The Behavior of Small Sets under the Product Operation

Aleks Kirtadze*

Georgian Technical University, 77 Kostava St., 0175, Tbilisi, Georgia TSU A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, 6 Tamarashvili St., 0177, Tbilisi, Georgia (Received June 01, 2019; Revised November 21, 2019; Accepted December 02, 2019)

For invariant (quasi-invariant) σ -finite measures on an uncountable group (G, \cdot) , the behavior of measure zero sets with respect to the product operation is studied.

Keywords: Invariant measure, Measure zero set, Absolutely negligible set.

AMS Subject Classification: 28A05, 28D05.

Dedicated to the 100-th Anniversary of Professor Sh. Pkhakadze.

Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary group and let μ be a nonzero σ -finite G-invariant (more generally, G-quasiinvariant) measure defined on some σ -algebra of subsets of G. We recall that the symbol $I(\mu)$ denotes the σ -ideal of subsets of G, generated by the family of all μ -measure zero sets. Members of $I(\mu)$ are usually called small sets with respect to the given measure μ .

Throughout this article, we use the following standard notation:

R is the set of all real numbers;

N is the set of all natural numbers;

 $dom(\mu)$ is the domain of a given measure μ ;

ran(f) is the range of a given function f;

 ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal number;

 $X \cdot Y$ is the product of two sets X and Y in (G, \cdot) , i.e.,

$$X \cdot Y = \{x \cdot y : x \in X, y \in Y\};$$

 $\mu_1 \supset \mu$ - a measure μ_1 is extension of the given measure μ .

In the present paper an approach to some questions of the theory of invariant (quasi-invariant) measures is discussed. Such an approach is useful in certain situations, where given groups are equipped with various nonzero σ -finite left invariant (left quasi-invariant) measures.

We would like to consider some general method which is oriented to the study of invariant (quasi-invariant) measures. This method is purely algebraic and turns out to be helpful in various questions of measure theory. We call it the method of surjective homomorphisms.

^{*} Email: kirtadze2@yahoo.com

ISSN: 1512-0082 print © 2019 Tbilisi University Press

Let (G_1, \cdot) and (G_2, \cdot) be arbitrary uncountable groups. Let the group G_2 be equipped with a σ -finite G_2 -left-invariant (G_2 -left-quasi-invariant) measure μ and let

$$\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$$

be a surjective homomorphism. Consider the family of sets

$$S = \{\varphi^{-1}(Y) : Y \in dom(\mu)\}$$

and define a functional ν on this family by putting

$$\nu(\varphi^{-1}(Y)) = \mu(Y),$$

where $Y \in dom(\mu)$.

Then this functional ν is a measure satisfying the following relations:

(a) $S = dom(\nu)$ is a G_1 -left-invariant σ -algebra of subsets of G_1 ;

(b) ν is a non-atomic σ -finite G_1 -left-invariant (left-quasi-invariant) measure on S.

We need several auxiliary statements which play an essential role for our future purpose.

The following statement is valid.

Lemma 1: Let (G_1, \cdot) and (G_2, \cdot) be arbitrary uncountable groups. Let the group G_2 be equipped with a σ -finite G_2 -left-invariant (G_2 -left-quasi-invariant) measure μ and let

$$\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$$

If a measure μ' is some σ -finite G_2 -left-invariant (G_2 -left-quasi-invariant) extension of measure μ on G_2 , then ν' is σ -finite G_1 -left-invariant (G_1 -left-quasiinvariant) extension of the measure ν on G_1 , where ν and ν' are measures respectively corresponding to μ and μ' under the surjective homomorphism φ (as described above).

The proof of Lemma 1 can be found in [13].

It is well known that the class of small sets stable under the operation of countable unions. It is natural to investigate the analogous question under the product operation.

Proposition: If μ is an arbitrary measure on (G, \cdot) , then the following two assertions are equivalent:

a) there exist two sets $X \in I(\mu)$ and $Y \in I(\mu)$ such that $X \cdot Y = G$;

b) there exists a set $X \in I(\mu)$ such that $X \cdot X = G$.

If **R** is the real line, then for $G = \mathbf{R}$ and for $\mu = \lambda$, where λ denotes the standard Lebesgue measure on **R**, the validity of assertion a) is well known. Starting with a) and applying a Hamel basis of **R**, W. Sierpinski has established that there exist two sets $X \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $Y \subset \mathbf{R}$, satisfying the relations

$$X \in I(\lambda), Y \in I(\lambda), X + Y \notin dom(\lambda),$$

where

$$X + Y = \{x + y : x \in X, y \in Y\}.$$

For more details, see [5], [6]. Some generalization of this result for uncountable vector spaces over \mathbf{Q} and for quasi-invariant extensions of measures on such spaces can be found in [5]. Similar properties of algebraic sums of subsets of the real line \mathbf{R} are also discussed in [9], [10].

It is reasonable to ask whether similar statements hold in more general situations when no topology is considered on a given group. Namely, it is natural to pose the following question:

let (G, \cdot) be an uncountable group equipped with a nonzero σ -finite complete G-invariant (G-quasiinvariant) measure μ .

Do there exist two sets $X \in I(\mu)$ and $Y \in I(\mu)$ whose algebraic sum $X \cdot Y$ does not belong to $dom(\mu)$.

Notice that, for an arbitrary uncountable commutative group (G, +) and for a nonzero σ -finite complete *G*-invariant (*G*-quasiinvariant) measure μ on *G*, we do not have a direct analogue of this question.

Therefore, the formulation of the question posed above should be replaced by another one. Namely, the following problem is of interest from the measure-theoretical point of view.

Let (G, \cdot) be an uncountable group and let μ be a nonzero σ -finite left G-invariant (left G-quasiinvariant) measure on G.

Does there exist a left G-invariant (left G-quasiinvariant) measure μ' on G extending μ and such that for some sets $X \in I(\mu')$ and $Y \in I(\mu')$, the relation

$$X \cdot Y \not\in dom(\mu')$$

 $is \ satisfied?$

In this question for an uncountable commutative group (G, +) the following statement is valid.

Theorem 1: Let (G, +) be an uncountable commutative group and let μ be a nonzero σ -finite G-invariant (G-quasi-invariant) measure on G. There exists a G-invariant (G-quasi-invariant) complete measure $\hat{\mu}$ on G extending μ and such that, for some two sets $X \in I(\hat{\mu})$ and $Y \in I(\hat{\mu})$, the relation

$$X + Y \not\in dom(\hat{\mu})$$

is satisfied.

For a proof of Theorem 1, see [7], [9]. Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary uncountable group. **Lemma 2:** Let (H, \cdot) be an uncountable group (commutative or noncommutative) and let μ be a nonzero σ -finite H-invariant measure on H. If

$$\varphi: G \to H$$

is a surjective homomorphism and there exist a nonzero σ -finite H-left invariant measure $\mu' \supset \mu$ and two sets $X \in I(\mu')$ and $Y \in I(\mu')$ on H such that

$$X \otimes Y \not\in dom(\mu'),$$

then there exist measures ν and ν' on G and two sets $X' \in I(\nu')$ and $Y' \in I(\nu')$ on G for which the following relations are satisfied:

 $(a)\nu'\supset\nu;$

(b) $X' \cdot Y' \notin dom(\nu');$

(c) ν and ν' are G-left invariant measures on G.

Proof: Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary uncountable group and let (H, \otimes) be an uncountable group satisfying the above-mentioned conditions.

Consider the families of sets

$$S_1 = \{\varphi^{-1}(Z) : Z \in dom(\mu)\},\$$

$$S_2 = \{\varphi^{-1}(Z') : Z' \in dom(\mu')\}$$

and define the functional ν and ν' by the formulas:

$$\nu(\varphi^{-1}(Z)) = \mu(Z) \qquad (Z \in dom(\mu)),$$

$$\nu'(\varphi^{-1}(Z')) = \mu'(Z') \qquad (Z' \in dom(\mu')).$$

It is clear that:

(a) the definitions of measure ν and ν' are correct, because φ is a surjection;

(b) S_1 and S_1 are *G*-invariant σ -algebras of subsets of *G*;

- (c) ν and ν' are σ -finite measures on S_1 and S_1 , respectively;
- (d) $\nu' \supset \nu$.

Let $X' = \varphi^{-1}(X)$ and $Y' = \varphi^{-1}(Y)$.

Since we have the following relations

$$X' \cdot Y' = \varphi^{-1}(X) \cdot \varphi^{-1}(Y) = \varphi^{-1}(X \cdot Y),$$

and taking into account our assumption on X and Y, we infer that there exist two sets X' and Y' on G such that

$$X' \cdot Y' \not\in dom(\nu')$$

which ends the proof of Lemma 2.

Let us introduce one important notion from the theory of left-invariant (leftquasi-invariant) measures(cf.[4], [7], [14]).

Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary group and let $Y \subset G$. We say that Y is G-absolutely negligible in G if, for any σ -finite G-left-invariant (left-quasi-invariant) measure μ on G, there exists a G-left-invariant (left-quasi-invariant) measure μ' on G extending μ and such that $\mu'(Y) = 0$.

Lemma 3: Let (G_1, \cdot) and (G_2, \cdot) be two groups, let

 $\varphi: G_1 \to G_2$

be a surjective homomorphism and let Y be a G_2 -absolutely negligible subset of G_2 . Then the set $X = \varphi^{-1}(Y)$ is G_1 -absolutely negligible in G_1 .

For a proof of Lemma 3, see [10].

Remark 1: Let $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the class of all those nonzero σ -finite measures on \mathbf{R}^n , which are invariant (quasi-invariant) under the group of all translations of \mathbf{R}^n . In the paper [8] it was proved that there exists a Mazurkiewicz set which is a Hamel basis of \mathbf{R}^2 and, consequently, is absolutely negligible with respect to $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. According to this fact we deduce that the projection of Mazurkiewicz set on the real line \mathbf{R} coincides with \mathbf{R} . This fact shows that a homomorphic image of an absolutely negligible set, in general, is not an absolutely negligible set.

We need an auxiliary proposition which yields a purely algebraic characterization of absolutely negligible sets and plays an essential role in the investigation of various properties of such sets.

Remark 2: Let (G, \cdot) be an arbitrary uncountable group and let Y be a subset of G. Then the following two relations are equivalent:

1) Y is a G-absolutely negligible set in G;

2) for each countable family $\{g_i : i \in I\}$ of elements from G, there exists a countable family $\{h_j : j \in J\}$ of elements from G, satisfying the equality

$$\cap_{j\in J}(h_j\cdot(\cup_{i\in I}(g_i\cdot Y))=\emptyset.$$

For the proof of this equivalence, see e.g. [6] or [7].

Lemma 4: Let (G, \cdot) be an uncountable group and let

$$G = G_1 \times G_2$$
 $(G_1 \cap G_2 = \{e\})$

be a representation of G in the form of the direct product of its two subgroups G_1 and G_2 . Suppose also that a set $Y \subset G_1$ is G_1 -absolutely negligible in G_1 . Then the set $Y \times G_2$ turns out to be G-absolutely negligible in G.

Proof: Consider the canonical projection pr_1 of the group G onto group G_1 and denote it by φ . Then Lemma 3 gives at once the required result.

From the above lemmas we readily obtain the following statement.

Theorem 2: Let (G, \cdot) an (H, \cdot) be arbitrary uncountable groups and let

 $\varphi:G\to H$

be a surjective homomorphism. Let μ be a nonzero σ -finite H-left invariant measure on H. If there exist a nonzero σ -finite H-left invariant (H-left-quasi-invariant)

measure $\mu' \supset \mu$ on H and two absolutely negligible sets X and Y such that

 $X \cdot Y \not\in dom(\mu'),$

then there exist nonzero σ -finite G-left invariant (G-left-quasi-invariant) measures ν and ν' satisfying the following relations:

(1) ν' is a nonzero σ -finite G-left invariant (G-left-quasi-invariant) measure on G;

(2) $\nu' \supset \nu$;

(3) there exist two absolutely negligible sets X' and Y' such that $X' \cdot Y' \notin dom(\nu')$.

Remark 3: If (G, \cdot) is an uncountable commutative group, then the existence of two absolutely negligible sets X and Y such that $X + Y \notin dom(\mu')$ is guaranteed by Theorem 1.

This work was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation of Georgia (SRNSFG), Grant FR-18-6190.

References

- J. Cichon, A. Jasinski, A note on algebraic sums of subsets of the real line, Real Analysis Exchange, 28, 2 (2002-2003)
- K. Ciesielski, Measure zero sets whose complex sum is nonmeasurable, Real Analysis Exchange, 26, 2 (2000-2001), 919-922
- [3] K. Ciesielski, H. Fejzic, C. Freiling, Measure zero sets with nonmeasurable sum, Real Analysis Exchange, 27, 2 (2001-2002), 783-794
- [12] A. Kharazishvili, Invariant extensions of the Lebesgue measure (Russian) Tbilisi University Press, Tbilisi, 1983
- [5] A. Kharazishvili, On vector sums of measure zero sets, Georgian Math. Journal, 8, 3 (2001), 493-498
 [6] A. Kharazishvili, Transformation Groups and Invariant Measures, (set-theoretical aspects). World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1998
- [7] A. Kharazishvili, *Topics in Measure Theory and Real Analysis*, Atlantic Press, Amsterdam-Paris, 2009
- [8] A. Kharazishvili, On Mazurkiewiicz sets from the measure-theoretical point of view, Bulletin of TICMI, **21**, 1 (2017), 45-54
- [9] A. Kharazishvili, A. Kirtadze, On measurability of algebraic sums of small sets, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., 45, 3 (2008), 433-442
- [10] A. Kharazishvili, A. Kirtadze, On algebraic sums of absolutely negligible sets, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 136 (2004), 55-61
- [11] A. Kharazishvili A., Kirtadze, On algebraic sums of of measure zero sets, in uncountable commutative groups, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 135 (2004), 97-103
- [12] A. Kirtadze, On the method of direct products in the theory of quasi-invariant measures, Georgian Math. J. 12, 1 (2005), 115-120
- [13] A. Kirtadze, N. Rusiashvili, On some methods of extending invariant and quasi-invariant measures, Transactions of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, 172 (2018), 58-63
- Sh. Pkhakadze, The theory of Lebesgue measure (Russian), Proc. A Razmadze Mat. Inst., 25 (1958), 3-271
- [15] W. Sierpinski, Sur la question de la mesurabilite de la base de M.Hamel, Fund. Math., 1 (1920), 105-111