ISSN 1364-0380

Geometry & Topology Volume 3 (1999) 211{233 Published: 14 July 1999



Lefschetz brations and the Hodge bundle

Ivan Smith

New College, Oxford OX1 3BN, England Email: smi thi @maths.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Integral symplectic 4{manifolds may be described in terms of Lefschetz brations. In this note we give a formula for the signature of any Lefschetz bration in terms of the second cohomology of the moduli space of stable curves. As a consequence we see that the sphere in moduli space de ned by any (not necessarily holomorphic) Lefschetz bration has positive \symplectic volume"; it evaluates positively with the Kähler class. Some other applications of the signature formula and some more general results for genus two brations are discussed.

AMS Classi cation numbers Primary: 53C15

Secondary: 53C55, 58F99

Keywords: Symplectic geometry, Lefschetz bration, stable curves, signature

Proposed: Ronald Stern Seconded: Frances Kirwan, Walter Neumann Received: 4 May 1999 Revised: 10 June 1999

Copyright Geometry and Topology

1 Statement of Results

The second section contains an introduction to Lefschetz brations and motivation for the material of this paper, but we collect the main results here. Recall that all integral symplectic 4{manifolds admit Lefschetz brations which in turn are equivalent to isotopy classes of maps from a 2{sphere to the moduli space of stable curves \overline{M}_g (satisfying appropriate conditions). Once the genus is su ciently large the isotopy class of the sphere becomes a symplectic invariant of the 4{manifold. If the 4{manifold is Kähler and the Lefschetz bration is holomorphic the rational curve in \overline{M}_g is a Kähler subvariety and in particular the Weil-Petersson form $!_{WP}$ is positive on the sphere.

1.1 Theorem For any symplectic Lefschetz bration $f: X \not : \mathbb{S}^2$ inducing $f: \mathbb{S}^2 \not : \overline{M}_q$ we have $h[\not : WP] \not : [f(\mathbb{S}^2)] i > 0$.

The statement has geometric content; the cohomological conditions on the sphere $_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ do not alone imply the result. The result is a consequence of the following more general result which extracts topological information on the 4{manifold from the geometry of the sphere in moduli space.

1.2 Theorem With the notation as above, the signature of X is given by

 $(X) = h4c_1() [f(\mathbb{S}^2)]i -$

where $! \overline{M}_g$ denotes the Hodge bundle and is the number of critical bres of the bration.

A sketch of the proof can be found at the end of section two. This formula is a generalisation of one due to Atiyah for smooth brations, and related work by Meyer, Wolpert and others is well known¹. In the algebraic case the theorem follows from standard Chern class equalities; nonetheless I have not seen the particular formula either applied or appear in the literature; and the extension to general symplectic brations (whilst unsurprising) seems new.

The signature theorem has various implications for the geometry of Lefschetz brations:

1.3 Corollary

There are no Lefschetz brations with monodromy group contained in the Torelli group.

¹The formula remains valid over an arbitrary base curve B though in the sequel we shall usually leave the modi cations to the reader.

Let $X ! \mathbb{P}^1$ be a genus two bration with n = 10m non-separating vanishing cycles and no others. Then X is naturally a double cover of $\mathbb{S}_{\text{sgn}(m)}$, where $\mathbb{S}_{\text{sgn}(m)}$ denotes $\mathbb{S}^2 \quad \mathbb{S}^2$ if m is even and the non-trivial sphere bundle over the sphere if m is odd.

After earlier drafts of this work were distributed alternative proofs of the rst corollary due to Li and Stipcisz also appeared. The author's proof also forms an Appendix to a preprint of Amoros, Bogomolov, Katzarkov and Pantev [1] who formulated the statement as a Conjecture. The existence of the double covers in the second corollary has been obtained, by di erent methods, by numerous authors but the identi cation of the base of the cover in terms of *m* seems to have gone unnoticed. (The more general statement including reducible bres is given in the text. We also give the classi cation of complex genus two Lefschetz brations without reducible bres (5.5); this result, due to Chakiris, was rediscovered independently by the author, and to the best of his knowledge there is no published proof.)

Acknowledgements The material presented here is taken from [17]; I am grateful to my supervisor Simon Donaldson for conversations on these and related topics. Versions of most of this work have circulated informally and I apologise for the delays and duplications in its independent appearance.

2 Recalling Lefschetz brations

 $X: _1(\mathbb{P}^1 nff(p_i)g) ! _g$

where g denotes the mapping class group of a closed oriented genus g surface. The map χ maps the generators of the fundamental group which encircle a

single critical point once in an anticlockwise fashion to positive Dehn twists in the mapping class group. These Dehn twists are about *vanishing cycles*; real circles in a xed bre which shrink along some given paths to the nodal points of the singular bres. Thus the topology is completely encoded in an algebraic piece of data which is a word in such twists in the mapping class group, called a *positive relation*. We shall often refer to the values $ff(p_i)g|$ which are the critical values of f| by the set *f*Crit*g*. The intrinsic symplectic form takes the shape $! = + Nf !_{\mathbb{S}}$ where is a closed form which is symplectic on the smooth bres, and $!_{\mathbb{S}}$ is symplectic on the base $\mathbb{S}^2 = \mathbb{P}^1$. The form is symplectic for su ciently large N, and this \inflation" of the horizontal directions ensures that any local section (and hence multisection) of the bration with suitable orientation can be made symplectic. The importance of the concept for us comes from

2.1 Theorem (Donaldson) Let (X; !) be a symplectic 4{manifold for which ! is the lift of an integral class [h]. For su ciently large integers k the blow-up X^{\emptyset} of X at $k^{2}[h]^{2}$ distinct points admits a Lefschetz bration over \mathbb{P}^{1} ; each connected bre, pushed back down to X, is Poincare dual to k[h].

The resulting Lefschetz bration will be relatively minimal. We can always choose a compatible almost complex structure on X^{ℓ} such that

the projection map to \mathbb{P}^1 is pseudoholomorphic;

the structure is integrable in a su ciently small tubular neighbourhood of each singular bre.

Note that the exceptional sections are symplectic submanifolds of the blow-up X^{\emptyset} . The bres of the bration \downstairs" in X before blowing-up form a *Lefschetz pencil* with nitely many *base points*. Once we have constructed a Lefschetz bration on a symplectic manifold there is a natural symplectic form already given to us, without the existence result mentioned in the topological context above; the given form and the constructible form are deformation equivalent.

2.2 Remark Note that the canonical class of a symplectic manifold | which is uniquely de ned | is independent of scalings of the symplectic form.

The choice of compatible almost complex structures or metrics with a xed symplectic form on X^{ℓ} is contractible. Given one such choice, the smooth bres of the bration become metric, hence conformal and complex manifolds, that is Riemann surfaces of genus g. We therefore induce a map $\mathbb{P}^1 nff(p_i)g ! M_g$

of a punctured sphere into the moduli space of curves. By the hypotheses of good local complex models, the singular bres of the Lefschetz bration are naturally stable curves (with a unique node in each) and the map completes to a map of the closed sphere into the Deligne{Mumford stable compacti ed moduli space \overline{M}_g . This map is then de ned up to isotopy independent of the choice of metric or almost complex structure. The singular bres correspond to the intersections of the sphere with the compacti cation divisor, and fall into two classes: irreducible bres, where we collapse a non-separating cycle in the Riemann surface, and reducible bres given by the one-point union of smooth Riemann surfaces of smaller genera. We shall see that the two kinds of singular

bre often play a somewhat di erent role in the sequel; each kind is counted by the intersection number of the sphere \mathbb{P}^1 $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ with the relevant components of the compacti cation divisor. Note also that the following four stipulations are geometrically equivalent:

- the local complex coordinates at the p_i ; $f(p_i)$ all match with xed global orientations;
- the monodromy homomorphism χ takes each of the obvious generators of the free group $_1(\mathbb{P}^1 nf \operatorname{Crit} g)$ to a standard *positive* Dehn twist;
- the intersections of the sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 \quad \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ with the compactic cation divisor of stable curves are all locally positive;
- there is a symplectic structure on the total space X^{ℓ} which restricts on each smooth bre to a symplectic form.

Note that from the point of view of the moduli space of curves, holomorphic spheres in \overline{M}_g correspond to Kähler Lefschetz brations whilst smooth spheres give rise to more general symplectic 4{manifolds. One can make sense of Lefschetz brations over an arbitrary base curve *B* and *mutatis mutandis* all the above comments apply.

A natural question to ask is how the algebraic topology of a 4{manifold is encoded in a Lefschetz description. By van Kampen's theorem it is easy to see that once a set of paths and vanishing cycles are chosen, the fundamental group of X is just the quotient of $_1$ (Fibre) by the classes generated by the vanishing cycles. This in turn gives the same description for the rst homology group. In fact all the homology groups of X are given by a pretty (short) chain complex essentially due to Lefschetz and presented in modern notation in [19] (see in particular Mumford's appendix to Chapter VI). To recall this, x a set of paths in the base of the bration and associated vanishing cycles *j*. We have

$$0 ! H_1(F) -! \mathbb{Z}^r -! H_1(F) ! 0$$

for *F* a xed smooth bre and r = #fCrit*g*. We de ne the Picard{Lefschetz twist map T_i by

$$T_j(a) = a + ha; \quad j i \quad j;$$

this is the e ect of the Dehn twist about the cycle $_j$ on the homology of the bre $H_1(F)$, and hi denotes the intersection product. Because the composite of the monodromies in a Lefschetz bration around a loop encircling all the critical values is trivial, we have the relation

$$T_r T_{r-1} = id$$
: (2.3)

The maps in the sequence are de ned by

The relation (2.3) ensures that the composite is zero and hence the sequence does indeed give a complex. The cokernel of is precisely the rst homology group from the remarks above. Moreover the middle homology of the complex gives the group $G = H_2(X) = h[Fibre]$; [Section] *i*. To see this, note that any element of $H_2(X)$ in the complement of the subspace spanned by

bres and sections projects to some graph in the base \mathbb{S}^2 whose endpoints are all critical values of the bration; such an element is closed if and only if it arises from a union of vanishing discs bounding some homologically trivial cell in a bre. Thus *G* is indeed a quotient of ker . Moreover, every 3{cell on *X* de nes on intersection with the bres a 1{cell, and hence the third homology of *X* can be computed by sweeping 1{cycles in bres around the manifold via the monodromy maps. It follows | again recalling the relations given by (2.3) | both that the relations in *G* are given by the image of whilst the group ker computes $H_3(X)$. Thus the word in vanishing cycles leads to an easy computation of the homology | both Betti numbers and torsion | for the manifold *X*.

Following this success, we ask for an expression for the signature of X. This is less straightforward. In principle one can compute the intersection matrix from the vanishing cycles via the sequence above, but the formulae are highly unmanageable. Ozbagci [15] has shown that using Wall's non-additivity formula | a souped up version of the Novikov additivity which gives the signature of a 4{manifold in terms of signatures of pieces resulting from cutting along a threemanifold | one can nd an algorithm for computing signature from a word in vanishing cycles which can be fed to a computer. In this note we present a di erent formula which has the advantage of being elegant and in closed form

but which has the disadvantage of starting not from a word in vanishing cycles but from a sphere in the moduli space \overline{M}_g . Nonetheless we shall see that the formula readily lends itself to certain applications to the topology and geometry of Lefschetz brations. Before giving the proof it will be helpful to assemble some facts on signature cocycles and on the moduli space of curves. The natural order in which to recall these does not really suit the proof of (1.2) and for the reader's convenience we give here the skeleton of the argument.

A sketch of the proof

- As with the Mayer{Vietoris sequence in homology, signature can be computed from the pieces of a decomposition of a manifold; we will cut a Lefschetz bration into its smooth part and neighbourhoods of critical bres.
- (2) By the index theorem for manifolds with boundary, the signature of the smooth part can be expressed in terms of {invariants of the boundary brations and the rst Chern class of a determinant line bundle down the bres. (This is precisely the Hodge bundle.)
- (3) The determinant line bundle and {invariant terms can be identified with a relative rst Chern class of a topological line bundle de ned by a signature cocycle in the group cohomology of the symplectic group. Thus the signature of the smooth part of the bration is computed by a symmetric function on the symplectic group whose arguments are the monodromies around the boundary circles.
- (4) By naturality properties of the cocycle and the above, the di erence between evaluating the rst Chern class of the Hodge bundle on a surface in \overline{M}_g and the relative rst Chern class on a surface with boundary in M_g is entirely determined by the conjugacy classes of the monodromies in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$; since these are all equal for a Lefschetz bration, the discrepancy is measured by a single integer for each genus.
- (5) This integer is xed by determining the theorem for at least one bration at every genus; a Riemann{Roch theorem gives the theorem for all projective brations, completing the proof.

3 The signature cocycle

Much of the material here is drawn from Atiyah's pretty discussion in [3]. We start with Novikov's additivity formula, which states that if we decompose a

4{manifold
$$X = X_1 [Y X_2]$$
 along an embedded 3{manifold Y, then

 $(X) = (X_1, Y) + (X_2, Y)$

where the left hand side denotes the signature of X and for a manifold with boundary (Z; @Z) the relative signature (Z; @Z) denotes the di erence between the number of positive and negative eigenvalues on the intersection form in the middle cohomology of Z (but this form is no longer non-degenerate). Alternatively, on the image of the relative cohomology $H^2(Z; @Z)$! $H^2(Z)$ the intersection form is non-degenerate and we take the signature of the form restricted to this subspace.

Let $X \not : S^2$ be a Lefschetz bration. If we decompose X into tubular neighbourhoods of the various singular bres and a swiss cheese then by Novikov additivity we write the signature as the signature of the bration over $S^2 nf$ Discs*g* corrected by the sums of the local signatures. An easy computation, noting that the total space of such a tube retracts to the singular bre, gives that

(Z;@Z) = -1 for a neighbourhood of a reducible singular bre (separating vanishing cycle)

(Z;@Z) = 0 for a neighbourhood of an irreducible singular bre (non-separating vanishing cycle).

Thus we know (X) = -s + (W; @W) where *s* is the number of separating vanishing cycles and *W* is the preimage $f^{-1}(\mathbb{S}^2 nD)$, *D* a neighbourhood of the set of critical values. Following [3] it is now natural to introduce the notation $(A_1; \ldots; A_r)$ for the signature of the 4{manifold *Z* which is a bration by genus *g* curves over a sphere with r + 1 ordered open discs deleted, and for which the monodromies around the rst *r* boundary circles are given by elements $A_i \ 2 \ g$. It follows of course that the monodromy about the last boundary circle is just the inverse of the ordered product of these matrices, for the fundamental group of the base is free. Write $\mathbb{S}^2 nD =$. The topology of *Z* is determined by a representation $_1() ! g$ which may be composed with the standard representation $_g ! Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$; this gives a flat vector bundle *E* over

with bre the rst complex cohomology of the bre. On this vector bundle there is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form given by the cup-product hi on H^1 (Fibre). Combining this skew form with the cup-product on classes from the base we obtain an inde nite Hermitian structure on the single vector space H^1 (;@; H^1 (Fibre)) given by the cohomology with local coe cients in E.

3.1 Lemma [3] The signature $(A_1; \ldots; A_r)$ of Z is the signature of the Hermitian form de ned above on the cohomology group with local coe cients $H^1(;@; H^1(Fibre))$.

Geometry and Topology, Volume 3 (1999)

218

The proof amounts to a careful application of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence for a bration. That only the homologically non-trivial monodromies enter is unsurprising; signature after all is a homological invariant. It follows that the signature of a Lefschetz bration is completely determined by the number of separating vanishing cycles *s* and the value of the function (fA_ig) where the A_i can now be taken to be the symplectic matrices corresponding to the monodromies about homologically essential vanishing cycles. Applying the Novikov property to this symmetric function on the symplectic group gives the following critical relation:

$$(A_1; A_2; A_3) = (A_1; A_2) + (A_1A_2; A_3)$$

and hence, splitting the sphere with four holes in two distinct ways,

$$(A_1;A_2) + (A_1A_2;A_3) = (A_2;A_3) + (A_2A_3;A_1)$$

This last formula is exactly the $2\{cocycle \text{ condition in group cohomology, and}$ it follows that we have de ned an element [] $2 H^2(Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R});\mathbb{Z}))$. Such group cohomology elements correspond (cf Lemma (3.4)) to central extensions of the group by the integers and we have an associated sequence

$$0 ! \mathbb{Z} ! Sp ! Sp_{2q}(\mathbb{R}) ! 0;$$

moreover again by standard properties of group cohomology [7] there is a section to the last map $c : Sp_{2q} ! Sp$ with the properties that

the product $c(A_1)c(A_2)c(A_1A_2)^{-1}$ gives a well-de ned element of the central factor \mathbb{Z} for any $A_i \ge Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(A_1; A_2) = c (A_1)c (A_2)c (A_1A_2)^{-1}$$
 for any $A_i 2 Sp_{2q}(\mathbb{R})$.

The central point is that this section c has an interpretation in terms of a line bundle. Recall that the cohomology groups down the bres of Z ! formed a flat vector bundle E with a symplectic structure. If we complexify E then the form *ih i* is Hermitian of type (g; g) and we can choose a splitting $E_{\mathbb{C}} = E^+ E^$ into maximal positive de nite and negative de nite subspaces. Such splittings correspond to reducing the structure group of $E_{\mathbb{C}}$ from U(g; g) to U(g) = U(g); the quotient homogeneous space is contractible so such splittings necessarily exist and are unique up to homotopy.

3.2 Lemma [3] Let L be the line bundle $(\det E^+)$ $(\det E^-)^{-1}$. The section c de nes a homotopy class of trivialisations of L^2 over any loop and hence a relative rst Chern class $c_1(L^2; c) 2 H^2(; @) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then working over a sphere with three discs deleted

$$(A_1; A_2) = c (A_1)c (A_2)c (A_1A_2)^{-1} = c_1(L^2; c)$$

for any $A_i 2 Sp_{2q}$.

Since we have Novikov additivity for (fA_ig) and relative Chern classes behave well with respect to connected sums, it follows that for any bration Z ! we have

$$(Z; @Z) = (fA_ig) = c_1(L^2; c):$$
 (3.3)

Therefore to understand the signature of a Lefschetz bration we need only understand this relative rst Chern class and its trivialisation over loops as de ned by c. Before turning to this we recall one well known observation which is relevant both above and in the sequel. The section c is in fact unique, since the di erence between any two choices would give a homomorphism from the group Sp_{2g} to the integers. But no such homomorphisms can exist; for there is a canonical homomorphism from the mapping class group $_q$ onto Sp_{2q} and

3.4 Lemma The mapping class group g is perfect for g = 3 and has nite cyclic abelianisation for g = 1/2.

This result is well known; $(_1)_{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ whilst $(_2)_{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$. For g3 the usual proof simply writes a generating Dehn twist as an explicit product of commutators. More in line with our thinking is the following geometric sketch. It was shown by Wolpert [18] that for genus g3 the second cohomology of the moduli space of stable curves is generated by a Kähler class and the divisors given by the components of the compactifying locus of stable curves. By Poincare duality it follows that there are homology elements $[C_i]$ which have algebraic intersection 1 with the *i*-th component of the compacti cation divisor and 0 with all others. We can represent these classes by embedded surfaces; since the moduli space is of high dimension (and the orbifold loci of high codimension) we can tube away excess intersections, at the cost of increasing genus, and even nd representing surfaces C_i with geometric intersection numbers with the stable divisor the same as the algebraic intersection numbers. But the fundamental group of a surface of genus g with one boundary circle admits the presentation

$$ha_1; b_1; \ldots; a_g; b_g; @ j$$
 $(a_i; b_i) = @i:$

Thus in the bration of curves over the surface C_i de ned by the universal property of the moduli space, the monodromy about the unique singular bre | a standard positive Dehn twist | is expressed as a product of commutators. Since we can do this for any isotopy class of Dehn twist the result follows.

Geometry and Topology, Volume 3 (1999)

220

4 Hodge lines and determinant lines

To warm up we will treat the case of a projective bration $f: X \mid B$ and introduce some of the objects that appear in the nal statement of the main theorem. (In fact the proof of (1.2) will not crucially rely on a separate treatment for the projective case but some aspects are simplied by giving such an argument, and it gives some structure to the theory of the Hodge bundle we want to quote.) Now df has maximal rank only away from fCritg the set of critical points p_1 ; ...; p_r of f, but there is an exact sequence of *sheaves*

$$0 ! f \frac{1,0}{B} ! \frac{1,0}{X} ! \frac{1}{X=B} ! 0$$

where the last term is defined by the sequence. Away from fCritg there is an isomorphism ${}^{1}_{X=B} = K_{X} f T_{B}^{1,0}$ of bundles.

4.1 De nition The line bundle $!_{X=B} = K_X$ if $T_B^{1,0}$ is called the dualising sheaf of f.

The adjunction formula says that if *C* is a smooth curve in a complex surface *Z* then $K_C = K_Z \quad O_Z(C)j_C$. For singular *C* we *de ne* the \dualising sheaf" for *C* via $!_C = K_Z \quad O_Z(C)j_C$ where $O_Z(C)$ is still the line bundle associated to the divisor *C*. Despite the de nition this is naturally associated to *C*, independent of its embedding in any ambient surface. Since in a Lefschetz bration all the bres have trivial normal bundles, it follows that $!_{X=B}j_{X_b} = !_{X_b}$ for each bre $X_b = f^{-1}(b)$. The results of the following theorem are drawn from [5], Chapter III, sections 11 and 12. Write $f F = R^0 f F$.

4.2 Theorem Let the notation be as above; suppose *f* has generic bre genus *g*.

- (1) $f !_{X=B}$ is locally free of rank g.
- (2) $R^1 f \mid_{X=B}$ is the trivial line bundle O_B (and the higher direct images vanish for reasons of dimension).

These facts require that f have connected bres. The following de nition is formulated under the naive assumption that the universal curve CM_g ! M_g exists and extends to the compacti cation. Whilst this fails because of orbifold problems, the failure is in a real sense only technical; we could work instead with speci c families and the \moduli functor" [2] if necessary.

4.3 De nition Write $_B = {}^{Ag}f !_{X=B}$, the Hodge bundle over *B*. If the bration *f* gives a map $_f: B ! \overline{M}_g$ then $_B = _f$ for the Hodge bundle of the universal curve $\overline{CM}_g ! \overline{M}_g$.

The Hodge bundle is well known to generate the Picard group of line bundles on M_g and to extend to \overline{M}_g . We have two cohomology classes $c_1(): 2H^2(\overline{M}_g)$ where \counts intersections with the divisor of stable curves"; that is, is the rst Chern class of the line bundle with divisor $\overline{M}_g n M_g$, or the Poincare dual of the fundamental class of $\overline{M}_g n M_g$. For projective brations Mumford deduces the following from the Grothendieck{Riemann{Roch theorem [14]:

4.4 Proposition Let $f: X \nmid B$ be a complex Lefschetz bration of a projective surface. Let [Crit] denote the cohomology class de ned by the critical points of f viewed as a subvariety of X. Then

$$12c_1(B) = f(c_1^2(!X=B) + [Crit])$$

The geometry here enters in the form of an exact sequence

$$0 ! {}^{1}_{X=B} ! ! {}^{X=B} ! ! {}^{X=B} O_{fCritg} ! 0:$$
(4:5)

For the structure sequence of fCritg X gives

$$0 ! I_{fCritg} ! O_X ! O_{fCritg} ! 0$$

where I_{fCritg} is the ideal sheaf of fCritg in X. The result follows on tensoring with $I_{X=B}$ provided we show that I_{fCritg} $I_{X=B} = \frac{1}{X=B}$. Now away from fCritg the sequence

$$0 ! f \frac{1,0}{B} ! \frac{1,0}{X} ! \frac{1}{X=B} ! 0$$

is an exact sequence of *bundles* and $\begin{array}{c}1\\X=B\end{array}$; $I_{X=B}$ coincide. Near a point of *f*Crit*g f* has local form (z_1, z_2) *I* z_1z_2 for suitable coordinates. Accordingly

$${}^{1}_{X=B} = \operatorname{coker} (f \quad {}^{1,0}_{B} ! \quad {}^{1,0}_{X}) = \frac{O_X dz_1 + O_X dz_2}{O_X : (z_1 dz_2 + z_2 dz_1)}.$$

Now I_{fCritg} is by denition the sheaf of ideals generated by hZ_1 ; Z_2i over O_X , whilst the dualising sheaf $!_{X=B} = O_X:(dZ_1 \land dZ_2) \quad f \ (@=@t)$ for t a coordinate on B. Explicitly

$$f \frac{@}{@t} = \frac{1}{2z_2} \frac{@}{@z_1} + \frac{1}{2z_1} \frac{@}{@z_2} =) \quad ! x_{=B} = O_X: \quad \frac{dz_2}{z_2} - \frac{dz_1}{z_1}$$

Now consider the transformation on O_X hdz_1 ; dz_2i generated by

$$dz_1 \ V \ z_1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} - dz_1 \ ; \ dz_2 \ V \ z_2 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} - dz_2$$

and see the local forms for $1_{X=B}^{1}$ and I_{fCritg} ! X=B are clearly equal.

The Grothendieck{Riemann{Roch theorem states that

$$ch(f_!F) = f(chF:T(T_{X=B}^1))$$
 [GRR]

for F any coherent sheaf on an irreducible non-singular projective variety, *ch* the Chern character and T the Todd class; recall also that $f_1F = (-1)^i R^i f F$. The relative tangent sheaf $T^1_{X=B}$ of the map f is de ned via $T^1_{X=B} = T^{1,0}_X - f T^{1,0}_B$ as an element of K (theory. The usual expansions of Chern characters and Todd classes, combined with taking total Chern classes in the exact sequence (4.5), give Mumford's result.

4.6 Corollary The main result (1.2) is valid for projective brations.

Proof By Hirzebruch's classical theorem we know

$$(X) = \frac{hp_1(TX); [X]i}{3}:$$

Using $p_1(TX) = c_1^2(T_X^{1,0}) - 2c_2(T_X^{1,0})$ and from the denition of $!_{X=B}$ it follows that

$$c_{1}(T_{X}^{1,0}) = f c_{1}(T_{B}^{1,0}) - c_{1}(!_{X=B})$$

=) $c_{1}^{2}(!_{X=B}) = \rho_{1}(TX) + 2c_{2}(T_{X}^{1,0}) + 2f c_{1}(T_{B}^{1,0}):c_{1}(!_{X=B})$
=) $f c_{1}^{2}(!_{X=B}) = f \rho_{1}(TX) + 2f c_{2}(T_{X}^{1,0}) + 2c_{1}(T_{B}^{1,0}):f c_{1}(!_{X=B}):$

Now by the remarks after (4.1), $!_{X=B}j_{X_b} = !_{X_b} = K_X j_{X_b}$ and since the canonical divisor of a genus g curve has degree 2g - 2 it follows that

 $f c_1(!_{X=B}) = (2g-2)[B] 2 H^0(B;\mathbb{Q})$:

Moreover in the top dimension f commutes with evaluation and recalling (X) = (B)(F) + the result follows.

Projective brations exist with bres of every genus g > 0 so we have now proven the result for at least one bration of each genus. We now turn to the general smooth case in the framework of di erential geometry. The signature of any Riemannian manifold can be de ned as the index of a di erential operator d + d: $^+$! $^-$, where are the eigenspaces for an involution ∇ $i^{[l]}$ (some suitable power [= [(p)) and 2^{-p} . On a complex Riemann surface M this signature operator is equivalent to

$$\overline{\mathscr{Q}}$$
: ${}^{0,0}(M)$ ${}^{1,0}(M)$! ${}^{0,1}(M)$ ${}^{1,1}(M)$

The determinant line of this operator has bre (using Serre duality)

$$L_{\text{det}} = [\det H^0(M; 1)]^{-2}$$

thus brewise there is a naturally de ned isomorphism $L_{det}^{-1=2}$.

Now in general a determinant line bundle admits a canonical metric and connexion [10], and the holonomy of the connexion is given by an expression of the shape $\exp(i())$; that is, the exponential of an {invariant of the boundary manifold. Usually there is no canonical choice of logarithm for this holonomy. However, for the particular case of the signature operator, such a canonical logarithm *does exist*. The reason for this special behaviour is that the zero-eigenforms for the relevant di erential operator give rise to harmonic forms, which by Hodge theory are governed by the topology of the manifold; thus the dimensions of the zero-eigenspaces cannot jump as for a general di erential operator.

The upshot is a canonical trivialisation via {invariants for the determinant line bundle L_{det} over any circle and hence the boundary of . The index theorem for manifolds with boundary [4] has famously been used to give a formula for the signature in terms of L {polynomials with a boundary correction term de ned via {invariants. Comparing the terms of this expression to the universal expression for the rst Chern form of a determinant line bundle gives the central identity (compare to (3.3)!)

$$(Z;@Z) = -2c_1(L_{det};)$$
 (4.7)

where the notation refers to a relative Chern class de ned with respect to the {invariant trivialisations over @.

With all this preamble we can forge the bridge between the two approaches. The determinant line bundle comprises a piece L^{ℓ} corresponding to non-zero eigenvalues of the di erential operator which is canonically trivialised (topologically though not as a unitary bundle) by Quillen's canonical determinantal section, which by construction is non-vanishing there. Thus as a topological bundle the determinant line bundle is isomorphic to the bundle given by taking only the zero-eigenvalue spaces (of harmonic forms in our case):

$$L_{\text{det}} =_{\text{TOP}} (\det H^{-}) (\det H^{+})^{-1}$$
: (4.8)

4.9 Lemma The line bundle L_{det} is topologically the dual of the line bundle L de ned from the signature cocycle. Moreover the trivialisations of L_{det}^2 and L^{-2} (de ned by {invariants and c respectively) coincide.

Proof That the line bundles are dual amounts to an identi cation of the positive and negative harmonic forms of $\wedge H^1(\text{Fibre})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the positive and

negative eigenspaces for the Hermitian form *ih i*; then compare the formulae de ning *L* in (3.2) and (4.8). But by de nition the are de ned to be eigenspaces for an operator whose index is signature and the *E* comprising *L* are the de nite subspaces for the Hermitian form arising from the symplectic signature pairing. That the trivialisations agree is a consequence of the lemma (3.4). For if the two trivialisations di ered then their di erence would de ne a map from the set of components of the boundary @ to \mathbb{Z} depending only on the particular monodromies associated to these components. Moreover since we know that there are identities (3.3, 4.7) for all brations *Z* ! we always know that

$$\operatorname{triv}() + C_{\operatorname{triv}}() = 0:$$

Since the values of the trivialisations on loops depend only and naturally on the monodromies, this di erence map de nes N: $_g ! \mathbb{Z}$ which (taking the above relation for a sphere with three discs removed) is a homomorphism. But by (3.4) we know the mapping class groups admit no such non-trivial homomorphisms.

Assembling our various identi cations we have proven

$$(X) = -s + 4hc_1()$$
;]*i*

where we interpret the right hand side with respect to the {trivialisation still (and recall *s* is the number of separating vanishing cycles). To produce the nal formula (1.2) we now need to understand the exact nature of the holonomy term for one of the Dehn twist monodromies in a Lefschetz bration. Atiyah gives the precise formula which shows the sense in which the {invariant gives a canonical logarithm for the holonomy of the Quillen connexion:

$$\log \det D_{\text{Quillen}} = -i - \frac{1}{2} \log_{\text{sign}}(\text{Monod}(\mathscr{H}));$$

here in the nal term, which is an integer, \mathscr{H} is the \topological determinant bundle" $\mathscr{H} = (\det H^+)^{-1} \det H^-$. The monodromy denotes the particular element of $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to the bration over a given boundary circle, and \log_{sign} denotes a choice of logarithm for this monodromy given by the explicit signature cocycle we began with. The nal answer can therefore be given by a direct computation with this cocycle. More simply, given the work at the start of the section and the naturality properties of the signature of manifolds (and hence the cocycle), we know that the answer depends only on the conjugacy class of the monodromy in the mapping class group. Since all our monodromies are positive Dehn twists about non-separating vanishing cycles,

we are interested in a single integer for each genus g. This is then determined by the computation of the signature for a single Lefschetz bration with genus g bres. But we already know the answer for projective brations: writing nfor the number of non-separating vanishing cycles,

$$(X) = -S - n + hAc_1() ; [\mathbb{P}^1]i$$

which is just as we require.

Note that from this point of view the singular bres enter the formula from naturally di erent perspectives; the separating ones because they a ect H^2 and invoke a local contribution to signature, the non-separating ones because they a ect H^1 and hence the global monodromy which detects the extent to which the manifold is not homologically a product.

5 Applications to genus two brations

In the last sections we give some applications of the signature formula and digress into some of the topics we encounter.

5.1 Example The moduli space of genus two curves is in fact globally *a ne*, and the ample divisor given by $f c_1^2(!)$, for *!* the dualising sheaf of the universal curve, is empty on M_2 and a linear combination of boundary divisors on the compacti cation. Mumford [14] has calculated precisely that at genus two

$$10c_1() = 0 + 2_1$$

where $_{0,-1}$ are respectively the irreducible components of $\overline{M}_2 n M_2$ corresponding to curves which are generically irreducible or a union of two elliptic curves respectively. From the signature formula, we therefore see that the signature for a genus two Lefschetz bration is determined completely by the numbers of non-separating and separating vanishing cycles *n* and *s*:

$$(X) = -\frac{3}{5}n - \frac{1}{5}s:$$
 (5.2)

This \fractional signature formula" was rst established by Matsumoto [13] by related but di erent means.

We can now compare the two di erent formulae for signatures of genus two brations to some e ect. Recall that $(_2)_{ab} = \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ and hence for any genus two bration we have 10j(n + 2s).

5.3 Proposition Let (X; f) be a genus two Lefschetz bration with n + 2s = 10m. Write $\mathbb{S}_{\text{sgn}(m)}$ for the product $\mathbb{S}^2 - \mathbb{S}^2$ if *m* is even and for the non-trivial sphere bundle over the sphere if *m* is odd. Then

$$X # s \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2} \stackrel{2:1}{=} \mathbb{S}_{sgn(m)} # 2 s \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2} = C;$$

the blow-up of X at s points admits a smooth double cover over the blow-up of the relevant sphere bundle over a sphere at 2s points, rami ed over a smooth surface C.

Equivalently, X is given by blowing down (-1) {spheres in the bres of a bration arising from double covering a non-minimal rational surface over a curve C which is the canonical resolution of singularities of a curve C^{ℓ} $\mathbb{S}_{\text{sgn}(m)}$ containing s in nitely close triple points. (Such a point is given by the singularity at the origin of $z_1^3 + z_2^6 = 0$; the curve has 3 sheets meeting mutually tangentially at this point, and the sheets are separated by two successive blow-ups.) Thus if X has no reducible bres then it has 10m singular bres for some m, and X double covers the sphere bundle with \parity" the same as the parity of m, branched over a smooth two-dimensional surface C. As a piece of notation, recall that $\mathbb{F}_k = \mathbb{P}(O \quad O(k))$ denotes the unique complex (or symplectic) ruled surface with symplectic sections of self-intersection k; moreover all projective bundles over \mathbb{P}^1 are the projectivisations of (not uniquely determined) vector bundles.

Proof The proof of the proposition is reasonably straightforward and versions due to Fuller [11] and Siebert{Tian [16] have now appeared (an independent proof was given in [17]). The idea is simple; on choosing a metric all the smooth bres are hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces and admit natural double branched covers over spheres. These can be patched together smoothly except near separating singular bres. The point is that the map brewise is given by sections of the canonical bundle on the bre, and the nodal points in reducible bres are base points of the canonical system on a stable curve; for smooth or stable irreducible curves the canonical linear system has no base points and the branch locus varies smoothly. Near reducible bres we can assume the complex structure is integrable and graft in a local holomorphic model.

This argument (and those of Fuller and Siebert{Tian) gives the base of the double cover the structure of a sphere bundle over the sphere but does not identify it beyond that. The signature formulae allow one to do precisely this. The ruled surface $\mathbb{F}_k = \mathbb{P}(E) = \mathbb{P}(L - E)$ for suitable rank two E and any line bundle L. Since $c_1(E) - c_1(E - L) \mod (2)$ and $\mathbb{F}_k = d_i = 0 \mathbb{F}_{k+2}$, to nd

the di eomorphism type of the base from a monodromy equation we need only understand the parity of the rst Chern class of any suitable bundle *E* above. Speci cally, in the complex case the map $X \not : \mathbb{S}$ is the map de ned by the sheaf $f_{X=\mathbb{P}^1}$ and we know

$$C_1(f \mid X_{=\mathbb{P}^1}) = C_1({}^2f \mid X_{=\mathbb{P}^1}) = C_1();$$

and more generally the sphere bres of the ruled surface are the projectivisations of spaces of holomorphic sections of the canonical bundles down the bres of X. Thus for any bration X we can take det E =. From (5.2) and (1.2) we know

$$4c_1() - n - s = -\frac{3}{5}n - \frac{1}{5}s$$

It follows that the parity of $10c_1()$ and the parity of n + 2s = 10m coincide, and that is precisely what we require.

We remark for completeness that these branched coverings can be used to give a classi cation of complex genus two brations without reducible bres. For in these cases the branch locus is a complex curve and such curves are determined to smooth isotopy by their connectivity and homology class. In the connected case, we can \canonically" choose such a curve as follows; write s_0 and s_1 for the homology classes of sections of a ruled surface \mathbb{F}_k of square k respectively and F for the homology class of a bre. For a curve in a class $jrs_0 + mFj$ choose *m* bres and r sections² meeting in disjoint nodes, giving a curve of r + m components. Now under a complex deformation of the bration the branch locus will be perturbed to a neighbouring smooth complex curve. We can clearly arrange for all the bres to lie over some small complex disc in the base \mathbb{P}^1 and for all the nodes from intersection points of sections to lie outside the preimage of this disc. The monodromy of the Lefschetz bration arises entirely from a neighbourhood of the m bres in the branch locus and the other nodes, since these are the only places where we obtain singular bres upstairs. Smoothing the nodal branch locus over suitably chosen disjoint discs in the base sequentially, we see that the Lefschetz brations arising from di erent branch loci coincide according to

$$jrs_{0} + (2m)Fj_{\mathbb{F}_{k}} \qquad jrs_{0}j_{\mathbb{F}_{k}} \#_{\text{bre}} jrs_{0} + 2mFj_{\mathbb{F}_{0}} \\ jrs_{0}j_{\mathbb{F}_{k}} \#_{m} \text{bres} jrs_{0} + 2Fj_{\mathbb{F}_{0}} \\ \#_{i=1}^{k} jrs_{0}j_{\mathbb{F}_{1}}^{(i)} \#_{i=1}^{m} jrs_{0} + 2Fj_{\mathbb{F}_{0}}^{(j)} :$$
(5:4)

²For the covers to be genus two brations we will need r = 6 since a genus two curve double covers a sphere over six points; for the double cover to exist the branch divisor, and hence *m*, will have to be even.

Thus all of the brations arising from connected branch loci can be expressed as bre sums of two basic pieces. We have not yet considered disconnected branch loci. Suppose then the branch locus is a curve in the class $jas_0j + jbs_1 j$ comprising two disjoint smooth components. From standard results on rational surfaces [12] it follows that b = 1. In order for the class to contain six sections (and hence yield a genus two bration) we are reduced to the case $j5s_0j + js_1 j$ on \mathbb{F}_k . Moreover we can write k = 2l to obtain an even divisor (as an element of the Picard group, necessary for the existence of the double cover). Again by standard results this class contains no smooth connected curve, and by the bre summation trick, it is enough to understand the bration when l = 1; note that we can build a disconnected branch locus from only disconnected pieces, and all the pieces in a bre sum decomposition will be of this special form $j5s_0 + s_1 j$ in some \mathbb{F}_{2m} .

We have reduced all complex genus two brations with no reducible bres to bre sums of three basic examples. These can be computed in a variety of ways and we obtain the following classi cation result (originally due to Chakiris [8] by similar methods and discovered independently if much later by the present author³):

5.5 Theorem (Chakiris) Assume that a genus two bration has no reducible bres and Kähler total space. Then it is a bre sum of the shape $A^m B^n = 1$ or $C^p = 1$ where $m; n; p \ge \mathbb{Z}_{-0}$ and the basic words A; B; C are given by:

0 1

It follows that if a genus two Kähler Lefschetz bration contains no separating vanishing cycles, then the total space is simply-connected. The notation $X \stackrel{d,l}{\to} Y$ B indicates that X is a d{fold branched cover of Y totally rami ed along the locus B. The Dehn twists $_i$ are about curves in the \standard position" on a Riemann surface (c.f. [6] for instance). Note also that for the second family of words $C^{\rho} = 1$ the monodromy group of the bration is not full; but to obtain an exhaustive list it is su cient to take all bre sums by the identity di eomorphism. The word B = 1 corresponds to the genus two pencil on a K3 which double covers \mathbb{P}^2 branched over a sextic.

³Chakiris' result has appeared in numerous articles but never accompanied by any kind of proof; his original work, in part being more ambitious, is somewhat dense. It therefore seems reasonable to present a version of the argument here.

6 Further applications

At higher genera the moduli spaces are not a ne, and signature is *not* in general determined by the combinatorial equivalence class of the bration. However, for brations by hyperelliptic curves the statement is much easier. Recall that in the (3g-3) {dimensional moduli space M_g there is a distinguished (2g-1) { dimensional locus of *hyperelliptic curves*, which forms a complex analytic subvariety \mathscr{H}_g . We can take the closure of this subvariety in \overline{M}_g . The cohomology classes $c_1()$; $_0$; \ldots ; $_{[g=2]}$ form a basis for $H^2(\overline{M}_g)$; here $_0$ is the irreducible component of $\overline{M}_g n M_g$ corresponding to generically irreducible curves, and the $_i$ are the components corresponding to curves which generically have one component of genus i and the other of genus g-i. We can restrict these classes to \mathscr{H}_g where we denote them by the same symbols. The following relation seems to be new:

6.1 Lemma For any hyperelliptic Lefschetz bration there is an inequality

$$(8g+4)c_1()$$
 $g_0 + \sum_{h=1}^{[g-2]} 4h(g-h)_h$:

which is an equality when the base is a two-sphere.

But this follows immediately from (1.2) and a fractional signature formula (generalising the one for genus two above) which has been given by Endo [9].

As a more signi cant application we provide the answer to a conjecture of Amoros, Bogomolov, Katzarkov and Pantev [1]. The monodromy group of a Lefschetz bration is the subgroup of the mapping class group generated by the Dehn twists about the vanishing cycles; that is, the image of the representation $_1(\mathbb{P}^1 nf\operatorname{Crit} g)$! $_g$. Recall that the Torelli group is the subgroup of the mapping class group comprising elements which act trivially on homology; thus the monodromy group of X ! \mathbb{P}^1 is contained in the Torelli group if and only if all the vanishing cycles are separating. (We will sometimes refer to such a bration as a \Torelli bration".)

For Kähler brations this can clearly never happen; here is one classical proof. By taking the Jacobians down the bres, a Kähler bration gives a map from the smooth locus \mathbb{P}^1 *nf*Crit*g* to the moduli space of principally polarised abelian varieties A_g . This map is holomorphic, and if the monodromy is trivial on homology groups and hence Jacobians, we can canonically complete to a holomorphic map of the *closed sphere* into A_g . But this is possible only if the map

is constant, for A_g is well known to be a bounded complex domain, and in particular contains no non-trivial holomorphic spheres.

In [1] it is conjectured⁴ that in fact there can be no brations with monodromy group contained in the Torelli group even in the absence of the Kähler assumption (used via holomorphicity of the map to A_g above). Indeed this is true:

6.2 Theorem There are no Lefschetz brations with monodromy group a subgroup of the Torelli group.

Proof Suppose such an (X; f) exists; we work by contradiction. We mentioned before that another approach to signature uses Wall's non-additivity; an easy case of this approach [15] shows that if a bration has separating vanishing cycles and no others, then its signature is given by -. Comparing to the formula (1.2) this forces $hc_1(\cdot)$; $[\mathbb{S}^2]i = 0$. We will prove that this quantity is positive.

We have $c_2(X) = 4-4g_+ = 2-2b_1(X) + b_2(X)$ for any Lefschetz bration with singular bres; in our case, since all the vanishing cycles are homologically trivial, $b_1(X) = 2g$ and hence $b_2(X) - 2 = .$ Now the number of disjoint exceptional (-1) (spheres in X is bounded by $b_2(X)$ since each contributes a new homology class. The bre of the bration has self-intersection zero; bresumming X with itself by the identity if necessary, we can see that if any

bration with monodromy group contained in the Torelli group exists, then one exists for which a section also has self-intersection even and in particular is not exceptional. Thus the number of (-1) {spheres in X may be assumed to be bounded above by $b_2(X) - 2 = .$

We invoke a powerful theorem of Liu: for any minimal symplectic 4{manifold Z which is not irrational ruled, $c_1^2(Z) = 0$. In particular, blowing down all (-1){spheres in X, we see that

$$c_1^2(X) = c_1^2(X_{\min}) - \#f(-1) - \text{spheres}g -$$

and hence

$$c_1^2(X) + c_2(X) = 4 - 4g$$

provided X is not irrational ruled. But we also know

$$\frac{c_1^2(X) + c_2(X)}{12} = \frac{(X) + (X)}{4} = 1 - g + hc_1(x) [\mathbb{S}_X^2]i:$$

⁴The conjecture appeared in an early draft of the paper; the proof given here was incorporated as an Appendix to a later draft at the request of the authors.

Rearranging this gives $hc_1()$; $[\mathbb{S}^2]i > 0$ which is the required contradiction. That leaves only the case of X irrational ruled, but simple topological conditions show that such a manifold can have no Torelli bration. For any Torelli Lefschetz bration of $X = {}_{h} \sim \mathbb{S}^2$ has bres of genus h by considering $H_1(X)$, and then looking at ${}_{1}(X)$ we see that in fact all the vanishing cycles must be nullhomotopic and hence X was a trivial product.

There are brations over the torus with no critical bres which have monodromy group contained in the Torelli group; just take \mathbb{S}^1 *Y* for *Y* the mapping torus of a Dehn twist about a separating curve. The Lefschetz brations of these manifolds, however, cannot be Torelli.

6.3 Corollary For any Lefschetz bration X, (X) + > 0. Moreover the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2_X \quad \overline{M}_g$ de ned to isotopy by X has positive \symplectic volume"; the symplectic Weil{Petersson form on \overline{M}_g evaluates positively on \mathbb{S}^2_X .

The corollary again follows by comparing to Ozbagci's work; for he proves that each critical bre changes the signature by 0; 1 as you add handles to a trivial bundle over the disc. Since we now know there must be a non-separating vanishing cycle, and his construction allows us to add that handle rst and change the signature by a non-negative amount, we obtain the rst result. In particular, it follows that for *any* smooth sphere in \overline{M}_g with correct geometric intersection behaviour at the compacti cation divisors, the Chern class $c_1()$ evaluates positively. The Kähler Weil{Petersson form is not quite a positive rational multiple of this Chern class and the boundary divisors; rather we have the identity (technically on the moduli stack)

$$\frac{1}{2^{2}}!_{WP} = 12c_1() - :$$

An easy computation, however, shows that $c_1() > 0$ and $c_1^2(X) + 8(g-1) > 0$ together imply that the Weil{Petersson form evaluates positively. This is made more interesting by the following remark. Wolpert has computed the intersection ring of \overline{M}_g and it follows from his computation that this is *not* a purely homological statement [18]; there are two dimensional homology classes which have positive intersections with all the components of $\overline{M}_g nM_g$ but not with $c_1()$. Thus the corollary is a kind of \symplectic ampleness" phenomenon, reliant on the *local* positivity of intersections with the stable locus.

References

- [1] J Amoros et al, Symplectic Lefschetz brations with arbitrary fundamental groups, preprint (1998) with an Appendix by Ivan Smith
- [2] E Arabello, M Cornalba, The Picard groups of the moduli spaces of curves, Topology 26 (1987) 153{71
- [3] **M Atiyah**, *The logarithm of the Dedekind* {*function*, Math. Ann. 278 (1987) 335{380
- [4] M Atiyah et al, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 77 (1975) 43(69
- [5] W Barth, C Peters, A Van de Ven, Compact complex surfaces, Springer (1984)
- [6] J Birman, Braids, links and mapping class groups, Princeton University Press (1975)
- [7] KS Brown, Cohomology of groups, Springer (1982)
- [8] K N Chakiris, The monodromy of genus two pencils, PhD thesis Colombia University (1978)
- [9] H Endo, Meyer's signature cocycle and hyperelliptic brations, preprint (1998)
- [10] D Freed, On determinant line bundles, from: \Mathematical Aspects of String Theory I", ST Yau (editor), World Scienti c (1987)
- [11] **T Fuller**, *Genus two Lefschetz brations*, preprint (1997)
- [12] **R Hartshorne**, Algebraic geometry, Springer (1977)
- [13] Y Matsumoto, Lefschetz brations of genus two a topological approach, from: \The 37th Taniguchi Symposium on topology and Teichmüller spaces", S Kojima et al (editors), World Scienti c (1996)
- [14] **D Mumford**, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, from: \Arithmetic and Geometry", A Artin and J Tate (editors), vol II Birkhäuser (1983)
- [15] **B** Ozbagci, Signatures of Lefschetz brations, preprint (1998)
- [16] B Siebert, G Tian, On hyperelliptic C¹ {Lefschetz brations of 4{manifolds, preprint (1999)
- [17] I Smith, Symplectic geometry of Lefschetz brations, PhD thesis, Oxford University (1998)
- [18] S Wolpert, On the homology of the moduli space of stable curves, Ann. of Math. 118 (1983) 491{523
- [19] **S Zariski**, *Algebraic surfaces*, 2nd ed. Springer (1977) with appendices by D Mumford