Algebraic & Geometric Topology Volume 1 (2001) 369{380 Published: 3 June 2001



Topological geodesics and virtual rigidity

Louis Funar Siddhartha Gadgil

Abstract We introduce the notion of a topological geodesic in a 3-manifold. Under suitable hypotheses on the fundamental group, for instance word-hyperbolicity, topological geodesics are shown to have the useful properties of, and play the same role in several applications as, geodesics in negatively curved spaces. This permits us to obtain virtual rigidity results for 3-manifolds.

AMS Classi cation 57M10, 20F67; 57M50

Keywords Topological geodesic, word-hyperbolic group, residually nite, universal cover, virtual rigidity.

Geodesics in Riemannian manifolds with metrics of negative sectional curvature play an essential role in geometry. We show here that, in the case of 3-dimensional manifolds, many crucial properties of geodesics follow from a purely topological characterization in terms of *knotting*. In particular, we prove two results concerning the *virtual rigidity* of 3-manifolds following the methods of Gabai [5].

We introduce the notion of a *topological geodesic* in a 3-manifold. We shall prove basic existence and uniqueness results for topological geodesics under suitable hypotheses on the fundamental group.

Suppose henceforth that M is a closed 3-manifold with word-hyperbolic (or semi-hyperbolic) $_1(M)$. We refer to the next section for the de nition of the semi-hyperbolicity, following Alonso and Bridson. We adopt the convention here that nite groups are not semi-hyperbolic, hence all closed 3-manifolds we consider have in nite fundamental group unless the opposite is explicitly stated. In particular, the universal cover \widehat{M} of M is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 (see [2, 9]).

De nition 0.1 An embedded curve in \mathcal{M} is a topological geodesic if a component e of its inverse image in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is unknotted.

Remark This is equivalent to saying that every component of its inverse image is unknotted. One observes that such a component is either a circle when is torsion in $_1(\mathcal{M})$ or a proper line in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Under the hypothesis that \mathcal{M} is word-hyperbolic (or semi-hyperbolic) we have the following existence theorem.

Theorem (See theorem 1.2 and corollary 1.4) Let M be an irreducible 3-manifold with $_1(M)$ word-hyperbolic (or semi-hyperbolic). Then every conjugacy class in $_1(M)$ is represented by a topological geodesic.

If one further assumes that $_1(M)$ is residually nite, we have an uniqueness result.

Theorem (See theorem 4.3) Let M be an irreducible 3-manifold with $_1(M)$ word-hyperbolic and residually nite. Suppose c and c^0 are homotopic topological geodesics in M representing a primitive class in $_1(M)$ (i.e., not a multiple of any other class), then there exists a nite cover M^0 of M such that c and c^0 lift to isotopic curves in M^0 .

In the case of a geodesic in a Riemannian manifold, the exponential map is a surjection on a neighbourhood of , allowing one to construct a tubular neighbourhood. In the case of negative sectional curvature one can do more. Namely, a Hadamard-Cartan type argument allows one to construct thick tubular neighbourhoods when the injectivity radius is large. Thus, on passing to covers, we can ensure that we have thick tubes around a geodesic if the fundamental group is residually nite.

Again, we have an analogue of this property in the case of topological geodesics. This result is essentially present in the work of Gabai [5], who basically shows that thick tubes are present when topological geodesics exist and $_1(M)$ is residually nite. Thus, the notion of topological geodesics, from the point of view of thick tubes, is implicit in Gabai's work.

Theorem (See theorem 2.1) Let $2_1(M)$ be a primitive element and let $k \ 2\mathbb{R}$. Then there is a geodesic c M and a nite cover M^{\emptyset} of M such that c lifts to M^{\emptyset} and there is an embedded solid torus Q that contains c and so that d(@Q;c) is larger than k and $Q - int(N(c)) = T^2$ [0;1].

Remark Topological geodesics arise naturally while studying elliptic 3-manifolds. For instance, whether homotopy lens spaces are lens spaces is equivalent to the existence of (the analogue of) a topological geodesic. Further, lens spaces can be distinguished by considering the homotopy classes of topological geodesics in a given manifold.

We now turn to applications. A basic question in topology is to what extent the homotopy type of a manifold determines the manifold. For aspherical manifolds, in particular irreducible 3-manifolds with in nite fundamental group, conjecturally, pairs of homotopy equivalent manifolds are always homeomorphic.

One of the fundamental theorems in 3-manifold topology, due to Waldhausen, asserts that this is so for so-called Haken 3-manifolds. These include irreducible manifolds with non-trivial boundary. Following Gabai [5], we prove rigidity results by deleting solid tori and reducing to the case of manifolds with boundary. Partial results along these lines have also been obtained by Dubois [4] who simplified the previous proof by Gabai.

Our next result is that a large class of 3-manifolds are *virtually rigid*, i.e., pairs of homotopy equivalent manifolds have nite covers which are homeomorphic. Gabai [5] has shown this assuming residual niteness and essentially the existence of topological geodesics.

Theorem 0.1 Suppose M is a closed, irreducible 3-manifold with $_1(M)$ in nite, residually nite and word-hyperbolic (or semi-hyperbolic). Then if f: M! N is a homotopy equivalence, there exist nite covers M^{\emptyset} and N^{\emptyset} of M and N and a lift $f^{\emptyset}: M^{\emptyset}! N^{\emptyset}$ of f which is homotopic to a homeomorphism.

Remark We only use word-hyperbolicity to show the tameness of certain covers of \mathcal{M} , which also follows under some weaker hypotheses.

Our proof (which is already present in Gabai's paper) is a generalization of that of Gabai asserting the same when N is hyperbolic. While this has subsequently been strengthened to showing rigidity for such manifolds (see [6] and [7]), the methods used are rather special to hyperbolic manifolds and are unlikely to generalise.

We also prove, under the same hypothesis, another rigidity result of a complementary nature. This uses both the existence and uniqueness of topological geodesics.

Theorem (See theorem 5.1) Let M be irreducible and with word-hyperbolic fundamental group. If f: M! M is a homeomorphism homotopic to the identity then there is a nite cover M^{\emptyset} of M and a lift $f^{\emptyset}: M^{\emptyset}! M^{\emptyset}$ of f such that f^{\emptyset} is isotopic to the identity.

Further applications of topological geodesics will be studied elsewhere.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Yair Minsky, Darren Long and David Gabai for helpful comments and conversations.

1 De nition and Existence

We assume henceforth that M is a closed, irreducible 3-manifold with $_1(M)$ word-hyperbolic, residually nite (and in nite). We shall generalize this to the semi-hyperbolic case, but we begin with the word-hyperbolic case which is easier. We make (as in the introduction) the following de nition.

De nition 1.1 An embedded curve in M is a topological geodesic if a component e of its inverse image in \widehat{M} is unknotted.

The existence of geodesics is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 For $2_{1}(M)$, let $M = \widehat{M} = hgi$ be the quotient of \widehat{M} by the the group of deck transformations generated by . Then $\widehat{M} = hgi = S^1 = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof As $_1(M)$ is word-hyperbolic, the universal cover \widehat{M} has a compactication to B^3 , and the action by deck transformations extends to B^3 . The action of has two xed points p and q, and acts properly discontinuously on $B^3 - fp$; qg with quotient $D^2 - S^1$. The result follows as M is the interior of this manifold.

Theorem 1.2 Let M be an irreducible 3-manifold with word-hyperbolic $_1(M)$. Then given $2_{-1}(M)$, there is a topological geodesic c that represents .

Proof We simply take the image in M of a curve c in M that is a core of the solid torus constructed above. It is easy to ensure that the image is embedded.

Remark The above theorem shows that there exists a topological geodesic representing every element in the fundamental group, rather than each conjugacy class of elements, which is the case for geodesics in a Riemannian manifold with negative sectional curvature. But given a geodesic representing an element, there is an obvious construction of a geodesic representing any conjugate element. So the real issue is that the topological geodesic representing a conjugacy class should be *unique*.

Next, we weaken the hypothesis on $_1(\mathcal{M})$. For the sake of completeness we outline the de nition of a semi-hyperbolic group below (see [1]).

De nition 1.2 For a metric space (X;d) set P(X) for the set of eventually constant maps $p: \mathbb{Z}_+$! X, thought as nite discrete paths in X. For p 2 P(X) one denotes by T_p the smallest integer at which p becomes constant. A *bicombing* of X consists of a choice of a (combing) path $s_{(X;Y)}$ 2 P(X) joining the points X and Y of X, for all X;Y 2 X.

$$d(s_{(x;y)}(t); s_{(x;y)}(t^{\emptyset})) jt - t^{\emptyset}j + ";$$

is ful lled for all $x; y \ 2 \ X$, $t; t^{\theta} \ 2 \ \mathbb{Z}_+$, thus the combing paths are uniformly closed to geodesics.

The bicombing s is bounded if there exist constants k_1 1; k_2 0 such that for all x; y; x^0 ; y^0 2 X; t 2 \mathbb{Z}_+ one has

$$d(s_{(x;y)}(t); s_{(x^0;y^0)}(t)) = k_1 \max f d(x; x^0); d(y; y^0)g + k_2:$$

The metric space X, acted upon isometrically by the discrete group , is said to be a *semi-hyperbolic -metric space* if it admits a bounded quasi-geodesic bicombing s which is -equivariant i.e. $s_{(x;y)}(t) = s_{(x;y)}(t)$ holds for all $x;y \ 2X$, $x \ 2$, $x \ 2Z_+$.

The (nitely generated) group is *semi-hyperbolic* if with the word metric (associated to some system of generators) is a semi-hyperbolic -metric space, with respect to the action by left multiplication.

The semi-hyperbolicity is independent on the system of generators. It is known that hyperbolic groups and biautomatic groups are semi-hyperbolic.

Lemma 1.3 Assume is an element of in nite order and $_1(M)$ is a CAT(0) group (i.e. it acts properly discontinuously and co-compactly by isometries on a geodesic CAT(0) metric space), or more generally a semi-hyperbolic group. Then the conclusion of lemma 1.1 holds true.

Proof Any in nite cyclic subgroup \mathbb{Z} $_1(M)$ yields a geodesic line in X by the Flat Torus Theorem (see [1, 13]) and so it is a quasi-convex subgroup (see [1], Thm.9.11 for details). The main theorem of [9] implies that $\widehat{M} = h i$ is a missing boundary manifold, hence the interior of a solid torus. In the semi-hyperbolic case an in nite cyclic group lies in the center of its centralizer (which is a nite extension of the in nite cyclic group) and the latter is both semi-hyperbolic and a quasi-convex subgroup of $_1(M)$.

Corollary 1.4 In the hypotheses of theorem 1.2 we may replace word-hyperbolic by semi-hyperbolic.

Thus, we may henceforth weaken our hypothesis on $_1(M)$ to require only semi-hyperbolicity in place of word hyperbolicity.

Using some results of M.Bridson one can extend the previous lemma to nil and sol 3-manifolds, thus holding true for all fundamental groups of geometric 3-manifolds. Notice that M.Kapovich has announced that atoroidal CAT(0) 3-manifold groups are word hyperbolic, which improves on a previous theorem of L.Mosher.

2 Thick tubes

In this section, we show that a geodesic c corresponding to a primitive element of $_1(M)$ has a *thick tube* around it in a nite cover, i.e., a solid torus Q that contains c and so that d(@Q;c) is large and $T - int(N(c)) = T^2$ [0;1]. The precise statement is below. Here, and henceforth, we x a metric on M and use the pull-back metric on all its covers

The construction is based on the fact due to Darren Long (see [8]) that in word-hyperbolic, or more generally atoroidal, groups, maximal cyclic groups are separable.

Theorem (D. Long) Let G be a residually nite group, 2G an element that generates a maximal abelian subgroup, and S a nite set disjoint from h i. Then there exists a subgroup H G with nite index such that 2H but $S \setminus H = j$.

We construct a thick tube in the cover \widehat{M} =h $i = S^1 \mathbb{R}^2$. This embeds in a cover where all other small elements are separated from such a group. In this cover we have a short curve (geodesic) in one homotopy class, but all closed

curves that are not homotopic to a power of this are long. The precise statement and proof are below.

Theorem 2.1 Let $2_1(M)$ be a primitive element and let $k \ 2 \ \mathbb{R}$. Then there is a geodesic $c \ M$ and a nite cover M^{\emptyset} of M such that c lifts to M^{\emptyset} and there is an embedded solid torus Q that contains c and so that d(@Q;c) > k and $Q - int(N(c)) = T^2$ [0;1].

Proof Consider the cover $M = \widehat{M} = hgi$ of M and pick base-points p of M and p^{\emptyset} of M. As M is homeomorphic to $S^1 = R^2$, there is a curve c and a solid torus Q embedded in M, with c based at p^{\emptyset} , so that c and Q are as required. We shall n and n ite cover M^{\emptyset} of M which is covered by M so that the image of Q embeds in M^{\emptyset} .

By the above theorem, there exists a subgroup H separating from S. Let M^{\emptyset} be the corresponding cover. It is easy to see that the elements of X map to di erent points in M^{\emptyset} , and hence Q embeds in M^{\emptyset} .

De nition 2.1 A *thick tube* around a geodesic c is an embedded solid torus Q that contains c such that $Q - int(N(c)) = T^2$ [0;1].

Observe that the components of the inverse image of a thick tube around a geodesic in \widehat{M} are unknotted.

3 Virtual rigidity

Gabai's proof of virtual rigidity (see [5]) now generalizes. Briefly, if there are knots K and K^{\emptyset} in M and N whose complements are irreducible and so that, possibly after changing f by a homotopy, $f(N(K)) = N(K^{\emptyset})$ and $f(M - int(N(K))) = N - int(N(K^{\emptyset}))$, then we can apply Waldhausen's result to the knot exteriors. Gabai's proof proceeds by showing that given a geodesic in M (which plays the role of K) with a thick tube around it, the image of this contains a solid torus W (which plays the role of $N(K^{\emptyset})$), so that f can be

deformed to a map that takes M - int(N()) to N - int(W). Waldhausen's theorem now shows rigidity.

The rest of the proof is exactly the same as that of Gabai (hyperbolicity is not used beyond this stage - only that the tori are far apart and topologically of a standard form). We outline below the main steps.

Let $g: N \mid M$ be the homotopy inverse of f. Let $K = f(S_2)$ and $J = N(K) \mid f$ (components of N - K disjoint from $f(S_1) \mid f(S_3)$). Then @J has two components, one of which bounds a region disjoint from J containing $f(S_1)$ and the other bounds a region disjoint from J containing $f(S_3)$. Further, J is irreducible and [K] generates $H_2(J) = \mathbb{Z}$. All this follows from the fact that $d(x;y) = C = f(x) \notin f(y)$.

Next, J contains a homologically non-trivial torus which bounds in N a solid torus W containing f(). This is constructed using the fact that the Thurston norm equals the singular norm, and that we have a singular torus T. Further, g: T ! N - int(W) and the inclusion T ! N - int(W) induce injections between the fundamental groups.

Now we can deform f and g so that they restrict to give a homotopy equivalence between $M - V_2$ and N - W. Waldhausen's theorem now gives the result.

4 Uniqueness

Lemma 4.1 (Engul ng lemma) Any curve d in M homotopic to a geodesic c is contained in a tube around the geodesic c in some n ite cover.

Proof As c and d are homotopic, there exists an annulus A with boundary components c and d. On passing to a cover with a su-ciently thick tube Q around c, the annulus A is contained in the tube Q. Hence d has a lift that is contained in Q.

Lemma 4.2 (Core lemma) If c and c^{ℓ} are homotopic geodesics and c^{ℓ} is contained in a thick tube Q around c, then c^{ℓ} is isotopic to c.

Proof Consider $_1(Q-c^{\emptyset})$. The inverse image of the solid torus Q in \widehat{M} contains an unknotted cylinder D that contains a unique component C^{\emptyset} of the inverse image of c^{\emptyset} . The group $_1(D-C^{\emptyset})=_1(\widehat{M}-C^{\emptyset})$ is the kernel of the map $_1(Q-c^{\emptyset})$! \mathbb{Z} that maps the longitude of the torus @Q (hence those of c and c^{\emptyset}), to 1 and the meridian to 0. As C^{\emptyset} is an unknot (because c^{\emptyset} is a geodesic) this kernel is \mathbb{Z} , hence $_1(Q-c^{\emptyset})=\mathbb{Z}^2$. This implies that c^{\emptyset} is isotopic to c.

Theorem 4.3 Let M be an irreducible 3-manifold with $_1(M)$ word-hyperbolic (or semi-hyperbolic) and residually nite. Suppose c and c^{ℓ} are homotopic topological geodesics in M representing a primitive class in $_1(M)$. Then there exists a nite cover M^{ℓ} of M such that c and c^{ℓ} lift to isotopic curves in M^{ℓ} .

Proof As c and c^{ℓ} are homotopic, there is an annulus A bounding c and c^{ℓ} . By passing to a cover M^{ℓ} with a su-ciently thick tube Q around c, we may ensure that A is contained in Q. The Core lemma now implies that c and c^{ℓ} are isotopic.

5 Homotopy versus isotopy

It is known that for an irreducible manifold homotopic self-homeomorphisms are isotopic provided that the manifold is hyperbolic (a consequence of Gabai's rigidity [6]) or Seifert bered (by the Scott theorem) or lens spaces.

Theorem 5.1 Let M be irreducible and with word-hyperbolic fundamental group. If f: M! M is a homeomorphism homotopic to the identity then there is a nite cover M^{\emptyset} of M and a lift $f^{\emptyset}: M^{\emptyset}! M^{\emptyset}$ of f such that f^{\emptyset} is isotopic to the identity.

Proof Let be a topological geodesic corresponding to a primitive class in $_1(\mathcal{M})$. Then the geodesics $f(\cdot)$ and are homotopic hence there exists a nite cover \mathcal{M}^{θ} such that $f(\cdot)$ and lift to isotopic curves. Since f is homotopic to identity there is no obstruction in lifting it to a homotopy equivalence f^{θ} of the nite covering \mathcal{M}^{θ} . One can further assume (by means of some isotopy on \mathcal{M}^{θ}) that $f(\cdot)^{\theta} = -\frac{\theta}{\theta}$ (pointwise).

The proof of the core lemma shows that $\mathcal{M}^{\ell} - {}^{\ell}$ is atoroidal hence hyperbolic (since Haken). Furthermore the restriction $f^{\ell}j_{\mathcal{M}^{\ell}-\ell}$ is a homeomorphism.

Therefore $f^{\emptyset}j_{\mathcal{M}^{\emptyset}-}$ is homotopic to an isometry of $\mathcal{M}^{\emptyset}-$ (by Mostow rigidity) and hence isotopic to an isometry (by Waldhausen's theorem).

Let j be this isometry. Since the isometry group of a hyperbolic manifold of nite volume is nite it follows that j is of nite order. Further j has an extension g (by identity) to all of M^{ℓ} , by asking g to keep pointwise ℓ . In particular g is a periodic homeomorphism of M^{ℓ} .

Let consider the lift h of g to the universal covering $\widehat{M}=\mathbf{R}^3$ (which is also the universal covering of M^{\emptyset}). The action of h extends continuously to the compacti cation (over the boundary sphere) to a homeomorphism of the ball B^3 . The action by deck transformations extends to one by homeomorphisms of the compacti cation obtained by adding the boundary of the group $_1(M)$, because this is word-hyperbolic. In our case the boundary is the sphere at in nity.

But f is homotopic to identity, hence the action induced on the boundary is trivial. This shows that h is the identity on the boundary sphere.

Lemma 5.2 A periodic homeomorphism of B^3 which restricts to identity on the boundary is the identity.

Proof We will use a theorem of Newman ([10]) improved by Smith (see [12]) in its variant stated in ([3],Thm.9.5, p.157). It states that a compact Lie group acting e ectively on a connected topological manifold has a nowhere dense xed point set.

One considers the $\,$ nite cyclic group action induced by our periodic homeomorphism on the ball $\,B^3$. This action extends to the sphere $\,S^3$ by the identity outside the upper hemisphere. Then the $\,$ xed point set contains a 3-ball and the previous result shows that the action cannot be $\,$ ective, and hence $\,$ $\,$ must be the identity map.

In particular f^{\emptyset} is isotopic to the identity.

6 Concluding remarks

While we have assumed word-hyperbolicity (or semi-hyperbolicity) for the sake of de niteness, we actually need much less. Assuming $_1(\mathcal{M})$ is atoroidal, we also need everywhere that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{R}^3$, or equivalently that $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}^3$ is *tame* (i.e, is the

interior of a compact manifold). Existence and uniqueness use the tameness of \mathcal{M} . Finally, virtual rigidity needs tameness for *some* \mathcal{M} .

There are contractible 3-manifolds, namely Whitehead manifolds, di erent from \mathbb{R}^3 . There are also 3-manifolds di erent from S^1 \mathbb{R}^2 that have fundamental group \mathbb{Z} and universal cover \mathbb{R}^3 (see, for example, [11]). Conjecturally these manifolds do not admit free co-compact actions, but so far this is known only under additional assumptions on the group (for example word-hyperbolicity).

One can generalize the notion of topological geodesics to include knots having a lift in \mathbb{R}^3 whose fundamental group is free (or even residually nilpotent following [4]). Although weak versions of the uniqueness could be proved in this context, the obstruction in deriving rigidity results is the existence. The existence of at least one topological geodesic (homotopically nontrivial) appears to be as di-cult in this more general framework as in the present case.

An even weaker notion of a topological geodesic is one whose lift to the universal cover is not a satellite knot. In the presence of topological geodesics, the proof of the Core lemma shows that any curve that is not a topological geodesic lifts to a nite cover where it is a satellite knot, and hence is also not a topological geodesic in this weaker sense.

References

- [1] J.M.Alonso and M.R.Bridson, *Semihyperbolic groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **70** (1995), 56{114.
- [2] M.Bestvina and G. Mess, *The boundary of negatively curved groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4**(1991), 469{481.
- [3] G.E.Bredon, Introduction to compact transformation groups, *Pure Appl. Math.*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [4] J. Dubois, *Noeuds Fox-residuellement nilpotents et rigidite virtuelle des varietes hyperboliques de dimension 3*, Ann.Inst.Fourier, Grenoble, **48**(1998), 535-551.
- [5] D. Gabai, *Homotopy hyperbolic 3-manifolds are virtually hyperbolic*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **7**(1994), 193-198.
- [6] D.Gabai, On the geometric and topological rigidity of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), no. 1, 37{74.
- [7] D.Gabai, G.R.Meyerho and N.Thurston, *Homotopy hyperbolic 3-manifolds are hyperbolic*, Annals of Math.(to appear).
- [8] D.Long, *Immersions and embeddings of totally geodesic surfaces*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **19** (1987), no. 5, 481{484.

- [9] M.L.Mihalik, *Compactifying coverings of 3-manifolds*, Comment.Math.Helvetici **71**(1996), 362-372.
- [10] M.H.A.Newman, *A theorem on periodic transformations of spaces*, Quart.J. Math. Oxford **2**(1931), 1-8.
- [11] G.P.Scott and T.Tucker, *Some examples of exotic noncompact* 3*-manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **40** (1989), no. 160, 481{499.
- [12] P.A.Smith, *Transformations of nite period, III: Newman's theorem*, Ann. of Math. **42**(1941), 446-458.
- [13] R.L. Volkovyskii, *Plane geodesically complete subsets in spaces of nonpositive curvature* Algebra i Analiz **6** (1994), no. 4, 90{100; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. **6** (1995), no. 4, 781{789.

Institut Fourier BP74, UMR 5582, Universite de Grenoble I 38402 Saint-Martin-d'Heres cedex, France and

Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794, USA

Email: funar@fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr and gadgil@math.sunysb.edu

Received: 4 April 2001