a_M \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$a_M(q) := \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi_{\exp(ta)}(q), \qquad q \in M.$$

For every tensor field T on M, we denote by $\langle T \rangle^G$ its G-average, which is defined as

$$\langle T \rangle^G := \int_G \Phi_g^* T \, \mathrm{d}g,$$

where dg is the normalized Haar measure on G. This averaging procedure can be also applied to Dirac structures [18]: Let $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ be a Dirac structure on M, and let (S, ω) be its associated presymplectic foliation, carrying the leafwise presymplectic form ω .

Definition 2.2. The G-action on M is compatible with the Dirac structure D if each leaf S, of S, is invariant under the action of G, and there exists a \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$ such that

$$\mathbf{i}_{a_M}\omega_S = -\iota_S^* \rho_a,\tag{2.2}$$

for every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ (here, ω_S is a presymplectic structure on S, and $\iota_S \colon S \hookrightarrow M$ is the canonical injection).

Equivalently, condition (2.2) can be rewritten as follows

$$(a_M, \rho_a) \in \Gamma(D)$$
 for all $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then, as a consequence of the fact that G is compact connected (hence the exponential map is surjective) we have the following fact [18].

Lemma 2.3. If the G-action is compatible with D, then there exists a Dirac structure \overline{D} on M with the following properties:

(a) The leafwise presymplectic form of \overline{D} is defined as

$$\langle \omega \rangle_S^G := \omega_S - \iota_S^* d\Theta,$$

where $\Theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ is the 1-form determined in terms of the G-action and ρ by

$$\Theta := \int_G \left(\int_0^1 \Phi_{\exp(\tau a)}^* \rho_a \, d\tau \right) dg, \qquad g = \exp a.$$
 (2.3)

(b) \overline{D} is G-invariant:

$$(X,\alpha) \in \Gamma(D)$$
 implies that $(\Phi_a^*X, \Phi_a^*\alpha) \in \Gamma(D)$ for all $g \in G$.

(c) The Dirac structure \overline{D} is is related to D by an exact gauge transformation:

$$\overline{D} = \{ (X, \alpha + \mathbf{i}_X d\Theta) \mid (X, \alpha) \in D \}.$$

The Dirac structure \overline{D} will be called the G-average of D relative to the compatible G-action. As we have mentioned above, for the case in which the Dirac structure is the graph of a Poisson tensor Π on M, $D = \operatorname{Graph} \Pi$, its G-average $\overline{D} = \tau_B(D)$, where $B = -\mathrm{d}\Theta$, does not necessarily come from a Poisson structure. In other words: In general, the averaging of Poisson structures via compatible G-actions only leads to G-invariant Dirac structures; but, by Lemma 2.1, in the particular case of an invertible endomorphism

$$\mathrm{Id} + (\mathrm{d}\Theta)^{\flat} \circ \Pi^{\natural},$$

we can say something more, namely, that the G-average \overline{D} is the graph of the G-invariant Poisson tensor $\overline{\Pi} = \tau_B(\Pi)$.

2.3 G-invariant connections

Recall that a (generalized Ehresmann) connection on a manifold M [13], is a vector-valued 1-form $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M;TM)$ satisfying the following conditions: $\gamma^2 = \gamma$, and the rank of the distribution $\operatorname{Im} \gamma \subset TM$ is constant on M (this last condition is a consequence of the first for M connected). Assume that the action $\Phi \colon G \times M \to M$, of the compact, connected Lie group G on the connected manifold M, preserves the image of γ , $\operatorname{d}_q \Phi_g \circ \gamma_q = \gamma_{\Phi_g(q)}$, for all $q \in M$, $g \in G$. Then, the G-average of γ is the vector-valued 1-form $\langle \gamma \rangle^G \in \Omega^1(M,TM)$ defined by the formula

$$\langle \gamma \rangle^G(X) := \int_G \Phi_g^*(\gamma((\Phi_g)_*X) \, \mathrm{d}g,$$

where $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is any vector field, and this is a G-invariant connection on M.

3 \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling structures

In this section, we present some basic definitions and facts concerning the coupling procedure on foliated Poisson and Dirac manifolds (for more details, see [16, 17, 20]). The term 'coupling' comes from Sternberg's coupling method on symplectic fiber bundles (see, for example, [12]).

Suppose we are given a manifold with a (regular) foliation (M, \mathcal{F}) . We will denote by $\mathbb{V} := T\mathcal{F}$ the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} , and by $\mathbb{V}^0 = \mathrm{Ann}(T\mathcal{F}) \subset T^*M$ its annihilator. By a normal bundle of the foliation \mathcal{F} we mean a sub-bundle $\mathbb{H} \subset TM$ which is complementary to the tangent bundle \mathbb{V} ,

$$TM = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{V}.$$
 (3.1)

To a normal bundle \mathbb{H} , there is associated a vector-valued 1-form $\gamma \in \Omega^1(M;TM)$, defined as the canonical projection along \mathbb{H} , $\gamma := \operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{H}} \colon TM \to \mathbb{V}$. This form satisfies $\gamma^2 = \gamma$ and $\operatorname{Im} \gamma = \mathbb{V}$, and hence defines an Ehresmann connection on the foliated manifold (M,\mathcal{F}) (see [13]). The curvature of the connection γ is the vector-valued 2-form $R^{\gamma} \in \Omega^2(M;\mathbb{V})$ given by $R^{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma,\gamma]_{FN}$, where $[\cdot,\cdot]_{FN}$ denotes the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket for vector valued forms on M [13]. The curvature

controls the integrability of the normal sub-bundle, in the sense that \mathbb{H} is integrable if and only if γ is flat, $R^{\gamma} = 0$.

According to (3.1), we have the dual splitting

$$T^*M = \mathbb{V}^0 \oplus \mathbb{H}^0. \tag{3.2}$$

Then, (3.1) and (3.2) induce an \mathbb{H} -dependent bigrading of multivector fields and differential forms on M. For any $A \in \Gamma(\wedge^k TM)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$, we have $A = \sum_{s+l=k} A_{s,l}$ and $\alpha = \sum_{s+l=k} A_{s,l}$

 $\sum_{s+l=k}^{s+l=k} \alpha_{s,l}, \text{ where the elements } A_{s,l} \text{ and } \alpha_{s,l}, \text{ belonging to the subspaces } \Gamma(\wedge^s \mathbb{H}) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^l \mathbb{V}) \text{ and } \Gamma(\wedge^s \mathbb{H}) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^l \mathbb{V})$

 $\Gamma(\wedge^s \mathbb{V}^0) \otimes \Gamma(\wedge^l \mathbb{H}^0)$, respectively, are said to be multivector fields and forms of bidegree (s, l). Moreover, the exterior differential d on M inherits the \mathbb{H} -bigrading decomposition (see [16]) in the form $d = d_{1,0} + d_{2,-1} + d_{0,1}$.

We will need the following definition [16, 20].

Definition 3.1. A Poisson structure Π on the foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is said to be \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling, via a normal bundle \mathbb{H} of the foliation \mathcal{F} , if the image of \mathbb{V}^0 under the vector bundle morphism $\Pi^{\sharp} \colon T^*M \to TM$, is contained in \mathbb{H} :

$$\Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^0) \subseteq \mathbb{H}. \tag{3.3}$$

This condition means that, in the bigraded decomposition of Π associated to (3.1), the mixed term $\Pi_{1,1}$ is zero and $\Pi = \Pi_{2,0} + \Pi_{0,2}$, where $\Pi_{2,0} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$ and $\Pi_{0,2} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$ is a Poisson tensor. The characteristic distribution of Π is contained into the (possibly non-integrable) distribution $\mathbb{H} \oplus \Pi_{0,2}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{H}^0)$.

Remark 3.2. Notice that conditions (3.1), (3.3) hold whenever the following transversality and regularity conditions are satisfied (in this case we say that the bivector field Π is *compatible* with the foliation \mathcal{F}):

$$\Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^0) \cap \mathbb{V} = \{0\},\tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\operatorname{rank} \Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^{0}) = \operatorname{constant} \text{ on } M. \tag{3.5}$$

Then, it follows from (3.4), (3.5) that a normal bundle of \mathcal{F} in (3.3) can be constructed as follows: $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}' \oplus \Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^{0})$, where $\mathbb{H}' \subset TM$ is an arbitrary sub-bundle, complementary to the regular distribution $\Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^{0}) \oplus \mathbb{V}$.

The \mathcal{F} -coupling situation occurs when, along with (3.4), we have

$$\operatorname{rank} \Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^0) = \operatorname{codim} \mathcal{F} \text{ on } M,$$

and hence the normal bundle \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} in (3.3), associated to Π , is unique and given by

$$\mathbb{H} = \Pi^{\sharp} (\mathbb{V}^0). \tag{3.6}$$

In this case, Π is said to be an \mathcal{F} -coupling Poisson structure. The factorization of the Jacobi identity for Π (see [18, equations (6.4)–(6.6)]) implies that the intrinsic connection γ , associated with the normal bundle (3.6), possesses the following properties [16, 20]: The connection γ is Poisson on the Poisson bundle $(M, \mathcal{F}, \Pi_{0,2})$, that is, $\mathcal{L}_X \Pi_{0,2} = 0$ for any projectable section $X \in \Gamma_{\rm pr}(\mathbb{H})$ (recall that the projectability property for X on the foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) is

expressed as $[X, \Gamma(\mathbb{V})] \subset \Gamma(\mathbb{V})$. Moreover, the curvature of γ takes values in the space of Hamiltonian vector fields of $\Pi_{0,2}$:

$$R^{\gamma}(X,Y) = -\prod_{0}^{\sharp} d\sigma(X,Y) \quad \text{for all } X,Y \in \Gamma_{\text{pr}}(\mathbb{H}). \tag{3.7}$$

Here, the 2-form $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$, called the *coupling form*, is uniquely determined by Π , and satisfies the γ -covariant constancy condition $d_{1,0}\sigma = 0$. Indeed, we can write the following explicit expression in terms of the horizontal part of Π and the horizontal lifting induced by γ [20]:

$$\sigma(u_1, u_2) = -\left\langle \left(\prod_{2,0}^{\sharp} \Big|_{\mathbb{V}^0} \right)^{-1} \operatorname{hor}(u_1), \operatorname{hor}(u_2) \right\rangle,$$

for any $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ vector fields on M.

The notion of \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling structures can be naturally generalized to the Dirac setting [17]. Given a Dirac structure $D \subset TM \oplus T^*M$ on the foliated manifold (M, \mathcal{F}) , we define the tangent distribution

$$H(D, \mathcal{F}) := \{ X \in TM \mid \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{V}^0 \text{ such that } (X, \alpha) \in D \}.$$

On the other hand, fixing a normal bundle \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} , we consider the distributions

$$D_H := D \cap (\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{V}^0)$$
 and $D_V := D \cap (\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{H}^0)$.

In the general case, these are singular distributions, but the almost-coupling hypothesis implies that their respective ranks are constant, rank $D_H = \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{H}$ and rank $D_V = \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{V}$.

Definition 3.3. D is said to be an \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Dirac structure, via a normal bundle \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} , if

$$H(D, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathbb{H}.$$
 (3.8)

One can show that condition (3.8) is equivalent to the following one:

$$D = D_H \oplus D_V$$
.

Also, one says that D is an \mathcal{F} -coupling Dirac structure [17, 21] if $H(D, \mathcal{F})$ is a normal bundle of \mathcal{F} ,

$$TM = H(D, \mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathbb{V}.$$

Notice that (3.8) implies the following property:

$$D_V \cap (\mathbb{V} \oplus \{0\}) = \{0\}.$$

It follows from here that a given an \mathcal{F} -almost coupling Dirac structure D via \mathbb{H} induces a leaf-tangent Poisson bivector field $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$ such that

$$D_V = \{ (P^{\sharp} \eta, \eta) \mid \eta \in \mathbb{H}^0 \}. \tag{3.9}$$

Remark 3.4. It easy to see that these definitions agree with the corresponding notions in the Poisson case. Indeed, if $D = \operatorname{Graph} \Pi$, for a certain Poisson tensor Π , then $H(D, \mathcal{F}) = \Pi^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^{0})$.

The relation between geometric data and \mathcal{F} -coupling Dirac structures [17, 18, 21], carries over to the almost-coupling setting, with some particularities: given a connection γ , the almost-coupling Dirac structure directly induces a leaf-tangent Poisson structure P, and no coupling form σ (in the terminology of [18]) appears. We can use that correspondence to characterize (in

terms of P) a particular class of gauge transformations which preserve² the class of \mathcal{F} -almost coupling Dirac structures on a given foliated manifold (M,\mathcal{F}) (this class naturally appears in the context of the averaging method). To this end, let us pick an arbitrary 1-form $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$ and consider the exact gauge transformation

$$D \mapsto \overline{D} := \tau_B(D), \qquad B = -dQ.$$
 (3.10)

Then, relative to decomposition (3.2), we have $B = B_{2,0} + B_{1,1}$, where $B_{2,0} = d_{1,0}Q$ and $B_{1,1} = d_{0,1}Q$.

Lemma 3.5. Let D be an \mathcal{F} -almost coupling Dirac structure via a normal bundle \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} . Then, the exact gauge transformation (3.10) maps D into the Dirac structure \overline{D} which is again \mathcal{F} -almost coupling, this time via the normal bundle

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}} := (\operatorname{Id} + P^{\sharp} \circ B_{1,1}^{\flat})(\mathbb{H}).$$

Here, $P \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{V})$ is the Poisson bivector field in (3.9).

Proof. For an arbitrary closed 2-form $B = B_{2,0} + B_{1,1} + B_{0,2}$, the gauge transformation $\tau_B(D)$ is a Dirac structure consisting of elements of the form

$$(X_{1,0}+X_{0,1})\oplus \left(\left(\alpha_{1,0}-B_{2,0}^{\flat}X_{1,0}-B_{1,1}^{\flat}X_{0,1}\right)+\left(\alpha_{0,1}-B_{1,1}^{\flat}X_{1,0}-B_{0,2}^{\flat}X_{0,1}\right)\right),$$

where $(X_{1,0} + X_{0,1}, \alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{0,1}) \in D$. If $B_{0,2} = 0$, then taking into account (3.9), we can describe the characteristic distribution of $\tau_B(D)$ as

$$H(\tau_B(D), \mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \left(\operatorname{Id} + P^{\sharp} \circ B_{1,1}^{\flat} \right) X \mid X \in H(D, \mathcal{F}) \right\}.$$

This proves the statement.

Notice also that the exact gauge transformation (3.10) leaves invariant the set of all \mathcal{F} coupling Dirac structures on (M, \mathcal{F}) [18].

4 The averaging theorems for deformations

Let (M, \mathcal{F}, P) be a Poisson foliation, consisting of a regular foliation \mathcal{F} on M, and a leaf-tangent Poisson bivector field $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$. Recall that we denote by $\mathbb{V} = T\mathcal{F} \subset TM$ the tangent bundle of \mathcal{F} and by $\mathbb{V}^0 \subset T^*M$ its annihilator. The Poisson tensor P is characterized by the property that the symplectic leaf of P through each point $q \in M$, is contained into the leaf \mathcal{F}_q of the regular foliation. Another characterization, given in [17], states that the leaves of \mathcal{F} are Poisson submanifolds.

Consider a smooth (left) action on M, $\Phi: G \times M \to M$, of a connected and compact Lie group G, which is *compatible* with the Poisson structure $P \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V})$, in the sense of Definition 2.2: For every $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, the infinitesimal generator a_M has the form

$$a_M = P^{\sharp} \mu_a \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathfrak{g},$$
 (4.1)

for a certain \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$. In particular, this property means that the G-action preserves the leaves of the symplectic foliation of P, and condition (2.2) holds, that is, the G-action is compatible with the associated Dirac structure D = Graph P.

²In general, arbitrary gauge transformations do not carry almost-coupling Dirac structures into almost-coupling structures again. This does happen for the gauge transformations (3.10), however.

A G-action on the Poisson foliation is said to be *locally Hamiltonian*, if each infinitesimal generator a_M is a locally Hamiltonian vector field relative to P, in other words, one can choose the 1-form μ_a in (4.1) to be closed on M,

$$\mu_a \in \Omega^1_{cl}(M) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
 (4.2)

Suppose now that we start with a smooth deformation $\{\Pi_{\varepsilon}\}_{{\varepsilon}\in[0,1]}$ of the leaf-tangent Poisson structure P, so each Π_{ε} is a Poisson tensor on M, the whole family is smoothly dependent in ε , and is such that $\Pi_0 = P$. Moreover, we assume that, for each $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$, the bivector field Π_{ε} is an \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poisson structure via an ε -independent normal bundle \mathbb{H} of \mathcal{F} :

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^0) \subseteq \mathbb{H} \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in [0, 1].$$
 (4.3)

Such a family $\{\Pi_{\varepsilon}\}$, will be called an \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poisson deformation of P via \mathbb{H} . It follows from (4.3) that each Π_{ε} admits the following bigraded decomposition relative to (3.1): $\Pi_{\varepsilon} = (\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{2,0} + (\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{0,2}$, where $(\Pi_0)_{0,2} = P$. We will also assume that the leaf-tangent component of Π_{ε} of bidegree (0, 2) is independent of ε and hence

$$(\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{0,2} = P$$
 for all $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$.

Then, $(\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{2,0} = \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$ for a certain bivector field Λ_{ε} of bidegree (2,0), smoothly varying in ε , and therefore, the deformation of P can be parameterized as

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon} = P + \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \Lambda_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H}).$$
 (4.4)

For a fixed \mathbb{R} -linear mapping $\mu \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \Omega^1(M))$ in (4.1), we can decompose $\mu = \mu_{1,0} + \mu_{0,1}$ relative to splitting (3.2), associated to a fixed normal bundle \mathbb{H} in (4.3).

Finally, let us associate to the family $\{\Pi_{\varepsilon}\}$ (as in (4.4)) a smooth ε -dependent family of Dirac structures

$$D_{\varepsilon} := \operatorname{Graph} \Pi_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Graph} P \oplus \operatorname{Graph} \varepsilon \Lambda_{\varepsilon}, \tag{4.5}$$

which is to be viewed as a deformation of the 'limiting' Dirac structure $D_0 = \operatorname{Graph} \Pi_0 = \operatorname{Graph} P$. Then, for each ε , the Dirac structure D_{ε} also satisfies the \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling condition (3.8). Under the above hypotheses, we are in position to state our main results regarding the existence of the G-average for these deformations of Dirac and Poisson structures. The first one is a consequence of Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 4.1. For every $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$, the G-average $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon}$, of the F-almost-coupling Dirac structure D_{ε} in (4.5), is well-defined and given by the exact gauge transformation

$$\overline{D}_{\varepsilon} = \{ (X, \alpha + \mathbf{i}_X d\Theta) \, | \, (X, \alpha) \in D_{\varepsilon} \}, \tag{4.6}$$

where Θ is the 1-form defined by (2.3), with $\rho = \mu_{0,1}$.

Proof. It follows from (4.4) that, for every $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\dagger}(\mu_a)_{0,1} = (\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{0,2}^{\dagger}(\mu_a)_{0,1} = (\Pi_{\varepsilon})_{0,2}^{\dagger}\mu_a = P^{\dagger}\mu_a = a_M,$$

and, hence, the G-action preserves the symplectic leaves of $\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\natural}$, and the compatibility condition (2.2) holds for $\rho = \mu_{0,1}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, the G-average of D_{ε} is well-defined and given by (4.6).

Theorem 4.2. If the G-action is locally Hamiltonian (condition (4.2)), then, for any G-invariant open connected set with compact closure, $N \subset M$, and for sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in]0,1[$, the restriction of the Dirac structure (4.6), $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon}|_{N}$, is the graph of a G-invariant Poisson structure $\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$ on N defined by

$$\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} = \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \circ \left(\operatorname{Id} + (dQ)^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \right)^{-1} \tag{4.7}$$

with $\overline{\Pi}_0 = P$. Here $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$ is expressed as

$$Q := -\int_{G} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \Phi_{\exp(\tau a)}^{*}(\mu_{a})_{1,0} d\tau \right) dg, \qquad g = \exp a.$$
 (4.8)

Moreover, the Poisson structure $\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$ is \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling via the following G-invariant normal bundle of \mathcal{F} :

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}} := \operatorname{Span} \left\{ \bar{X} = X + P^{\sharp} dQ(X) \, | \, X \in \Gamma_{\operatorname{pr}}(\mathbb{H}) \right\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis that the G-action is locally Hamiltonian, let us fix a G-invariant relatively compact domain $N \subseteq M$. Let $B = -d\Theta$, with Θ constructed as in (2.3). By Lemma 2.1 it suffices to show that there exists a $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$(\operatorname{Id} - B^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\natural})$$
 is invertible on N for $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$. (4.10)

In terms of the bigraded components of μ , the closedness condition $d\mu = 0$ splits into

$$d_{0,1}\mu_{0,1} = 0,$$
 $d_{1,0}\mu_{0,1} = -d_{0,1}\mu_{1,0},$ $d_{2,-1}\mu_{0,1} = -d_{1,0}\mu_{1,0}.$

By using these relations, we see from (2.3) that $B = -d\Theta = -dQ$, where $Q \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}^0)$ is given by (4.8). It follows that $B = B_{2,0} + B_{1,1}$, where $B_{2,0} = -d_{1,0}Q$ and $B_{1,1} = -d_{0,1}Q$. From here, taking into account (4.4), for an arbitrary $\alpha = \alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{0,1} \in \Omega^1(M)$, we get

$$(B^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\natural})(\alpha_{1,0} + \alpha_{0,1}) = B_{1,1}^{\flat} \circ P^{\natural} \alpha_{0,1} + \varepsilon (B_{2,0}^{\flat} \circ (\Lambda_{\varepsilon})_{2,0}^{\natural} \alpha_{1,0} + B_{1,1}^{\flat} \circ (\Lambda_{\varepsilon})_{2,0}^{\natural} \alpha_{1,0}).$$

This shows that the matrix of the morphism $\operatorname{Id} -B^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\natural} \colon T^*M \to T^*M$, in a local basis compatible with the splitting (3.2), has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & * \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} + O(\varepsilon).$$

This fact, together with a standard compactness argument, proves (4.10). Furthermore, it follows that

$$\left(\operatorname{Id} + (dQ)^{\flat} \circ P^{\sharp}\right)^{-1} = \operatorname{Id} - (dQ)^{\flat} \circ P^{\sharp}, \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$P^{\sharp} \circ (dQ)^{\flat} \circ P^{\sharp} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

From here and (4.7), we conclude that $\overline{\Pi}_0 = P$. Finally, to show that the Poisson structure $\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$ is \mathcal{F} -almost coupling, we consider the connection γ associated to the normal bundle \mathbb{H} and its G-average $\langle \gamma \rangle^G$. Then, the normal bundle $\ker \langle \gamma \rangle^G$ just coincides with $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ in (4.9) (see [18]). Applying Lemma 3.5 ends the proof.

Consider now the case of Π_{ε} a coupling Poisson structure for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, that is, $\mathbb{H} = \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(\mathbb{V}^{0})$ is a normal bundle of \mathcal{F} . Then, the corresponding Dirac structure is represented as

$$D_{\varepsilon} = \operatorname{Graph}(\Pi_{\varepsilon}) = \left\{ \left(\varepsilon X + P^{\sharp} \alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_{X} \sigma_{\varepsilon} \right) \mid X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \ \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^{0}) \right\},\,$$

where the 2-form $\sigma_{\varepsilon} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$ smoothly depends in ε , satisfies the \mathbb{H} -covariant constancy condition $d_{1,0}\sigma_{\varepsilon} = 0$, and has the following expansion around $\varepsilon = 0$:

$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} = c + \varepsilon \sigma + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Here, the 2-form $c \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$ takes values in the Casimir functions of P,

$$c(X, Y) \in \operatorname{Casim}(M, P)$$
 for all $X, Y \in \Gamma_{\operatorname{pr}}(\mathbb{H})$,

and the 2-form $\sigma \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{V}^0)$ satisfies the curvature identity (3.7) with $\Pi_{0,2} = P$ (for more details, see [16, 18]). From these remarks and Theorem 4.2, we deduce the following consequence.

Corollary 4.3. In the coupling case, for a locally Hamiltonian G-action, the G-average $\overline{D}_{\varepsilon}$ is an \mathcal{F} -coupling Dirac structure via the invariant normal bundle $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ given by

$$\overline{D}_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ \left(\varepsilon X + P^{\sharp} \alpha, \alpha - \mathbf{i}_{X} \sigma_{\varepsilon} + \mathbf{i}_{\varepsilon X + P^{\sharp} \alpha} dQ \right) \mid X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \ \alpha \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}^{0}) \right\}.$$

In particular, $\overline{D}_0 = \operatorname{Graph}(P)$.

A natural question concerns the relationship between the original, ε -dependent, Poisson structure Π_{ε} , and its G-average $\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$, as ε tends to 0.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$ be the G-average of the \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poison structure Π_{ε} defined in (4.7). Let $N \subset M$ be a G-invariant open subset whose closure is compact. There exists a smooth isotopy $\phi_{\varepsilon} \colon N \to M$, such that, for ε sufficiently small,

$$\phi_{\varepsilon}^* \Pi_{\varepsilon} = \overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \phi_0 = \mathrm{Id}$$

on N.

Proof. Following the reasoning in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can show that there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that the gauge transformation of Π_{ε} , associated to the exact 2-form tB = -tdQ, exists for all $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$, $t \in [0, 1]$, and gives the 2-parameter family of Poisson structures on N, $\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}$, characterized by

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}^{\sharp} = \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \circ \left(\operatorname{Id} + t(dQ)^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \right)^{-1}. \tag{4.13}$$

Then, $\Pi_{\varepsilon,0} = \Pi_{\varepsilon}$ and $\Pi_{\varepsilon,1} = \overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon}$. Fixing $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$, one can verify [11, 18] that the time-dependent vector field on N given by

$$Z_{\varepsilon,t} = -\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}^{\sharp}(Q) = -\Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \circ \left(\operatorname{Id} + t(dQ)^{\flat} \circ \Pi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} \right)^{-1}(Q)$$
(4.14)

satisfies the homotopy equation (where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes the Schouten bracket for multivector fields on M [15])

$$[\![Z_{\varepsilon,t}, \Pi_{\varepsilon,t}]\!] = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}}{\mathrm{d}t}.\tag{4.15}$$

Moreover, we have $\Pi_{0,t} = P$ and $Z_{0,t} = -P^{\sharp}(Q) = 0$. These facts, together with the compactness of the closure of N, show that (by shrinking $\delta > 0$ if necessary), for each $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$, the flow $\mathrm{Fl}_{Z_{\varepsilon,t}}^t$ of $Z_{\varepsilon,t}$ is well-defined on N, for all $t \in [0,1]$. Then, it suffices to put $\phi_{\varepsilon} = \mathrm{Fl}_{Z_{\varepsilon,t}}^t|_{t=1}$.

5 Infinitesimal deformations

In this section, we will derive a first-order approximation formula for the averaged Poisson structure in (4.7).

Let $\Pi_{\varepsilon} = P + \varepsilon \Lambda_0 + O(\varepsilon^2)$ be a family of almost-coupling Poisson structures on M. From the Jacobi identity $\llbracket \Pi_{\varepsilon}, \Pi_{\varepsilon} \rrbracket = 0 = \llbracket P, P \rrbracket$, and it follows that the bivector field $\Lambda_0 \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$ is a 2-cocycle in the Lichnerowicz–Poisson complex of (M, P), $\llbracket P, \Lambda_0 \rrbracket = 0$. This 2-cocycle Λ_0 , determines the infinitesimal (first-order) part of the almost-coupling deformation of P. Then, taking into account the identities (4.11) and (4.12), we deduce from (4.7)

$$\overline{\Pi}_{\varepsilon} = P + \varepsilon \overline{\Lambda}_0 + O(\varepsilon^2), \tag{5.1}$$

where $\overline{\Lambda}_0$ is another G-invariant 2-cocycle in the Lichnerowicz–Poisson complex, given by

$$\overline{\Lambda}_0^{\sharp} = (\operatorname{Id} - P^{\sharp} \circ (dQ)^{\flat}) \circ \Lambda_0^{\sharp} \circ (\operatorname{Id} - (dQ)^{\flat} \circ P^{\sharp}).$$

By varying (5.1) with respect to ε , we get the following infinitesimal version of Theorem 4.4.

Proposition 5.1. The cohomology classes of the 2-cocycles Λ_0 and $\overline{\Lambda}_0$, coincide.

Proof. For the infinitesimal generator $Z_{\varepsilon,t}$ in (4.14), and the family of Poisson structures $\Pi_{\varepsilon,t}$ in (4.13), we can evaluate their expansion around $\varepsilon = 0$: $Z_{\varepsilon,t} = \varepsilon W_t + O(\varepsilon^2)$ and $\Pi_{\varepsilon,t} = P + \varepsilon \Psi_t + O(\varepsilon^2)$, where W_t and Ψ_t are a time-dependent vector field and a bivector field, respectively. Putting these expansions into (4.15), and collecting all first-order terms in ε , leads to the equation $[W_t, P] = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi_t}{\mathrm{d}t}$. Integrating this equation with respect to t, and taking into account that $\Psi_0 = \Lambda_0$, and $\Psi_1 = \overline{\Lambda}_0$, we get $\overline{\Lambda}_0 - \Lambda_0 = [w, P]$, where $w = -\int_0^1 W_t \, \mathrm{d}t$.

Remark 5.2. These results can be used to construct normal forms for perturbed dynamics associated to almost-coupling deformations of foliated Poisson manifolds with symmetry. Typically, such perturbed dynamics appear in the context of adiabatic theory [1] on nontrivial phase spaces, particularly those in which no global action-angle coordinates can be introduced [2, 3, 4].

We have a simple example of an \mathcal{F} -almost-coupling Poisson deformation when the foliation \mathcal{F} is a fibration.

Example 5.3. Suppose we start with a Poisson fiber bundle $(\pi: M \to S, P)$ whose base S carries a Poisson structure $\psi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TS)$. Assume that there exists a flat Poisson connection γ on M, associated to an integrable distribution \mathbb{H} , which is complementary to the vertical one $\mathbb{V} = \ker d\pi$. Let $\operatorname{hor}^{\gamma}(\psi) \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathbb{H})$ be the γ -horizontal lift of the Poisson bivector field ψ . Then, by using the standard properties of the Schouten bracket (see [15]), one can show that the bivector field

$$\Pi_{\varepsilon} = P + \varepsilon \operatorname{hor}^{\gamma}(\psi) \tag{5.2}$$

satisfies the Jacobi identity and gives an almost-coupling Poisson structure for every $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$. It is clear that Π_{ε} is a coupling Poisson tensor on M if and only if the bivector field ψ is non-degenerate, and hence induces a symplectic form on S.

Finally, let us say some words about the physical meaning of the preceding constructions. Consider the Hamiltonian vector field $X(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{d}F}P + \varepsilon \mathbf{i}_{\mathrm{d}F} \operatorname{hor}^{\gamma}(\psi)$, relative to a function $F \in C^{\infty}(M)$ and the Poisson structure (5.2). As stated in the Introduction, the corresponding dynamical system

$$\dot{\xi} = \varepsilon \mathbf{i}_{dF} \operatorname{hor}^{\gamma}(\psi), \qquad \dot{x} = \mathbf{i}_{dF} P,$$

$$(5.3)$$

belongs to the class of the so-called slow-fast Hamiltonian systems [1], where the coordinates $\xi = (\xi^i)$, along the base S, and the coordinates $x = (x^{\alpha})$, along the fibers of π , are called the slow and fast variables, respectively. We observe that the infinitesimal generator of the Poisson isotopy ϕ_{ε} in Theorem 4.4, controls the 'geometric' part of an invariant normalization transformation for the system (5.3) as ε tends to zero. As stated in Remark 5.2, these transformations, and the normal forms to which they lead, are crucial for determining asymptotic properties of the system, such as stability, existence of periodic orbits, or the computation of adiabatic invariants, see [2] for some examples.

Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to the anonymous referees, whose detailed comments and criticism have greatly improved the contents of this paper. Also, we thank the organizers of the Gone Fishing Meeting at Berkeley (2015), for the opportunity of presenting and discussing some of the results exposed here. This work was partially supported by the Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACyT), under research projects CB-2012-179115 (JAV) and CB-2013-219631 (YuV).

References

- [1] Arnold V.I., Kozlov V.V., Neishtadt A.I., Dynamical systems. III, *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [2] Avendaño Camacho M., Vallejo J.A., Vorobiev Yu., Higher order corrections to adiabatic invariants of generalized slow-fast Hamiltonian systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), 082704, 15 pages, arXiv:1305.3974.
- [3] Avendaño Camacho M., Vallejo J.A., Vorobiev Yu., A simple global representation for second-order normal forms of Hamiltonian systems relative to periodic flows, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013), 395201, 12 pages.
- [4] Avendaño Camacho M., Vorobiev Yu., On deformations of Poisson structures on fibered manifolds and adiabatic slow-fast systems, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **14** (2017), 1750086, 15 pages.
- [5] Brahic O., Fernandes R.L., Poisson fibrations and fibered symplectic groupoids, in Poisson Geometry in Mathematics and Physics, *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 450, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, 41–59, math.DG/0702258.
- [6] Bursztyn H., Radko O., Gauge equivalence of Dirac structures and symplectic groupoids, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 53 (2003), 309–337, math.SG/0202099.
- [7] Courant T.J., Dirac manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **319** (1990), 631–661.
- [8] Courant T.J., Weinstein A., Beyond Poisson structures, in Action hamiltoniennes de groupes. Troisième théorème de Lie (Lyon, 1986), *Travaux en Cours*, Vol. 27, Hermann, Paris, 1988, 39–49.
- [9] Crainic M., Fernandes R.L., Stability of symplectic leaves, *Invent. Math.* 180 (2010), 481–533, arXiv:0810.4437.
- [10] Crainic M., Mărcuţ I., A normal form theorem around symplectic leaves, J. Differential Geom. 92 (2012), 417–461, arXiv:1009.2090.
- [11] Frejlich P., Mărcut I., The normal form theorem around Poisson transversals, arXiv:1306.6055.
- [12] Guillemin V., Lerman E., Sternberg S., Symplectic fibrations and multiplicity diagrams, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [13] Kolář I., Michor P.W., Slovák J., Natural operations in differential geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [14] Ševera P., Weinstein A., Poisson geometry with a 3-form background, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.* (2001), 145–154, math.SG/0107133.
- [15] Vaisman I., Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 118, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.
- [16] Vaisman I., Coupling Poisson and Jacobi structures on foliated manifolds, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 1 (2004), 607–637, math.SG/0402361.

- [17] Vaisman I., Foliation-coupling Dirac structures, J. Geom. Phys. 56 (2006), 917–938, math.SG/0412318.
- [18] Vallejo J.A., Vorobiev Yu., Invariant Poisson realizations and the averaging of Dirac structures, *SIGMA* **10** (2014), 096, 20 pages, arXiv:1405.0574.
- [19] Vorobiev Yu., Averaging of Poisson structures, in Geometric Methods in Physics, AIP Conf. Proc., Vol. 1079, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2008, 235–240.
- [20] Vorobjev Yu., Coupling tensors and Poisson geometry near a single symplectic leaf, in Lie Algebroids and Related Topics in Differential Geometry (Warsaw, 2000), *Banach Center Publ.*, Vol. 54, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2001, 249–274, math.SG/0008162.
- [21] Wade A., Poisson fiber bundles and coupling Dirac structures, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **33** (2008), 207–217, math.SG/0507594.