A CHARACTERIZATION OF CENTRAL EXTENSIONS IN THE VARIETY OF QUANDLES

VALÉRIAN EVEN, MARINO GRAN AND ANDREA MONTOLI

ABSTRACT. The category of symmetric quandles is a Mal'tsev variety whose subvariety of abelian symmetric quandles is the category of abelian algebras. We give an algebraic description of the quandle extensions that are central for the adjunction between the variety of quandles and its subvariety of abelian symmetric quandles.

1. Introduction

A quandle [20] is a set A equipped with two binary operations \triangleleft and \triangleleft^{-1} such that the following identities hold (for all $a, b, c \in A$):

- (A1) $a \triangleleft a = a = a \triangleleft^{-1} a$ (idempotency);
- (A2) $(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft^{-1} b = a = (a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft b$ (right invertibility);
- (A3) $(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft c = (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft (b \triangleleft c)$ and $(a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft^{-1} c = (a \triangleleft^{-1} c) \triangleleft^{-1} (b \triangleleft^{-1} c)$ (self-distributivity).

This structure is of interest in knot theory, since the three axioms above correspond to the Reidemeister moves on oriented link diagrams. From a purely algebraic viewpoint, quandles capture the properties of group conjugation: given a group $(G, \cdot, 1)$, by defining the operations $a \triangleleft b = b \cdot a \cdot b^{-1}$ and $a \triangleleft^{-1} b = b^{-1} \cdot a \cdot b$ on the underlying set G one gets a quandle structure.

Quandles and quandle homomorphisms form a category denoted Qnd. This category, being a variety in the sense of universal algebra [8], is an exact category (in the sense of Barr [1]). The variety Qnd has some interesting categorical properties, as recently observed in [10, 11, 2]. The present work continues this line of research, by investigating the properties of the adjunction between the variety of quandles and its subvariety AbSymQnd of abelian symmetric quandles, in particular from the viewpoint of the categorical theory of central extensions [17].

Received by the editors 2015-11-09 and, in final form, 2016-03-30.

Transmitted by Walter Tholen. Published on 2016-04-02.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 57M27, 08B05, 18A20, 13B05.

Key words and phrases: Quandle, symmetric quandle, abelian object, Mal'tsev variety, central extension, categorical Galois theory.

[©] Valérian Even, Marino Gran and Andrea Montoli, 2016. Permission to copy for private use granted.

The variety AbSymQnd of abelian symmetric quandles is the subvariety of Qnd determined by the two additional identities

$$a \lhd b = b \lhd a$$

and

$$(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft (c \triangleleft d) = (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft (b \triangleleft d).$$

AbSymQnd is a Mal'tsev variety (actually even a naturally Mal'tsev one [19], see Section 2), and it turns out to be an *admissible* subvariety of Qnd: this fact guarantees the validity of a Galois theorem of classification of the corresponding central extensions (see [16, 17]).

This is particularly interesting by keeping in mind that the variety Qnd is not congruence modular, since it contains the variety of sets as a subvariety. However, the subvariety AbSymQnd of abelian symmetric quandles yields an adjunction

$$Qnd \underbrace{\bot}_{H} AbSymQnd \tag{1}$$

that is similar to the classical one

$$\mathcal{V} \underbrace{\downarrow}_{U} \mathcal{V}_{ab} \tag{2}$$

where \mathcal{V} is any congruence modular variety and \mathcal{V}_{ab} its subvariety of abelian algebras in the sense of commutator theory [13]. Many interesting results in the categorical theory of central extensions discovered in the last years actually concern subvarieties of Mal'tsev varieties (see [12], for instance, and the references therein). The example investigated in the present paper is then of a rather different nature, and will be useful to establish some new connections between algebraic quandle theory and categorical algebra.

To explain the main result of this paper more precisely, let us briefly recall how the categorical notions of trivial extension and of central extension are defined in any variety \mathcal{V} with respect to a chosen subvariety \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{V} . A surjective homomorphism $f: A \to B$ in \mathcal{V} is a *trivial extension* if the commutative square induced by the units of the reflection

$$A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} HI(A)$$

$$f \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow HI(f)$$

$$B \xrightarrow{\eta_B} HI(B)$$

is a pullback. A surjective homomorphism $f: A \to B$ is a *central extension* when there exists a surjective homomorphism $p: E \to B$ such that the extension $\pi_1: E \times_B A \to E$ in the pullback



of f along p is a trivial extension. In any congruence modular variety \mathcal{V} the central extensions defined in this way, relatively to the adjunction (2), are precisely the surjective homomorphisms $f: A \to B$ whose kernel congruence $\mathsf{Eq}(f) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A \mid f(a_1) = f(a_2)\}$ is central in the sense of commutator theory: $[\mathsf{Eq}(f), A \times A] = \Delta_A$, where Δ_A is the smallest congruence on A (see [15, 18]). In the present paper we characterize the central extensions corresponding to the adjunction (1) as those surjective quandle homomorphisms $f: A \to B$ such that (a condition equivalent to) $[\mathsf{Eq}(f), A \times A] = \Delta_A$ holds and, moreover, each fiber $f^{-1}(b) = \{a \in A \mid f(a) = b\}$ is an abelian symmetric quandle, for any $b \in B$ (Theorem (3.13)). This latter property implies that $f: A \to B$ is Σ -special in the terms of [2] and thus this work fits in the partial Mal'tsev context, thoroughly studied in the preprint [3], that became available on the ArXiv a few months after the present paper.

2. Symmetric quandles and abelian symmetric quandles

A quandle A is symmetric if it satisfies the additional identity:

$$a \triangleleft b = b \triangleleft a,\tag{3}$$

for all $a, b \in A$. We write SymQnd for the corresponding category of symmetric quandles, which is then a subvariety of the variety Qnd of all quandles. Here below we observe that the category SymQnd is a Mal'tsev variety [21], which will be shown to be an admissible subcategory of Qnd for the categorical theory of central extensions [17].

2.1. PROPOSITION. [2] The category SymQnd is a Mal'tsev variety.

PROOF. Let p be the ternary term defined by

$$p(a, b, c) = (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft^{-1} b.$$

We then have the identities

$$p(a, a, b) = (a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft^{-1} a = (b \triangleleft a) \triangleleft^{-1} a = b,$$

$$p(a, b, b) = (a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft^{-1} b = a.$$

Recall that a quandle A is *abelian* [20] if it satisfies the additional axiom

$$(a \lhd b) \lhd (c \lhd d) = (a \lhd c) \lhd (b \lhd d)$$

for all a, b, c, $d \in A$. Note that this axiom is equivalent to the following one:

$$(a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft^{-1} (c \triangleleft d) = (a \triangleleft^{-1} c) \triangleleft (b \triangleleft^{-1} d).$$

$$\tag{4}$$

2.2. REMARK. Not all abelian quandles are symmetric. Indeed, recall that a quandle A is trivial if $a \triangleleft b = a = a \triangleleft^{-1} b$ for all $a, b \in A$. Any trivial quandle is abelian, but it is not symmetric (as long as it has at least two elements).

Also, not all symmetric quandles are abelian. The smallest symmetric quandle which is not abelian is a quandle of order 81 and is constructed in [22].

Let us write AbSymQnd for the category of abelian symmetric quandles, $U: AbSymQnd \rightarrow SymQnd$ and $V: SymQnd \rightarrow Qnd$ for the inclusion functors. Since AbSymQnd is a subvariety of SymQnd and SymQnd is a subvariety of Qnd, both these functors have left adjoints, denoted by $ab: SymQnd \rightarrow AbSymQnd$ and $sym: Qnd \rightarrow SymQnd$, respectively:



We are now going to show that abelian symmetric quandles are the internal Mal'tsev algebras in SymQnd.

2.3. DEFINITION. An internal Mal'tsev algebra in a variety \mathcal{V} is an algebra $A \in \mathcal{V}$ with a homomorphism $p_A: A \times A \to A$ such that $p_A(a, a, b) = b$ and $p_A(a, b, b) = a$.

Let us write $Mal(\mathcal{V})$ for the category of internal Mal'tsev algebras in \mathcal{V} . In a Mal'tsev category, thus in particular in the category SymQnd, any morphism preserves the Mal'tsev operation (see Corollary 4.1 in [14], for instance): this means that the subcategory Mal(SymQnd) is full in SymQnd. The following observation has been found independently by Bourn [2]:

2.4. THEOREM.

AbSymQnd = Mal(SymQnd).

PROOF. Let $A \in \mathsf{AbSymQnd}$, and let $p_A \colon A \times A \times A \to A$ be the Mal'tsev operation on A defined by $p_A(a, b, c) = (a \lhd c) \lhd^{-1} b$. We have to check that it is a quandle homomorphism. For any $a, b, c, x, y, z \in A$ we have

$$p_A((a, b, c) \lhd (x, y, z)) = p_A(a \lhd x, b \lhd y, c \lhd z)$$

= $((a \lhd x) \lhd (c \lhd z)) \lhd^{-1} (b \lhd y)$
= $((a \lhd c) \lhd (x \lhd z)) \lhd^{-1} (b \lhd y)$
= $((a \lhd c) \lhd^{-1} b) \lhd ((x \lhd z) \lhd^{-1} y)$
= $p_A(a, b, c) \lhd p_A(x, y, z).$

204

This shows that A belongs to Mal(SymQnd).

Conversely, when $A \in \mathsf{Mal}(\mathsf{SymQnd})$, the unique internal Mal'tsev operation on A[19] is necessarily given by (any of) the Mal'tsev operations of the theory of the variety SymQnd. Accordingly, it is defined by $p_A(a, b, c) = (a \lhd c) \lhd^{-1} b$, and it is such that $p_A(a, b, a) = a \lhd^{-1} b$. Moreover, $p_A \colon A \times A \times A \to A$ preserves the binary operation \lhd , so that the equality

$$p_A((a,b,a) \triangleleft (x,y,x)) = p_A(a,b,a) \triangleleft p_A(x,y,x)$$

gives

$$(a \lhd x) \lhd^{-1} (b \lhd y) = (a \lhd^{-1} b) \lhd (x \lhd^{-1} y).$$

This is precisely the identity (4), and the quandle A belongs to AbSymQnd.

We now recall the definition of two classes of morphisms in Qnd, first investigated by Bourn, that will be important for our work:

2.5. DEFINITION. [2] We denote by Σ the class of split epimorphisms $f: A \to B$ with a given section $s: B \to A$ (i.e. $f \circ s = 1_B$) in the category Qnd such that the map $s(b) \triangleleft -: f^{-1}(b) \to f^{-1}(b)$ is surjective, for any $b \in B$.

In other words, the split epimorphism f with section s is in Σ if, for any $b \in B$ and $a \in f^{-1}(b)$, there is a $k_a \in f^{-1}(b)$ such that $s(b) \triangleleft k_a = a$.

2.6. REMARK. This element k_a also depends on b, so that one should write $k_{b,a}$, instead. We shall simply write k_a , however, to simplify the notations.

Given an internal equivalence relation (R, r_1, r_2) in Qnd on A, i.e. a congruence on A, we write $\delta_R \colon A \to R$ for the homomorphism defined by $\delta_R(a) = (a, a)$, for any a in A. An equivalence relation (R, r_1, r_2) is said to be a Σ -equivalence relation if the split epimorphism $r_1 \colon R \to A$ with section $\delta_R \colon A \to R$ belongs to the class Σ .

Given a quandle homomorphism $f: A \to B$, we write $(\mathsf{Eq}(f), f_1, f_2)$ for the kernel pair of f, where $f_1: \mathsf{Eq}(f) \to A$ and $f_2: \mathsf{Eq}(f) \to A$ are the canonical projections: in a variety of universal algebras $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ is simply the kernel congruence on A defined by $\mathsf{Eq}(f) = \{(a_1, a_2) \in A \times A \mid f(a_1) = f(a_2)\}.$

2.7. DEFINITION. [6, 7, 2] A morphism $f: A \to B$ in Qnd is Σ -special if $(\mathsf{Eq}(f), f_1, f_2)$ is a Σ -equivalence relation.

The following result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.9 in [2], and will be useful later on:

2.8. THEOREM. Let $f: A \to B$ be a Σ -special homomorphism in Qnd. Then any congruence R on A permutes with Eq(f) in the sense of the composition of relations:

$$R \circ \mathsf{Eq}(f) = \mathsf{Eq}(f) \circ R.$$

2.9. COROLLARY. Given a pushout of surjective homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} A \xrightarrow{f} B \\ g \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow h \\ C \xrightarrow{l} D \end{array}$$

where f is Σ -special, the induced homomorphism $A \xrightarrow{(g,f)} C \times_D B$ to the pullback is surjective.

PROOF. The proof is essentially the same as the one given in [10], Lemma 1.7 (which is adapted from [9]).

3. Central extensions in the category of quandles

If C is a finitely complete category, a double equivalence relation C in C is an equivalence relation internal in the category of equivalence relations in C. It can be represented by a diagram

where $r_1 \circ \pi_1 = s_1 \circ p_1$, $r_1 \circ \pi_2 = s_2 \circ p_1$, $r_2 \circ \pi_1 = s_1 \circ p_2$ and $r_2 \circ \pi_2 = s_2 \circ p_2$. In this case one usually says that C is a double equivalence relation on the equivalence relations R and S.

3.1. DEFINITION. Given equivalence relations R and S on A, a double equivalence relation C on R and S (as in (5)) is called a centralizing relation when the square

$$\begin{array}{c} C \xrightarrow{p_2} S \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & \downarrow s_1 \\ R \xrightarrow{r_2} A \end{array}$$

is a pullback.

3.2. DEFINITION. A connector between R and S is an arrow $p: R \times_A S \to A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. \ p(x,x,y) = y & 1'. \ p(x,y,y) = x \\ 2. \ xSp(x,y,z) & 2'. \ zRp(x,y,z) \\ 3. \ p(x,y,p(y,u,v)) = p(x,u,v) & 3'. \ p(p(x,y,u),u,v) = p(x,u,v) \end{array}$$

In the Mal'tsev context [4] the existence of a connector between R and S is already guaranteed by the existence of a partial Mal'tsev operation $p: R \times_A S \to A$, i.e. when the identities p(x, x, y) = y and p(x, y, y) = x in Definition 3.2 are satisfied. Accordingly, in a Mal'tsev category the existence of a double centralizing relation on R and S is equivalent to the existence of a partial Mal'tsev operation. Moreover, a connector is unique, when it exists: accordingly, for two given equivalence relations, having a connector becomes a property.

In a Mal'tsev variety a congruence R on an algebra A is called *algebraically central* if there is a centralizing double relation on R and $A \times A$, this latter being the largest equivalence relation on A. In terms of commutators, this fact is expressed by the condition $[R, A \times A] = \Delta_A$.

Given a surjective homomorphism $f: A \to B$ in the variety Qnd of quandles such a connector between the kernel pair Eq(f) and $A \times A$ may not be unique, or may not exist at all. However, there is a special class of homomorphisms for which such a connector is unique when it exists.

Given a homomorphism $f: A \to B$ in Qnd, each fiber $f^{-1}(b)$ (for $b \in B$) is a subquandle of A. We shall say that f has abelian symmetric fibers if $f^{-1}(b) \in AbSymQnd$, for all $b \in B$.

3.3. PROPOSITION. If $f: A \to B$ has symmetric fibers, then it is Σ -special.

PROOF. Consider the kernel pair of f

One has to check that (f_1, δ_f) is in Σ . Let $a \in A$ and $(a, a') \in f_1^{-1}(a)$, then in particular f(a) = f(a'), so that $a' \triangleleft^{-1} a$ is such that $f(a) = f(a' \triangleleft^{-1} a)$. It follows that $(a, a' \triangleleft^{-1} a) \in \mathsf{Eq}(f)$, and then

$$(a,a) \lhd (a,a' \lhd^{-1} a) = (a \lhd a, a \lhd (a' \lhd^{-1} a)) = (a, (a' \lhd^{-1} a) \lhd a) = (a,a').$$

3.4. REMARK. When a split epimorphism $f: A \to B$ with section $s: B \to A$ has symmetric fibers, then $s(b) \triangleleft -: f^{-1}(b) \to f^{-1}(b)$ is always injective: if $x \in f^{-1}(b)$ and $y \in f^{-1}(b)$ are such that $s(b) \triangleleft x = s(b) \triangleleft y$, since $s(b) \in f^{-1}(b)$, we get $x \triangleleft s(b) = y \triangleleft s(b)$, and hence x = y by right invertibility.

3.5. LEMMA. Consider the following pullback



If $f: A \to B$ has abelian symmetric fibers then so does $\pi_1: E \times_B A \to E$. Moreover, if $p: E \to B$ is a surjective homomorphism, then $f: A \to B$ has abelian symmetric fibers if $\pi_1: E \times_B A \to E$ has abelian symmetric fibers.

PROOF. The first assertion follows from the fact that if $(e, a) \in E \times_B A$ then the fibers $\pi_1^{-1}(e)$ and $f^{-1}(f(a))$ are isomorphic. The proof of the second assertion is similar, the surjectivity of p guaranteeing that, for any $a \in A$, there exists $e \in E$ such that $(e, a) \in E \times_B A$.

3.6. LEMMA. [2] Let $f: A \to B$ be a split epimorphism, with section $s: B \to A$, in Σ . Consider the following pullback of f along a split epimorphism $p: E \to B$, with section $t: B \to E$:

$$E \times_B A \xrightarrow{(t \circ f, 1_A)} A$$
$$\pi_1 \left| \uparrow (1_E, s \circ p) \quad f \right| \uparrow s$$
$$E \xrightarrow{t} B.$$

Then $(1_E, s \circ p)$ and $(t \circ f, 1_A)$ are jointly epimorphic.

PROOF. Let $(e, a) \in E \times_B A$; we shall show that (e, a) can be rewritten as a product of two elements in the images of $(1_E, s \circ p)$ and $(t \circ f, 1_A)$, respectively. Since the split epimorphism f is in Σ , there exists an element $k_a \in f^{-1}(f(a))$ such that $sf(a) \triangleleft k_a = a$. Also, we always have $e = (e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e)) \triangleleft tp(e)$. Accordingly, by using the fact that $f(a) = f(k_a)$ and p(e) = f(a), we see that

$$(e, a) = ((e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e)) \triangleleft tp(e), sf(a) \triangleleft k_a)$$

= $(e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e), sf(a)) \triangleleft (tp(e), k_a)$
= $(e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e), sp(e)) \triangleleft (tf(k_a), k_a)$
= $(e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e), sp(e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e))) \triangleleft (tf(k_a), k_a)$
= $(1_E, s \circ p)(e \triangleleft^{-1} tp(e)) \triangleleft (t \circ f, 1_A)(k_a).$

208

3.7. COROLLARY. Let R be an equivalence relation and S be a Σ -equivalence relation on the same quandle A in Qnd. If there is a connector on R and S, then it is unique.

PROOF. This follows directly from Lemma 3.6.

3.8. LEMMA. Let R be an equivalence relation and S be a Σ -equivalence relation on the same quandle A. For a homomorphism $p: R \times_A S \to A$, the following conditions are equivalent :

1. p is a partial Mal'tsev operation: p(x, y, y) = x and p(x, x, y) = y;

2. p is a connector between R and S.

PROOF. This result is easily checked and also follows from Lemma 3.6.

From now on, we shall say that a surjective homomorphism with abelian symmetric fibers $f: A \to B$ in Qnd is an *algebraically central extension* if its kernel congruence $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ is algebraically central: there is a connector between $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ and $A \times A$.

3.9. LEMMA. Let $f: A \to B$ be an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers, then $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ is isomorphic to a product $Q \times A$, where Q is an abelian symmetric quandle.

PROOF. Let C be the centralizing relation on Eq(f) and $A \times A$; consider the following diagram



where q is the coequalizer of c_1 and c_2 . By the Barr-Kock theorem [1, 5], the lower squares are pullbacks. By Lemma 3.5, the homomorphism $Q \to 1$ has abelian symmetric fibers, hence Q is an abelian symmetric quandle.

The results in [2] will be useful to show that the category of abelian symmetric quandles is admissible with respect to the class of surjective homomorphisms in the category of quandles. In the following we shall characterize categorically central and normal extensions in Qnd with respect to the adjunction between the category of quandles and the category of abelian symmetric quandles:



210 VALÉRIAN EVEN, MARINO GRAN AND ANDREA MONTOLI

Observe that each component of the unit of the adjunction is a surjective homomorphism, since AbSymQnd is a subvariety of Qnd, thus in particular it is stable in Qnd under subalgebras. The following theorem shows that the functor I preserves a certain type of pullbacks. This is equivalent to the admissibility condition of the subvariety AbSymQnd of Qnd.

3.10. THEOREM. In the previous adjunction, the reflector $I: \text{Qnd} \rightarrow \text{AbSymQnd}$ preserves all pullbacks in Qnd of the form

$$P \xrightarrow{p_2} H(X)$$

$$p_1 \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \phi$$

$$A \xrightarrow{f} H(Y)$$
(6)

where $\phi: H(X) \to H(Y)$ is a surjective homomorphism lying in the subcategory AbSymQnd and $f: A \to H(Y)$ is a surjective homomorphism.

PROOF. Consider the following commutative diagram where:

- the square on the back is the given pullback, where $\phi: H(X) \to H(Y)$ is a surjective homomorphism in the subcategory AbSymQnd;
- the universal property of the unit $\eta_P \colon P \to HI(P)$ induces a unique arrow $HI(p_2) \colon HI(P) \to H(X)$ with $HI(p_2) \circ \eta_P = p_2$;
- the universal property of the unit $\eta_A \colon A \to HI(A)$ induces a unique arrow $HI(f) \colon HI(A) \to H(Y)$ with $HI(f) \circ \eta_A = f$;
- (P', π_1, π_2) is the pullback of $HI(p_1)$ along η_A .



The quandle homomorphism p_1 is Σ -special by Lemma 3.5 since ϕ has abelian symmetric fibers, thus the homomorphism γ is surjective by Corollary 2.9. The fact that $\pi_1 \circ \gamma = p_1$ and $HI(p_2) \circ \pi_2 \circ \gamma = p_2$ implies that γ is also injective. Indeed, this latter property follows from the fact that the pullback projections p_1 and p_2 are jointly monomorphic.

Accordingly, the arrow γ is bijective, thus an isomorphism. Since η_A is a surjective homomorphism it follows that the right face of the diagram is a pullback (see Proposition 2.7 in [17], for instance), and the pullback 6 is preserved by the functor I, as desired.

3.11. COROLLARY. The functor I preserves products of the type $A \times Q$ where Q is an abelian symmetric quandle and A is any quandle.

PROOF. Remark that $A \times Q$ is the following pullback

where 1 is the terminal object in Qnd, i.e. the trivial quandle with one element. 3.12. LEMMA. Consider the following pullback

$$E \times_{B} A \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} A$$

$$\pi_{1} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$$

$$E \xrightarrow{p} B.$$

$$(7)$$

If f is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers, then π_1 is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers.

Moreover, if $p: E \to B$ is a surjective homomorphism, then f is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers if π_1 is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers.

PROOF. First remark that we already know that the property of having abelian symmetric fibers is preserved and reflected by pullbacks along surjective homomorphisms by Lemma 3.5.

Let $f: A \to B$ be an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers. Write $p_f: A \times \mathsf{Eq}(f) \to A$ for the connector between $A \times A$ and $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$. Define the quandle homomorphism $p_{\pi_1}: (E \times_B A) \times \mathsf{Eq}(\pi_1) \to E \times_B A$ as $p_{\pi_1}((e, a), (e', b), (e', c)) = (e, p_f(a, b, c))$. We have

$$p_{\pi_1}((e,a), (e',b), (e',b)) = (e, p_f(a,b,b)) = (e,a)$$

and

$$p_{\pi_1}((e,a), (e,a), (e,b)) = (e, p_f(a,a,b)) = (e,b).$$

It is then a connector by Lemma 3.8.

Now let $\pi_1: E \times_B A \to E$ be an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers. Write $p_{\pi_1}: (E \times_B A) \times \mathsf{Eq}(\pi_1) \to E \times_B A$ for the connector between $(E \times_B A) \times$

 $(E \times_B A)$ and $\mathsf{Eq}(\pi_1)$. The surjectivity of $p: E \to B$ implies the surjectivity of the homomorphism $\widehat{\pi}_2: (E \times_B A) \times \mathsf{Eq}(\pi_1) \to A \times \mathsf{Eq}(f)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\pi}_2((e,a), (e',b), (e',c)) = (a,b,c)$$

First let us show that $\mathsf{Eq}(\widehat{\pi}_2) \subset \mathsf{Eq}(\pi_2 \circ p_{\pi_1})$. Let

$$(((e_0, a), (e'_0, b), (e'_0, c)), ((e_1, a), (e'_1, b), (e'_1, c))) \in \mathsf{Eq}(\widehat{\pi_2}).$$

Since f has abelian symmetric fibers by Lemma 3.5, it is Σ -special by Proposition 3.3. This means that the split epimorphism $\mathsf{Eq}(f) \xleftarrow{\delta_f}{f_1} A$ is in Σ . In other terms, for all $b \in A$ and all $(b, c) \in f_1^{-1}(b)$ there exists $k_{(b,c)} \in f_1^{-1}(b)$, where $k_{(b,c)} = (b, k_c)$, such that $(b, b) \triangleleft k_{(b,c)} = (b, c)$. Such a $k_{(b,c)} = (b, k_c)$ is unique by Remark 3.4: it follows that, for any $(b, c) \in \mathsf{Eq}(f)$, the element $k_c \in A$ such that $f(k_c) = f(b) = f(c)$ and $b \triangleleft k_c = c$ is unique. Then, for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, we have

$$((e_i, a), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, c)) = ((e_i, a) \triangleleft^{-1} (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b)) \triangleleft ((e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, k_c)).$$

Consequently we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2 \circ p_{\pi_1}((e_i, a), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, c)) \\ &= \pi_2 \circ p_{\pi_1}(((e_i, a) \triangleleft^{-1} (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b)) \triangleleft ((e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, k_c))) \\ &= \pi_2(p_{\pi_1}((e_i, a) \triangleleft^{-1} (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, b)) \triangleleft p_{\pi_1}((e'_i, b), (e'_i, b), (e'_i, k_c))) \\ &= \pi_2(((e_i, a) \triangleleft^{-1} (e'_i, b)) \triangleleft (e'_i, k_c)) \\ &= \pi_2((e_i \triangleleft^{-1} e'_i) \triangleleft e'_i, (a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft k_c) = (a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft k_c \end{aligned}$$

for both $i \in \{0, 1\}$. This implies that $\mathsf{Eq}(\widehat{\pi}_2) \subset \mathsf{Eq}(\pi_2 \circ p_{\pi_1})$, and there is then a unique quandle homomorphism $p_f \colon A \times \mathsf{Eq}(f) \to A$ such that $p_f \circ \widehat{\pi}_2 = \pi_2 \circ p_{\pi_1}$, i.e. $p_f(a, b, c) = (a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft k_c$ where k_c is the unique element such that $b \triangleleft k_c = c$ as above. Moreover, we have

$$p_f(a, b, b) = (a \triangleleft^{-1} b) \triangleleft b = a$$

for $(a, b, b) \in A \times \mathsf{Eq}(f)$ and

$$p_f(a, a, b) = (a \triangleleft^{-1} a) \triangleleft k_b = a \triangleleft k_b = b$$

for $(a, a, b) \in A \times \mathsf{Eq}(f)$, so p_f is a connector by Lemma 3.8.

Before stating our main result, we recall that a surjective homomorphism $f: A \to B$ is a *normal extension* when the homomorphism f_1 in the pullback of f along itself is a trivial extension



(see the Introduction for the definitions of trivial extension and of central extension).

3.13. THEOREM. Given a surjective homomorphism $f: A \to B$ in Qnd, the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. f is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers;
- 2. f is a normal extension;
- 3. f is a central extension.

PROOF. Let $f: A \to B$ be an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers, then its kernel pair $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ is isomorphic to a product $Q \times A$ with Q an abelian symmetric quandle by Lemma 3.9. Corollary 3.11 shows that f is then a normal extension.

Every normal extension is a central extension.

Let $f: A \to B$ be a central extension. Then there is a surjective homomorphism $p: E \to B$ such that the first projection $\pi_1: E \times_A B \to E$ in the pullback (7) is a trivial extension. Then $f: A \to B$ is an algebraically central extension with abelian symmetric fibers by Lemma 3.12, because π_1 is the pullback of a morphism lying in AbSymQnd.

3.14. REMARK. Note that there are surjective homomorphisms with abelian symmetric fibers that are not algebraically central. Take for instance the quandle A given by the following table :

and the quandle homomorphism $f: A \to \{x, y\}$ defined by f(a) = f(b) = f(c) = x and f(d) = y. Its kernel pair $\mathsf{Eq}(f)$ has 10 elements, and thus can't be isomorphic to a product $A \times Q$ with Q an abelian symmetric quandles since A has 4 elements.

3.15. REMARK. There are surjective algebraically central homomorphisms that do not have symmetric fibers. Consider the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, +, \overline{0})$ and endow its underlying set with the trivial quandle structure $a \triangleleft b = a$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Remark that the group operation is a quandle homomorphism:

$$(a \triangleleft b) + (a' \triangleleft b') = a + a' = (a + a') \triangleleft (b + b').$$

It follows that the Mal'tsev operation $p: \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined by p(a, b, c) = a - b + c is a connector between the congruences $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/2 \to 1$ is then an algebraically central extension, whose (unique) fiber is not symmetric, since $\overline{0} \triangleleft \overline{1} = \overline{0} \neq \overline{1} = \overline{1} \triangleleft \overline{0}$.

214 VALÉRIAN EVEN, MARINO GRAN AND ANDREA MONTOLI

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Centre for Mathematics of the University of Coimbra – UID/MAT/00324/2013, funded by the Portuguese Government through FCT/MCTES and co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund through the Partnership Agreement PT2020, by the FCT grant number SFRH/BPD/69661/2010, and by a FNRS grant *Crédit pour bref séjour à l'étranger* that has allowed the first author to visit the Universidade de Coimbra for a research visit during which this article was completed. The third author is a Postdoctoral Researcher of the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique-FNRS.

References

- [1] M. Barr, *Exact Categories*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 236, Springer, 1-120 (1971).
- [2] D. Bourn, A structural aspect of the category of quandles, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 24, 12, (2015) 1550060.
- [3] D. Bourn, Partial Maltsevness and partial protomodularity, arXiv:1507.02886, 2015, 39 pp.
- [4] D. Bourn, M. Gran Centrality and connectors in Maltsev categories, Algebra Universalis, 48 (3), 2002, 309-331.
- [5] D. Bourn, M. Gran, Regular, protomodular and abelian categories, in Categorical Foundations - Special Topics in Order, Topology, Algebra and Sheaf Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Encycl. Mathem. Appl. 97, 2004, 165-211.
- [6] D. Bourn, N. Martins-Ferreira, A. Montoli, M. Sobral, Schreier split epimorphisms in monoids and in semirings, Textos de Matemática (Série B), Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra, vol. 45 (2013).
- [7] D. Bourn, N. Martins-Ferreira, A. Montoli, M. Sobral, Monoids and pointed Sprotomodular categories, accepted for publication in Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 2015.
- [8] S. Burris, H.P. Sankappannavar, A course in universal algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 78, Springer-Verlag (1981).
- [9] A. Carboni, G.M. Kelly, M.C. Pedicchio, Some remarks on Maltsev and Goursat categories, Appl. Categ. Struct. 1 (1993) 385-421.
- [10] V. Even, M. Gran, On factorization systems for surjective quandle homomorphisms, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 23, 11, (2014) 1450060.

- [11] V. Even, M. Gran, Closure operators in the category of quandles, Topology and its Applications 200 (2016) 237-250.
- [12] T. Everaert, Higher central extensions in Mal'tsev categories, Appl. Categ. Structures 22 (2014) 961-979.
- [13] R. Freese, R. Mc Kenzie, Commutator theory for congruence modular varieties, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Series 125, Cambridge Univ. press, 1987.
- [14] M. Gran, Central extensions and internal groupoids in Maltsev categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 155 (2001) 139-166.
- [15] M. Gran, Central extensions in modular varieties, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 174 (2002) 249-261.
- [16] G. Janelidze, Pure Galois Theory in Categories, J. Algebra 132, (1991) 270-286.
- [17] G. Janelidze, G. M. Kelly, Galois theory and a general notion of central extension, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 97 (1994) 135-161.
- [18] G. Janelidze, G.M. Kelly, Central extensions in universal algebra: a unifcation of three notions, Algebra Universalis 44 (2000) 123-128.
- [19] P.T. Johnstone, Affine categories and naturally Mal'cev categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 61 (1989) 251-256.
- [20] D. Joyce, A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 23 (1982) 37-65.
- [21] J.D.H. Smith, Mal'cev Varieties, Lecture Notes in Math. 554 (1976).
- [22] J.-P. Soublin, Étude algébrique de la notion de moyenne, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 50 (1971) 53-264.

Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique, Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium CMUC Universidade de Coimbra Apartado 3008, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal and Institut de Recherche en Mathématique et Physique,

216 VALÉRIAN EVEN, MARINO GRAN AND ANDREA MONTOLI

Université catholique de Louvain, Chemin du Cyclotron 2, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Email: valerian.even@uclouvain.be marino.gran@uclouvain.be montoli@mat.uc.pt

This article may be accessed at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

Full text of the journal is freely available from the journal's server at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/. It is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION Individual subscribers receive abstracts of articles by e-mail as they are published. To subscribe, send e-mail to tac@mta.ca including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor, Robert Rosebrugh, rrosebrugh@mta.ca.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS The typesetting language of the journal is T_EX , and IAT_EX2e is required. Articles in PDF format may be submitted by e-mail directly to a Transmitting Editor. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files at http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: rrosebrugh@mta.ca

TEXNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: barr@math.mcgill.ca

ASSISTANT $T_{\!E\!}\!X$ EDITOR. Gavin Seal, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: gavin_seal@fastmail.fm

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Clemens Berger, Université de Nice-Sophia Antipolis: cberger@math.unice.fr Richard Blute, Université d'Ottawa: rblute@uottawa.ca Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: breen@math.univ-paris13.fr Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: ronnie.profbrown(at)btinternet.com Valeria de Paiva: Nuance Communications Inc: valeria.depaiva@gmail.com Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: getzler(at)northwestern(dot)edu Kathryn Hess, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne: kathryn.hess@epfl.ch Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: M.Hyland@dpmms.cam.ac.uk Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: kock@imf.au.dk Stephen Lack, Macquarie University: steve.lack@mq.edu.au F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: wlawvere@buffalo.edu Tom Leinster, University of Edinburgh: Tom.Leinster@ed.ac.uk Ieke Moerdijk, Radboud University Nijmegen: i.moerdijk@math.ru.nl Susan Niefield, Union College: niefiels@union.edu Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: pare@mathstat.dal.ca Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: rosicky@math.muni.cz Giuseppe Rosolini, Università di Genova: rosolini@disi.unige.it Alex Simpson, University of Ljubljana: Alex.Simpson@fmf.uni-lj.si James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: jds@math.upenn.edu Ross Street, Macquarie University: street@math.mg.edu.au Walter Tholen, York University: tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca Myles Tierney, Université du Québec à Montréal : tierney.myles40gmail.com R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca