ISSN 1364-0380 167

Geometry & Topology Volume 3 (1999) 167{210 Published: 4 July 1999



# Seiberg{Witten Invariants and Pseudo-Holomorphic Subvarieties for Self-Dual, Harmonic 2{Forms

Clifford Henry Taubes

Department of Mathematics Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Email: chtaubes@abel.math.harvard.edu

#### Abstract

A smooth, compact 4{manifold with a Riemannian metric and  $b^{2+}$  1 has a non-trivial, closed, self-dual 2{form. If the metric is generic, then the zero set of this form is a disjoint union of circles. On the complement of this zero set, the symplectic form and the metric de ne an almost complex structure; and the latter can be used to de ne pseudo-holomorphic submanifolds and subvarieties. The main theorem in this paper asserts that if the 4{manifold has a non zero Seiberg{Witten invariant, then the zero set of any given self-dual harmonic 2{form is the boundary of a pseudo-holomorphic subvariety in its complement.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 53C07

Secondary: 52C15

**Keywords:** Four{manifold invariants, symplectic geometry

Proposed: Robion Kirby Received: 26 July 1998 Seconded: Gang Tian, Tomasz Mrowka Accepted: 8 May 1999

Copyright Geometry and Topology

### 1 Introduction

Let X be a compact, oriented 4{dimensional manifold with Betti number  $b^{2+}$  1. Choose a Riemannian metric, g, for X and Hodge theory provides a  $b^{2+}$  {dimensional space of self-dual, harmonic 2{forms. Let ! be such a self-dual harmonic 2{form. At points where  $! \ne 0$ , the endomorphism  $J = \frac{1}{2}j! j^{-1}g^{-1}!$  of TX has square equal to minus the identity and thus de nes an almost complex structure. The latter can be used to de ne, after Gromov [4], the notion of a pseudo-holomorphic curve in the complement of the zero set of !. This last notion can be generalized with the following de nition.

**De nition 1.1** Let Z = X denote the zero set of !. A subset C = X - Z will be called *nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety* when the following requirements are met:

There is a complex curve  $C_0$  (not necessarily compact or connected) together with a proper, pseudo-holomorphic map  $': C_0 ! X - Z$  such that  $'(C_0) = C$ .

There is a countable set  $_0$   $C_0$  which has no accumulation points and is such that ' embeds  $C_0$  -  $_0$ .

The integral of ' ! over  $C_0$  is nite.

When Z=; and so the form ! is symplectic, then the main theorem in [15] asserts that pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties exist when the Seiberg{Witten invariants of X are not all zero. (A di erent sort of existence theorem for pseudo-holomorphic curves has been given by Donaldson [2].)

The purpose of this paper is to provide a generalization of the existence theorem in [15] to the case where  $Z \in \mathcal{F}$ . The statement of this generalization is cleanest in the case where I vanishes transversely. This turns out to be the generic situation, see eg [9],[5]. In this case I is a union of embedded circles. The following theorem summarizes the existence theorem in this case.

**Theorem 1.2** Let X be a compact, oriented, Riemannian 4{manifold with  $b^{2+}$  1 and with a non-zero Seiberg{Witten invariant. Let ! be a self-dual, harmonic 2{form which vanishes transversely. Then there is a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety C X - Z with the property that C has intersection number 1 with every linking 2{sphere of Z.

Some comments are in order. In the case where  $b^{2+}=1$ , the Seiberg{Witten invariants require a choice of \chamber" for their de nition. Implicit in the

statement of Theorem 1:1 is that the chamber in question is de ned by a perturbation of the equations which is constructed from the chosen form !. This chamber is described in more detail in the next section.

Here is a second comment: With regard to the sign of the intersection number between  $\mathcal{C}$  and the linking 2{spheres of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , remark that a pseudo-holomorphic subvariety is canonically oriented at its smooth points by the restriction of  $\mathcal{I}$ . Meanwhile, the linking 2{spheres of  $\mathcal{Z}$  are oriented as follows: First, use the assumed orientation of  $\mathcal{T}X$  to orient the bundle of self-dual 2{forms. Second, use the di erential of  $\mathcal{I}$  along  $\mathcal{I}$  to identify the normal bundle of  $\mathcal{I}$  with this same bundle of 2{forms. This orients the normal bundle to  $\mathcal{I}$  and thus  $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}$ .

Here is the nal comment: Away from Z, the usual regularity theorems for pseudo-holomorphic curves (as in [10],[13],[14] or [22]) describe the structure of a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety. Basically, such a subvariety is no more singular than an algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . However, since the almost complex structure J is singular along Z, there are serious questions about the regularity near Z of a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety. In this regard, [16] provides a rst step towards describing the general structure. In [16] the metric is restricted near Z to have an especially simple form and for this restricted metric the story, as developed to date, is as follows: All but nitely many points on Z have a ball neighborhood which the nite energy subvariety intersects in a nite number of disjoint components. Moreover, the closure of each such component in this ball is a smoothly embedded, closed half-disc whose straight edge coincides with Z. (There are no obstructions to realizing the special metrics of [16].)

The next section also provides some examples where the subvarieties of Theorem 1.2 are easy to see.

Note that Theorem 2.3, below, gives an existence assertion without assuming the transversality of ! at zero. Also, Theorem 2.2 below is a stronger version of Theorem 1.2.

This introduction ends with an open problem for the reader (see also [17]).

**Problem** The proof of Theorem 1.2 suggests that the Seiberg{Witten invariants of any  $b^{2+}$  positive 4{manifold can be computed via a creative algebraic count of the nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties which (homologically) bound the zero set of a non-trivial, self-dual harmonic form. Such a count is known in the case where the form is nowhere vanishing (see [18],[19]). Another case which is well understood has the product metric on  $X = S^1 - M$ , where M is a compact, oriented, Riemannian 3{manifold with positive rst

Betti number ([6],[7],[21]). The problem is to  $\,$  nd such a count which applies for any compact,  $\,b^{2+}\,$  positive 4{manifold.

### 2 Basics

The purpose of this section is to review some of the necessary background for the Seiberg{Witten equations and for the study of pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties.

### a) The Seiberg{Witten equations

The Seiberg{Witten equations are discussed in numerous sources to date, so the discussion here will be brief. The novice can consult the book by Morgan [11] or the forthcoming book by Kotschick, Kronheimer and Mrowka [8].

To begin, suppose for the time being that X is an oriented, Riemannian 4{ manifold. The chosen metric on X de nes the principal SO(4) bundle Fr! X of oriented, orthonormal frames in TX. A  $spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure on X is a lift (or, more properly, an equivalence class of lifts) of Fr to a principal  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  (4) bundle F! X. In this regard the reader should note the identications

$$Spin^{\mathbb{C}}(4) = (SU(2) \quad SU(2) \quad U(1)) = f \quad 1g,$$
  
 $SO(4) = (SU(2) \quad SU(2)) = f \quad 1g$  (2.1)

with the evident group homomorphism from the former to the latter which forgets the factor of U(1) in the top line above. Remark that there exist, in any event  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structures on  $4\{\mathrm{manifolds.\ Moreover,\ the\ set\ }\mathcal{S}\mathrm{\ of\ }\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structures is naturally metric independent and has the structure of a principal homogeneous space for the additive group  $H^2(X;\mathbb{Z})$ .

With the preceding understood, x a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure F ! X. Then F can be used to construct three useful associated vector bundles,  $S_+$ ,  $S_-$  and L. The rst two are associated via the representations s:  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}(4)$ ! U(2) = (SU(2) U(1)) = f 1g which forgets one or the other factor of SU(2) in the top line of (2.1). Thus S are  $\mathbb{C}^2$  vector bundles over X with Hermitian metrics. Meanwhile,  $L = \det(S_+) = \det(S_-)$  is associated to F via the representation of  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}(4)$  on U(1) which forgets both factors of SU(2) in the rst line of (2.1). (By way of comparison, the  $\mathbb{R}^3$  bundles  $_+$ ;  $_-$ ! X of self-dual and anti-self-dual 2{forms are associated to Fr via the representations of SO(4) to SO(3) which forget one of the other factors of SU(2) in the second line of (2.1).)

Note that the bundle  $S_+$   $S_-$  is a module for the Cli ord algebra of TX in the sense that there is an epimorphism cl: TX ! Hom $(S_+; S_-)$  which obeys  $cl^ycl = -1$ . The latter will be thought of equally as a homomorphism from  $S_+$  TX to  $S_-$ . Note that this homomorphism induces one,  $cl_+$ , from  $_+$  to End $(S_+)$ .

Now consider that the Seiberg{Witten equations constitute a system of dierential equations for a pair (A; ), where A is a hermitian connection on the complex line bundle L and where is a section of  $S_+$ . These equations read, schematically:

$$D_A = 0$$
  
 $F_A^+ = q() + :$  (2.2)

In the rst line above,  $D_A$  is the Dirac operator as de ned using the connection A and the Levi-Civita connection on TX. Indeed, these two connections de ne a unique connection on  $S_+$  and thus a covariant derivative,  $r_A$ , which takes a section of  $S_+$  and returns one of  $S_+$ *T X*. With this understood, then  $D_A$  sends the section of  $S_+$  to the section  $cl(r_A)$  of  $S_-$ . In the second line of (2.2),  $F_A$  is the curvature 2{form of the connection A on L, this being an imaginary valued 2{form. Then  $F_A^+$  is the projection of  $F_A$  onto  $_{+}$  . Meanwhile, q() is the quadratic map from  $S_{+}$  to  $i_{-}$  which, up to  $2 S_{+}$  to the image of a constant factor, sends y under the adjoint of  $cl_+$ . To be more explicit about q,  $let_D fe g_1$ 4 be an oriented, orthonormal frame for  $T \times X$ . Then  $q() = -8^{-1}$ ,  $h \cdot cl(e) cl(e)$  ie ^e where  $h \cdot i$ denotes the Hermitian inner product on  $S_+$ . Finally, in the second line of (2.2) is a favorite, imaginary valued, self-dual 2{form. (A di erent choice for , as with a di erent choice for the Riemannian metric, will give a di erent set of equations.)

### b) The Seiberg{Witten invariants

Let Q denote the cup product pairing of  $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$  and let  $H^{2+}$   $H^2(X;\mathbb{R})$  denote a maximum subspace on which Q is positive denite. Set  $b^{2+} = \dim(H^{2+})$ . Fix an orientation for the real line  ${}^{top}H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$   ${}^{top}H^{2+}$ . If  $b^{2+} > 1$ , then the Seiberg{Witten invariants as presented in [23] constitute a dieomorphism invariant map SW: S!  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, there is a straightforward generalization (see [19]) which extends this invariant to

$$SW: S! \qquad H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}): \tag{2.3}$$

In the case where  $b^{2+}=1$ , there is a di eomorphism invariant as in (2.3) after the additional choice of an orientation for the line  $H^{2+}$ .

In all cases, the map in (2.3) is de ned via a creative, algebraic count of the solutions of (2.2). However, the particulars of the de nition of SW are not relevant to the discussion in this article except for the following two facts:

If  $SW(s) \neq 0$ , then there exists, for each choice of metric g and perturbing form , at least one solution to (2.2) as de ned by the Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure s.

In the case where  $b^{2+}=1$ , the orientation of  $H^{2+}$  de nes a unique self-dual harmonic 2{form ! up to multiplication by the positive real numbers. With this understood, note that SW in (2.3) is computed by counting solutions to (2.2) in the special case where the perturbation in (2.2) has the form  $= -i \ r=4 \ ! + \ _0$ , where  $_0$  is a xed, imaginary valued 2{form and where r is taken to be very large. That is, the algebraic count of solutions to (2.2) stabilizes as r tends to +1, and the large r count is defined to be SW.

#### c) Near the zero set of a self-dual harmonic form

Let X be a compact, oriented, Riemannian 4{manifold with  $b^{2+} > 0$  and suppose that ! is a self-dual harmonic 2{form which vanishes transversely. The purpose of this subsection is to describe the local geometry of the zero set  $Z = !^{-1}(0)$ , of the form !.

To begin, note rst that the non-degeneracy condition implies that Z is a union of embedded circles. Moreover, the transversal vanishing of ! implies that its covariant derivative, r!, identi es the normal bundle N! Z of Z with the bundle  $_+j_Z$  of self-dual 2{forms. As  $_+$  is oriented by the orientation of X, the homomorphism r! orients N with the declaration that it be orientation reversing. This orientation of N induces one on Z if one adopts the convention that TX = TZ N (as opposed to N TZ).

With Z now oriented, de ne :  $_+$  !  $_N$  by the rule ( $_U$ )  $_U(@_0;)$ , where the  $@_0$  is the unit length oriented tangent vector to Z. Note that is also an isomorphism. Moreover, the composition  $_{}$  !  $_{}$  !  $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$  de nes a bilinear form on  $_{}$   $_{}$  with negative determinant. And, as  $_{}$   $_{}$  = 0, this form is symmetric with trace zero and thus  $_{}$   $_{}$ ! has everywhere three real eigenvalues, where two are positive and one is negative. (Note here that  $_{}$  inherits a ber metric with its identication as the orthogonal complement to  $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$   $_{}$ 

Let  $N_1$  N denote the one-dimensional eigenbundle for r! which corresponds to the negative eigenvalue. Then use  $N_2$  N to denote its orthogonal complement. With regard to  $N_1$ , note that this bundle can be either oriented

or not. Gompf has pointed out that  $1 + b^2 - b^1$  and the number of components of Z for which  $N_1$  is oriented are equal modulo 2.

With the Riemannian geometry near Z understood, consider now the almost complex geometry in a neighborhood of Z. Here the almost complex structure on X-Z is defined by the endomorphism J  $2g^{-1}!=j!j$  with l viewed as a skew symmetric homomorphism from TX to T X and with  $g^{-1}$  viewed as a symmetric homomorphism which goes the other way. As J has square equal to minus the identity, J decomposes T  $Xj_{X-Z}$   $\mathbb{C} = T^{1,0}$   $T^{0,1}$ , where  $T^{1,0}$  are the holomorphic 1{forms and  $T^{0,1}$  the anti-holomorphic forms. The *canonical line bundle*, K, for the almost complex structure is  $T^{1,0}$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{J}$  does not extend over  $\mathcal{Z}$ . This failure is implied by the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** Let : N ! X denote the metric's exponential map and let  $N^0$  N be an open ball neighborhood of the zero section which is embedded by . Use to identify  $N^0$  with a neighborhood of Z in X. Let p 2 Z and let S  $N^0j_p$  be a 2{sphere with center at zero and oriented in the standard way as  $S^2$   $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the restriction of K to S has rst Chern class equal to 2, and so is non-trivial.

**Proof of Lemma 2.1** For simplicity it is enough to consider the case where the two positive eigenvalues of r! are equal to 1 in as much as the Chern class of K is unchanged by continuous deformations of ! near Z which leave  $!^{-1}(0)$  unchanged. With this understood, one can choose oriented local coordinates (t; X; y; Z) near the given point p so that p corresponds to the origin, Z is the set where x = y = z = 0 and dt is positive on Z with respect to the given orientation. In these coordinates,

$$! = dt \wedge (xdx + ydy - 2zdz) + x dy \wedge dz - y dx \wedge dz - 2z dx \wedge dy:$$
 (2.5)

(In these coordinates, the line  $N_1$  corresponds to the z axis.)

The strategy will be to identify a section of K with nondegenerate zeros on S and compute the Chern class by summing the degrees of these zeros. There are three steps to this strategy. The rst step identi es  $T^{1,0}$  TX  $\mathbb C$  and for this purpose it proves convenient to introduce the functions

$$f = 2^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2),$$

$$h = (x^2 + y^2)z,$$

$$q = (x^2 + y^2 + 4z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.6)

Also, introduce the standard polar coordinates  $= (x^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and ' = Arctan(y=x) for the xy{plane. Then ! can be rewritten as

$$! = dt \wedge df + d' \wedge dh: (2.7)$$

Moreover,  $fdt; g^{-1}df; d'; (g)^{-1}dhg$  form an oriented orthonormal frame.

With the preceding understood, it follows that  $T^{1,0}$  is the span of  $fw_0 = dt + ig^{-1}df$ ;  $w_1 = d' + i(g)^{-1}dhg$  where  $\neq 0$ .

The second step in the proof produces a convenient section of K. In particular, with  $T^{1,0}$  identi ed as above, then  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  de nes a section of the canonical bundle with constant norm, at least where  $\not= 0$ . However, this section is singular at = 0. But the section  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  is nonsingular, vanishes on the sphere S only at the north and south poles and has nondegenerate zeros. (Since  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  has constant norm it follows that the zeros of  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  on S occur only where  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  and are necessarily nondegenerate.)

The nal step in the proof computes the degrees of the zeros of  $w_0 \wedge w_1$ . For this purpose, it is convenient to rst digress to identify the ber of K where =0. To start the digression, remark that near =0, one has  $w_0=dt-i''dz$  and  $w_1=d'+i''d$  to order , where " is the sign of z. This implies that  $T^{1,0}$  TX  $\mathbb C$  at =0 is spanned by the forms  $w_0=dt-i''dz$  and  $-i''e^{-i'''}$   $w_1=dx-i''dy$ . The latter gives K  $^2(TX)$   $\mathbb C$  where =0 as the span of  $w_0 \wedge (dx-i''dy)$ .

End the digression. With respect to these trivializations, the section  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  near = 0 behaves to leading order in as i''(x+i''y). This last observation implies that the chosen section  $w_0 \wedge w_1$  has Chern number equal to 2 on S as claimed.

## d) Pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties in X-Z

A submanifold C X-Z is pseudo-holomorphic when J maps TC to itself. Note that such submanifolds have a canonical orientation as the form I restricts to TC as a nowhere vanishing  $2\{form.$ 

Here is an equivalent de nition of a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety of X - Z: The latter, C, is characterized by the following conditions:

C is closed.

There is a countable set, C, without accumulation points and such that C — is a pseudo-holomorphic submanifold.

$$C_{-} ! < 1.$$
 (2.8)

Note that a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety C naturally de nes a relative homology class, [C] 2  $H_2(X;Z;\mathbb{Z})$ . As  $H^2(X-Z;\mathbb{Z})$  is the Poincare dual of  $H_2(X;Z;\mathbb{Z})$ , there is no natural extension of the intersection pairing to  $H_2(X;Z)$ .

Here are some examples of a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties: First, let M be a compact, oriented 3{manifold with  $b^1 > 0$ . Choose a nonzero class in  $H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$  and nd a metric on M for which the chosen class is represented by a harmonic 1 {form with transversal zeros. (A generic metric will have this property. See, eg [5].) Let denote the harmonic 1{form. Also, let denote the Hodge star for the metric on M. Now, take  $X = S^1$  M with metric that is the sum of that on M with the metric on  $S^1$  determined by a Euclidean coordinate t = 2[0;2]. Then  $t = dt^{\wedge} + dt$  is a harmonic, self-dual 2 (form on X where  $Z = S^1$   $f^{-1}(0)g$ . To see a pseudo-holomorphic subvariety, introduce a flow line, , for the vector eld which is dual to . (Thus, T.) Then  $C = S^1$ is a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic submanifold if is either di eomorphic to a circle or else is a path in M connecting a pair of zeros of . Note that when is a path which connects a pair of zeros to then the resulting C will have intersection number 1 with any linking 2{sphere of the corresponding pair of components of Z.

Another example for this same X has  $C = S^1$   $\int_i^j f_i$ , where  $f_i g$  is a nite set of flow lines for with each  $f_i$  being either a circle or a path in  $f_i$  connecting a pair of zeros of  $f_i$ . Note that if the flow lines in the set  $f_i f_i g$  precisely pair the zeros of  $f_i$ , then the resulting pseudo-holomorphic variety  $f_i f_i f_i$  has intersection number 1 with each linking 2{sphere of  $f_i f_i f_i f_i$  has 1.2.

### e) The existence of pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties

This subsection states a more detailed existence theorem for nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties.

The existence theorem for pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties (Theorem 2.2, below) uses solutions for a particular version of (2.2) to construct the subvariety. Here is the appropriate version: Fix a  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X and a number r 1. Suppose that ! is a non-trivial, self-dual, harmonic  $2\{\mathrm{form}\ \mathrm{on}\ X\ \mathrm{and}\ \mathrm{consider}\ \mathrm{the}\ \mathrm{equations}$ :

$$D_A = 0$$

$$F_A^+ = rq(\ ) - i4^{-1}r! \tag{2.9}$$

for a pair (A) consisting of a connection A on the line bundle  $L = \det(S_+)$  and a section of  $S_+$ . Note that these equations constitute a version of (2.2), as can be seen by replacing here with  $\frac{1}{r}$ .

The precise statement of Theorem 2.2 requires the following three part digression to explain some terminology. Part 1 of the digression introduces a numerical invariant for  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structures. For this purpose, let X be a compact, oriented, Riemannian  $4\{\text{manifold and suppose that } !$  a self-dual, harmonic  $2\{\text{form on } X.$  When s is a  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X, let  $e_!(s)$  2  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the evaluation on the fundamental class of X of the cup product of  $c_1(L)$  with the cohomology class of !

Part 2 of the digression introduces the scalar curvature  $R_g$  for the metric g, and also the metric's self-dual Weyl curvature,  $W_g^+$ . These tensors can be de ned as follows: Since  ${}^2T$  X is the bundle associated to the frame bundle via the adjoint representation on the Lie algebra of SO(4), the Riemann curvature tensor canonically de nes an endomorphism of  ${}^2T$  X. Moreover, with respect to the splitting  ${}^2T$   $X = {}_+$  \_\_, this tensor has a 2 \_2 block form and the upper block gives an endomorphism of  ${}_+$ . The trace of the latter is  $R_g$ =4 and the traceless part is  $W_g^+$ . (See eg [1].) Also, introduce the volume form,  $\operatorname{dvol}_g$ , for the metric g.

Part 3 of the digression introduces the notion of an *irreducible component* of a pseudo-holomorphic subvariety. To appreciate the de nition, remember that there is a countable set C with no accumulation points and with the property that C — is a submanifold. With this understood, an irreducible component of C is the closure of a component of C — .

End the digression.

**Theorem 2.2** Let X be a compact, oriented, Riemannian 4 {manifold with  $b^{2+} - 1$  and let ! be a self-dual, harmonic 2 {form on X which vanishes transversely. Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure s and suppose that there exists an unbounded sequence  $fr_{n}g$  [1; 1) with the property that for each n, that  $r = r_{n}$  version of (2.9) has a solution,  $(A_{n}; -n)$ . Then, there exists a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety C - X - Z with the following properties:

Let  $fC_ag$  denote the set of irreducible components of C. Then there exists a corresponding set of positive integers  $fm_ag$  such that  $2 \ _am_a[C_a] \ 2 \ H_2(X;Z;\mathbb{Z})$  is Poincare dual to the rst Chern class of the line bundle  $L \ Kj_{X-Z}$ . In particular, this implies that C has intersection number equal to 1 with each linking 2 {sphere of Z.

$$\frac{R}{C}!$$
  $e_!(s) + \frac{R}{\chi} j! j(jR_g j + jW_g^+ j) dvol_g$ . Here, is a universal constant.

For each 
$$n$$
, let  $_{n}$   $(4i)^{-1}(cl^{+}(!) + 2i)_{n}$  and let  $_{n}$   $_{n}^{-1}(0)$ . Then 
$$\lim_{n!} f \sup_{x \ge C} \operatorname{dist}(x; _{n}) + \sup_{x \ge _{n}} \operatorname{dist}(x; C)g = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that Theorem 1.2 follows directly from Theorem 2.2 given the rst point in (2.4).

There are also versions of Theorem 2.2 which holds when ! does not vanish transversely. Here is the simplest of these versions:

**Theorem 2.3** Let X be a compact, oriented, Riemannian  $4\{\text{manifold with }b^{2+}\ 1 \text{ and let }!$  be a self-dual, harmonic  $2\{\text{form on }X.\text{ Fix a Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}\ \text{structure }s \text{ with non-zero Seiberg}\{\text{Witten invariant. Then there exists a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety <math>C \times X - Z \text{ with the following properties}$ 

Let  $fC_ag$  denote the set of irreducible components of C. Then there exists a corresponding set of positive integers  $fm_ag$  such that  $2 - m_a[C_a] 2$   $H_2(X;Z;\mathbb{Z})$  is Poincare dual to the rst Chern class of the line bundle  $L = Kj_{X-Z}$ .

R

C!  $e_!(s) + \sum_{X} j! j(jR_gj + jW_g^+j) dvol_g$ ). Here, is a universal constant.

Remark that Theorem 2.3 makes no assumptions about the structure of the zero set of !, but its assumption of a non-zero Seiberg{Witten invariant is more restrictive than the assumption that (2.9) has solutions for an unbounded set of r values. However, a version of Theorem 2.3 with the latter assumption can be proved using the techniques in the subsequent sections if some mild restrictions are assumed about the degree of degeneracy of the zeros of !. For example, the conclusions of Theorem 2.3 hold if it is assumed that (2.9) has solutions for an unbounded set of r values, and if it is assumed that !  $^{-1}(0)$  is non-degenerate except at some nite set of points. In any event, these generalizations of Theorem 2.3 will not be presented here.

The remainder of this article is occupied with the proofs of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. In this regard, the reader should note that Theorem 2.3 is essentially a corollary of Theorem 2.2 and a non-compact version of Gromov's compactness theorem [4]. Meanwhile, the proof of Theorem 2.2 mimics as much as possible that of Theorem 1.3 in [15] which asserts the equivalent result in the

case where ! has no zeros. In particular, some familiarity with the arguments in sections 1{6 of [15] and the revised reprint of the same article in [20] will prove helpful. (The revisions of [15] in [20] correct some minor errors in the original.) The nal arguments for Theorem 2.2 are given in Section 7 below.

## f) The proof of Theorem 2.3

If s has non-zero Seiberg{Witten invariant, then there is a  $C^2$  neighborhood of the given metric on X such that for all r su ciently large and for each metric in this neighborhood, the corresponding version of (2.9) has a solution. With this understood, take a sequence of metrics fg g<sub>=1,2,:::</sub> with the following properties

For each g , there is a self-dual, harmonic form ! which vanishes transversely.

The sequence fg g converges to the given metric g in the  $C^1$  topology as ! 1.

The corresponding sequence f! g converges to ! . (2.11)

The existence of such a sequence can be proved as in [5] or [9].

Now invoke Theorem 2.2 for each metric g and the corresponding form !. Theorem 2.2 produces for each index a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic variety C. Moreover, Theorem 2.2 nds a uniform energy bound for each C.

Theorem 2.3's subvariety  $\mathcal{C}$  is now obtained as a limit of the sequence  $f\mathcal{C}$  g. The limit is found via a non-compact version of the Gromov compactness theorem (in [4]) for pseudo-holomorphic curves. The particular non-compact version is given by Proposition 3.8 in [16]. (The compact case of Gromov's compactness theorem is discussed in detail by numerous authors, for example [13],[14],[10] and [22].)

# 3 Integral and pointwise bounds

The geometric context for this section is as follows: Here X is a compact, oriented, Riemannian 4{manifold with a self-dual harmonic 2{form ! which vanishes transversely. Also assume that j!j everywhere. Now x a Spin structure s and for r 1, introduce the perturbed Seiberg{Witten equations as in (2.9).

The purpose of this section is to establish some basic properties of a solution (A) of this version of (2.9). For the most part, these estimates are local

versions of the estimates in (1.24) of [15] and the arguments below are more or less modi ed versions of those from section 2 of [15].

Before turning to the details, please take note of the following convention: The Greek letter—will be used to represent the \generic" constant in as much as its value may change each time it appears. One should imagine a suppressed index on—which numbers its appearances. Unless otherwise stated, the value of—is independent of any points in question and, furthermore,—is always independent of the parameter r which appears in (2.10). In general,—depends only on the chosen  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and on the Riemannian metric.

A similar convention holds for the symbol when is given as a speci ed (minimum) distance to Z. That is, various inequalities below will be proved under an assumption that the distance to Z is greater than some a priori value, . In these equations, denotes a generic constant which depends only on the  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure s, the Riemannian metric, and the given . Furthermore, the precise value of is allowed to change each time it appears and so the reader should assume that , like , is implicitly labeled by the order of its appearance.

# a) Integral bounds for $j \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^2$

The purpose of this subsection is to obtain pointwise estimates for the components of the spinor  $\,$ . The basis for these estimates is the Bochner-Weitzenboch formula for  $D_AD_A$  which, when applied to  $\,$ , reads

$$\Gamma_A \Gamma_A + 4^{-1} R_g + 2^{-1} c_+ (F_A^+) = 0;$$
 (3.1)

where  $R_g$  is the scalar curvature of the Riemannian metric. The strategy below uses this last equation to generate rst integral bounds for and then pointwise bounds.

The statement below of these integral bounds requires the reintroduction of the notation of Theorem 2.2. Here are the promised integral bounds:

**Lemma 3.1** There is a universal constant c with the following signi cance: Let s be a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure on X. Now suppose that (A; ) solve (2.9) for the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and for some r 1. Then

**Proof of Lemma 3.1** The proof starts with the observation that  $c_R(L)$  is represented in DeRham cohomology by  $i(2)^{-1}F_A$  and thus  $2e_!(s) = {}_XiF_A^!$ . And, as ! is self-dual, the latter integral is equal to that of  $iF_A^!$ . Thus, line two of (2.9) implies that

4 
$$e_{i}(s)$$
  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}}r \int_{X}^{Z} j! j(2^{-\frac{1}{2}}j! j - j j^{2}):$  (3.3)

To proceed, contract both sides of (3.1) with  $\,$  . The resulting equation implies a di erential inequality which can be written  $\,$  rst as

$$2^{-1}d \ dj \ j^2 + 4^{-1}rj \ j^2(j \ j^2 - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}j! \ j) + 4^{-1}R_qj \ j^2 = 0$$
: (3.4)

To put this last inequality in useful form, note rst that rewriting  $j \not f$  in its second and fourth appearances produces

$$2^{-1}d \underset{+}{dj} \underset{-}{j^{2}} + (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1} r(j! j(j \ j^{2} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! j) + 4^{-1} r(j \ j^{2} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! j)^{2} + (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1} R_{g} j! j + 4^{-1} R_{g} (j \ j^{2} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! j) \quad 0:$$

$$(3.5)$$

Then an application of the triangle inequality to (3.5) yields

$$2^{-1}d \ dj \ \mathring{f}^{2} + (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1} r j! \ j(j \ \mathring{f}^{2} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! \ j) + 8^{-1} r (j \ \mathring{f}^{2} - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! \ j)^{2} - (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1} R_{q} j! \ j + 8^{-1} r^{-1} R_{q}^{2}$$

$$(3.6)$$

Integrate this last inequality and compare with (3.3) to obtain the rst line in (3.2).

To obtain the second line in (3.2), note that the Weitzenboch formula for the harmonic form ! (see, eg Appendix C in [3]) implies that

$$d \ dj! \ j + j! \ j^{-1} jr ! \ j^2 \quad cjW^+ \ j \ j! \ j;$$
 (3.7)

where  $W^+$  is a universal curvature endomorphism of  $_+$  which is constructed from  $R_g$  and  $W_g^+$ . In any event, with (3.7) understood, introduce  $u^ j^ j^2$  -  $2^{-\frac{1}{2}}j!$  j. It now follows from (3.7) and (3.2) (with an application of the triangle inequality) that

$$2^{-1}d\ du + 4^{-1}rj!\ ju \quad c(j!\ j(jR_gj+jW_g^+j) + j!\ j^{-1}jr\ !\ j^2 + r^{-1}jR_gj^2) \ : \quad (3.8)$$

Now, integrate this last equation over the domain X where u 0 and then integrate by parts to u 1 nd that

$$\sum_{X} j! \, ju(j \, j^2 - 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} j! \, j)_{+} \quad cr^{-1} \sum_{X} (j! \, j(jR_g j + jW_g^+ j) + j! \, j^{-1} jr \, ! \, j^2 + r^{-1} jR_g j^2) :$$

$$(3.9)$$

Here  $(j \int_{-2}^{2} -2^{-\frac{1}{2}}j! j)_{+}$  is the maximum of zero and  $j \int_{-2}^{2} -2^{-\frac{1}{2}}j! j$ . (The boundary term which appears on the left-hand side from integrating *d du* is non-negative. This is easiest to see when 0 is a regular value of u, for in this case the boundary integral is minus that of the outward pointing normal derivative of *u*. And, minus the latter derivative is non-negative as U0 inside outside.)

With regard to (3.9), note that  $j! j^{-1}$  is integrable across Z since j! j near Z is bounded from below by a multiple of the distance to Z. Moreover, the integral over X of  $j! j^{-1} jr ! j^2$  can be evaluated by integrating both sides of (3.7). In particular, an integration by parts eliminates the d dj! j integral, and one nds the integral over X of  $j! j^{-1} jr ! j^2$  bounded by a universal multiple of the integral over X of  $j! j(jR_aj + jW_aj)$ .

With this last point understood, the second line in (3.2) follows directly from (3.9) and (3.3).

### b) Pointwise bounds for j / 2

The purpose of this subsection is to derive pointwise bounds for  $i \not f$ . These bounds come from (3.4) as well, but this time with the help of the maximum principle. In particular, with the maximum principle, (3.4) immediately gives the bound

$$j \int_{-\infty}^{2} 1 + r^{-1} \sup_{X} j R_g j$$
: (3.10)

 $j \ \ j^2 - 1 + r^{-1} \sup_X j R_g j :$  (Remember that  $j! \ j$  -  $\frac{p_-}{2}$ .) Here are some more re-ned bounds:

**Lemma 3.2** Let () denote the distance function to Z. There is a constant which depends on the Riemannian metric and is such that

The remainder of this section is occupied with the

**Proof of Lemma 3.2** Start with the observation that the right-hand side of  $^{-1}$ , and thus (3.8) implies (3.8) is bounded by

$$2^{-1}d du + 4^{-1}rj! ju$$
 (3.12)

To obtain the rst bound in (3.11), introduce a standard bump function,

$$: [0; 1) ! [0;1];$$
 (3.13)

which is non-increasing, equals 1 on [0,1] and equals 0 on [2; 1). Given R>0 and r=1, promote to the function  $R=(r^{\frac{1}{3}}=R)$  on X. Then there is a constant R=0 such that the function R=0 obeys the differential inequality

$$2^{-1}d du^{0} + 4^{-1}rj! ju^{0} \qquad (1 - {}_{1=2})^{-1}$$
: (3.14)

Then there is a constant  $_2$  such that  $u^{00} = u + _{1} _{1} - _{2}r^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  obeys

$$2^{-1}d du^{0} + 4^{-1}rj! ju^{0} -4r^{2-3}j! j_{2} + (1 - 1-2)^{-1} 0:$$
 (3.15)

The previous equation and the maximum principle imply the rst line in (3.11).

To obtain the second line in (3.11),  $\times c$  1 and let  $u^{\ell}$  now denote  $u-cr^{-1}$  -2. Then (3.12) implies that  $u^{\ell}$  obeys the differential inequality

$$2^{-1}d du^{0} + 4^{-1}rj! ju^{0} - c4^{-1} - 1 + cr^{-1} + cr^{-1} - 4$$
: (3.16)

# c) Writing = $(\ \ )$ and estimates for $j \int_{-\infty}^{2}$

To proceed from here, it proves convenient to introduce the components ( ; ) of as follows:

$$2^{-1}(1+i(\frac{D_{\overline{2}}j!}{D_{\overline{2}}}j!)^{-1}C_{+}(!))$$

$$2^{-1}(1-i(\frac{D_{\overline{2}}j!}{D_{\overline{2}}}j!)^{-1}C_{+}(!))$$
(3.17)

The estimates in this subsection will show that  $j \ j$  is uniformly small away from Z. The following lemma summarizes

**Proposition 3.1** Fix > 0 and the  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure s. There are constants c, 1 which depend only on s, and the Riemannian metric and have the following significance: Suppose that  $(A; \ )$  is a solution to (2.9) as defined by s and with r . Then the component of obeys

$$j \int^2 c r^{-1} (2^{-1=2} j! j - j \int^2) + r^{-2}$$
 (3.18)

at all points of X with distance or greater from Z.

The remainder of this subsection is occupied with the

**Proof of Proposition 3.1** The estimates for the norm of are obtained using the maximum principle with the projections  $2^{-1}(1+i(\sqrt[6]{2}j!\,j)^{-1}c_+(!\,))$  of (3.1). To start, take the inner product of (3.1) with the spinors (;0) and then (0; ) to obtain the following schematic equations:

$$2^{-1}d \, dj \, \mathring{f}^{2} + jr_{A} \, \mathring{f}^{2} + 4^{-1}rj \, \mathring{f}^{2}(j \, \mathring{f}^{2} - 2^{-1} \, ^{2}j! \, j + j \, \mathring{f}^{2}) \\ + R_{1}(; ) + R_{2}(; ) + R_{3}(; r_{A}) = 0$$

$$2^{-1}d \, dj \, \mathring{f}^{2} + jr_{A} \, \mathring{f}^{2} + 4^{-1}rj \, \mathring{f}^{2}(j \, \mathring{f}^{2} - 2^{-1} \, ^{2}j! \, j + j \, \mathring{f}^{2}) \\ + P_{1}(; ) + P_{2}(; ) + P_{3}(; r_{A}) = 0$$

$$(3.19)$$

Here,  $fR_jg$  and  $fP_jg$  are metric dependent endomorphisms. Also, the covariant derivative (denoted above by  $r_A$ ) on the 2ij!j eigenbundles of the action of  $c_+(!)$  on  $S_+$  are obtained by projecting the spin covariant derivative on  $C^1(S_+)$ .

With regard to (3.19), the associated connection for the derivative  $\Gamma_A$  on sections of the  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j$  eigenbundles of  $c_+(!)$  is, after an appropriate bundle identi cation, equal to half the di erence between A and a certain canonical connection on the line bundle  $K^{-1}$ . In particular, the associated curvature 2{form for this covariant derivative is half the di erence between  $F_A$  and the curvature of the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$ .

The canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$  is defined as in [18] and in Section 1c of [15] as follows: There is a unique (up to isomorphism) Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure for X-Z with the property that the  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j!$  eigensubbundle for the  $c_+(!)$  action on the corresponding  $S_+$  is a trivial complex line bundle. For this Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure, the corresponding line bundle L is isomorphic to  $K^{-1}$ . Thus there is a unique connection (up to gauge equivalence) on  $K^{-1}$  which makes the induced connection on the  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j!$  subbundle trivial. The latter connection is the canonical one. An alternate definition of the covariant derivative of the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$  uses the natural identification of  $K^{-1}$  (as an  $\mathbb{R}^2$  bundle over X-Z) as the orthogonal complement in + of the span of !. With this identification understood, the covariant derivative of the canonical connection on a section of  $K^{-1}$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $K^{-1}$  X of the Levi-Civita covariant derivative on  $C^{-1}$  (+).

Likewise the associated curvature 2{form for the covariant derivative  $r_A$  on sections of the + 2ij!j eigenbundle of  $c_+(!)$  is half the sum of the curvatures

of A and the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$ . Moreover, after the appropriate bundle identications, the associated connection is, in a certain sense, half the sum of A and the canonical connection.

In any event, with (3.19) understood, x > 0, but small so that it is a regular value for the function . Then consider the second line of (3.19) where the distance to Z is larger than =2. In particular, where =2 and when r is large (r >> 1), the equation in the second line of (3.19) for  $j \not f^2$  yields the inequality

$$2^{-1}d \ dj \ f^2 + jr_A \ f^2 + (4^{\cancel{D}} \overline{2})^{-1}rj! \ jj \ f^2 \qquad r^{-1} \ ^{-1}(j \ f^2 + jr_A \ f^2): (3.20)$$

To use this last equation, write  $w = (2^{-1} = 2j! j - j f^2)$  and then observe that (3.7) and the rst line of (3.19) yield (where =2) the inequality

$$2^{-1}d \ d(-w) + jr_{A} \ j^{2} + (4^{\cancel{D}_{2}})^{-1}rj! \ j(-w) + 4^{-1}rw^{2}$$

$$(j \ j^{2} + jr_{A} \ j^{2} + j \ j^{2} + ^{-1}) :$$
(3.21)

Add a large (say c 1) multiple of  $r^{-1}$  times this last equation to (3.7) to obtain the following inequality at points where =2

$$2^{-1}d d(j \not - c r^{-1}w) + (4 \not - 2)^{-1}rj! j(j \not - c r^{-1}w) \qquad r^{-1}$$
: (3.22)

(Remember the convention that is a constant which depends only on the  $\mathrm{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and on the Riemannian metric. Furthermore, the precise value of can change from appearance to appearance.) In particular, there is an  $r\{\text{independent constant} 1 \text{ which guarantees } (3.22) \text{ when } c \ 2 \ (\ ;^{-1}r)$ . With the preceding understood, (3.22) implies that there exists a constant which is independent of both r and , and is such that the function u f  $f^2 - c \ r^{-1}w - 4 \ \overline{2}r^{-2}$  obeys

$$2^{-1}d du + (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1}rj! ju = 0$$
 (3.23)

at all points where =2 when r is large.

Now, hold (3.23) for the moment and consider that there is a unique, continuous function v which equals 1 where =2 and satis es  $2^{-1}d\ dv + (4\sqrt{2})^{-1}rj!\ jv = 0$  where =2. Furthermore, v is positive and, as  $j!\ j$   $^{-1}$ , this v obeys

$$V = \exp(-\frac{\mathcal{P}_{r}}{r}(-) = )$$
 (3.24)

To see this last bound, note rst that the maximum principle implies that  $\nu$  is no greater than the function  $\nu^0$  which is 1 where =2 and obeys  $2^{-1}d\ d\nu^0 + (4^{\prime}\ \overline{2})^{-1}r^{-1}\ \nu^0 = 0$  where =2. The value of  $\nu^0$  at  $\nu^0$  a

be written as an integral of the derivative of a Green's kernel  $G(x; \cdot)$  over the boundary set where = -2. However, when m = 0 is a constant, the Green's kernel G(x; y) for the operator  $d + m^2$  obeys the bound

$$^{-1}$$
 dist $(x; y)^{-2}e^{-m \operatorname{dist}(x; y)}$   $jG(x; y)j$  dist $(x; y)^{-2}e^{-m \operatorname{dist}(x; y)}$ : (3.25)

This last bound yields the bound for  $v^{\ell}$  by the right-hand side of (3.24).

Now, as Lemma 3.2 insures that u where = =2, it follows from the maximum principle that u-v is non-positive where = 2. This last estimate implies that  $j\int^2 c r^{-1}w + r^{-2}$  at points where which is the statement of Proposition 3.1.

#### d) Bounds for the curvature

This subsection modi es the arguments in section 2d of [15] to establish bounds for the curvature  $F_A$  of the connection part of (A). In this regard, the estimates for the self-dual part,  $F_A^+$ , come directly from (3.10) and the de ning equation for  $F_A^+$  in the second line of (2.9). In particular, the second line of (2.9) implies that

$$jF_{A}^{+}j = r(2^{D}\overline{2})^{-1}((2^{-1-2}j!j-j-j^{2})^{2}+2j-j^{2}(2^{-1-2}j!j+j-j^{2})+j-j^{4})^{1-2}: (3.26)$$

Moreover, with >0 speci ed and with r large, Proposition 3.1 implies the more useful bound  $jF_A^+j=r(2^{\ell}\sqrt{2})^{-1}((2^{-1-2}j!j-j-j-j^2)+r^{-1-\ell})^2+r^{-2-2})^{1-2}$  at points where the distance, , to Z is greater than . Thus the triangle inequality gives

$$jF_A^+j \quad r(2^{\raisebox{-0.5ex}{$D$}}{2})^{-1}(2^{-1-2}j!j-jj^2) +$$
 (3.27)

at each point where and when r

With the preceding understood, consider next the case of  $jF_A^-j$ . The following proposition summarizes:

**Proposition 3.2** Fix a Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure s, and x > 0. Then there are constants ,  $^{\emptyset}$  1 with the following signi cance: Let  $(A; \cdot)$  be a solution to the s version of (2.9) where r . Then at points in X with distance or more from Z,

$$jF_A^-j \quad r(2^{\raisebox{-0.5ex}{$D$}}_{-2})^{-1}(1 + {}_{d}r^{-1})(2^{-1})^2 + {}^{\sharp}j! j - j j^2 + {}^{\sharp}j! j - {}^{\sharp}j!$$

The remainder of this subsection is occupied with the

**Proof of Proposition 3.2** The proof is divided into seven steps.

**Step 1** This rst step states and then proves a bound on the  $L^2$  {norm of  $F_A^-$  over the whole of X. The following lemma gives this bound plus a second bound for  $jr_A$  j and  $F_A^+$  which will be exploited in a subsequent step.

**Lemma 3.3** There is a constant which depends only on the metric and on the  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and which has the following signi cance: Let r-1 be given and let (A; -) be a solution to (2.9) using the  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and r. Then,

$$jF_{A}j^{2}$$
  $r$   
 $Z$   
 $(1 + \operatorname{dist}(X; )^{-2})(jr_{A} j^{2} + r^{-1}jF_{A}^{+}j^{2})$  for any point  $\times 2 X$ :  
 $\times$  (3.29)

**Proof of Lemma 3.3** Take the inner product of both sides of (3.1) with to obtain the equation

$$2^{-1}d \ dj \ j^2 + jr_A \ j^2 + 8^{-1}r^{-1}jF_A^{+}j^2 \qquad jR_gj^2j \ j^2 + ir^{-1}hF_A^{+}; ! \ i : \eqno(3.30)$$

Here h; i denotes the metric inner product on  ${}^2T$  X. Integration of this last equation over X yields a uniform bound on the  $L^2$  norms of  $r_A$  and  $F_A^+$ . The rst inequality in (3.29) then follows from the fact that the di erence between the  $L^2$  norms of  $F_A^+$  and  $F_A^-$  is equal to a universal multiple of the evaluation of  $c_1(L)$  [  $c_1(L)$  on the fundamental class of X.

To obtain the second inequality in (3.29), introduce the Green's function for the operator d d+1 with pole at x. Multiply both sides of (3.30) with G(x; ) and integrate the result over X. Then integrate by parts and use (3.25) to obtain

This last equation implies the second line in (3.29).

**Step 2** This second step derives a differential inequality for  $jF_A^-j$ . This derivation starts as in section 2d of [15]. In particular, (2.14{2.15}) in [15] hold in the present case for  $= -2^{-1}iF_A^-$ . (The factor of 2 here comes about because the equations in [15] refers not to the connection A on L, but to a connection on a line bundle whose square is the tensor product of the canonical bundle with L.) Now argue as in the derivation of [15]'s (2.19), to find that S  $F_A^-j$  obeys the differential inequality

$$2^{-1}d ds + 4^{-1}rj f^{2}s \qquad s + (2^{D}\overline{2})^{-1}r(jr_{A} f^{2} + jr_{A} f^{2}) + r(j f^{2} + j f^{2} + j jr_{A} j + j jr_{A} j):$$

$$(3.32)$$

Note that this last equation holds everywhere on X. (Since s is not necessarily  $C^2$  where s=0, one should technically interpret (3.32) as an inequality between distributions on the space of positive functions. However, this and similar technicalities below have no bearing on the subsequent arguments. Readers who are uncomfortable with this assertion can replace s in (3.32) and below by  $(jF_{\Delta}^{-}/^2+1)^{1-2}$  without a ecting the arguments.)

**Step 3** This step uses (3.32) to bound s by r everywhere on X when r. To obtain such a bound, multiply both sides of (3.32) by the Green's function  $G(x; \cdot)$  for the operator  $d \cdot d + 1$ . Integrate the resulting inequality over X and integrate by parts to obtain

$$S(x) + r \int_{X} j^{2} s \operatorname{dist}(x; )^{-2} \int_{X} s \operatorname{dist}(x; )^{-2} + r :$$
 (3.33)

Here (3.25) has been used. Also, the rst line of (3.29) has been invoked to bound the integral over X of s by  $r^{1=2}$ . In addition, the second line of (3.29) has been invoked to bound the product of G(x) with  $jr_A$   $f^2$ .

To make further progress, x R > 0 and break the integral on the right side of (3.33) into the part where dist(x;) R and the complementary region. With this done, (3.33), is seen to imply that

$$\sup_{X} S + r \int_{X}^{2} f^{2} S \operatorname{dist}(X; )^{-2} \qquad R^{-2} r^{1-2} + R^{2} \sup_{X} S + r : \tag{3.34}$$

With  $R = 2^{-1}$  -1=2, this last line gives the claimed bound

$$\sup_{X} j F_{A}^{-} j \qquad r: \tag{3.35}$$

**Step 4** This step uses (3.32) to derive a simpler di erential inequality. To begin, reintroduce  $w=2^{-1-2}j!$  j-j  $f^2$ . It then follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that there are constants  $_1$ ,  $_2$  and  $_3$  which depend only on the metric (not on  $(A; \ )$  nor r) and which have the following significance: Let  $q_0=(2^{-1}2)^{-1}r(1+1-r)w-2rj$   $f^2+1$  and then the function  $(s-q_0)$  obeys  $2^{-1}d$   $d(s-q_0)+4^{-1}rj$   $f^2(s-q_0)=(s+r^{-1})$ . Now introduce the function  $(s-q_0)+1$  max $((s-q_0);1)$ . The latter function obeys the same differential inequality as does  $(s-q_0)$ , namely

$$2^{-1}d d(s-q_0)_+ + 4^{-1}rj f^2(s-q_0)_+ (s+r^{-1}):$$
 (3.36)

(To verify (3.36), write  $(s - q_0)_+ = 2^{-1}((s - q_0) + js - q_0j)$ . Also, since (3.36) involves two derivatives of the Lipschitz function  $js - q_0j$ , this last equation should be interpreted as an inequality between distributions on the space of

positive functions. As before, such technicalities play no essential role in the subsequent arguments.)

**Step 5** Now, x > 0 but small enough to be a regular value of . Let denote the bump function in (3.13) and let ( ()=). Agree to let  $q_1$  (1 - )( $s - q_0$ )+. Note that the task now is to bound  $q_1$  from above.

To begin, multiply both sides of (3.36) by (1 - ) to obtain

$$2^{-1}d dq_1 + 4^{-1}r_i f^2 q_1 \qquad r + hd (d(s - q_0)_+ i)$$
 (3.37)

at points where h; i denotes the metric inner product. Now observe that the maximum principle insures that  $q_1 = q_2 + q_3$ , where  $q_2$  solves the equation

$$2^{-1}d dq_2 + (4^{D_{\overline{2}}})^{-1}rj! jq_2 = r + hd ; d(s - q_0)_+ i;$$
 (3.38)

where

and  $q_2 = 0$  where = . In the mean time,  $q_3$  obeys

$$2^{-1}d dq_3 + 4^{-1}rj f^2q_3 = 4^{-1}rjwj jq_2j (3.39)$$

where and vanishes where = . Here,  $W = 2^{-1-2}jWj \int^2 .$ 

Bounds for  $jq_2j$  can be found with help of the Green's function,  $G(\cdot)$  for the operator  $2^{-1}d d + (4^{l} \overline{2})^{-1}rj!j$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the surface = . In particular, since j!j  $^{-1}$  standard estimates bound  $jr^kG(x;y)j$  for k=0; 1 at points  $x \in y$  by  $jx-yj^{-2-k}\exp(-\sqrt{r}jx-yj=)$ . (Since j!j  $^{-1}$  where the distance to Z is greater than , these standard estimates involve little more than (3.25) and the maximum principle.)

Let denote the supremum of  $(s-q_0)_+$  where . (Note that (3.25) asserts that r in any event.) Then the estimates just given for the Green's function imply that

$$jq_2j$$
  $(1+r^{-1}=2 \exp[-\frac{P_r}{r}(-)=])$  (3.40)

at points where r and when r.

**Step 6** The purpose of this step is to obtain a bound for the supremum norm of  $jq_3j$ . Such a bound is a part of the assertions of

**Lemma 3.4** Given > 0, there is a constant 1 which is independent of (A; ) and r and is such that if r , then the following is true:

$$q_3 \qquad (r^{1-2} + r^{-1-6})$$
: (3.41)

This claim will be proved momentarily. Note however that (3.41) leads to a re nement of the bound r which came from (3.35):

$$r^{1=2}$$
 (3.42)

when r . To obtain this re nement, remark that according to (3.40) and (3.41),

$$s - q_0 \qquad (r^{1-2} + r^{-1-6})$$
 (3.43)

at points where 2 when r. This last estimate implies that  $_2$   $(r^{1-2}+r^{-1-6})$ . The latter inequality, iterated thrice, reads  $_8$   $z_8$   $r^{1-2}(1+r^{-1})$ . Now plug in the bound of by r from (3.35) to conclude that  $_8$   $z_8$   $r^{1-2}$ . Replacing 8 by gives (3.42).

**Proof of Lemma 3.4** Since  $q_3$  0, this function is no greater than the solution, u, to the equation  $2^{-1}d\ du = 4^{-1}rjwj(1+r^{-1-2})\exp[-\frac{r}{r}(-1)]$  where with Dirichlet boundary conditions where = . This function u can be bounded using the Green's function for the Laplacian. In particular (3.25) gives

$$u(x) = \frac{dist(x; )^{-2}rjwj}{Z} + \frac{dist(x; )^{-2}r^{1-2}jwj\exp[-P_{r(-)} = ])}{(3.44)}$$

Consider the two integrals above separately. To bound the rst integral,  $x \ d > 0$  but small and break the region of integration into the part where  $\mathrm{dist}(x; )$  d, and the complementary region. The integral over the rst region is no greater than that of  $d^{-2}rjwj$  over the region where . Since the integral of rjwj is uniformly bounded (Lemma 3.1) by some , this rst part of the rst integral in (3.44) is no greater than  $d^{-2}$ . Meanwhile, since jwj , the  $\mathrm{dist}(x; )$  d part of the rst integral above is no greater than  $rd^2$ . Thus, taking  $d = r^{-1-4}$  bounds the rst integral in (3.44) by  $r^{1-2}$ .

Now consider the second integral, and again consider the contributions from the region where  $\operatorname{dist}(x;)$  d and the complementary region. The contribution from the rst region is no greater than  $r^{-1-2}d^{-2}$  since rjwj has a uniform bound on its integral. Meanwhile the region where  $\operatorname{dist}(x;)$  d contributes no more than d. Thus taking  $d = r^{-1-6}$  bounds the second integral in (3.44) by  $r^{-1-6}$ .

**Step 7** This step completes the proof of Proposition 3.2 with the help of a pointwise bound on  $q_3$ . To continue the argument, mimic the discussion

surrounding (2:27) and (2:28) of [15] to  $\,$  nd constants  $\,$  and  $\,$   $\,$  such that the function

$$V_1 W - c j j^2 + = r (3.45)$$

obeys the following properties at points where and when r

$$v_1$$
  $2^{-1} = r$ :  
 $v_1$   $w$  (3.46)  
 $2^{-1} d dv_1 + 4^{-1} r j \int_{0}^{2} v_1$   $0$ :

With  $v_1$  understood, note that (3.41) and (3.42) and the second and third lines of (3.47) imply that there exists 1 such that  $q_4 q_3 - r^{1-2}v_1$  obeys

$$2^{-1}d dq_4 + 4^{-1}rj f^2q_4 4^{-1}rjwj jq_2j$$
 (3.47)

where and  $q_4$  0 where j  $f^2$   $(2^{\begin{subarray}{c} D} \overline{2})^{-1}j! j$  and where = . This last point implies (via the maximum principle) that  $q_3 - r^{1-2}v_1$  is no greater than the solution v to the di erential equation  $2^{-1}d\ dv + 8^{-1}rv = 4^{-1}r\ \sup(jq_2j)$  where with boundary condition v 0 where = . In particular, it follows from (3.40) and (3.42) that v and thus

$$q_3 - z r^{1-2} v_1$$
 (3.48)

This last bound with (3.40), (3.42) and (3.45) complete the arguments for Proposition 3.2.

# e) Bounds for $\Gamma_A$ and $\Gamma_A$

This subsection modi es the arguments in section 2e of [15] to obtain pointwise bounds on the covariant derivatives of  $\$  and  $\$ . The following proposition summarizes:

**Proposition 3.3** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X. Given > 0, there are constants and  $^{\emptyset}$  with the following signi cance: Let r and let (A; ) be a solution to the r version of (2.9) using the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure. Then at points where the distance to Z is larger than  $_{r}$ , one has

$$jr_A j^2 + rjr_A j^2 \qquad r(2^{-1-2}j!j - j j^2) + {}_{d}^{\ell}$$
 (3.49)

The remainder of this section is occupied with the

**Proof of Proposition 3.3** The arguments for Proposition 3.3 are slight modications of those for Proposition 2.8 in [15]. In any event, there are three steps to the proof.

**Step 1** For applications in a subsequent section, it proves convenient to introduce  $2^{1-2}j!j^{-1-2}$ . Note that an r{independent bound for  $jr_A\_j$  where the distance to Z is greater than gives an r{independent bound for  $jr_A$  j.

The manipulations that follow assume that the distance to Z is greater than and that r so that Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 can be invoked.

To begin, note that (3.1) implies an equation for \_ which has the following schematic form:

$$r_A r_{A_-} + 4^{-1}r^2 (j_{A_-} - 1) + 4^{-1}r_j f^2 + R(j_{A_-} r_{A_-} r_{A_-}) = 0;$$
(3.50)

where R is multilinear in its four entries and satis es  $jRj + jrRj + jr^2Rj$ . Di erentiate this last equation and commute derivatives where appropriate to obtain

$$r_{A} r_{A}(r_{A}) + 4^{-1}r^{2}r_{A} + Q_{1}(\cdot) + Q_{2}(r_{A}^{2} r_{A}^{2}) + T_{1}r_{A} + T_{2}r_{A} = 0:$$

$$(3.51)$$

Here  $fQ_jg_{j=1,2}$  are bilinear in their entries. Moreover,  $jQ_jj + jrQ_jj$  for j=1 and 2. Meanwhile,  $jT_1j$  (1+rjwj) and  $jT_2j$   $(1+rjwj)^{1=2}$ . (The latter bounds use Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2.

Next note that there is a similar equation for  $r_A$ .

Here  $fQ_j^{0}g$  and  $fT_jg$  obey the same bounds as their namesakes in (3.51).

**Step 2** Take the inner product of (3.51) with  $r_A$  and that of (3.52) with  $r_A$ . Judicious use of the triangle inequality yields

$$2^{-1}d \ djr_{A} = \int_{-1}^{2} + jr_{A}r_{A} = \int_{-1}^{2} + (4\frac{D_{-}}{2})^{-1}rj! \ jjr_{A} = \int_{-1}^{2} + (r_{-}^{-1} + (1 + rjwj)jr_{A} + f^{2} + jr_{A} + f^{2}) + r_{-}^{-1}jr_{A}r_{A} + f^{2}$$

$$2^{-1}d djr_{A} f^{2} + jr_{A}r_{A} f^{2} + (4^{P}\overline{2})^{-1}rj! jjr_{A} f^{2}$$

$$(r^{-1} + (1 + rjwj)jr_{A} f^{2} + jr_{A} f^{2}) + r^{-1}jr_{A}r_{A} f^{2}$$

$$(3.53)$$

Here r is assumed so that Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.1 can be invoked.

Now introduce  $y = jr_A f^2 + rjr_A f^2$ . By virtue of (3.53), the latter obeys

$$2^{-1}d dy + (4^{D_{\overline{2}}})^{-1}rj! jy \qquad (1 + r(jr_A j^2 + rjr_A j^2)):$$
 (3.54)

**Step 3** Reintroduce the function  $w = 2^{-1-2}j! \ j - j \_ j^2$ . It then follows from (3.20) and (3.21) that there are constants  $y_1, y_2$  and  $y_3$  which depend only on and are such that  $y_1^0 = y_2 - y_1 rw + y_2 r^2 j f^2 - y_3$  obeys

$$2^{-1}d dy^{0} + (4^{D} \overline{2})^{-1}rj!jy^{0} = 0$$
 (3.55)

where the distance to Z is greater than . Now introduce  $y_+^{\ell} = \max(y^{\ell};0)$  and note that (3.51) is still true with  $y_+^{\ell}$  replacing  $y^{\ell}$ , at least as a distribution on the space of positive functions with support where the distance to Z is greater than .

With the preceding understood, take the function from (3.13) and set ( ()=), where denotes the distance function to Z. Let G(;) denote the Green's function for the operator  $d + (4 \sqrt{2})^{-1} r j! j$ , and with  $x \ge X$  obeying  $(x) \ge 1$ . Here is chosen so that j! j at all points where . Now multiply both sides of the  $y_+^0$  version of (3.51) by (1 - )G(;x) and integrate the result. Integrate by parts and then use (3.25) to nd that

$$y_{+}^{J}(x) = \exp(-\frac{p_{-}}{r}) \frac{Z}{X} y_{+}^{J}$$
 (3.56)

This last inequality with (3.29) gives (3.49) when dist(x; Z) = 2. Thus, replacing by =2 in (3.56) gives Proposition 3.3.

# 4 The monotonicity formula

Fix a Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure, a value of r-1 and a solution ( $A_r$ ) to the associated version of (2.9). Let B-X be an open set, and consider the *energy* of B:

$$E_{B} = (4^{\frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2})^{-1}} r \int_{B}^{Z} j! j j (2^{-1=2}j! j - j j^{2}) j;$$
(4.1)

Note that  $E_B$   $E_X < 1$  by virtue of Lemma 3.1 and the second line of (3.2) in particular. The purpose of this section is to rst estimate  $E_B$  from the above and from below in the case where B is a geodesic ball of some radius s > 0. The second purpose will be to exploit the estimates for the energy to re ne some of the bounds in the previous section.

### a) Monotonicity

The following proposition describes the behavior of the energy  $E_B$  for the case where B is a geodesic ball in X of some radius s > 0.

**Proposition 4.1** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X. There is a constant 1, and given > 0, there is a constant 1; and these constants have the following signi cance: Fix r and consider a solution (A; ) to the version of (2.9) which corresponds to the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and r. Let  $B \times X$  be a geodesic ball with center x whose points all lie at distance or greater from Z. Let S denote the radius of B and require S  $S^{-1}r^{-1-2}$ . Then:

$$E_B S^2$$
  
If  $j(x)j < (2^{\frac{p}{2}})^{-1}j!j$ ; then  $E_B ^{-1}S^2$ : (4.2)

Proposition 4.1 is proved in the next subsection. Note that this proposition has the following crucial corollary:

**Lemma 4.1** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure of X. Given > 0, there is a constant > 4 with the following signi cance: Fix r and let  $(A; \cdot)$  be a solution to (2.9) for the given value of r and the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure. Let  $(r^{-1})^{-2}$ . Then

Let be any set of disjoint balls of radius whose centers lie on  $^{-1}(0)$  and have distance at least from Z. Then has less than  $^{-2}$  elements.

The set of points in  $^{-1}(0)$  with distance at least from Z has a cover by a set of no more than  $^{-2}$  balls of radius . Moreover, each ball in this set has center on  $^{-1}(0)$  and distance to Z at least =2. Finally, the set of concentric balls of radius =2 is disjoint.

Note that Lemma 4.1 plays the role in subsequent arguments that is played by Lemma 3.6 in [15].

**Proof of Lemma 4.1** To prove the rst assertion, use Proposition 4.1 to conclude that when r is large, then the energy of each ball in the set is at least  $^{-1}$  <sup>2</sup>. If there are N such balls and they are all disjoint, then  $E_X$   $N_d^{-1}$  <sup>2</sup>. Since  $E_X$  , this gives the asserted bound on N. The second assertion follows from the rst by setting  $^{\ell}$  to equal a maximal (in number) set of disjoint balls of radius  $^{-2}$  whose centers lie on  $^{-1}(0)$  and have distance at least  $^{-2}$  from  $^{-2}$ . With  $^{\ell}$  in hand, set equal to the set whose balls are concentric to those in  $^{\ell}$  but have radius .

**b) Proof of Proposition 4.1** The rst two assertions follow from the following claim: For xed center x, consider  $E_B$  as a function of the radius s of B. Then

 $E_B$  is a di erentiable function of s which obeys the inequality:

$$E_B = 2^{-1} s(1 + s)(1 + r^{-1=2}) \frac{d}{ds} E_B + s^4$$
: (4.3)

If one is willing to accept (4.3), then the proof of Proposition 4.1 proceeds by copying essentially verbatim that of Proposition 3:1 in [15].

With the preceding understood, the task at hand is to establish (4.3). In this regard, note that the argument for (4.3) is only a slightly modi ed version of that for Proposition 3.2 in [15]. For this reason, the discussion below is brief.

Meanwhile, ! is exact on B, so can be written as d for some smooth 1{form on B. Thus,

$$E_B 2^{-1} ^2 IF_A: (4.5)$$

Since ! is assumed to be nowhere vanishing on B, it follows that there is a coordinate system which is centered at x and valid in a ball of radius about x for which ! pulls back to  $\mathbb{R}^4$  as the standard form !  $X = i! (x) i (dy^1)^4$  $dy^2 + dy^3 \wedge dy^4$ ). Moreover, this coordinate chart can be chosen so that the pulled back metric is close to a constant multiple of the standard Euclidean metricon  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . To be precise, one can require that the metric g di er from  $g_E = \int_{i}^{\infty} dy^j$  as follows:

$$jg - g_E j$$
  $jyj$ .  
 $j@gj$  . (4.6)

Here, @g denotes the tensor of y{partial derivatives of g. Note that the second line in (4.6) implies that the distance s from the origin as measured by the metric g di ers from that,  $s_E$ , measured by the Euclidean metric as follows: js – s<sub>E</sub>j

In these coordinates, the choice  $= 2^{-1}j!(x)j(y^1dy^2 - y^2dy^1 + y^3dy^4 - y^4dy^3)$ will be made. Note that j j di ers from  $2^{-1}s$  by no more than  $s^2$ . With the

preceding understood, it follows (as argued in (3:21{24}) in [15]) that 
$$Z = E_B - 2^{-1} s(1+s)(1+r^{-1-2})4^{-1} r \int_{@B} j! (x) jj(2^{-1}j! j-j f^2)j + s^4$$
 (4.7)

Moreover, since jj!j-j!(x)jj sj!j on @B, the constant factor j!(x)j above can be replaced by the variable factor j!j at the cost of increasing . Thus, (4.7) implies that

$$E_{B} = 2^{-1}S(1+S)(1+r^{-1=2})4^{-1}r \int_{\mathbb{R}^{B}} j! \, jj(2^{-1}j! \, j-j \, j^{2})j + S^{4} : \quad (4.8)$$

To complete the argument for (4.3), use Proposition 3.1 in the previous section to replace the factor  $(2^{-1}j!j-j \ f^2)$  in (4.8) with  $(2^{-1}j!j-j \ f^2)$  at the cost of slightly increasing . The resulting equation is (4.3) after the identication of the s derivative of  $E_B$  with the quantity  $4^{-1}r$   $_{@B}j!j(2^{-1}j!j-j \ f^2)$ .

### a) A re ned curvature bound

The results in Proposition 4.1 about  $E_B$  can be used to re ne the bound in Proposition 3.2 for  $jF_A^-j$ . The following proposition summarizes:

**Proposition 4.2** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure s, and x > 0. Then, there exist constants ,  ${}^{\emptyset}$  1 with the following signi cance: Let  $(A_{\mathcal{C}})$  be a solution to the s version of (2.9) where r . Then, at points in X with distance or more from Z,

$$jF_{A}^{-}j \quad r(2^{\mathcal{O}}\overline{2})^{-1}(2^{-1=2}j!j-jj^{2}) + {}^{\theta}:$$
 (4.9)

The remainder of this section is occupied with the

**Proof of Proposition 4.2** The proof amounts to a slight modi cation of the arguments which prove Proposition 3.4 of [15]. To start, introduce the functions  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  as in (3.38) and (3.39). Because of (3.40) and (3.41) one has  $jq_2j$ , and so (due to Proposition 3.1's bound on j  $f^2$ ), it is enough to bound  $q_3$  by a uniform constant. In this regard, note that  $q_3$  obeys the equation

$$2^{-1}d dq_3 + 4^{-1}j \int^2 q_3 \qquad r$$
: (4.10)

where and when r . Also,  $q_3 = 0$  where = .

With these last points understood, the key to the proof is the following lemma (compare with Lemma 3.5 in [15]):

**Lemma 4.2** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure s, and x > 0. Then, there is a constant 1 with the following signi-cance: Let (A) be a solution to the s version of (2.9) where r . Then, there is a smooth function u which is defined on the set of points in X with distance or more from Z and which obeys

juj .
$$2^{-1}d \ du \quad r \text{ where } j \quad (2^{p}\overline{2})^{-1}j! \ j.$$

$$jd \ duj \quad r.$$

$$u = 0 \text{ where } = . \tag{4.11}$$

The proof of Proposition 4.2 given Lemma 4.2 is essentially the same as that of Proposition 3:4 in [15] given Lemma 3:5 in [15]. Meanwhile, the proof of Lemma 4.1 is a Dirichlet boundary condition version of the proof of Lemma 3:5 in [15]. The modi cations to the argument for the latter in [15] are straightforward and left to the reader.

# 5 Local properties of $^{-1}(0)$

The purpose of this section is to summarize some of the local properties of  $^{-1}(0)$  at points in the complement of Z. At issue here is the behavior of  $^{-1}(0)$  at length scales of order  $r^{-1=2}$ .

The strategy for the investigation at such scales is as follows: Fix > 0 and a point x whose distance from Z is at least  $\,$ . A Gaussian coordinate system based at x de nes an embedding h:  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ! X which maps the origin to x and which sends straight lines through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  to geodesics in X through x. Moreover, the pull-back via h of the Riemannian metric agrees with the Euclidean metric to second order at the origin. The Gaussian coordinate charts at x are parametrized by the group SO(4) (to be precise, the ber of the frame bundle at X). In particular, there are Gaussian coordinate systems at X which pull x back as x in x is called a x coordinate system. Indeed, a Gaussian coordinate system at x is called complex precisely when the differential of the corresponding x at the origin intertwines the standard almost complex structure on x is x are parametrized by the x in x

Now, x a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure on X and r-1 and then let (A; -) be a solution to the corresponding version of (2.9). Then, pull-back by the map h of a Gaussian coordinate system at some x de nes (A; -) as elds open  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Given > 0, de ne the dilation map :  $\mathbb{R}^4$  !  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by its action on the coordinate functions y:  $y = {}^{-1}y$ . With x chosen in the complement of Z

set =  $(rj!(x)j)^{1-2}$  and let h be a complex Gaussian coordinate system based at x. Given  $(A_i = (\cdot, \cdot))$ , de ne the data  $(A_i (\cdot, \cdot))$  on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  by the rule:

$$(\underline{A};(\underline{\hspace{1em}};)) \qquad h(A;j!(x)j^{-1-2}(;)): \tag{5.1}$$

The plan now is to compare  $(\underline{A}; (\underline{\ \ \ }))$  with some standard objects on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . These standard objects are discussed in Proposition 4:1 of [15]. The following digression constitutes a brief summary: A connection  $a_0$  on the trivial complex line bundle over  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and a section  $a_0$  of this line bundle will be said to a *solution to the Seiberg{Witten equations* on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  when the following conditions hold:

The curvature  $2\{\text{form}, F_a, \text{ of } a_0 \text{ is of type } 1-1 \text{ with respect to the standard almost complex structure on } \mathbb{R}^4 \text{ and so de nes a holomorphic structure (and associated @ operator) on the trivial complex line bundle.$ 

The section  $_0$  is holomorphic with respect to the  $a_0$  {complex structure on the trivial complex line bundle.

$$F_{a}^{+} = -i8^{-1}(1 - j_{0}j^{2})(dy^{1} \wedge dy^{2} + dy^{3} \wedge dy^{4})$$

$$j_{0}j = 1.$$

$$jF_{a}^{-}j \quad jF_{a}^{+}j \quad (4^{p}\overline{2})^{-1}(1 - j_{0}j^{2}).$$

$$jr_{a0}j \quad z(1 - j_{0}j^{2}).$$

For each N=1, the integral of  $(1-j_0 f^2)$  over the ball of radius N is bounded by  $zN^2$ . (5.2)

Here, z is a constant. (Note that these conditions di er from the conditions listed in (4:3) of [15] in that no assumption on the integrability of  $jF_a^+f^2-jF_a^-f^2$  is made here. It is most probably true that the latter condition is a consequence of those in (5.2).)

The following proposition summarizes the basic properties of solutions to (5.2):

### **Proposition 5.1** Let $(a_0; 0)$ obey the conditions in (5.2). Then:

Either j  $_0j$  < 1 everywhere or else j  $_0j$  = 1 and  $(a_0; _0)$  is gauge equivalent to the trivial solution  $(a_0 = 0; _0 = 1)$ . In the former case,  $_0^{-1}(0) \not\in$ ; and  $_0^{-1}(0)$  is the zero set of a polynomial in the complex coordinates for  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Either  $jF_a^-j < jF_a^+j$  everywhere or else  $jF_a^-j = jF_a^+j$  and there is a  $\mathbb{C}\{$  linear map  $s\colon \mathbb{C}^2 \ ! \ \mathbb{C}$  and a solution  $(a_1; \ _1)$  to the vortex equations on  $\mathbb{C}$  with the property that  $(a_0; \ _0)$  is gauge equivalent to the pull-back  $s\ (a_1; \ _1)$ . In this case,  $\ _0^{-1}(0)$  is a nite set of parallel, complex planes.

Given the constant z in (5.2), there is an upper bound on the order of vanishing of  $_0$  at any point in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

The set of gauge equivalence classes of  $(a_0; 0)$  which obey (5.2) for a xed value of z is sequentially compact with respect to convergence on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  in the  $C^1$  topology.

Given the value of z in (5.2), there exists  $z_1 > 0$  such that

$$(1 - j_0 f^2) + j r_a_0 f^2 = z_1 \exp[-\operatorname{dist}(z_0^{-1}(0)) = z_1]$$
: (5.3)

This proposition restates various assertions of Proposition 4:1 in [15]; the reader is referred to Section 4e of [15] for the proof.

End the digression.

The relevance of the solutions to the standard Seiberg{Witten solutions to the problem at hand is summarized by the next proposition:

**Proposition 5.2** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X. Given > 0, there is a constant z=1, and given R=1, k=1 and ">0, there is another constant and these have the following signi cance: Let r> and let (A; -) be a solution to (2.9) as defined with the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and with r. Suppose that  $x \in Z$  has distance at least from Z. Now define the elds (A; -) as in (5.1). Then there exists a solution  $(a_0; -)$  to the  $z_1=z$  version of (5.2) and a gauge transformation  $r: \mathbb{C}^2 : S^1$  such that  $r: (A; -) - (2a_0; -)$  has  $C^k$  norm less than  $r: C^k$  in the ball of radius R and center 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Furthermore,  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  and  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  and  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  and  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$  is not constant if  $f: C^k$  in  $f: C^k$ 

**Proof of Proposition 5.2** The proof of this proposition can be found by lifting from Section 4c of [15] the proofs of the analogous assertions of Proposition 4.2 of [15]. (The lack of control here on the integral over  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of  $jF_a^+j^2-jF_a^-j^2$  precludes only the use of the proofs in [15] of statements which actually refer to this integral.)

# 6 Large $\Gamma$ behavior away from $^{-1}(0)$

Fix a  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X and then consider a solution  $(A; \cdot)$  to (2.9) for the given  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and for some large value of r. The purpose of this section is to investigate the behavior of  $(A; \cdot)$  to (2.9) at points which lie neither on Z nor on  $^{-1}(0)$ . Here are the basic observations: First,  $2^{-1=2}j!j-j$  and j are both  $O(r^{-1})$ . In particular, this means that  $F_A$  is bounded. More to

the point, the connection A is close to a canonical connection  $A^0$  whose gauge orbit depends only on the metric and the choice of I. (Note that this orbit is independent of the chosen  $\mathrm{Spin}^\mathbb{C}$  structure.) Proposition 6.1, below, gives the precise measure of closeness that is used here.

The statement of Proposition 6.1 requires a preliminary, three part digression whose purpose is to be ne the connection  $A^0$ . The rst part of the digression remarks that the  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j$  eigenspace of the Cli ord multiplication endomorphism by  $c_+(!)$  on  $S_+$  de nes a complex line bundle E!X-Z. The component of is a section of E, and then the component is one of  $K^{-1}E$ . Here,  $K^{-1}$  is the inverse of the canonical bundle, K, for the almost complex structure J  $\frac{1}{2}g^{-1}!=j!j$  on X-Z.

Note that the line bundle  $L = \det(S_+)$  restricts to X - Z as

$$Lj_{X-Z} \quad K^{-1}E^2$$
: (6.1)

Meanwhile, trivializes E where  $\neq 0$  and so the unit length section  $^2 = j f^2$  of  $E^2$  provides an isometric identication of L with  $K^{-1}$  on the complement of Z and  $^{-1}(0)$ .

The second part of the digression reviews the de nition from [18] or Section 1c of [15] of a canonical connection on the line bundle  $K^{-1}$ ! X-Z. (Note that this connection is unique up to gauge equivalence.) To de ne a canonical connection, prst remark that there is a canonical Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure for X-Z so that the  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j$  eigenbundle for the  $c_+(!)$  action on the corresponding  $S_+$  is the trivial bundle over X-Z. For this Spin<sup> $\mathbb{C}$ </sup> structure, the corresponding line bundle L is isomorphic to  $K^{-1}$ . With this understood, there is a unique connection (up to isomorphism) on  $K^{-1}$  for which the induced connection on the aforementioned  $-\frac{1}{2}ij!j$  eigenbundle is trivial. Such a connection is a canonical one.

Part 3 of the digression de nes a canonical connection on  $Lj_{X-Z}$  by using the identi cation  $^2=j$   $f^2$  between L and  $K^{-1}$  (where  $\not= 0$  on X-Z) to pull a canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$  back to L.

End the digression.

**Proposition 6.1** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X and > 0. There is a constant 1 with the following signi cance: Suppose that r and that (A; ) are a solution to (2.9) as de ned by r and the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure. There is a canonical connection  $A^0$  on  $Lj_{X-Z}$  for which  $jA - A^0j + jF_A - F_{A^0}j$   $r^{-1} + r\exp[-\frac{1}{r}\operatorname{dist}(X; ^{-1}(0)) = ]$  at all points  $x \in Z$  with distance or more from Z and distance  $r^{-1=2}$  or more from T0.

(This proposition should be compared with Proposition 4:4 in [15].)

**Proof of Proposition 6.1** Away from Z and where  $\neq 0$ , the section =j j de nes a trivialization of the line bundle E, and with this understood, the di erence between A and a particular canonical connection  $A^0$  is given by  $2(=j)r_A(=j)$ . Thus, the absolute value of  $r_A(=j)$  measures the size of  $A - A^0$ . Likewise, the norm of  $d_A r_A(=j)$  measures the size of  $F_A - F_{A^0}$ .

With the task ahead now clear, note that the arguments which establish the required bounds on the derivatives of are, for the most part, straightforward modi cations of the arguments which prove Proposition 4.4 in [15]. In particular, the reader will be referred to the latter reference at numerous points. In any event, the details are given in the subsequent four steps.

**Step 1** A straightfoward modi cation of the proof of Proposition 4:4 in [15] (which is left to the reader) proves the following preliminary estimate:

**Lemma 6.1** Fix a  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X and x > 0. There is a constant with the following signi-cance: Let (A) solve the version of (2.9) which is defined by the given  $Spin^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure and by r. If  $x \ge X$  has distance or more from Z, then

$$rj(2^{-1=2}j! j - j f^{2})j7 + r^{2}j f^{2} + jr_{A} f^{2} + rjr_{A} f^{2}$$

$$(1 + r\exp[-\frac{P_{-}}{r} dist(x; -1(0)) = ]) :$$
(6.2)

**Step 2** Now, introduce \_  $2^{1-4}j!j^{-1-2}$  . Add the two lines of (3.53) to obtain a di erential inequality for the function  $y = jr_A _ f^2 + jr_A f^2$ . Use Lemma 6.1 to bound jwj to and that the aforementioned inequality implies that  $2^{-1}d dy + 4^{-1}r^{-1}y = r^{-1}$  at points with distance or more to Z and distance  $r^{-1-2}$  or more to  $r^{-1}(0)$ . Note that (6.3) implies that  $y^0 = y^0 - 4^{-2}r^{-2}$  obeys the inequality

$$2^{-1}d dy^{0} + 4^{-1}r^{-1}y^{0} = 0$$
: (6.3)

at points with distance or more to Z and  $r^{-1=2}$  or more to  $r^{-1}(0)$ 

Given (6.3), a straightforward modi cation of the proof of Proposition 4:4 in [15] yields the bound

$$jr_A _{-} f^2 + jr_A f^2 \qquad r^{-2} + r \exp(-\frac{P_{-}}{r} \operatorname{dist}(; ^{-1}(0)) = )$$
 (6.4)

at points with distance 2 or more from Z. (Bounds on the size of both  $jr_A _{-}^{\beta}$  and  $jr_A _{-}^{\beta}$  near  $^{-1}(0)$  come via Proposition 3.3.)

Take the =2 version of (6.4) with the fact that  $\underline{\phantom{a}}=\underline{j}\underline{\phantom{a}}\underline{j}==\underline{j}\underline{\phantom{a}}j$  to bound the di erence between A and a canonical connection on L by

$$(r^{-1} + r^{1-2} \exp(-\frac{P_{\overline{r}}}{r} \operatorname{dist}(r^{-1}(0)) = 1))$$

at points with distance or more from Z and  $r^{-1=2}$  or more from  $^{-1}(0)$ .

**Step 3** As remarked above, a bound on  $jr_A^2$  provides a bound on  $jF_A - F_{A^0}j$ . To obtain the latter, rst di erentiate (3.55) and commute covariant derivatives to obtain an equation for  $r_A^2$  of the form  $r_A r_A (r_A^2) + (4 2)^{-1} r j! j (r_A^2) + Remainder = 0$ . Take the inner product of this last equation with  $r_A^2$  to obtain an equation for  $jr_A^2$  having the form  $2^{-1}d djr_A^2$   $2^2 + 4^{-1}r j! j j r_A^2$   $2^2 + j r_A (r_A^2) \hat{f}^2 + h r_A^2$ . Remainder i = 0. Here, h : i denotes the Hermitian inner product on E = (2T X). A similar equation for  $jr_A^2$  j is obtained by di erentiating (3.56). Add the resulting two equations. Then, judicious use Lemma 6.1, (6.5) and the triangle inequality produces a di erential inequality for  $j = jr_A^2 \hat{f}^2 + jr_A^2 \hat{f}^2 - r_A^{-2}$  which has the same form as (6.3). And, with this understood, the arguments which yield (6.4) yield the bound

$$y^{j}$$
  $r^{-2}$  +  $(\sup jy^{j}j) \exp(-\frac{P_{\overline{r}}}{r} \operatorname{dist}(; ^{-1}(0)) = )$  (6.5)

at points where 2.

**Step 4** The =2 version of (6.5) with a bound on  $jr_A^2 - j^2 + jr_A^2 - j^2$  where =2 by  $r^2$  gives Proposition 6.1's bound on  $jF_A - F_{A^0}j$ . Thus, the last task is to obtain a supremum bound on  $jr_A^2 - j^2 + jr_A^2 - j^2$ .

For this purpose, x a ball of radius  $2r^{-1=2}$  whose points all have distance =4 or more from Z. Take Gaussian coordinates based at the center of this ball and rescale so that the radius  $r^{-1=2}$  concentric ball becomes the radius 1 ball in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with center at the origin. Equation (2.9) rescales to give an r=1 version of the same equation on the radius 2 ball in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  with a metric  $g^0$  which is close to the Euclidean metric  $g_E$  and form  $f^0$  which is close a constant self dual form of size  $f^0$  ( $f^0$ ). Here,  $f^0$  is the center of the chosen ball in  $f^0$ . To be precise,  $f^0$  of order  $f^0$  and the derivatives of  $g^0$  of order  $f^0$  are  $f^0$  in size. Meanwhile the form  $f^0$  differs by  $f^0$  of order  $f^0$  from a constant form, and its  $f^0$ -th derivatives are  $f^0$  in size.

With the preceding understood, standard elliptic regularity results (as in Chapter 6 of [12]) bound the second derivatives of the rescaled versions of \_ and \_ by . Rescaling the latter bounds back to the original size gives  $jr_A^2 - j^2 + jr_A^2 - j^2 + jr_A^2 - j^2$  as required.

## 7 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Fix a  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure for X and suppose that there exists an unbounded, increasing sequence  $\operatorname{fr}_n g$  of positive numbers with the property that each  $r = r_n$  version of (2.9) with the given  $\operatorname{Spin}^{\mathbb{C}}$  structure has a solution  $(A_n; n)$ . The purpose of this section is to investigate the n! 1 limits of  $n^{-1}(0)$  and in doing so, prove the claims of Theorem 2.2. This investigation is broken into six parts.

#### a) The curvature as a current

Each connection  $A_n$  has its associated curvature 2{form, and the di erence between  $A_n$ 's curvature 2{form and the curvature 2{form of the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$  will be viewed as a current on X. This current,  $F_n$ , associates to a smooth 2{form the number\_

$$F_n(\ ) \quad 2^{-1} \frac{i}{x} \frac{i}{2} (F_{A_n} - F_{A^0}) ^{A} :$$
 (7.1)

Here,  $F_{A^0}$  is the curvature 2{form of the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$ . (Even though the canonical connection on  $K^{-1}$  is de ned only over X - Z (see the beginning of the previous section), the norm of its curvature is none-the-less integrable over X. Thus, (7.1) makes sense even for whose support intersects Z. The integrability of  $jF_{A^0}j$  follows from the bound  $jF_{A^0}j$  dist(;Z) $^{-2}$ .)

With the sequence  $FF_ng$  understood, x > 0 and suppose that each point in the support of has distance or more from Z. It then follows from Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.2 that

$$jF_n(\ )j \qquad \sup_{\mathcal{X}} j \ j$$
 (7.2)

This uniform bound implies that the sequence  $fF_ng$  de nes a bounded sequence of linear functional on the space of smooth 2{forms on X with support where the distance to Z is at least .

With the preceding understood, a standard weak convergence argument  $\,$  nds a subsequence of  $\, fF_n g \,$  (hence renumbered consecutively) which converges in the following sense: Let  $\,$  be a smooth  $\, 2 \{ \text{form with compact support on } X - Z \,$  and then  $\lim_{n!} \, _1F_n(\, ) \,$  exists. Moreover, this limit,

$$F() \quad \lim_{n! \to 1} F_n(); \tag{7.3}$$

de nes a bounded linear functional when restricted to forms whose support has distance from Z which is bounded from below by any xed positive number.

Note that the current F is *integral* in the following sense: Let —be a closed 2 { form with compact support on X-Z and with integral periods on  $H_2(X-Z;\mathbb{Z})$ . Then

$$F(\ )\ 2\mathbb{Z}$$
: (7.4)

### b) The support of F

This part of the discussion considers the support of the current F. Here is the crucial lemma:

**Lemma 7.1** There is a closed subspace  $C \times X - Z$  with the following properties:

 $F(\ )=0$  when is a 2 {form on X with compact support in (X-Z)-C.

Conversely, let B X-Z be an open set which intersects C. Then there is a  $2\{\text{form} \text{ with compact support in } B \text{ and with } F() \neq 0$ .

Fix > 0. Then the set of points in C with distance at least from Z has nite 2 {dimensional Hausdor measure.

Conversely, let > 0 and there is a constant 1 with the following signi cance: Let  $2(0; ^{-1})$  and let  $B \times X$  be a ball of radius and center on Z whose points have distance or more from Z. Then the 2 {dimensional Hausdor measure of  $B \setminus C$  is greater than  $^{-1}$   $^2$ .

There is a subsequence of  $(A_n; n)$  such that the corresponding sequence  $f_n^{-1}(0)g$  converges to C in the following sense: For any > 0, the following limit exists and is zero:

$$\lim_{n! \to 1} \left[ \sup_{f \times 2C: \ \text{dist}(x;Z)} \ \text{dist}(x; -\frac{1}{n}(0)) + \sup_{f \times 2 -\frac{1}{n}(0): \ \text{dist}(x;Z)} \ \text{dist}(x;C)) \right] :$$
(7.5)

**Proof of Lemma 7.1** To construct C, consider rst a large positive integer N and a very large positive integer n. (Here, a lower bound on n comes from the choice of N.) Use Lemma 4.1 to n d a set  $\binom{n}{n}(N)$  of balls of radius  $16^{-N}$  with the following properties: The balls are disjoint, their centers lie on  $\binom{n}{n}(0)$  and have distance at least 4  $16^{-N}$  from Z, and the set  $\binom{n}{n}(N)$  of concentric balls of radius 2  $16^{-N}$  covers the set of point in  $\binom{n}{n}(0)$  with distance 8  $16^{-N}$  from Z. According to Lemma 4.1, when n is su ciently large, this set  $\binom{n}{n}(N)$  has a bound on the number of its elements which is independent of n. Let  $\binom{n}{n}(N)$ .

Label the centers of the balls in  $_{n}(N)$  and then add the nal point some number of times (if necessary) to make a point  $\underline{x}_n(N) = (x_n(1; N); \dots; x_n(-(N); N))$ (N) X. By a diagonalization process, one can nd an in nite sequence of indices n (hence relabeled consecutively from 1) so that for each N, the sequence  $f_{\underline{X}_n}(N)g_{n-1}$  converges in (N)X.

For each N, let  $\underline{x}(N)$ fx(1;N);...;x((N);N)g denote the limit of  $f\underline{x}_n(N)g_{n-1}$ . One can think of  $\underline{x}(N)$  as either a point in (N)X, or else an ordered set of (N) points in X. Think of  $\underline{x}(N)$  in the latter sense, and let U(N) denote the union of the balls of radius 4  $16^{-N}$  with centers at the points  $\underline{x}(N)$  (that is, at  $fx(i;N)g_{1-i-(N)}$ ). Lemma 5:1 of [15] argues that these sets are nested in that U(N+1) U(N). With this understood, set  $C \qquad U(N):$  N=1

$$C \qquad U(N): \tag{7.6}$$

The argument for the asserted properties of C is essentially the same as that for Lemma 5.2 in Section 5c of [15]. In this regard, Lemma 4.1 here replaces Lemma 3.6 in [15], and Proposition 4.2 here replaces Proposition 4.4 in [15]. The details are straightforward and left to the reader.

#### c) A positive cohomology assignment

The purpose of this subsection is to give a more precise characterization of the distribution F.

To begin, note that the construction of C indicated above can be used (as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [15]) to prove that the current F is type 1–1 in the sense that  $F(\ )=0$  when is a section of the subbundle  $K^{-1}$  . The fact that F is type 1–1 is implied by Lemma 7.2 below which is a signicantly stronger assertion:

**Lemma 7.2** Let  $D \subset C$  denote the standard, unit disc, and let :  $D \mid X - Z$ be a smooth map which extends to the glosure,  $\underline{D}$ , of D as a continuous map that sends @ $\underline{D}$  into X-C. Then,  $2^{-1}$  D  $\frac{\underline{i}}{2}(F_{A_n}-F_{A^0})$  D converges, and the limit, I() 2  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,

$$I(\ )=0 \text{ if } (D) \setminus C=\ ;$$
  
 $I(\ )>0 \text{ if } \text{ is a pseudo-holomorphic map and } ^{-1}(C) \neq \ ;$  (7.7)

**Proof of Lemma 7.2** The arguments which prove Lemma 6:2 in [15] are of a local character and so can be brought to bear directly to give Lemma 7.2.

The discussion surrounding Lemma 6.2 in [15] concerns the notion of a *positive* cohomology assignment for C. The latter is defined as follows: First, let  $D \in \mathbb{C}$  be the standard unit disk again. A map : D : X - Z is called *admissible* when extends as a continuous map to the closure,  $\underline{D}$ , of D which maps  $@\underline{D}$  into X - C. A positive cohomology assignment specifies an integer,  $I(\cdot)$ , for each admissible map from D to X - Z subject to the following constraints:

If (D) 
$$X - C$$
, then  $I() = 0$ .

A homotopy h: [0;1] D ! X is called admissible when it extends as a continuous map from [0;1]  $\underline{D}$  into X that sends [0;1] @ $\underline{D}$  to X-C. If h is an admissible homotopy, then I(h(1;)) = I(h(0;)).

Let : D! X be admissible and suppose that : D! D is a proper, degree k map. Then I( ) = kI( ).

Suppose that : D! X is admissible and that  $^{-1}(C)$  is contained in a disjoint union [D] D, where each D = (D) with D! D! D! being an orientation preserving embedding. Then I(D) = I(D)

If is admissible and pseudo-holomorphic with  $^{-1}(C) \neq 1$ , then I(C) > 0. (7.8)

The next result follows from Lemma 7.2 and the particulars of the de nition of F in (7.3) as a limit.

**Lemma 7.3** Let C be as in Lemma 7.1 and let I() be as described in Lemma 7.2. Then I() de nes a positive cohomology assignment for C.

**Proof of Lemma 7.3** Use the proof of Lemma 6.2 in [15].

### d) C as a pseudo-holomorphic submanifold

Proposition 6:1 in [15] asserts that a closed set in a compact, symplectic 4 manifold with nite 2{dimensional Hausdor measure and a positive cohomology assignment is the image of a compact, complex curve by a pseudo-holomorphic map. (Note, however that the proof of Proposition 6:1 in [15] has errors which occur in Section 6e of [15], and so the reader is referred to the revised proof in the version which is reprinted in [20].) It is important to realize that the assumed compactness of X in the statement of Proposition 6:1 of [15] is present only to insure that the complex curve in question is compact. In particular, the proof of Proposition 6:1 in [15] from the reprinted version in [20] yields:

**Proposition 7.1** Let Y be a 4 {dimensional symplectic manifold with compatible almost complex structure. Suppose that C Y is a closed subset with the following properties:

The restriction of C to any open  $Y^{\emptyset}$  Y with compact closure has nite 2 {dimensional Hausdor measure.

C has a positive cohomology assignment.

Then the following are true:

There is a smooth, complex curve  $C^0$  (not necessarily compact) with a proper, pseudo-holomorphic map  $f: C^0$ ! Y with  $C = f(C^0)$ .

There is a countable set  ${}^0$   $C^0$  with no accumulation points such that f embeds each component  $C^0$  –  ${}^0$ .

Here is an alternate description of the cohomology assignment for C: Let : D ! Y be an admissible map, and let  $^{\emptyset}$  be any admissible perturbation of which is transverse to f and which is homotopic to via an admissible homotopy. Construct the bered product  $T = f(x; y) \ 2$   $D = C_1 : ^{\emptyset}(x) = ^{'}(y)g$ . This T is a compact, oriented 0 {manifold, so a nite set of signed points; and the cohomology assignment gives the sum of the signs of the points of T.

**Proof of Proposition 7.1** As remarked at the outset, the proof of Proposition 6.1 in [15] from the revised version in [20] can be brought to bear here with negligible modi cations.

Lemma 7.3 enables Proposition 7.1 to be applied to the set C from Lemma 7.1. In particular, one can conclude that C is the image of a smooth, complex curve  $C^0$  via a proper, pseudo-holomorphic

$$f: C^0 ! X - Z:$$
 (7.9)

Moreover, f can be taken to be an embedding upon restriction to each component of the complement in  $C^0$  of a countable set with no accumulation points. In particular, it follows that C restricts to any open subset with compact closure in X - Z as a pseudo-holomorphic subvariety.

# e) The energy of C

The purpose of this subsection is to state and prove

**Lemma 7.4** The set *C* from Lemma 7.1 is a nite energy, pseudo-holomorphic subvariety in the sense of De nition 1:1. Furthermore, there are universal constants 1:2 (independent of the metric) such that

In this equation,  $e_!$  (s) equals the evaluation on the fundamental class of X of the cup product of  $c_1(L)$  with [!]. Meanwhile,  $R_g$  is the scalar curvature for the metric g, and  $W_g^+$  is the metric's self-dual, Weyl curvature. Also, dvol $_g$  is the metric's volume form.

**Proof of Lemma 7.4** First of all, de ne an equivalence relation on the components of  $C^0$  (from (7.9)) by declaring two components to be equivalent if their images via f coincide. The quotient by this equivalence relation de nes another smooth, complex curve,  $C_0$ , together with a proper, pseudo-holomorphic map  $': C_0 ! X - Z$  whose image is C. Moreover, there is a countable set  $_0 C_0$  which has no accumulation points and whose complement is embedded by '.

With the preceding understood, it remains only to establish that  $\mathcal{C}$  has nite energy. For this purpose, x>0 and re-introduce the bump function . (Remember that — vanishes where the distance to  $\mathcal{Z}$  is greater than 2, and it equals 1 where the distance to  $\mathcal{Z}$  is less than .) Since ! restricts to  $\mathcal{C}$  as a positive form, it follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  has nite energy if and only if

exists, and this limit exists if and only if the set  $\binom{R}{C}(1-)! > 0$  is bounded in [0; 1). Thus, the task is to  $\frac{R}{C}(1-)! > 0$  is bounded in  $\frac{R}{C}(1-)! > 0$ .

With the preceding understood, remark  $\,$  rst that Proposition 7.1 as applied to  $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$   $\,$  rst that Proposition 7.1 as applied to  $\,$ 

$$\frac{7}{C}(1-)! \qquad \frac{7}{C^0}f((1-)!) = F((1-)!): \qquad (7.12)$$

Now, given " > 0, the right-hand expression in (7.12) is no greater than

$$F_n((1-)!) + "$$
 (7.13)

when n is su ciently large. Moreover, as  $F_n$  is de ned on all smooth forms, the rst term in (7.13) is equal to

$$(4)^{-1} \underbrace{(1-)iF_{A} \wedge ! - (4)^{-1}}_{X} \underbrace{(1-)iF_{A^{0}} \wedge ! :}_{X} (7.14)$$

with  $A = A_n$ .

Both terms in (7.14) are dependent and so must be analyzed further. In particular, Lemma 7.4 follows with the exhibition of a bound on these terms by  $(e_!(s) + {}^{\mathsf{K}}_{\mathsf{X}}(jR_gj + jW_q^+))j!j\mathrm{d}\mathrm{vol}_g$ .

For the right most term, remark that only  $F_{A^0}^+$  appears in (7.14) (since ! is self dual), and a calculation (which is left to the reader) nds a universal constant with the property that

$$jF_{A0}^{+}j \qquad (jr\underline{I}^{2} + jR_{g}j + jW_{q}^{+}j):$$
 (7.15)

Here,  $\underline{!}$  !=j! j. Equation (7.15) implies that the right most term in (7.14) is not greater than

$$\frac{7}{2} \qquad \qquad \frac{7}{2}$$

$$\frac{j! \ jjr}{!} \int_{-\infty}^{2} + \frac{(jR_g j + jW_g^+ j)j! \ jdvol_g}{X} \qquad (7.16)$$

Here the constant is also metric independent. Meanwhile, the rst integral in (7.16) is bounded by  $\chi(jR_gj+jW_g^+j)j!j$  dvolg which can be seen by integrating both sides of (3.7) and then integrating by parts to eliminate the d dj!j term. This means in particular that the right most term in (7.16) is bounded by a universal multiple of  $\chi(jR_gj+jW_g^+j)j!j$  dvolg.

Now consider the left most term in (7.14). For this purpose, use (2.9) to identify the latter with

$$(8^{p} \overline{2})^{-1} r \times (1 - j! j(2^{-1-2}j! j - j j^{2} + j j^{2})$$
 (7.17)

One should compare this expression for that implied by (2.9) for  $e_{!}$  (s), namely

$$2^{-1}e_{i}(s) = (8^{p} \frac{1}{2})^{-1}r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j! j(2^{-1-2}j! j - j j^{2} + j j^{2})$$
 (7.18)

In particular, note that (7.18) implies the identity  $\frac{1}{7}$ 

$$(8^{\cancel{D}_{\overline{2}}})^{-1}r \underset{\times}{\overset{\angle}{\int}} j! jj \ j^2 = 4^{-1}e_! (s) - (16^{\cancel{D}_{\overline{2}}})^{-1}r \underset{\times}{\overset{\angle}{\int}} j! j(2^{-1-2}j! j - j \ j^2) : \tag{7.19}$$

It then follows from the second line of (3.2) (and the integration of (3.7)) that

$$(8^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-1}r \times j! jj \quad j^2 = e_!(s) + (jR_g j + jW_g^+ j)j! j dvol_g \quad (7.20)$$

This last bound can now be plugged back into bounding (7.17) since the expression in (7.17) is no greater than

$$(8^{\frac{7}{2}})^{-1} r \qquad j! \, jj (2^{-1=2}j! \, j - j \, j^2) j + 2r \qquad j! \, jj \, j^2 \quad : \tag{7.21}$$

Thus, (7.20) and the second line of (3.2) bound the left most term in (7.14) by the required  $e_l(s) + \sum_{x} (jR_q j + jW_q^+ j)j! j dvol_q$ .

### f) Intersections with the linking 2{spheres

After Lemma 7.4, all that remains to prove Theorem 2.2 is to establish that Lemma 7.4's pseudo-holomorphic subvariety C has intersection number equal to 1 with any 2{sphere in X - Z which has linking number 1 with Z.

To prove this last assertion, consider that each  $_{\it n}$  de nes a section of the bundle  $\it E$  whose square is given in (6.1) as  $\it Lj_{X-Z}K$ . Now,  $\it L$  is trivial over a linking 2{sphere for  $\it Z$  as  $\it L$  is a bundle over the whole of  $\it X$ . This means that  $\it E$  restricts to a linking 2{sphere of  $\it Z$  as the square root of the restriction of  $\it K$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 2.1, the restriction of  $\it K$  to a linking 2{sphere has degree 2, so  $\it E$  restricts to such a 2{sphere with degree 1. This means that the current  $\it F_{\it n}$  in (7.1) evaluates as 1 on any closed form which represents the Thom class of a xed, linking 2{sphere. In particular, the same must be true for a limit current  $\it F$ , and the nature of the convergence in Proposition 7.1 implies that  $\it C$  has intersection number 1 with such a 2{sphere.

**Achnowledgement** This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

## References

- [1] **M F Atiyah**, **I Singer**, **N J Hitchin**, Self-duality in 4 {dimensional Riemannian geometry, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 362 (1978) 425{461
- [2] **S K Donaldson**, *Symplectic submanifolds and almost complex geometry*, Jour. Di . Geom. 44 (1996) 666{705
- [3] **DS Freed**, **KK Uhlenbeck**, *Instantons and Four{Manifolds*, Springer{Verlag (1984)
- [4] **M Gromov**, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. 82 (1985) 307{347
- [5] **K Honda**, Harmonic forms for generic metrics, preprint (1997)
- [6] M Hutchings, Y-J Lee, Circle-valued Morse theory, R {torsion and Seiberg { Witten invariants of 3 {manifolds, Topology, to appear
- [7] **M Hutchings**, **Y-J Lee**, *Circle-valued Morse theory and Reidemeister torsion*, preprint (1997)
- [8] D Kotschick, PB Kronheimer, TS Mrowka, in preparation

- [9] **C LeBrun**, Yamabe constants and the perturbed Seiberg{Witten equations, Commun. Anal. Geom. 5 (1997) 535-553
- [10] **D McDu**, **D Salamon**, *J {Holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*, American Mathematical Society, Providence (1996)
- [11] **J M Morgan**, The Seiberg{Witten Equations and Applications to the Topology of Smooth 4 {Manifolds, Mathematical Notes, 44, Princeton University Press (1996)
- [12] **CB Morrey**, Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations, Springer{Verlag (1966)
- [13] **P Pansu**, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, from: \Holomorphic Curves in Symplectic Geometry", (M Audin and F Lafontaine, editors) Progress in Math. 117, Birkhäuser (1994) 233{250
- [14] **T Parker**, **J Wolfson**, *Pseudo-holomorphic maps and bubble trees*, J. Geom. Anal. 3 (1993) 63{98
- [15] **CH Taubes**, SW ) Gr: From the Seiberg{Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996) 845{918
- [16] **CH Taubes**, The structure of pseudo-holomorphic subvarieties for a degenerate almost complex structure and symplectic form on S<sup>1</sup> B<sup>3</sup>, Geometry and Topology, 2 (1998) 221{332
- [17] **CH Taubes**, *The geometry of the Seiberg{Witten invariants*, from: \Surveys in Di erential Geometry, 1996", (ST Yau, editor) International Press, to appear
- [18] **C H Taubes**, *The Seiberg{Witten and the Gromov invariants*, Math. Res. Letters 2 (1995) 221{238.
- [19] **CH Taubes**, SW = Gr: Counting curves and connections, preprint (1997)
- [20] **CH Taubes**, *SW ) Gr: From the Seiberg{Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, from: \Proceedings of the First IP Lecture Series, Vol. II", (R Wentworth, editor), International Press, to appear
- [21] **V Turaev**, A combinatorial formula for the Seiberg{Witten invariants of 3-manifolds, preprint (1998)
- [22] **R Ye**, Gromov's compactness theorem for pseudo-holomorphic curves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994) 671{694
- [23] E Witten, Monopoles and 4 (manifolds, Math. Res. Letters, 1 (1994) 769(796